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Abstract

Cloned cell lines derived from the androgen-responsive Shionogi 115 mouse mammary carcinoma, when cultured in the presence of 3.5 \times 10^{-8} M testosterone, retain their responsiveness to testosterone and 5α-dihydrotestosterone and exhibit fibroblast-like morphology; their growth is poorly regulated by cell density. Dexamethasone at 10^{-8} M inhibits proliferation of these cells by 30% but stimulates them by 235% at 10^{-6} M in the temporary absence of testosterone. Cell growth is little affected by serum concentration. When cultured for 3 to 4 weeks in testosterone-deprived medium, however, the cells lose their androgen responsiveness and retain the inhibitory but not the stimulatory response to dexamethasone. They also show an increased sensitivity to serum and increased density regulation and change to an epithelial morphology.

It is suggested that the loss of sensitivity to androgens, which does not result from absence of androgen receptor, is related in a complex way to the increased sensitivities to serum and density regulation.

Introduction

Steroid hormones regulate the proliferation of many normal and neoplastic cells. The early events involving binding of the steroid to specific receptor proteins and the translocation of the complex to the nucleus are well documented (8, 9); events occurring after nuclear entry are, however, poorly understood. During studies on the androgen regulation of S115 mouse mammary tumor cells in culture, an observation was made that provided a potential method for investigating postreceptor events. S115 cells maintained in the presence of androgen retain their androgen responsiveness for many weeks, whereas cells grown in androgen-deprived medium rapidly lose their responsiveness (6). This loss of response is not accompanied by major changes in whole-cell or nuclear receptor levels from which it was concluded that a postreceptor defect existed in these cells (5, 7). Comparison of the androgen-maintained (+A) responsive cells with their unresponsive deprived (-A) counterparts could give some clues as to the locus of the defect and help to define part of the postreceptor pathway. As a first step we decided to study the sensitivities of the +A and −A cells to a number of agents involved in the regulation of cell proliferation. It was reasoned that, if the putative defect occurred at a point before that at which the other agents fed into the pleiotypic, proliferative mechanism, no difference in sensitivity to those agents would be seen. If, however, the defect occurred at a site common to other

Materials and Methods

Cell Culture. Stock cells were routinely cultured in a humidified atmosphere of 5% carbon dioxide in air at 37°C in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 2% fetal calf serum (Gibco Bio-Cult, Glasgow, Scotland) and 40 mM N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid (Sigma Chemical Co., London, England). The growth medium for androgen-maintained (+A) cells also contained 3.5 \times 10^{-8} M testosterone (0.01 μg/ml). Androgen-deprived (−A) cells were derived from the same +A cloned line by plating the cells in medium without testosterone (7). −A cells were maintained in this way for at least 6 weeks prior to their use in growth experiments. Cells were subcultured at weekly intervals in 90-mm plastic culture dishes (Sterilin, Ltd., Teddington, Middlesex, England).

Hormones. Testosterone and 5α-dihydrotestosterone (5α-androstan-17β-ol-3-one) from Steraloids, Ltd., Croydon, England, and dexamethasone (Sigma) were dissolved in absolute ethanol at 1000 times the concentration finally required. An aliquot of this stock solution was added to the culture medium before being added to the cells. The same concentration of ethanol was added to control plates.

Cell Growth Experiments. Cells were suspended in 0.05% trypsin buffered with 0.02% EDTA (pH 7.2), counted on a hemocytometer, and plated at 1 \times 10^5 cells/50-mm plastic culture dish in 5 ml medium containing 2% serum unless otherwise stated. After 24 hr the medium was changed to steroid-containing medium which was not changed again during the experimental period. For experiments in which the serum concentration was varied, medium containing the serum concentration required was prepared before plating the cells. For long-term growth curves, the medium was changed after 24 hr and on every following third day.

Results

The response of S115 cells to culture for 4 days in the presence of varying concentrations of testosterone is shown in Chart 1. Similar dose-response curves (not shown) were also obtained for 5α-dihydrotestosterone. Androgen-maintained cells showed a 7-fold increase in cell numbers at concentrations of testosterone greater than 10^{-8} M while...
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androgen-deprived cells were completely unresponsive to this range of testosterone levels. The concentration of $3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ M testosterone used in routine subculture of the $+A$ cells and in all experiments in which parameters other than androgen concentration were varied is within this plateau region.

During the course of these experiments, it was noted that the glucocorticoid dexamethasone had a biphasic effect on $+A$ cells temporarily grown in the absence of testosterone (Chart 2b); inhibition of growth occurred at $10^{-8}$ M dexamethasone whereas higher concentrations stimulated growth. In 3 experiments the inhibition of $+A$ cells brought about by $10^{-8}$ M dexamethasone was $31 \pm 5\%$. The mean $\pm$ S.E. stimulation by $10^{-6}$ M dexamethasone was $235 \pm 20\%$.

There was a progressive inhibition of proliferation of $-A$ cells reaching $25 \pm 3\%$ at $10^{-6}$ dexamethasone. When testosterone ($3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ M) was added, the proliferation of $+A$ and $-A$ cells was not markedly affected by any concentration of dexamethasone (Chart 2a). Cortisol gave similar but less reproducible results. This is probably due to the presence of corticosteroid-binding globulin in the fetal calf serum.

Androgen-maintained and -deprived cells also show a marked difference in their sensitivity to serum concentration (Chart 3). The growth of $-A$ cells in both the presence and the absence of $3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ M testosterone is considerably stimulated by increased concentrations of serum, whereas the proliferation of $+A$ cells is influenced to a lesser extent. These results are expressed as cell numbers per plate rather than as percentage of control value, because it was believed that the lowest serum concentration used (2%) did not represent a true control value in the same way as that used in experiments in which steroid concentrations were varied. The corresponding control would have involved the use of serum-free medium which would have severely reduced the plating efficiency of the cells. Plating efficiency was not affected by serum concentration.

Longer-term culture of $+A$ cells in the presence of $3.5 \times 10^{-8}$ M testosterone and of $-A$ cells in the absence of testosterone during which the medium was changed at 3-day intervals to ensure adequate supply of nutrients and testosterone is illustrated in Chart 4. The proliferation rate of the $+A$ cells over the rapid growth part of the curve is approximately 3 times that of $-A$ cells, and the final cell density reached before the cells detach from the plate is much higher for $+A$ cells. The $+A$ cells have a fibroblastic appearance and grow in an irregular manner, often overlapping adjacent cells. Androgen-deprived cells, on the other hand, show an epithelial morphology and grow to a confluent monolayer.

Prostaglandin $F_{2\alpha}$ at concentrations of 100 to 500 ng/ml in the presence of insulin (50 ng/ml) when added to the cells in the absence of testosterone showed a variable effect on the proliferation of both cell types, and no definite stimulation or inhibition could be detected. Incidental ob-
occurs at the level of receptor binding or at a postreceptor stage. During the course of these experiments indicated that +A cells are more sensitive than -A cells to such effects by the presence of androgens or androgen-binding proteins in the serum; therefore we conclude that the androgen-deprived cells are more sensitive to as yet undefined factors in the serum. Given the close relationship between serum sensitivity and cell density (2, 4), the changes in sensitivity noted here may be related. However, the relationship cannot be a simple one because the published data obtained with fibroblasts indicate that increased serum sensitivity is associated with increased limiting cell density (1, 10); our S115 cells exhibit the opposite effect.

Androgen-maintained cells temporarily grown in the absence of testosterone have an epithelial appearance which changes to a fibroblastic morphology on stimulation with testosterone (3, 11). In the present experiments, it was noted that morphologies of the androgen-maintained and deprived cells were different. Certainly, an examination of the cellular properties that influence growth and morphology will be rewarding.

It is clear from the results presented here that the model proposed in the “Introduction” in which the site of action of various agents affecting proliferation might be determined by determining their dose-response curves in androgen-maintained and deprived cells is too simple. The model does not predict the observed increase in sensitivity to stimuli such as serum and cell density. The elucidation of the temporal relationship between the loss of androgen sensitivity and the increase in sensitivity to both serum and cell density together with the appearance of morphological changes will help to resolve this problem.

Discussion

The loss of androgen sensitivity resulting from 3 or 4 weeks culture of S115 mouse mammary tumor cells in androgen-free medium cannot be due to genotypic selection and is not accompanied by detectable changes in nuclear or cytoplasmic androgen receptors (5, 6). The mechanisms involved in the loss of responsiveness are not known. The results presented in this paper indicate that, when S115 cells lose their androgen responsiveness, their sensitivities to other agents change in a complex manner.

Dexamethasone inhibits growth of androgen-maintained cells at low concentrations, whereas higher concentrations (greater than $10^{-8}$ M) are stimulatory. Androgen-deprived cells retain the inhibitory but not the stimulatory response to dexamethasone. Addition of a maximally stimulating concentration of testosterone to the androgen-maintained cells abolishes the dexamethasone effect. This observation indicates that dexamethasone and testosterone act via a common pathway, but it remains to be shown whether this occurs at the level of receptor binding or at a postreceptor stage.

Loss of androgen sensitivity is accompanied by an increased sensitivity to both serum and cell density. The serum effect is observed in both the presence and the absence of testosterone. It is not possible to explain this effect by the presence of androgens or androgen-binding proteins in the serum; therefore we conclude that the androgen-deprived cells are more sensitive to as yet undefined factors in the serum. Given the close relationship between serum sensitivity and cell density (2, 4), the changes in sensitivity noted here may be related. However, the relationship cannot be a simple one because the published data obtained with fibroblasts indicate that increased serum sensitivity is associated with increased limiting cell density (1, 10); our S115 cells exhibit the opposite effect.

Androgen-maintained cells temporarily grown in the absence of testosterone have an epithelial appearance which changes to a fibroblastic morphology on stimulation with testosterone (3, 11). In the present experiments, it was noted that morphologies of the androgen-maintained and deprived cells were different. Certainly, an examination of the cellular properties that influence growth and morphology will be rewarding.

It is clear from the results presented here that the model proposed in the “Introduction” in which the site of action of various agents affecting proliferation might be determined by determining their dose-response curves in androgen-maintained and deprived cells is too simple. The model does not predict the observed increase in sensitivity to stimuli such as serum and cell density. The elucidation of the temporal relationship between the loss of androgen sensitivity and the increase in sensitivity to both serum and cell density together with the appearance of morphological changes will help to resolve this problem.

Discussion

The loss of androgen sensitivity resulting from 3 or 4 weeks culture of S115 mouse mammary tumor cells in androgen-free medium cannot be due to genotypic selection and is not accompanied by detectable changes in nuclear or cytoplasmic androgen receptors (5, 6). The mechanisms involved in the loss of responsiveness are not known. The results presented in this paper indicate that, when S115 cells lose their androgen responsiveness, their sensitivities to other agents change in a complex manner.

Dexamethasone inhibits growth of androgen-maintained cells at low concentrations, whereas higher concentrations (greater than $10^{-8}$ M) are stimulatory. Androgen-deprived cells retain the inhibitory but not the stimulatory response to dexamethasone. Addition of a maximally stimulating concentration of testosterone to the androgen-maintained cells abolishes the dexamethasone effect. This observation indicates that dexamethasone and testosterone act via a common pathway, but it remains to be shown whether this occurs at the level of receptor binding or at a postreceptor stage.

Loss of androgen sensitivity is accompanied by an increased sensitivity to both serum and cell density. The serum effect is observed in both the presence and the absence of testosterone. It is not possible to explain this effect by the presence of androgens or androgen-binding proteins in the serum; therefore we conclude that the androgen-deprived cells are more sensitive to as yet undefined factors in the serum. Given the close relationship between serum sensitivity and cell density (2, 4), the changes in sensitivity noted here may be related. However, the relationship cannot be a simple one because the published data obtained with fibroblasts indicate that increased serum sensitivity is associated with increased limiting cell density (1, 10); our S115 cells exhibit the opposite effect.

Androgen-maintained cells temporarily grown in the absence of testosterone have an epithelial appearance which changes to a fibroblastic morphology on stimulation with testosterone (3, 11). In the present experiments, it was noted that morphologies of the androgen-maintained and deprived cells were different. Certainly, an examination of the cellular properties that influence growth and morphology will be rewarding.

It is clear from the results presented here that the model proposed in the “Introduction” in which the site of action of various agents affecting proliferation might be determined by determining their dose-response curves in androgen-maintained and deprived cells is too simple. The model does not predict the observed increase in sensitivity to stimuli such as serum and cell density. The elucidation of the temporal relationship between the loss of androgen sensitivity and the increase in sensitivity to both serum and cell density together with the appearance of morphological changes will help to resolve this problem.
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