Abstract

Retroviruses (without transforming genes) are thought to cause leukemias and other cancers in animals and humans because they were originally isolated from those diseases and because experimental infections of newborns may induce leukemias with probabilities of 0 to 90%. According to this hypothesis viral cancers should be contagious, polyclonal, and preventable by immunization. However, retroviruses are rather widespread in healthy animals and humans where they typically cause latent infections and antiviral immunity. The leukemia risk of such infections is less than 0.1% and thus about as low as that of virus-free controls. Indeed retroviruses are not sufficient to initiate transformation (a) because of the low percentage of symptomatic virus carriers and the complete lack of transforming function in vitro; (b) because of the striking discrepancies between the long latent periods of 0.5 to 10 years for carcinogenesis and the short eclipse of days to weeks for virus replication and direct pathogenic and immunogenic effects; (c) because there is no gene with a late transforming function, since all genes are essential for replication; (d) because host genes, which do not inhibit virus, inhibit tumorogenesis up to 100% if intact and determine the nature of the tumor if defective; and above all (e) because of the monoclonal origin of viral leukemias, defined by viral integration sites that are different in each tumor. On these bases the probability that a virus-infected cell will become transformed is estimated to be about 10^{-11}. The viruses are also not necessary to maintain transformation, since many animal and all bovine and human tumors do not express viral antigens or RNA or contain only incomplete proviruses. Thus as carcinogens retroviruses do not necessarily fulfill Koch’s first postulate and do not or only very rarely (10^{-11}) fulfill the third. Therefore it has been proposed that retroviruses transform inefficiently by activating latent cellular oncogenes by for example provirus integration. This predicts diploid tumors with great diversity, because integration sites are different in each tumor. However, the uniformity of different viral and even nonviral tumors of the same lineage, their common susceptibility to the same tumor resistance genes, and transformation-specific chromosome abnormalities shared with nonviral tumors each argue for cellular transforming genes. Indeed clonal chromosome abnormalities are the only known transformation-specific determinants of viral tumors. Since tumors originate with these abnormalities, these or associated events, rather than preexisting viruses, must initiate transformation. Therefore it is proposed that transformation is a virus-independent event and that clonal viral integration sites are consequences of clonal proliferation of transformed cells. The role of the virus in carcinogenesis is limited to the induction of hyperplasia which is necessary but not sufficient for carcinogenesis. Hyperplasia depends on chronic viremia or high virus expression which are very rare in animals outside the laboratory and have never been observed in humans. Since latent viruses, which are typical of nearly all natural infections, are neither direct nor indirect carcinogens, they are not targets for cancer prevention. Viruses are also not targets for cancer therapy, since tumors are not maintained and not directly initiated by viral genes and occur naturally despite active antiviral immunity.

Lymphotropic retrovirus has been proposed to cause AIDS because 90% of the patients have antibody to the virus. Therefore antibody to the virus is used to diagnose AIDS and those at risk for AIDS. The virus has also been suggested as a cause of diseases of the lung and the nervous system. Promiscuous male homosexuals and recipients of frequent transfusions are at a high risk for infection and also at a relatively high annual risk for AIDS, which averages 0.3% and may reach 5%.

The irreversible and predictable courses of most cancers indicate that cancer has a genetic basis. In 1914 Boveri (1) proposed that cancer is caused by chromosomal mutations. This hypothesis has since received ample support (2–4), although a cellular cancer gene has yet to be identified (5). In the light of the spectacular discovery of RSV in 1911, which proved to be a direct, infectious carcinogen, the hypothesis emerged that viruses may be a significant source of exogenous cancer genes (6). The virus-cancer hypothesis has since steadily gained support because retroviruses and DNA viruses were frequently isolated from animal leukemias and other tumors, and occasionally from human leukemias, in efforts to identify causative agents (7–16). However, once discovered in tumors and named tumor viruses, most of these viruses were subsequently found to be widespread in healthy animals and humans (8, 12–18). Thus these viruses are compatible with the first but apparently not necessarily with the third of Koch’s postulates as viral carcinogens. Only a few of the many tumor viruses are indeed directly oncogenic, such as RSV and about 20 other types of...
Retroviruses (5, 13, 19, 20), and hence compatible with Koch's third postulate. Therefore, if we want to assess the role of viruses in cancer, there must be a clear separation between those viruses which are directly oncogenic and those which are not.

The directly oncogenic retroviruses owe their transforming function to a particular class of genes which are termed onc genes (20). These are as yet the only known autonomous cancer genes that can transform diploid cells in vitro as well as in animals susceptible to the particular virus (5). Since susceptible cells are inevitably transformed as soon as they are infected, the resulting tumors are polyclonal (13, 16). Nevertheless, directly oncogenic retroviruses have never caused epidemics of cancer. The probable reason is that onc genes are not essential for survival of the virus and hence are readily lost by spontaneous deletion or mutation (5). Indeed, onc genes were originally discovered by the analysis of spontaneous onc deletion mutants of RSV (21). Moreover, because onc genes typically replace essential genes (except in some strains of RSV) these viruses cannot replicate unless aided by a nondefective helper virus (5, 13).

The vast majority of the tumor viruses are retroviruses and DNA viruses that do not contain onc genes. The RNA genomes of all retroviruses without onc genes measure only 8 to 9 kilobases (13, 22). They all encode three major essential genes which virtually exhaust their coding capacity. These are in the 5' to 3' map order gag which encodes the viral core protein, pol which encodes the reverse transcriptase, and env which encodes the envelope glycoprotein (23, 24). Although these viruses lack onc genes they are considered tumor viruses, because they were originally isolated from tumors and because experimental infections may induce tumors under certain conditions. However, in contrast to tumors caused by viruses with onc genes, such tumors are always monoclonal and induced reproducibly only in genetically selected animals inoculated as newborns after latent periods of over 6 months (see below). Because of the long latent periods, these retroviruses are said to be "slow" viruses (13, 16), although their mechanism of replication is exactly the same as that of their fast and efficient relatives with onc genes that transform cells as soon as they infect them (5, 19) (Table 1). The retroviruses are also considered to be plausible natural carcinogens because they are not cytocidal and hence compatible with neoplastic growth and other slow diseases. Indeed, retroviruses are the only viruses that depend on mitosis for replication (13, 25).

However, the retroviruses without onc genes are also the most common and benign passenger viruses of healthy animals and humans probably because of their unique noncytocidal mechanism of replication and their characteristic ability to coexist with their hosts without causing any pathogenic symptoms either as latent infections, which make no biochemical demands, or even as productive infections. Based on the permissiveness of a host for expression and reproduction, they have been divided into exogenous viruses which are typically expressed and hence potentially pathogenic and endogenous viruses which are typically latent and hence nonpathogenic (16–18). Because they are so readily suppressed in response to as yet undefined cellular suppressors (8, 11, 12, 16–18), endogenous viruses are integrated as proviruses into the germ line of most if not all vertebrates (8, 13, 16–18). Nevertheless, the endogenous and exogenous retroviruses are entirely isogenic and there is no absolute biochemical or functional distinction between them except for their response to suppressors of a particular host (13, 16–18) (Part I, Section A). Therefore the association of these viruses with a given disease is not sufficient even to suggest a causative role in it. Indeed there is as yet no direct evidence that retroviruses play a role as natural carcinogens of wild animals and humans. Thus the critical expectations of the virus-cancer hypothesis, namely that RNA or DNA tumor viruses would be direct carcinogens, that viral tumors would be polyclonal because each virus-infected cell would be transformed, and above all that viral carcinogenesis would be preventable by immunization, remain largely unconfirmed.

Recently retroviruses without onc genes have been isolated from patients with AIDS and those at risk for AIDS and have since been considered the cause of AIDS (26). In contrast to other retroviruses, the AIDS viruses are thought to act as direct, cytocidal pathogens that kill susceptible T-cells (13, 27).

Here we discuss how the retroviruses without onc genes fit the role of viral carcinogens or AIDS pathogens and whether these viruses are indeed the vessels of evil they have been labeled to be. Above all we hope to identify transformation-specific or AIDS-specific viral and cellular determinants and functions. Since the genetic repertoire of all retroviruses without onc genes, including that of the AIDS viruses (28), is exhausted by genes that are essential for virus replication (13, 24), a hypothetical oncogenic or AIDS function would have to be indirect or it would have to be encoded by one of the essential genes. In the second case the virus would be oncogenic or cause AIDS whenever it replicates. A survey of the best studied animal and human retroviruses demonstrates that these viruses are not sufficient to cause tumors and not necessary to maintain them. Most likely these viruses play a role in inducing tumors indirectly. Indeed transformation appears to be a virus-independent, cellular event for which chromosome abnormalities are the only specific markers. Likewise the AIDS viruses are shown not to be sufficient to cause AIDS, and the evidence that they are necessary to cause it is debated.

I. Retroviruses and Cancer

A. Retroviruses Are Not Sufficient for Transformation Because Less Than 0.1% of Infected Animals or Humans Develop Tumors

Avian lymphomatosis virus was originally isolated from leukemic chickens (29). However, subsequent studies proved that latent infection by avian lymphomatosis viruses occurs in all chicken flocks and that by sexual maturity most birds are infected (30–32). Statistics report an annual incidence of 2 to 3% lymphomatoses in some inbred flocks. Yet these statistics include the more common lymphomas caused by Marek's virus (a herpes virus) (33, 34). The apparent paradox that the same virus is present in most normal and healthy animals (30) but may be leukemogenic in certain conditions was resolved at least in descriptive terms by experimental and congenital contact infections. Typically experimental or contact infection of newborn animals that are not protected by maternal antibody would induce chronic (31, 32) or temporal (35, 36) viremia. The probability of such animals for subsequent lymphomatosis ranges from 0 to 90% depending on tumor resistance genes (Section C). However, infection of immunocompetent adults or of newborn animals protected by maternal antibody and later by active immunity would induce latent, persistent infections with a very low risk of less than 1% for lymphomatosis (32). Thus only viremic animals are likely to develop leukemia at a predictable risk.

Viremia has a fast proliferative effect on hemopoietic cells and generates lymphoblast hyperplasia (Fig. 1) (32, 36, 37).
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Hyperplasia appears to be necessary but not sufficient for later leukemogenesis because it does not lead to leukemia in tumor-resistant birds (36) (Section C) and because removal of the bursa of Fabricius, the major site of lymphoproliferation, prevents development of the disease (9, 32).

The murine leukemia viruses were also originally isolated from leukemic inbred mice (9) and subsequently detected as latent infections in most healthy mice (8, 13, 16, 17, 38). Indeed, about 0.5% of the DNA of a normal mouse is estimated to be proviral DNA of endogenous retroviruses, corresponding to 500 proviral equivalents per cell (18). Nevertheless leukemia in feral mice is apparently very rare. For instance low virus expression, but not a single leukemia, was recorded in 20% of wild mice (38) probably because wild mice restrict virus expression and thus never become viremic and leukemic. However, in an inbred stock of feral mice predisposed to lymphoma and paralysis, 90% were viremic from an early age, of which 5% developed lymphomas at about 18 months (39).

Experimental infections of newborn, inbred mice with appropriate strains of murine leukemia viruses induce chronic viremias. Such viremic mice develop leukemias with probabilities of 0 to 90% depending on the mouse strain (Section C). However, if mice that are susceptible to leukemogenesis are infected by the time they are immunocompetent or are protected by maternal antibodies if infected as neonates, no chronic viremia and essentially no leukemia are observed (although a latent infection is established) (41). Thus leukemogenesis depends on viremia (40) as with the avian system. However, viremia is not sufficient, because certain tumor-resistant strains do not develop leukemia even in the presence of viremia (42) (Section C). Again viremia has an early proliferative effect on lymphocytes which has been exploited to quantitate these viruses in vivo within 2 weeks by the “spleen-weight” or “spleen-colony” assay (18, 43–47). This hyperplasia of lymphocytes is necessary for leukemogenesis, because the risk that an infected animal will develop leukemia is drastically reduced or eliminated by thymectomy, which is a major source of cells for prospective leukemogenesis (9).

The AKR mouse is a special example in which spontaneous expression of endogenous virus and the absence of tumor resistance genes inevitably lead to viremia at a few weeks after birth and, in 90% of the animals, to leukemia at 6 to 12 months of age (9, 41, 48). This also shows that endogenous viruses can be just as pathogenic or leukemogenic as exogenous viruses if they are expressed at a high level. Likewise, endogenous avian retroviruses are leukemogenic in chickens permissive for acute infection (49, 50).

The evidence that mammary carcinomas are transmissible by a milk-borne virus, MMTV, indicates that the virus is an etiological faction (51, 52). However, the same virus is also endogenous but not expressed in most healthy mice (16, 53). Since no mammary tumors have been reported in wild mice the natural incidence must be very low, but in mice bred for high incidence of mammary carcinomas it may rise to 90% (13, 16, 54, 55). As with the leukemia viruses, the risk for tumorigenesis was shown to depend on a high level of virus expression from an early age and on the development of hyperplasias that are necessary but not sufficient for carcinogenesis (56, 57). For example, BALB/c mice that express over 100 μg virus per ml milk all develop tumors after latencies of over 12 months, but mice that express 3 μg or less virus per ml develop no tumors at all (54, 58).

Feline leukemia virus was originally isolated from cats with lymphosarcoma (59) and subsequently from many healthy cats. It is estimated that at least 50 to 60% of all cats become naturally infected by feline leukemia viruses at some time during their lives (60, 61). However, only about 0.04% of all cats develop leukemia on an annual basis (62), which is thought to be caused by these viruses (13, 61, 63). Most natural infections cause transient virus expression which is followed by an immune response, after which little virus is expressed (60, 64, 65). Such infections do not induce leukemias at a predictable rate (61). However, 1 to 2% of the naturally infected cats become chronically viremic (66). About 28% of the viremic cats develop leukemias after latent periods of 2 years. Thus viremia indicates a high risk for the development of leukemia (66). Viremia may result from a congenital infection in the absence of maternal antibody or from a native immunodeficiency. As in the avian and murine systems, experimental infection of newborn, immunotolerant cats produces early viremia and running diseases and late leukemias at a much higher incidence than natural infections (63, 64, 67, 68). The gibbon ape leukemia virus was also initially discovered in leukemic apes and was later isolated from healthy gibbons (13, 69). Again, only chronically viremic gibbons were shown to be at risk for leukemia (70).

The bovine and human retroviruses associated with acute leukemias are always biochemically inactive or latent (Section D). Viremia, which is frequently associated with a leukemia of congenitally or experimentally infected domestic chickens, cats, or inbred mice, has never been observed in the bovine or human system. Accordingly bovine and human leukemia viruses could be isolated from certain leukemic cells only after cultivation in vitro away from the suppressive immune system of the host (71, 72). In regions of endemic bovine leukemia virus infection 60 to 100% of all animals in a herd were found to contain antiviral antibody (73, 74). However, the incidence of leukemia was reported to range only from 0.01 to 0.4% (16, 73). Experimental infections with cell-free virus have not provided conclusive evidence for viral leukemogenesis. As yet only 1 of 25 animals infected with bovine leukemia virus has developed a leukemia 7 years after inoculation (73). Additional inoculations of 20 newborn calves did not cause a single leukemia within 5 years, although all animals developed antiviral antibody. However, 50% of newborn sheep inoculated with bovine leukemia virus developed leukemia about 4 years later (75). These sheep were probably more susceptible to the bovine virus than cattle, because they would lack maternal antibody to the virus. Indeed they could have been transiently viremic, because antibody was detected only 4 months after inoculation (75).

HTLV-I or ATL-V was originally isolated from a human cell line derived from a patient with T-cell leukemia (71). It replicates in T-cells (27) and also in endothelial cells (76) or fibroblasts (77). The virus was subsequently shown, using antiviral antibody for detection, to be endemic as latent, asymptomatic infections in Japan and the Caribbean (27). Since virus expression is undetectably low not only in healthy but also in leukemic virus carriers, infections must be diagnosed indirectly by antiviral antibody or biochemically by searching for latent proviral DNA (Section D). Due to the complete and consistent latency, the virus can be isolated from infected cells only after activation in vitro when it is no longer controlled by the host's antiviral immunity and suppressors. Therefore the virus is not naturally transmitted as a cell-free agent like other pathogenic viruses, but only congenitally, sexually, or by blood transfusion, that is, by contacts that involve exchange of infected cells (13, 27).

It is often pointed out that functional evidence for the virus-
cancer hypothesis is difficult to obtain in humans because experimental infection is not possible and thus Koch's third postulate cannot be tested. However, this argument does not apply here since naturally and chronically infected, asymptomatic human carriers are abundant. Yet most infections never lead to leukemias and none have been observed to cause viremias. Moreover, not a single adult T-cell leukemia was observed in recipients of blood transfusions from virus-positive donors (13, 78, 79), although recipients developed antiviral antibody (81).

The incidence of adult T-cell leukemia among Japanese with antiviral immunity is estimated to be only 0.06% based on 339 cases of T-cell leukemia among 600,000 antibody-positive subjects (78). Other studies have detected antiviral antibody in healthy Swedish blood donors (268) and in 3.4% of 1.2 x 10⁶ healthy Japanese blood donors (79). Further, it was reported that 0.9% of the people of Taiwan are antibody positive, but the incidence of the leukemia was not mentioned (80).

In conclusion, the tumor risk of the statistically most relevant group of retrovirus infections, namely the latent natural infections with antiviral immunity, is very low. It averages less than 0.1% in different species, as it is less than 1% in domestic chickens, undetectably low in wild mice, 0.04% in domestic cats on an annual basis, 0.01 to 0.4% in cattle, and 0.06% in humans. Thus the virus is not sufficient to cause cancer.

Moreover, since the viruses associated with all human tumors and most natural tumors of animals are latent and frequently defective (Section D), it is difficult to justify the claims that these viruses play any causative role in tumorigenesis. Indeed nearly all healthy chickens, mice, cats, cattle, and humans carry endogenous and exogenous retroviruses that are latent and hence neither pathogenic nor oncogenic (12, 16-18, 78, 82). Latent infections by cytopidal viruses, such as herpes viruses, are likewise all asymptomatic (83). Nevertheless it may be argued that only a small percentage of retroviral infections are expected to be oncogenic because only a small percentage of all other viral or microbial infections are pathogenic. However, the low percentage of symptomatic infections with other viruses and microbes reflects the low percentage of acute infections that have overwhelmed host defense mechanisms, but not a low percentage of latent infections that cause disease. Thus there is no orthodox explanation for the claims that latent viruses associated with some murine and avian, most feline, and all bovine and human leukemias (Section D) are the work of viruses.

Even the view that retroviruses cause leukemia or carcinoma directly in productive infections is debatable, because indeed highly productive infections are frequently asymptomatic. For example, despite chronic acute viremias certain chickens, mice, or cats, inoculated experimentally or by contact as immunotolerant newborns, do not develop leukemia (see above and Section C). Further no malignant transformation has ever been observed in cultured cells that are actively producing retroviruses, and the probability that an infected cell of an animal will become transformed is only 10⁻¹¹ (Section F). This low probability that a productively infected cell will become transformed is a uniquely retrovirus-specific reason for asymptomatic infections. It is for this reason that retroviruses without onc gene can be asymptomatic for cancer even in acute, productive infections of animals (30, 31, 36, 42, 66, 70), although they may then cause other diseases (Section B).

Thus retrovirus infections are not only asymptomatic due to latency and low levels of virus infiltration, like all other viruses, but are also asymptomatic due to a particular discrepancy between acute and productive infection and oncogenesis. To answer the question of why some viremics do and others do not develop leukemia and why tumors appear so late after infection (Section B), both tumor resistance genes (Section C) and the mechanism of transformation must be considered (Section H).


Here we compare the kinetics of virus replication and direct pathogenic and immunogenic effects with the kinetics of virus-induced transformation. If retroviral genes were sufficient to induce cancer, the kinetics of carcinogenesis would closely follow the kinetics of virus replication.

Kinetics of Replication and of Early Pathogenic and Immunogenic Effects. The eclipse period of retrovirus replication has been determined to be 1 to 3 days in tissue culture (Table 1) using either transforming onc genes as markers or the appearance of reverse transcriptase or interference with other viruses or plaque formation for viruses without onc genes (13, 16) (see below). The incubation period following which retroviruses without onc genes induce viremia in animals is 1 to several weeks (see below). The retroviruses with onc genes cause cancer essentially with the same kinetics namely within 1 to several weeks (9, 13, 14, 16) (Table 1). In immunocompetent animals antiviral immunity follows infections with a lag of 2 to 8 weeks. In animals, retroviruses without onc genes can be directly pathogenic if they are expressed at high titers. For instance, avian retroviruses may cause in newborn chickens diseases of polyclonal proliferative nature like osteopetrosis, angiosarcoma, hyperthyroidism (84–87), or hyperplastic follicles of B-cells in the bursa of Fabricius (36, 37) after latencies of 2 to 8 weeks. The same viruses may also cause diseases of debilitative nature such as stunting, obesity, anemia, or immunodeficiency after lag periods of 2 to 8 weeks (88, 89). Infections of newborn mice that cause viremia also cause polyclonal lymphocyte hyperplasias, splenomegaly, and immunosuppression several weeks after infection (47) (Section A). The early appearance of hyperplastic nodules in mammary tumor virus-infected animals prior to malignant transformation has also been proposed to be a virus-induced, hyperplastic effect (56, 57). Infection of newborn kittens with feline leukemia virus causes early runt effects and deletion of lymphocytes within 8 to 12 weeks (64, 67, 68) followed by persistent viremia in up to 80% of the animals (90). In experimentally infected adult animals mostly transient (85%) and only a few persistent (15%) viremias are observed (64, 68, 90). Likewise primate retroviruses such as Mason-Pfizer virus (91) or simian AIDS virus (92) or STLV-III virus (93) may cause runting, immunodepression, and mortality several weeks after inoculation if the animals do not develop antiviral immunity. These early and direct pathogenic effects of retroviruses without onc genes depend entirely on acute infections at high virus titers and occur only in the absence of or prior to antiviral immunity.

Retroviruses have also been observed to be directly pathogenic by mutagenesis via provirus integration of cellular genes (13, 16, 94, 95). Given about 10⁶ kilobases for the eukaryotic genome and assuming random integration, a given cellular gene would be mutated in 1 of 10⁶ infected cells (see Sections E and F). Therefore this mechanism of pathogenesis would play a role in vivo only if mutagenesis were to occur at a single or few cell stage of development (94) or if such a mutation would induce
clonal proliferation, as is speculated in Section E.

Certain direct, cytopathic effects of retroviruses without onc genes are also detectable in vitro within days or weeks after infection, although malignant transformation has never been observed in cell culture. For example, the avian reticuloendotheliosis viruses fuse and kill a fraction of infected cells during the initial phase of infection (96, 97). Certain strains of avian retroviruses form plaques of dead primary chicken embryo cells in culture within 7 to 12 days postinfection. This effect is probably based on cell fusion and has been used as a reliable virus assay (45, 98). The plaque assays of murine leukemia viruses on XC rat cells (99) and on mink cells (100) of feline, bovine, and simian viruses on appropriate cells (101-104) also reflect fast cytopathic effects involving fusions of infected cells (45). Cell fusion of human lymphocytes in vitro is also typical of HTLV-I (105, 106) and of AIDS virus (27) (see Part II). Cells are thought to be fused in vitro by cross-linking through multivalent bonds between viral envelope antigens and cellular receptors, a process that requires high local concentration of virus particles (13, 16, 27, 45, 105). The fusion effect is not observed in chronic acute or latent infections of animals or humans or in chronically infected cell lines cultured in vitro. Therefore it appears to be predominantly a cell culture artifact, possibly resulting from interaction between virus receptors of uninfected cells with viruses budding from the surface of adjacent cells. This has been directly demonstrated by inhibition of HTLV-I-mediated fusion with antiserum from infected individuals (105). Thus as direct pathogens the retroviruses are not "slow" viruses, as they are frequently termed with regard to their presumed role in carcinogenesis. The "lentiviruses" that are considered models of slow viral pathogenesis (13), but not carcinogenesis, are no exception. Recently an ovine lentivirus known as visna or maedi virus was shown to cause rapid lymphoid interstitial pneumonia in newborn sheep, several weeks after infection (269). This study pointed out that the virus, if expressed at high titer, is directly and rapidly pathogenic. Slow disease may reflect persistent virus expression at restricted sites.

Late Oncogenesis. Since retroviruses without onc genes do not transform cells in culture, all measurements of the latent period of viral oncogenesis are based on studies of infected animals or humans (Table 1). Typically, the latent periods are dated from the time of virus infection and thus are somewhat presumptuous, in that the assumption is made that tumors, if they appear, were initiated by the virus. The latent period between experimental or congenital infection and lymphomatosis in chickens ranges from 6 months to 10 years in animals and humans. Less than 0.1% develop leukemia or other tumors.

### Tumor resistance genes

Viral gene expression in tumors

All transformed cells express a viral onc gene.

Conclusions

Virus directly initiates and maintains cancer.
exponentially infected with murine leukemia viruses, leukemia takes 6 to 24 months to appear (9, 39, 42, 108). The latent period of mammary carcinogenesis in mice infected by milk-transmitted MMTV ranges from 6 to 18 months and typically requires several pregnancies of the mouse (16, 54). Longer latent periods of up to 24 months are observed in mice that do not express virus in their milk (55, 109).

The latent period between experimental infection and leukemia is 8 and 12 months in most cats, but only 2 to 3 months in some (62, 66, 90). (The early tumors may have been hyperplasias or tumors induced by feline sarcoma viruses.) The latent period estimated between natural virus infection and leukemia is estimated to be 2 to 3 years in cats that express virus and about 2 to 6 years in cats that do not express virus (63, 66, 110). By contrast, induction of antiviral immunity occurs within several weeks after infection (64, 67).

Bovine leukemia virus-associated leukemias are never seen in animals less than 2 years old and appear at a mean age of 6 years (16). The only experimental bovine lymphosarcoma on record appeared 7 years (73) and some experimental ovine leukemias appeared 4 years (75) after virus inoculation. By contrast, antibody to viral core and envelope proteins appears 4 and 9 weeks after infection (73). Experimental infection of gibbon apes generated leukemia after a latent period of 1 year compared to only 2 weeks for the appearance of antiviral immunity (16, 70).

The latent period for the development of human T-cell leukemia in HTLV-I positive cancers has been estimated at 5 to 10 years based on the lag between the onset of leukemia and the first appearance of antiviral antibodies of proviral DNA (13, 111, 112). More recently, the latent period of HTLV-I has been raised to record heights of 30 (270) and 40 years (271). By contrast, the latent period of infection and subsequent antiviral immunity was determined to be only 50 days based on seroconversion of the recipients of HTLV-I-positive blood transfusions (81).

The 5- to 40-year latencies claimed for leukemogenesis by HTLV-I are perhaps the most bizarre efforts in linking a virus with a disease. If correct this means either that an infected T-cell becomes leukemic by the time it is 5 to 40 years old or that one of its offspring becomes leukemic in the 50th to 500th generation, assuming an average generation time of a month (176). Clearly the role of the virus in such a process, if any, must be highly indirect. Since all viral genes are essential for replication (13, 204), there is nothing new that the virus could contribute after one round of infection or 24 to 48 hours. This is specifically for HTLV-I and bovine leukemia viruses which are biochemically inactive not only during the long latent period but also during the lethal period of the disease (Sections A and D).

The monumental discrepancies between the long latent periods from 6 months to 10 years for leukemogenesis compared to the short latent periods of several weeks for virus replication or direct pathogenic and immunogenic effects are unambiguous signals that the viruses are not sufficient to initiate leukemia and other tumors (Fig. 1). The viruses are fast and efficient immunogens or pathogens but are either not or are highly indirect carcinogens.

Transformation in Vitro by HTLV-I in 30 to 60 days? Immortalization of primary human lymphocytes infected by HTLV-I or ATLV or simian retroviruses in vitro has been suggested to be equivalent to leukemogenic transformation in vivo (13, 27, 113, 114). If correct, this would be the only example of a retrovirus without onc genes capable of malignant transformation in vitro. The assay infects about 5 x 10⁶ primary human lymphocytes with HTLV-I. However, less than one of these cells survives the incubation period of 30 to 60 days, termed "crisis" because the resulting immortal cells are monoclonal with regard to the proviral integration site and because only 4 of 23 such experiments generate immortal cells (115).

Since no virus expression is observed during the critical selection period of the immortal cell and since some immortalized cells contain only defective proviruses (115), immortalization is not a viral gene function. Further it is unlikely that the integration site of the provirus (Sections E, G, and H) is relevant to the process of immortalization, since different lines have different integration sites (115). Indeed, spontaneous transformation or immortalization of primary human lymphocytes has been reported applying this assay to simian viruses (113). It follows that immortalization in culture of cells infected by HTLV-I is an extremely rare, perhaps spontaneous event.

There are several indications that in vitro immortalization and leukemic transformation are different events and that both do not depend on HTLV-I: (a) the latent period for immortalization is 30 to 60 days, while that of leukemogenesis is estimated to be 5 to 10 years; (b) in vitro immortalized cells are diploid (116), while all leukemic cells have chromosome abnormalities (Section G); (c) leukemic cells do not express virus (Section D) while immortalized cells do (115); (d) cells that are clonal with regard to viral integration sites are not necessarily leukemic, because normal T-lymphocytes monoclonal with regard to HTLV-I integration were observed in 13 nonleukemic Japanese carriers (112); (e) finally immortalized cell lines with defective viruses (115) or no viruses (113) indicate that immortalization is a virus-independent, spontaneous event. The evidence that cat, rat, and rabbit cells are immortalized, although they are presumably insusceptible to the human virus (13), endorses this view. It would appear that HTLV-I is directly involved neither in immortalization nor in transformation (Sections A, B, G, and H). Instead the assay appears to be a direct measure of cell death of human lymphocytes, due in part to HTLV-I-mediated fusion in vitro (105, 106), and of rare spontaneous immortalization.

C. Tumor Resistance Genes That Inhibit Tumorigenesis but not Virus Replication

If the virus were a direct and specific cause of tumorigenesis, one would expect that all individuals who are permissive for infection would also be permissive for viral tumors. However, this does not appear to be so. For example certain inbred lines of chicken like line 7 (117, 118) or line SC (35, 107) are highly susceptible to induction of lymphomatisos by avian retroviruses, whereas line 15I (32, 119, 120) is highly susceptible to induction of erythroblastosis by the same avian retroviruses, whereas line 15I (32, 119, 120) is highly susceptible to induction of erythroblastosis by the same avian retroviruses. By contrast other lines like line 6 (118, 121), line FP (107), or line K28 (122) are either completely or highly resistant to these leukemias but are just as susceptible to virus infection and replication as the tumor-susceptible lines (32, 117, 118, 122, 123). Indeed, both the lymphoma-susceptible SC chickens and the resistant FP chickens develop early viremias and hyperplastic B-cell follicles, but only 50% of the SC chickens develop lymphomas (35, 36). Lymphoma resistance is dominant, indicating that tumor suppressors are encoded (120, 124). The same genes also appear to impart resistance to Rous sarcoma (124). By contrast resistance to erythroblastosis is recessive (Section E).

Analogous tumor resistance genes have been observed in mouse strains. For instance, resistance of C57BL mice to...
radiation leukemic virus-induced leukemia (125) or of AKR × BALB/c mice to AKR virus-induced leukemia (40) is controlled by the H-2D gene, which is dominant for resistance. Inoculation of the virus into adult C57BL mice caused polyclonal B- and T-cell hyperplasia from which most animals died after 4 to 5 months. However, no leukemia was observed (47). Clearly the tumor resistance genes of the C57BL mice do not suppress virus replication but apparently proliferation of transformed cells. Likewise the SI and the Fv-2 genes of mice inhibit virus replication but apparently proliferation of transformed tumor resistance genes of the C57BL mice do not suppress T-cell hyperplasia from which most animals died after 4 to 5 months. However, no leukemia was observed (47). The existence of endogenous viruses in the lymphoma-resistant chickens of line 6 supports this view (121, 133). In fact, it has been argued that endogenous viruses protect by interference against infection by exogenous variants (13, 16, 134).

A few cases of mouse T-cell lymphomas with defective leukemia viruses have also been observed (135–137). These findings indicate that murine leukemia can also be maintained without expression of retroviral genes.

Expression of mammary tumor virus appears also not necessary to maintain tumors, because no viral antigens (138) and no virus expression are detectable in many virus-positive mammary tumors (9, 52, 139) and because defective proviruses are observed in some tumors (140). Moreover, in mice which lack mammary tumor virus altogether, mammary tumors were observed that cannot be distinguished from virus-positive tumors, indicating that the virus is not necessary to initiate mouse mammary tumors (141). However, in the absence of virus expression, mammary carcinomas develop at lower incidence and after longer latent periods (9, 16, 52, 139–142).

Among virus-positive feline leukemias, some contain only defective proviruses, as in the avian system (143–145). However, about 25 to 35% of all feline leukemias are free of virus, viral antigens (67, 68, 110), and proviral DNA (143–145). This is significantly higher than the percentage of virus-free avian lymphomas. In some virus-free leukemias, the presumably lymphotropic virus is believed to be in other cells of the cat (65).

In provirus-positive natural bovine and experimental ovine leukemias expression neither of virus nor of viral RNA have been detected (75, 146). This result is at odds with the proposal, based on in vitro evidence, that the virus encodes a protein that activates virus transcription and expression of latent cellular transforming genes (147). In addition, the 5′ half of bovine leukemia provirus is absent from 25% of bovine leukemias (146, 148). This entirely prevents expression of all viral genes. Other investigators have described that 30% of bovine leukemias are virus free (72).

The proviruses of HTLV-I associated with human T-cell leukemias are also consistently latent. For instance, no expression of viral antigens (149) and no transcription of viral RNA are observed in freshly isolated leukemic T-cells from (5 of 6) HTLV-I positive patients with human T-cell leukemia (150, 151). Again this is incompatible with the in vitro evidence for a viral transcriptional activator that was proposed to activate virus expression and expression of latent cellular transforming genes (152, 153) (Section H). Moreover, about 10% of the ATL- or HTLV-I-positive adult T-cell leukemias from Japan contain only defective viruses (77, 151, 154). Since the 5′ half of the viral genome was reported to be missing no viral gene expression is possible (77, 151, 155). Further, a minority of Japanese ATL patients appears to be free of ATLV, based on the serological assays that are used to detect the virus (156, 157). A recent analysis found 5 virus-free cases among 69 Japanese ATL patients, who lacked both HTLV-I provirus and lymphomas at the ages of 6 to 18 months (49, 121). Thus the incidence of lymphoma in virus-free chickens is very similar if not the same as that of chickens infected by lymphomatosis virus with antiviral immunity (less than 1%) (Section A). Since almost all chickens contain multiple endogenous retroviruses (16, 133), it may be argued that these viruses were responsible for the leukemias in animals free of exogenous virus. However, the evidence that endogenous viruses were latent in leukemic as in nonleukemic birds indicated that the endogenous retroviruses were not involved in these spontaneous lymphomas (121).
antiviral immunity (158). Comparisons among T-cell leukemias in Italy found only 2 of 68 (159) or 3 of 16 (160) otherwise identical cases to be HTLV-I positive. A survey from Hungary found 2 of 326 leukemias antibody positive (161). Other studies from the United States and Italy describe HTLV-I-free T-cell leukemias that share chromosome abnormalities with viral leukemias (Section H). Thus, the ratio of nonviral to viral T-cell leukemias in humans outside Japan appears to be even higher than that of nonviral to viral feline and bovine leukemias.

Since retrovirus expression is not observed in many virus-positive leukemias and since only defective viruses are associated with some leukemias it follows that viral gene products are not necessary to maintain these leukemias. These tumors must be maintained by cellular genes (Section H). The occurrence of “viral” leukemias of chicken, mice, cats, cattle, and humans despite antiviral immunity (Section A) supports this conclusion. This conclusion is also consistent with the evidence that about 30% of the natural feline and bovine leukemias as well as many human and some avian leukemias and murine mammary carcinomas are virus free, yet these tumors cannot be distinguished from viral tumors by any criteria other than the virus (Section H).

E. Transformation Not Dependent on Specific Proviral Integration Sites

Since retroviruses without onc genes are not sufficient to cause tumors and do not encode transformation-specific functions (Sections A–C) but may nonetheless induce experimental tumors (Section A), several hypothetical mechanisms of viral carcinogenesis have been proposed that each require a specific interaction with the host cell (Section H). One of these postulates is that retroviruses without onc genes activate latent cellular cancer genes, termed proto-onc genes, by site-specific proviral integration (13, 16, 130, 162). The proposal is based on structural analogy with retroviral onc genes, which are hybrids of sequences derived from retroviruses and proto-onc genes (5, 19, 20). It is termed downstream promotion hypothesis (130) because the promoter of the 3′ long terminal repeat from the provirus is thought to promote transcription of a proto-onc gene downstream.

It is consistent with this hypothesis that leukemias and other tumors from retrovirus-infected animals and humans are typically all monoclonal with regard to the integration sites of the provirus in the host chromosome. However, if one compares different monoclonal tumors of the same cell lineage, different integration sites are found in each individual tumor. This has been documented for retroviral lymphomas of chickens (37, 131, 132), mice (13, 163, 164), cats (143–145), cattle (146, 148), and humans (13, 151, 154, 155, 165) and also for mammary tumors of mice (13). It is unlikely that the mutant genes generated by provirus integrations are transforming genes, because they are not specific and not known to have transforming function upon transfection. Instead the clonal proviral integration sites of individual tumors appear to be the consequence of clonal proliferation of a single transformed cell from which the clonal tumor originated (Section G).

Relevance of Preferred Integration Regions. Although the search for specific proviral integration sites in viral tumors has met with no success, preferred integration regions were observed in three systems, namely in erythroblastoses and lymphomas of chicken strains predisposed to these tumors and in mammary tumors of mice bred for susceptibility to this tumor (13, 16). For instance in erythroblastosis-prone 151 chickens that suffer 80% erythroblastosis upon infection (120), integration upstream of proto-erb was observed in 90% (119) and 45% (120, 122) of erythroblastoses. Proto-erb is a proto-onc gene because it is the cellular progenitor of the transforming gene of avian erythroblastosis virus (13, 19). This region-specific integration appears to activate proto-erb transcription compared to certain normal controls (119). However, there are as yet no data on activation of proto-erb translation in leukemic cells.

Unexpectedly 45% of the erythroblastoses observed in 151 chickens contained viruses with transduced proto-erb (122). The outstanding yield of proto-erb transductions in this line of chicken compared to others (5, 19) (Section H) suggests an altered proto-erb gene, perhaps already flanked by defective proviral elements which would permit transduction via homologous recombination. It is consistent with this view that in 151 chickens susceptibility to erythroblastosis is dominant (120), while typically resistance to tumors is dominant in chickens and mice (Section C).

Further in about 85% of the viral lymphomas of lymphoma-prone chicken lines (Section C) transcription of the proto-myc gene is activated compared to certain controls (130). Proto-myc is a proto-onc gene because it is the cellular progenitor of the transforming genes of four avian carcinomas viruses, MC29, MH2, CMII, and OK10 (5, 13, 19). Transcriptional myc activation ranges from 300- to 500-fold in some lymphoma lines (RP) to 30- to 100-fold in most primary lymphomas (85%) down to undetectable levels in a few (6%) primary lymphomas (130). However, the activation of proto-myc translation, compared to normal fibroblasts, was estimated as only 7-fold in one RP lymphoma line and even lower in three other lines (166).

Assuming that the same ratios of transcriptional to translational activation apply to all lymphomas, activation of myc translation would be only 1- to 2-fold in most lymphomas, hardly enough to explain carcinogenesis. In 5 to 15% of the lymphomas there is no detectable transcriptional activation of proto-myc and the retroviruses appear to be integrated outside of and in random orientation relative to the proto-myc genes (50, 105, 130, 132, 167, 168, 169).

Thus, in lymphomas, proto-myc transcription is frequently but not always activated whereas proto-myc translation appears to be barely, if at all, activated. It is not known whether translation of proto-erb is activated in viral erythroblastoses. By contrast viral myc and erb genes are efficiently translated in all virus-transformed cells (5, 13, 16, 19, 20). Moreover in contrast to the hypothetical lymphoma specificity of activated proto-myc, viral myc genes typically cause carcinomas and viral erb genes cause sarcomas in addition to erythroblastosis (5, 13).

Integration of mostly intact murine leukemia viruses into or upstream of proto-myc is also observed in mouse and rat lymphomas. But since it occurs only in 10 (170, 171) to 65% (172) of the cases analyzed, it is not necessary for lymphogenesis. Moreover provirus integration near murine proto-myc is also not sufficient for leukemogenesis. Virus integrated near proto-myc was found in 15% of the hyperplastic thymus colonies of AKR mice that appeared 35 days after infection with MCF virus. These colonies were not tumorigenic (172). However, more malignant lymphomas develop from cells with provirus integrated near myc than from other cells, because in 65% of the lymphomas virus was integrated in proto-myc.

There are also preferred regions of provirus integration for MMTV in carcinomas of mice, termed int-1 in C3H mice and int-2 in BR6 mice (13, 16). The int loci or genes are considered to be proto-onc genes only because they are preferred MMTV integration sites. They have not been progenitors of viral onc
genes and there is no direct evidence that they can be activated to cellular cancer genes. Moreover, transcriptional activation of int is observed only in some tumors (173) and there is no evidence for viral-int hybrid mRNAs (140). It is also not known whether the int loci are coding. The two int loci are totally unrelated to each other and map on different chromosomes (174). Integration within the int regions is neither site nor orientation specific with regard to the int loci (13). Integration at int loci is also not necessary for carcinogenesis, because integration in int-1 is found in only a fraction (20 of 26) of C3H tumors (173) and in int-2 only in a fraction (22 of 45) of BR6 tumors (140). Further integration in int-1 was found in benign hyperplastic nodules that did not become malignant, proving that it is also not sufficient for carcinogenesis (56, 57).

The hypothesis that region-specific integration generates hybrid transforming genes that are equivalent to viral onc genes is inadequate on several counts. (a) Region-specific integration is not necessary for transformation, because in most systems (human, bovine, feline) it is not observed and in all others it is not obligatory. (b) It is also not sufficient for carcinogenesis based on the particular cases of clonal murine leukemia virus integration into proto-myc that did not cause leukemia (172), clonal MMTV integration into int-1 that did not cause mammary carcinomas (56, 57), and monoclonal HTLV-I infections that did not cause T-cell leukemia (112). The nonleukemic proto-myc integration is incompatible with the model purporting that activated proto-myc is like the inevitably transforming viral myc genes (5). (c) The prediction that native proviral-cell DNA hybrids have transforming function, like the related retroviral onc gene models, is unsuccessful. Attempts to demonstrate transforming function of proviral-proto-myc hybrids from chicken lymphomas were negative but led to a DNA with transforming function termed B-lym (13, 175). A plausible reason is that the myc RNAs initiated from upstream viral promoters are poor mRNAs because they start with intron sequences that are not part of normal mRNA and cannot be spliced out, since there is no splice donor downstream of the 3' viral long terminal repeat (Section H). (d) The prediction that the probability of all infected cells to become transformed should be the same as that of region-specific integration is also unconfirmed on the basis of the following calculations (5). The proto-myc, -erb, or int regions that are preferential proviral landing sites in viral tumors measure about 2 and 40 kilobases, respectively (13). Since the chicken chromosome contains about $1 \times 10^8$ kilobases and the mouse chromosome contains about $3 \times 10^9$ kilobases, and since provirus integration is random (13, 16), about 2 in $10^6$ or 1 in $10^5$ infections should generate a tumor cell, if region-specific integration were the mechanism of carcinogenesis. Yet the probability that an infected cell will initiate a monoclonal tumor is only about $10^{-11}$ (Section F). In addition, the latent period of tumorigenesis would be expected to be short because there are at least $10^7$ target cells of the respective lineages and many more viruses to infect them (Section F). Moreover, given the long latent periods of carcinogenesis, polyclonal rather than monoclonal tumors would be expected from integrational carcinogenesis. It may be argued that this discrepancy reflects the work of tumor resistance genes. However, postinfection resistance genes that suppress tumor formation by the viral derivatives of proto-myc or erb, like MC29 or avian erythroblastosis virus, have never been observed in vivo or in vitro. Clearly, since tumor resistance genes do not function in vitro it would be expected that at least 2 of $10^6$ cells infected in vitro would be transformed by activation of proto-myc and 2 by activation of proto-erb. However, no transformation by leukemia viruses has ever been observed in vitro (Section B).

In view of this, it is more likely that region-specific integration may provide proliferative advantages to hyperplastic cells or may initiate hyperplasia by activating or inactivating growth control genes rather than being the cause of malignancy. This proposal predicts that integration into proto-myc and proto-erb precedes tumorigenesis (Fig. 1).

It is consistent with this proposal that murine leukemia virus integration into proto-myc (172) and MMTV integration into int-1 (56, 57) occur prior to carcinogenesis and thus are not sufficient for carcinogenesis. This proposal predicts also that the chicken lines that are susceptible to lymphoma or erythroblastosis lack genes that check hyperplasia of lymphocytes or erythroblasts. It is consistent with this view that the same retroviruses cause either lymphomatosis or erythroblastosis or no tumors in different chicken lines. The exclusive (but not absolute) usage of only one of two different int loci by MMTV, namely int-1 in carcinomas of C3H mice and int-2 in BR6 mice, is also more likely to reflect strain-specific activation or inactivation of proliferative controls than two entirely different transforming genes that would nevertheless generate indistinguishable carcinomas. 

F. The Probability That a Virus-infected Cell Will Become Transformed Is Only $10^{-11}$

To calculate the probability that a retrovirus-infected cell will become transformed, we must consider the ratio of symptomatic to asymptomatic carriers, the clonality of the viral tumors, and the long latent periods of oncogenesis. (a) The ratio of symptomatic to asymptomatic carriers with latent infections and antiviral immunity averages less than $10^{-3}$ (Section A), but that of viremic animals susceptible to transformation may reach 0.9 (Section C). (b) Since monoclonal tumors emerge from at least $10^6$ B- or T-cells (176), the probability of an infected cell in an animal to become the progenitor of a clonal leukemia is only about $10^{-8}$. This calculation assumes that all of these cells are infected. This is certainly true for the mice that carry AKR virus, radiation leukemia virus (82), or inducible mammary tumor virus (75, 142) in their germ line, and is probably the case in congenitally infected viremic chickens, cats, gibbon, and mice (12, 16, 31, 39, 63, 66, 70). In fact in viremic animals, the hyperplastic effect of the virus would have enhanced the number of prospective tumor cells to at least $10^9$ (Sections A and B). Even if only a fraction of susceptible cells are infected in animals or humans with latent infections and antiviral immunity, the number of infected cells per host is estimated to be at least $10^4$ to account for the immune response (Section B, and Refs. 13, 16, 27, 31, and 63) or the proviruses that are used to diagnose latent virus infection (Section D). Proviruses cannot be detected biochemically unless they are present in at least 1 of 100 cells. (c) Finally, the probability of an infected cell to become transformed in an animal is a function of the number of generations of infected cells that occur during the latent period of the disease. Given latent periods of 6 to 120 months (Section B) and assuming an average life span of 1 month for a susceptible B- or T-cell (176), about 10 to 100 generations of infected cells are required to generate the one transformed cell from which a clonal tumor emerges. The corresponding probability that a generation of cells will develop a clonal tumor would be $10^{-1}$ to $10^{-2}$. Considering the proliferative effect of the virus on hemopoietic target cells in viremic animals, this may again be a conservative estimate. Indeed, a mitotic rate of
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Controlled by virus expression</th>
<th>Virus-induced polyclonal hyperplasia</th>
<th>Virus-independent transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Several weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 months - 10 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency</td>
<td></td>
<td>p = 10^-11 (irreversible)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controlled by immunity and unknown suppressors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 1. Retrovirus-independent transformation in virus-induced carcinogenesis.** The diagram depicts the role of the virus in carcinogenesis. At high titers, known from experimental or congenital infections of domestic or laboratory animals, viruses induce polyclonal hyperplasia (Part I, Sections A and H). After latent periods of over 6 months, an animal with a virus-induced hyperplasia may develop a clonal tumor defined either by a clonal proviral integration site or by a clonal chromosome abnormality. Transformation of a virus-infected cell from which a tumor arises is proposed to be a virus-independent event. The following reasons support this proposal. (a) Viruses are not sufficient to induce tumors, because neither productively nor latently infected cells ever become transformed in vivo (Part I, Sections A and B) and less than 1 in 10^11 infected cells become transformed in animals (Part I, Section F), because viruses do not encode a gene for late transformation, and because the latent period for transformation is much longer (6 months to 10 years) than the eclipse of a few days for virus replication (Part I, Section B). (b) Viruses are not necessary to maintain transformation, because in many animal and all known human tumors they are neither expressed nor biochemically active and frequently they are defective (Part I, Section D). (c) Since tumors have clonal chromosome abnormalities which are the only transformation-specific markers of "viral" tumor cells (Part I, Section G), these or associated events must initiate transformation. It is consistent with this view that chromosome abnormalities are typical of viral and nonviral tumors and are not found in normal, virus-infected cells. These chromosome abnormalities are not specific for a given type of tumor but they are also not random (Part I, Section G). The clonal proviral integration sites of viral tumors are proposed to be a direct consequence of clonal proliferation of a virus-infected cell that became transformed by a virus-independent mechanism (Part I, Section G). Therefore proviral integration sites are different in each tumor (Part I, Section E). (d) Tumorigenesis in retrovirus-infected animals, even in the presence of hyperplasia, is controlled by tumor resistance genes (Part I, Sections C and H). Moreover defects in tumor resistance genes rather than viral genes determine the nature of the tumor that develops in an infected animal. This indicates that tumors are defined by cellular transforming genes. (e) It is also consistent with cellular transforming genes that the pathogenesis and pathology of different viral and even nonviral tumors of the same cell lineage are highly uniform (Part I, Section H). (f) Contrast hypothetical transforming genes generated by recombination between proviruses and cellular genes predict diploid tumors with great diversity, because viral integration sites are different in each tumor. Such tumors have never been described (Part I, Sections E and H). According to the above proposal, the role of the virus in carcinogenesis is limited to the induction of hyperplasia. Since it is known from experimental infections that retroviruses must be expressed at high titers to induce hyperplasia, it follows that the latent viruses associated with natural infections and experimental infections that retroviruses must be expressed at high titers to induce hyperplasia, it follows that the latent viruses associated with natural infections and experimental infections that retroviruses must be expressed at high titers to induce hyperplasia.

1 day has been assumed for B-cells of lymphomatosis virus-infected chickens (177).

Thus the probability that a virus-infected, hemopoietic cell will become transformed in an individual with a latent infection and antiviral immunity is about 10^-3 × 10^-6 × 10^-2 = 10^-11, and that in a viremic individual without tumor resistance genes is about the same, namely 0.9 × 10^-9 × 10^-2 = 10^-11. Therefore the increased risk of viremic animals to develop leukemia must be a direct consequence of the hyperplasia of prospective tumor cells (Section A) (Fig. 1). In tumor-resistant animals the probability that the infected cell will become transformed may be the same, but the resistance genes would prevent proliferation of the transformed cells (Section C and H). The apparent probability that virus-infected, nonhemopoietic cells will become transformed must be lower in both susceptible and resistant animals, because the incidence of solid tumors is much lower than that of leukemia (9, 32).

**G. Clonal Chromosome Abnormalities Are the Only Transformation-specific Markers of Retrovirus-infected Tumor Cells: Causes of Transformation?**

The evidence that viral tumors are monoclonal (Section E) and that leukemogenesis by retroviruses (without onc genes) is highly dependent on tumor resistance genes, which are different from genes that determine susceptibility to the virus, suggest virus-independent steps in carcinogenesis (Section C). Indeed clonal chromosome abnormalities of virus-positive mammalian tumors provide direct evidence for cellular events that may be necessary for carcinogenesis. (Avian cells have not been studied because of their complex chromosome structure.)
For example, trisomies of chromosomes 15 have been observed frequently in viral T-cell leukemias of mice (16). In addition translocations between chromosomes 15, 17, and others have been recorded (108, 178-180, 272). In mammary carcinomas of mice, a chromosome 13 trisomy was observed in 15 of 15 cases including inbred GR and C3H mice (which contain MMTV) and outbred Swiss mice (which probably also contain the virus) (181). Clonal chromosome abnormalities have also been observed in 30 of 34 bovine leukemias examined (16, 182) as well as in ovine leukemias induced by bovine leukemia virus (75).

A recent cytogenetic analysis of human adult T-cell leukemias (ATL) from Japan showed that 10 of 11 cases had an inversion or translocation of chromosome 14 (183). Rearrangements of other chromosomes have been detected in 6 of 6 (184), 12 of 13 (116), and 8 of 9 cases of HTLV-I-positive leukemias (185). Thus over 90% of virus-positive T-cell leukemias have chromosome abnormalities. A survey of all viral T-cell leukemias analyzed shows rearrangements of chromosome 14 in 26% and of chromosome 6 in 29% (186, 187).

The chromosome abnormalities of these viral leukemias and carcinomas are as yet the only known determinants that set apart transformed from normal virus-infected cells. Since the chromosome abnormalities are clonal, the origin of the tumor must have coincided with the origin of the chromosome abnormality. Therefore chromosome abnormalities or closely associated events must be directly relevant to initiation of tumorigenesis. They could either be, or coincide with, a single step mechanism of transformation or with one of several steps in transformation, as postulated in the case of the Philadelphia chromosome (188). It is consistent with this view that chromosome abnormalities are found in all virus-infected tumors analyzed.

However, heterogeneity among the karyotypes of individual human or murine leukemias of the same lineage (16, 179, 182, 189, 190, 272) and thus heterogeneity of mutation support the view that chromosome abnormalities are coincidental with rather than causal for transformation. Yet this view does not take into consideration that together with the microscopic alterations, other submicroscopic mutations may have occurred that could have initiated the disease (108). It is consistent with this view that tumor cells contain in addition to microscopic karyotype changes submicroscopic deletions, detectable as restriction enzyme site polymorphisms (191). Some of these mutations may be functionally equivalent to the truncation-recombination mechanism that activates the docile proto-onc genes of normal cells to the onc genes of directly oncogenic retroviruses (5, 192). Thus specific karyotypic changes may only be the tip of the iceberg of multiple chromosomal mutations, referred to as “genequake,”7 which must have occurred in the same cell. One or several of these could have initiated the tumor. Chromosome recombination sites are also postulated to be cellular transforming genes of virus-negative tumors, as for example in Burkitt's lymphoma (5) or in human leukemia with the Philadelphia chromosome (193).

If chromosomal abnormalities are necessary for transformation of cells infected by retroviruses without onc genes, chromosomal abnormalities would not be expected in tumors caused by retroviruses with directly transforming onc genes. This has indeed been confirmed for tumors caused in mice by Rous sarcoma virus (194) or by Abelson leukemia virus (195) which have normal karyotypes (Table 1).

The clonality of retrovirus-positive tumors is then defined in two different ways: by a retroviral integration site (see Section E), and by a chromosome abnormality (see Fig. 1). Each of these two clonal chromosome alterations could then mark the origin of the tumor, while the other must have preexisted. Since the tumors originate late after infection and probably from a virus-infected, normal cell, the clonal retroviral integration site would appear to be a direct consequence of clonal proliferation of a cell transformed by a chromosome alteration. Indeed chromosome abnormalities are typical of tumor cells but not of virus-infected normal cells. This view is consistent with the evidence that retrovirus integration does not cause transformation and that transformation is not dependent on specific integration sites. It is also highly improbable that chromosome abnormalities are caused by the virus, because they are not found in virus-infected normal cells and because they are also characteristic of virus-negative tumors (Section H). The clonal retroviral integration sites in viral tumors the chromosomes of which have not been analyzed, as for example avian, feline, and simian leukemias, may indeed signal as yet undetected clonal chromosome abnormalities.

H. Virus-independent Transformation in Virus-positive and -negative Tumors

Several hypotheses postulate that retroviruses play a direct role in carcinogenesis. One reason is that viruses, seemingly consistent with Koch's first postulate, are associated with tumors although frequently in a latent or defective form. In addition it appears consistent with Koch's third postulate that experimental infections with retroviruses may induce leukemia under certain conditions (see Sections B and C). However, none of these hypotheses provides an adequate explanation for the fact that retroviruses are not sufficient to initiate (Sections A to C) and not necessary to maintain (Sections D and E) transformation and do not encode a transformation-specific function. Moreover none of these hypotheses can explain why transformation is initiated with a clonal chromosome abnormality (Section G) and why tumor specificity is determined by the host rather than the virus (Sections C and E). The shortcomings of three of these hypotheses are briefly reviewed here.

1. The Oncogene Hypothesis. Huebner (8) and others (9, 82) have postulated that retroviruses (without onc genes) are direct carcinogens that include oncogenes, hence the term “oncogene hypothesis” (8). The hypothesis was based on abundant positive correlations between retrovirus expression and cancer incidence in laboratory mice and domestic chickens, which indeed suggested direct viral etiology in apparent accord with Koch's third postulate. The hypothesis generalized that either import of retroviruses from without, or activation of latent viruses from within, is the direct cause of spontaneous, chemically induced, or physically induced tumors (8, 9, 82). However, the hypothesis failed to account for the long latent periods of oncogenesis and for complete tumor resistance by certain animals that are highly susceptible to the virus and for host genes that would determine tumor specificity (Section C). Above all the hypothesis failed to account for the monoclonality and the chromosome abnormalities of the resulting tumors.

2. The Hypothesis That Latent Cellular Cancer Genes Are Activated by Provirus Integration. This hypothesis has been introduced in Section E. It holds that retroviruses act as direct, albeit inefficient carcinogens by generating hybrid transforming genes from proviruses joint with cellular proto-onc genes. Excepting the specific cases described in Section E, this mecha-
nism makes four clear predictions, namely: (a) that different transforming genes exist in each tumor, because each has a different proviral integration site (Section E); (b) that therefore a large number of tumor resistance genes exist in tumor-resistant animals (Section C); (c) that provirus-cell hybrid genes are expressed to maintain transformation; and (d) that virus-transformed cells exist without chromosome abnormalities, analogous to cells transformed by retroviruses with onc genes (Section G).

None of these predictions is confirmed, (a) Contrary to the expectation for many different transforming genes, all virus-positive tumors of a given lineage are phenotypically highly uniform (Section A). Even virus-free tumors are distinguishable from virus-positive tumors of the same lineage only by the presence of viruses. Examples are the identical pathologies and pathogeneses of viral and nonviral murine leukemia (196–198), chicken B-cell lymphomas (121), human T-cell leukemias (158, 161, 186), and mouse mammary tumors (11, 139, 141, 142) (Section D). (b) Contrary to expectation only a small set of cellular resistance genes controls the development of viral tumors in chicken or mice (13, 16) (Section C). Moreover apparently the same resistance genes of chickens of line 7 that lack these genes are equally susceptible to both (121) (Section D). (c) Contrary to expectation for virus-cell hybrid transforming genes, proviruses are latent or defective and biochemically inactive in many animal and all bovine and human leukemias (Section D). (d) Contrary to expectation for viral carcinogenesis all virus-positive murine, bovine, and human tumors analyzed have chromosome abnormalities. Further, similar chromosome abnormalities in viral and nonviral tumors again suggest common cellular transforming genes. For instance, the same chromosome 15 trisomy is observed in murine leukemias induced by viruses, chemicals, or radiation (180, 190, 199–201, 272). In addition virus-positive and virus-free human T-cell leukemias have common abnormalities in chromosomes 14 and 16 (160, 183, 186, 187, 189, 202, 203). Since all human T-cell leukemias and all bovine leukemias have chromosome abnormalities but not all are infected by viruses (Sections D and G), it would appear more likely that the viruses are coincidental passengers rather than causes of the disease.

3. The Hypothesis That Latent Cellular Cancer Genes Are trans-Activated by Viral Proteins. This hypothesis postulates that certain retroviruses directly activate latent cellular transforming genes with a specific viral protein. This has been proposed for bovine leukemia virus and human HTLV-I based on in vitro models (147, 152, 153) (see Section D). However, the hypothesis is unlikely for the following reasons. Since the putative trans-activation protein of HTLV-I is essential for replication (204), all cells in which the virus replicates would be expected to be transformed. This is clearly not the case. Further this gene cannot be relevant for transformation since bovine and human leukemias in particular do not express viral RNA or protein or cannot express RNA or protein because of defective proviruses (Section D). In addition this hypothesis also fails to account for the chromosome abnormalities found in all viral bovine and human leukemias (Section G). Finally both the proviral insertion and the transactivation hypotheses fail to explain the inevitably long latent periods of viral tumorigenesis (Section B).

Therefore it is proposed that transformation is a virus-independent event that must be due to cellular genes (Fig. 1). These genes would be generated by chromosomal mutations for which chromosome abnormalities are a macroscopic indicator. This explains the clonal chromosome abnormalities that could not be predicted by any of the virus-cancer hypotheses. In a given lineage of cells the number of cellular genes convertible to transforming genes must be limited since they cause highly uniform tumors which can be suppressed by a small set of resistance genes.

Retrovirus-independent transformation resolves the apparent paradox that tumors occur very seldom in typical natural infections of wild animals and humans, and then only long after infection, and despite viral latency and antiviral immunity. It is also consistent with virus-independent transformation that the probability that an individual virus-infected cell will become transformed is only $10^{-11}$ and that this probability is the same in a viremic chicken with a virus-induced hyperplasia, as in a normal chicken with a latent infection and antiviral immunity (Section F). The low probability of virus-independent transformation also explains directly why cells infected by retroviruses are not transformed in culture, namely because not enough cells can be maintained for a long enough time to observe spontaneous transformation. Virus-independent transformation is also compatible with tumor resistance genes that do not inhibit viral replication or growth of normal virus-infected cells. In addition it is consistent with the notion that defects of cellular resistance genes rather than viral genes determine tumor specificity (Section C).

The role of the virus in tumorigenesis is then limited to the induction of hyperplasia by activating cellular proliferative functions either from within or from without via viral antigens or virus-induced growth factors (13, 16, 46). For this purpose the virus must be expressed at a high titer or it must have infected a large number of cells, if insertional mutagenesis of proliferative genes were involved (Section E). This may be similar to the mechanism whereby DNA viruses induce transformation, as for example Epstein-Barr virus which is thought to induce Burkitt's lymphoma. Exactly like their retroviral counterparts, all Burkitt's lymphomas have chromosome abnormalities but not all contain the virus (5). Thus the role of the retrovirus in carcinogenesis is as indirect as that of chemical or physical carcinogens.

Alternatively a latent retrovirus may itself be subject to activation by physical, chemical, or spontaneous events that can induce hyperplasias and cancer (8, 12, 82) (Fig. 1). The physically activated radiation leukemia virus (82) or the chemically activated endogenous retroviruses of mice or chickens (12, 16) are examples. It is uncertain whether under these conditions the retrovirus is just an indicator or an intermediate of proliferative activations that may lead to carcinogenesis because comparable studies with virus-free strains of animals are not available. The physically or chemically inducible phages or herpes viruses may in turn be models for this (11, 83).

Little is known about the nature of the hyperplastic cell. The existence of viral hyperplasias in tumor-resistant animals indicates that the hyperplastic cell is not neoplastic (Section C). Most hyperplastic cells are polyclonal with regard to proviral integration sites (118) and are likely to have a normal karyotype, as has been shown in some cases (47) (Section C). Hyperplastic cells with normal karyotypes have also been observed as precursors of radiation leukemia in mice (205). Nevertheless the evidence for clonality with regard to a proviral integration site in T-cell hyperplasias (172) and mammary hyperplasias (56, 57) of mice and in T-cells of healthy humans (112) indicates.
clonal, possibly virus-induced alterations that are not sufficient for carcinogenesis. One could speculate that hyperplastic cells fall into two classes, those which respond to viral antigens delivered from within or without (42) and those which respond to growth control genes altered by provirus integration (Section E).

Notable exceptions to virus-independent transformations are infections that generate retroviral transforming genes. However, the probability of generating a retrovirus with an onc gene is clearly much lower than integration into a cellular gene (10^-6; Section E) and even significantly lower than virus-independent transformation (10^-11, Section F) (273). Only about 50 such viral isolates have been recorded in history (5, 13, 19). The frequent erb transductions from the chicken 151 line are an exception to this rule (Section E).] The generation of these viruses requires two rare illegitimate recombinations to transduce a transformation-specific sequence from a cell into a retrovirus vector (5, 19, 20, 239). However, one illegitimate recombination that unites the 5' promoter, translational start sequence, and splice donor of a retrovirus with a transformation-specific sequence from a cellular proto-onc gene would be enough to generate a functional virus-cell recombinant onc gene that cannot be replicated. Tumors caused by such genes are presently unknown. They will be harder to diagnose but are probably more frequent than the rare, natural tumors containing complete retroviruses with onc genes (273).

This raises the question of why orthodox integration of a provirus within a proto-onc gene, like proto-myc, is not observed to transform infected cells in vivo or in vitro with the predicted probability. Based on the calculations described in Section E, this probability should be about 1 in 10^6 considering that about 20 proto-onc genes are known from 20 viral onc genes (5, 13, 19). A possible answer is that proviruses abutting proto-onc genes from the proviral ends rather than from within, as in viral onc genes (273), provide neither new downstream translational starts nor splice donors for those coding regions of the proto-onc genes that are separated from their native start signals by the inserted provirus. Nevertheless they can provide efficient downstream promoters (130) of RNAs that may not be translatable.

I. Are Retroviruses a Basis for Cancer Prediction, Prevention, or Therapy?

In assessing the tumor risk of a retrovirus-infected animal or human, latent infections must be clearly separated from chronic, acute, or viremic infections. The control of virus expression in a given host is a product of three factors: the virus; the host cell; and the animal. The viral factor is defined by viral genes and promoters (13, 16, 206). The cellular factor is defined by genes that encode viral receptors and unknown suppressors (8, 9, 11-13, 16-18, 82). The animal factor is defined by antiviral immunity.

By far the most common natural retrovirus infections are latent, chronic infections that persist in animals and humans in the presence of antiviral immunity presumably only in a limited number of cells (38, 40, 90, 207). The leukemia risk of this statistically most relevant group of natural infections averages about less than 0.1% in different animal species (Section A). It is possibly the same as, but certainly not much higher than, that of uninfected controls (Sections A and D). Thus latent viruses offer no targets for tumor prevention. The low probability that an immunocompetent individual will develop chronic viremia and hence leukemia also suggests that retroviruses carrying therapeutic genes are not a significant risk as leukemogens.

By contrast the leukemia risk of a viremic animal that survives the early pathogenic effects of the infection (Section B) can be high barring tumor-resistance genes (Sections A and C). It ranges between 0 and 90% in different lines of chicken or strains of inbred mice and averages about 30% in domestic cats. However, outside the laboratory chronic viremias are very rare and have never been recorded in humans. They result either from congenital infections in the absence of maternal antibody (Section A) or from rare, innate immunodeficiency (66).

Thus a predictable tumor risk depends entirely on high virus expression and virus-induced hyperplasia. This risk can be reduced or prevented by limiting or blocking lymphoblast hyperplasia as for example by bursectomy or thymectomy (Section A). Alternatively, inoculation of newborn AKR mice with antiviral antibody was observed to suppress viremia and subsequent leukemia in 68% (208). It would appear more practical, however, to breed or select animals with genes that confer resistance either to the virus or to tumorigenesis or to both.

Above all, neither active nor latent viruses offer targets for tumor therapy, since tumors are not maintained and are not directly initiated by viral genes, and also occur despite active antiviral immunity.

Clearly the cell is the more complex variable in the as yet poorly defined interaction between retroviruses and cells that leads to hyperplasia and then to carcinogenesis. In view of the evidence for cellular, transforming genes in viral tumors and for cellular genes that determine resistance to hyperplasia and tumorigenesis, further progress in understanding and treating virus-induced cancer will depend on identifying cellular determinants of carcinogenesis and the function of hyperplasia and tumor resistance genes.

II. Retroviruses and AIDS

The isolation in 1983 of a retrovirus from a human patient with lymphadenopathy, a typical symptom of AIDS, led to the proposal that the virus, now termed lymphadenopathy-associated virus, is the cause of AIDS (26). Related viruses, termed HTLV-III, ARV, or HIV (209), have since been isolated from about one-half of the AIDS patients that have been sampled (210-214). In the United States about 26,000 AIDS cases and 15,000 AIDS fatalities have been reported between 1981, when the disease was first identified (215), and October 1986 (216). Women represent only 7% of the AIDS cases in the United States (216). The number of AIDS cases reported in the United States has increased from about 100 per 6-month period in 1981 to about 5,000 during the last three 6-month periods from January 1985 (216). At the same time the case-fatality rate has declined from a high of 88% in 1981 to 32% in 1986 (216). In absolute numbers the known deaths have declined from a high of 2,600 in the first 6 months of 1985 to 1,800 in the first 6 months of 1986. This suggests either that the virulence of the disease is dropping or that other diseases were diagnosed as AIDS. Recently the virus was also suggested to cause disease of the brain and of the nervous system (230, 255, 268, 274) and lymphoid interstitial pneumonia (275).

Antibody to the virus is found in about 90% of AIDS patients and correlates with chronic latent infection by the virus (217-221). Because of the nearly complete correlation between AIDS and immunity against the virus, the virus is generally assumed to be the cause of AIDS (13, 27). Accordingly, detection of antiviral antibody, rather than virus, is now most frequently
used to diagnose AIDS and those at risk for AIDS (27, 217–224). This is paradoxical, since serum antibody from AIDS patients neutralizes AIDS virus (225–227) and since antiviral immunity or vaccination typically protects against viral disease. It is even more paradoxical that a low antibody titer is equated with a low risk for AIDS (228, 229).

Unlike all other retroviruses, AIDS viruses are thought to be direct pathogens that kill their host cells, namely T-lymphocytes (13, 27), and possibly cells of the brain (230, 255). This view is compatible with the phenotype of AIDS, the hallmark of which is a defect in T-cells (13, 27, 215), and with experimental evidence that many but not all viral isolates induce cytopathic fusion of T-lymphocytes under certain conditions in vitro (Section D). Further it is compatible with neurological disease (231, 232, 255). However, cell killing is incompatible with the obligatory requirement of mitosis for retrovirus replication (16, 25) and with the complete absence of cytoidal effects in all asymptomatic infections in vivo (Section D).

A. Infections with No Risk and Low Risk for AIDS Indicate That the Virus Is Not Sufficient to Cause AIDS

Since their original discoveries in AIDS patients, the virus and more frequently antibody to the virus have also been demonstrated in a large group of asymptomatic persons (212, 214). The virus has been estimated to occur in about 1 to 2 × 10^4 or about 0.5 to 1% of all Americans (223, 224). In the United States persons at high risk for infection include promiscuous homosexual and bisexual men, of whom 17 to 67% are antibody positive; intravenous drug users, of whom 50 to 87% are positive; and hemophiliacs, of whom 72 to 85% are positive according to some studies (13, 218, 223). On the basis of this particular epidemiology, it was concluded that the virus is not transmitted as a cell-free agent like pathogenic viruses but only by contacts that involve exchange of cells (13, 27).

In these virus-infected groups the annual incidence of AIDS was found to average 0.3% (224) and to reach peak values of 2 to 5% (218, 223, 233). However even in these groups there are many more asymptomatic than symptomatic virus carriers.

Other infected groups appear to be at no risk for AIDS. In Haiti and in certain countries in Africa antibody-positive individuals range from 4 to 20% of the population, whereas the incidence of AIDS is estimated at less than 0.01% (223, 229, 234). Several reports describe large samples of children from Africa who were 20 (228) to 60% (221) antibody positive and of female prostitutes who were 66 to 80% antibody positive (221, 235), yet none of these had AIDS. Among male homosexuals and hemophiliacs of Hungary about 5% are AIDS virus positive, yet no symptoms of AIDS were recorded (161). Among native male and female Indians of Venezuela 3.3 to 13.3% have antiviral immunity, but none have symptoms of AIDS (236). Since these Indians are totally isolated from the rest of the country, in which only one hemophiliac was reported to be virus positive (236), the asymptomatic nature of their infections is not likely to be a consequence of a recent introduction of the virus into their population. Thus it is not probable that these infections will produce AIDS after the average latent period of 5 years (Section B).

Since the percentage of virus carriers with symptoms of AIDS is low and in particular since it varies between 0 and 5% depending on the AIDS risk group of the carrier, it is concluded that the virus is not sufficient to cause AIDS and that it does not encode an AIDS-specific function. The virus is also not sufficient to cause neurological disease, since it has been detectected in the brains of persons without neurological disease and of healthy persons who had survived transient meningitis (230–232).

Thus the virus appears only rarely compatible with Koch's third postulate as an etiological agent of AIDS. It may be argued that the asymptomatic infections reflect latent infections or infections of only a small percentage of susceptible cells, compared to presumably acute infections with symptoms of AIDS. However, it is shown in Section C that infections of neither symptomatic nor asymptomatic carriers are acute; instead both are equally latent and limited to a small percentage of susceptible cells.

Further the observations that some virus carriers are at high and others at essentially no risk for AIDS directly argue for a cofactor (218, 237) or else for a different cause for AIDS. The strong bias against women, because only 2.5% (479 of 17,000 cases) of the sexually transmitted AIDS cases in the United States are women (216), is a case in point. The virus-positive but AIDS-negative children and prostitutes of Africa (221) or Indians from Venezuela (236) are other examples.

B. Long Latent Period of AIDS Incompatible with Short Latent Period of Virus Replication

The eclipse period of AIDS virus replication in cell culture is on the order of several days, very much like that of other retroviruses (238). In humans virus infection of a sufficient number of cells to elicit an antibody response appears to take less than 4 to 7 weeks. This estimate is based on an accidental needle-stick infection of a nurse, who developed antibody 7 weeks later (239), and on reports describing 12 (240) and 1 (232) cases of male homosexuals who developed antibody 1 to 8 weeks after infection. During this period a mononucleosis-like illness associated with transient lymphoadenopathy was observed. In contrast to AIDS (see below), this illness appeared 1 to 8 weeks after infection and lasted only 1 to 2 weeks until antiviral immunity was established. The same early mononucleosis-like disease, associated with lymphocyte hyperplasia, was observed by others in primary AIDS virus infections (234). This is reminiscent of the direct, early pathogenic effects observed in animals infected with retroviruses prior to the onset of antiviral immunity (Part I, Section B).

By contrast the lag between infection and the appearance of AIDS is estimated from transfusion-associated AIDS to be 2 to 7 years in adults (220, 223, 241, 242) and 1 to 2 years in children from infected mothers (220, 223). The most likely mean latent period was estimated to be 5 years in adults (220, 223). Unexpectedly, most of the AIDS virus-positive blood donors identified in transfusion-associated AIDS transmission did not have AIDS when they donated blood and were reported to be in good health 6 years after the donation (220). Likewise there is evidence that individuals shown to be antibody positive since 1972 have not developed AIDS (228). Further 16 mothers of babies with AIDS did not have AIDS at the time of delivery but three of them developed AIDS years later (276). This indicates that the latent period may be longer than 5 years or that AIDS is not an obligatory consequence of infection.

In view of the claim that the virus directly kills T-cells and requires 5 years to cause disease, we are faced with two bizarre options: Either 5 year old T-cells die 5 years after infection or the offspring of originally infected T-cells die in their 50th generation, assuming a generation time of one month for an average T cell (176). It may be argued that the virus is biochemically inactive during the first five years of infection and then
activated by an unknown cause. However, AIDS virus is bio-
chemically inactive even during the acute phase of the disease
(Section C). Moreover it would be difficult for the retrovirus to
become acute five years after it had induced chronic antiviral
immunity.

Because of the 5 year latency between infection and AIDS,
the virus has been likened to the lentiviruses (277), a group of
animal retroviruses that is thought to cause debilitating diseases
only after long latent periods (13) (Part I, Section B). However
recently an ovine lentivirus, the visna or maedi virus of sheep,
was shown to cause lymphoid interstitial pneumonia in 2 to 4
weeks if expressed at high titer (269). [The same disease is
believed to be caused by AIDS virus in humans (see below)].
Therefore lentiviruses are not models for retroviruses that are
only pathogenic after long latency (Part I, Section B).

Based on the 5-year latent period of the disease and on the
assumption that virus infection is sufficient to cause AIDS, one
would expect the number of AIDS cases to increase to 1 to 2 ×
10^6 in the United States in the next 5 years. The virus has
reportedly reached its present endemic level of 1 to 2 × 10^6 in
the United States (223, 224) since it was introduced there,
presumably, less than 10 years ago (27). Yet the spread of AIDS
from 1981 to 1986 has not followed the spread of virus with a
latent period of 5 years. Instead, recent statistics (see above)
indicate no further increases in the number of AIDS cases and
a significant decline in the number of AIDS fatalities in the
United States (216, 244).

Clearly, the long lag between infection and AIDS and the
large number of virus-positive cases in which as yet no AIDS is
observed, even after long latent periods, lead to the conclusion
that the virus is not sufficient to induce AIDS and does not
encode an AIDS-specific function. Indeed, this conclusion is
directly supported by genetic evidence against a viral AIDS
gene. Deletion analysis has proved that all viral genes are
essential for replication (28, 245), which requires not more than
1 or 2 days, yet AIDS follows infection only with an average
lag of 5 years and even then only very rarely.

C. Levels of AIDS Virus Expression and Infiltration Appear Too
Low to Account for AIDS or Other Diseases

If AIDS viruses were pathogenic by killing susceptible lympho-
cytes, one would expect AIDS to correlate with high levels
of virus infiltration and expression, because uninfected cells
would not be killed by viruses nor would unexpressed or latent
viruses kill cells. As yet no report on virus titers of AIDS
patients has appeared, despite the record interest in the epide-
miology and nucleic acid structure of this virus (13, 27, 223).
In view of the consistent antiviral immunity of AIDS patients
and the difficulties in isolating virus from them (213), the virus
titers are probably low. Titers have been said to range between
only 0 and 10^2 per ml blood (213).^J. A. Levy, personal communication.^
Proviral DNA has been detected in only 15% (9 of 65) AIDS
patients; in the remaining 85% the concentration of provirus,
if present, was apparently too low for biochemical detection
(246). Moreover, among positive samples less than 1 in 10^2
to 10^4 lymphocytes contained the provirus (246). Viral RNA was
detected in 50 to 80% of AIDS blood samples. However, among
the positive samples, RNA was found in only less than 1 of 10^5
to 10^6 presumably susceptible lymphocytes (247). The relatively
high ratios of provirus-positive (10^{-2} to 10^{-3}) to viral RNA-
positive cells (10^{-4} to 10^{-5}) of AIDS patients indicate latent
infections. Further there is no evidence that the virus titer or
the level of virus infiltration increases during the acute phase
of the disease. It is probably for this reason that cells from
AIDS patients must be propagated several weeks in culture,
apart from the host’s immune system, before either spontaneous
(210–214) or chemically induced (248) virus expression may
occur. Further, the AIDS virus is completely absent from the
Kaposi sarcoma (27, 246), which is associated with 15% (216)
to 30% (249) of AIDS cases and is one of the most characteristic
symptoms of the disease.

Similar extremely low levels of virus infiltration and expres-
sion were also recorded in AIDS virus-associated brain disease
(274). Likewise, in interstitial lymphoid pneumonia less than
0.1% of lung cells expressed viral RNA (275).

Indeed there is evidence that even latent virus may not be
necessary for AIDS, since 85% of AIDS patients lack proviral
DNA (246) and since over 10% of AIDS patients have been
observed to lack antiviral immunity (214, 221, 222, 234). Fur-
ther, in a study from Germany 3 of 91 AIDS patients were
found to be virus free, based on repeated negative efforts to
detect antibody or to rescue virus.9

It is concluded then that the AIDS virus infects less than 1%,
and is expressed in less than 0.01%, of susceptible cells both in
carriers with or without AIDS. This raises the question of how
the virus could possibly be pathogenic and responsible for
immunodeficiency or other diseases. For instance even if the
virus were to claim its 10^{-4} or 10^{-5} share of T-cells that express
viral RNA every 24 to 48 h, the known eclipse period of retroviruses,
it would hardly ever match or beat the natural rate
of T-cell regeneration (176).

All other viruses function as direct pathogens only if they are
biochemically active and expressed at high levels. For instance,
the titers that correlate with direct pathogenicity for avian
retroviruses are 10^{5-12} (31, 35, 250) and they are 10^{4-7} for
murine retroviruses (12, 38, 40, 42, 251) (Section B). Hepatitis
viruses reach titers of 10^{12-13} when they cause hepatitis (15),
and latent infections are not pathogenic (83). Further, the very
low levels of AIDS virus expression in vivo are difficult to
reconcile with reports based on in vitro studies with synthetic
indicator genes that the AIDS virus encodes a potent transcrip-
tion-stimulating protein (28, 153, 245). Clearly such activators
are not at work in vivo.

The extremely low virus titers of symptomatic and asympto-
matic carriers also explain why infection by the virus in the
United States is essentially limited to contacts that involve
transmission of cells (244) rather than being transmitted as a
cell-free, infectious agent like pathogenic viruses. For instance,
among 1750 health care workers with exposure to AIDS, only
1 or 2 were found to be antibody positive (252). Another study
failed to find a single antibody-positive person among 101
family contacts of 39 AIDS patients, all of whom had lived in
the same household with an AIDS patient for at least 3 months
(253).

D. AIDS Viruses Not Directly Cytocidal

The AIDS viruses are reported to display in culture a fast
cytocidal effect on primary T-cells within 1 to 2 months after
infection (13, 27, 254). The cytocidal effect was shown to
involve cell fusion (27, 238, 254). The effect is thought to reflect
the mechanism of how the virus generates AIDS after a latent
period of 5 years (27, 254).

H. Ruebsamen-Waigmann, personal communication.
E. No Simian Models for AIDS

This is debatable on several grounds: (a) above all, the in vitro assay cannot account for the large discrepancy between the short latent period of cell death in vitro and the 5-year latent period of the disease; (b) T-cell fusion is not observed in vivo in chronic, asymptomatic virus carriers and not in prospective AIDS patients during the long latent period of the disease (255), although virus expression is not lower than during the acute phase of AIDS; (c) T-cell killing is also not observed in T-cell lines in vitro (27) and not in primary lymphocytes under appropriate conditions (238). Further primary lymphocytes infected by AIDS virus were shown to double every 5 days in cell culture for three weeks; at the same time the previously latent AIDS virus was activated to high levels of expression (278); (d) virus strains that do not cause cytopathic fusion in vitro have been isolated from 7 of 150 AIDS patients. This demonstrates that the fusion-inducing function of the virus can be dissociated from a putative AIDS function.

Thus T-cell killing by fusion is apparently a cell culture artifact that depends on the virus strain and the cell used, as has been shown for many other retroviruses including HTLV-I (Part I, Section B), and not an obligatory feature of virus infection. As with other retroviruses, fusion involves binding of viral envelope antigens on the surface of infected cells with receptors of uninfected cells. Accordingly, fusion is inhibited by AIDS virus-neutralizing antibody (256). It apparently depends on high local virus titers that in particular in the case of AIDS are not observed in vivo. This view of the cell-killing effect also resolves the apparent contradiction between the postulated cytoidal effects of AIDS viruses and the obligatory requirement of all retroviruses for mitosis in order to replicate (16, 25). Indeed AIDS viruses have been reported to replicate without cytoidal effects not only in T-cells but also in human monocytes and macrophages (257, 278), which share the same virus-specific receptors (258), and in B-cell lines (259), in fibroblasts (261) in human brain and the lung (213, 230, 232, 257, 261).

F. AIDS Virus as an Indicator of a Low Risk for AIDS

The only support for the hypothesis that the AIDS virus causes AIDS is that 90% of the AIDS patients have antibody to the virus. Thus it would appear that the virus, at least as an immunogen, meets the first of Koch’s postulates for an etiological agent. This conclusion assumes that all AIDS patients from whom virus cannot be isolated (about 50%) (278) or in whom provirus cannot be demonstrated (85%) and the antibody-negative cases (about 10%) and the virus-free cases reported in one study (3%) (Section C) are false negatives. Indeed the diagnosis of AIDS virus by antibody has recently been questioned on the basis of false positives (234).

At this time the hypothesis that the virus causes AIDS faces several direct challenges. (a) First it fails to explain why active antiviral immunity, which includes neutralizing antibody (225–227) and which effectively prevents virus spread and expression, would not prevent the virus from causing a fatal disease. This is particularly paradoxical since antiviral immunity or “vaccination” typically protects against viral pathogenicity. It is also unexpected that AIDS patients are capable of mounting an apparently highly effective, antiviral immunity, although immunodeficiency is the hallmark of the disease. (b) The hypothesis is also challenged by direct evidence that the virus is not sufficient to cause AIDS. This includes (i) the low percentage of symptomatic infections, (ii) the fact that some infected groups are at a relatively high and others at no risk for AIDS, (iii) the long latent period of the disease (Section B), and (iv) the genetic evidence that the virus lacks a late AIDS function. Since all viral genes are essential for virus replication (28, 245), the virus should kill T-cells and hence cause AIDS at the time of infection rather than 5 years later. (c) The hypothesis also fails to resolve the contradiction that the AIDS viruses, like all retroviruses, depends on mitosis for replication yet is postulated to be directly cytocidal (Section D). (d) The hypothesis offers no convincing explanation for the paradox that a fatal disease would be caused by a virus that is latent and biochemically inactive and that infects less than 1% and is expressed in less than 0.01% of susceptible lymphocytes (Section D).
the hypothesis cannot explain why the virus is not pathogenic in asymptomatic infections, since there is no evidence that the virus is more active or further spread in carriers with than in carriers without AIDS.

In view of this it seems likely that AIDS virus is just the most common among the occupational viral infections of AIDS patients and those at risk for AIDS, rather than the cause of AIDS. The disease would then be caused by an as yet unidentified agent which may not even be a virus, since cell-free contacts are not sufficient to transmit the disease.

Other viral infections of AIDS patients and those at risk for AIDS include Epstein-Barr and cytomegalovirus in 80 to 90% (222, 268), and herpes virus in 75 to 100%. In addition AIDS include Epstein-Barr and cytomegalovirus in 80 to 90% patients and those at risk for AIDS, rather than the cause of asymptomatic infections, since there is no evidence that the hypothesis cannot explain why the virus is not pathogenic


during persistent, nonpathogenic infections even in the face of antiviral immunity. Therefore AIDS virus is a useful indicator of contaminated sera that may cause AIDS (13, 27) and that may contain other cell-free and cell-associated infectious agents. It is also for these reasons that latent retroviruses are the most common nonpathogenic passenger viruses of healthy animals and humans. For the same reasons, they are also frequently passenger viruses of slow diseases other than AIDS like the feline, bovine and human leukemias (see Part I) or multiple sclerosis (268) in which latent or defective "leukemia viruses" are occasionally found.

It is concluded that AIDS virus is not sufficient to cause AIDS and that there is no evidence, besides its presence in a latent form, that it is necessary for AIDS. However, the virus may be directly responsible for the early, mononucleosis-like disease observed in several infections prior to antiviral immunity (Section B). In a person who belongs to the high risk group for AIDS, antibody against the AIDS virus serves as an indicator of an annual risk for AIDS that averages 0.3% and may reach 5%, but in a person that does not belong to this group antibody to the virus signals no apparent risk for AIDS. Since nearly all virus carriers have antiviral immunity including neutralizing antibody (225–227), vaccination is not likely to benefit virus carriers with or without AIDS.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to R. Cardiff (Davis, CA), K. Cichutek, M. Gardner (Davis, CA), D. Goodrich, E. Humphries (Dallas, TX), J. A. Levy (San Francisco, CA), F. Lilly (New York, NY), G. S. Martin, G. Matioli (Los Angeles, CA), E. Noah (Villingen, Germany), S. Pfaff, W. Phares, D. Purtilo (Omaha, NE), H. Rubin, B. Singer, G. Stent, and R.-P. Zhou for critical comments or review of this manuscript or both and R. C. Gallo (NIH, Bethesda MD) for discussions.

References


REPRODUCTIVE AS CARCINOGENS AND PATHOGENS


