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ABSTRACT

Cytochrome P450IA1 is responsible for the metabolic activation of benzo(a)pyrene in cigarette smoke; an association of lung cancer with DNA polymorphisms of P450IA1 gene was shown in our previous study.

In this paper, we investigated the interindividual difference of genetically determined susceptibility to squamous cell carcinoma of the lung in relation to cigarette smoking dose. We first compared the total amounts of cigarettes consumed over the lifetime of patients and showed that the patients with a “susceptible” P450IA1 gene genotype contracted carcinoma after fewer cigarettes [mean ± SD, 31.3 ± 12.8 × 10^4 (n = 12)] than those with other genotypes [42.5 ± 18.2 × 10^4 (n = 33)], with a statistical significance of P < 0.05. Next, we carried out a case-control study to estimate the odds ratios of susceptible to nonsusceptible individuals in relation to the cumulated cigarette dose. We thus showed that the individuals with the susceptible genotype were at remarkably high risk with an odds ratio of 7.31 (95% confidence interval, 2.13 to 25.12) at a low dose level of cigarette smoking and that the difference in susceptibility between genotypes was reduced at high dose levels.

INTRODUCTION

An individual difference in susceptibility to chemical carcinogens is one of the most important factors in the estimate of risks to human cancer. Many different types of host factors may be involved in the mechanism of difference in susceptibility, including possible polymorphic changes of oncogenes or related genes in germ line, the activation and detoxification of carcinogens, and predispositions due to impaired antibody production or cellular immunity. Genetic differences in the metabolism of chemical carcinogens have been suggested to be associated with the different predispositions to cancers (1-8).

The cytochrome P450-dependent monooxygenases, involving a variety of P450 isozymes (9, 10), play a prominent role in the metabolism of various chemical carcinogens from the environment. Genetic variability in the oxidative activation of chemical carcinogens may well explain the interindividual difference in response to carcinogens. In our previous paper (11), we studied the association of lung cancer with DNA polymorphisms of the P450IA1 gene, because cytochrome P450IA1 is responsible for the metabolic activation of benzo(a)pyrene and other aromatic procarcinogens in cigarette smoke. Namely, we reported that: (a) three polymorphisms of P450IA1 gene originated from the presence or absence of one Mspl site in the 3′ flanking region; (b) the segregation profile of these polymorphisms in family pedigree analysis showed mendelian inheritance; (c) three genotypes were classified in the predominant homozygote (genotype A) characterized by 2.7-, 2.3-, and 0.8-kilobase fragments in RFLPs, the heterozygote (genotype B) by 2.7, 2.3, 1.9, and 0.8 kilobases and the homozygous rare allele (genotype C) by 2.7, 1.9, and 0.8 kilobases; and (d) the frequency of genotype C among lung cancer patients was more than 2-fold higher than that among healthy controls, showing statistical significance. The patients with squamous cell carcinoma of the lung especially showed a remarkable deviation of frequency from controls. In addition, we recently identified the origin of these polymorphisms to be substitution of one base at the 264th base downstream from the additional poly(A) signal, forming an Mspl site in genotype C (12).

The individual differences in susceptibility to lung cancer may be investigated by two different approaches to the aspect of gene-environment interactions. The first one entails the comparison of genotype frequencies between patients and a healthy population, and the difference indicates genetic variability in susceptibility due to different phenotypic expressions of the gene. Then, it may be plausible to infer that the individuals with differing susceptibilities to cancer must be also different in response to the dose of carcinogens. In this paper we provide the results of both approaches and clarify that the individuals at genetically high risk for squamous cell carcinoma of the lung, which is most closely associated with cigarette smoking, can contract the cancer with a smaller dose of cigarette smoke than other people.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental. Blood samples (5 to 15 ml) were obtained from both patients and controls, and DNAs were isolated from peripheral lymphocytes. The identification of the P450IA1 gene genotypes ascribed to the Mspl site at the 264th base from the additional poly(A) signal in the 3′ flanking region was carried out with two methods: Method 1, RFLPs of the P450IA1 gene by a genomic Southern blotting, digesting the sample DNAs with Mspl, and hybridizing with a probe of the XbaI-EcoRI fragment of the cloned P450IA1 gene (11, 13); and Method 2, DNAs amplified by the use of a PCR, where two synthetic oligonucleotide primers of 21 bases (from the 130th to 150th and from the 449th to 469th bases, counting from the additional poly(A) signal) were used (12). PCR was carried out by 25 cycles under the following conditions: 1 min at 95°C for denaturation; 1 min at 68°C; 1 min at 72°C for primer annealing and primer extension. Other conditions used were as described previously (14). The amplified fragments including the Mspl site were digested with Mspl for 2 h at 37°C, and the products were subjected to electrophoresis in 1.8% agarose gel. Genotype A was characterized by a 0.34-kilobase fragment; genotype B by 0.14, 0.20, and 0.34 kilobases; and genotype C by 0.14 and 0.20 kilobases.

These two methods were in complete accordance with each other in the identification of the genotypes. Method 2 was used to identify the genotypes of the controls selected for the present case-control study.

Epidemiological. All possible inpatients with all sites of cancer, excluding recurrence or cases with a history of cancer, have been interviewed in Saitama Cancer Center Hospital since 1984 by the staff of the epidemiology department using a standardized questionnaire on...
RESULTS

Since first reporting the association of the polymorphic P450IA1 gene with the predisposition to lung cancer (11, 15), we greatly increased the numbers of subjects for study in both patients and healthy controls. Table 1 shows our present results. The frequency distribution of genotypes among the patients with Kreyberg type I lung cancer (16), which is closely associ

![Table 1 Distribution of the three genotypes of the P450IA1 gene among lung cancer patients compared with distribution among healthy controls](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histological types</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kreyberg type I</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squamous cell carcinoma</td>
<td>19(33.3)*</td>
<td>23(40.4)</td>
<td>15(26.3)</td>
<td>57(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small cell carcinoma</td>
<td>10(41.8)</td>
<td>7(29.1)</td>
<td>7(29.1)</td>
<td>24(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large cell carcinoma</td>
<td>2(20.0)</td>
<td>6(60.0)</td>
<td>2(20.0)</td>
<td>10(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>31(34.0)</td>
<td>36(39.6)</td>
<td>24(26.4)</td>
<td>91(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kreyberg type II</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>30(50.3)</td>
<td>22(36.7)</td>
<td>8(13.3)</td>
<td>60(100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthy controls</td>
<td>166(44.3)</td>
<td>169(45.1)</td>
<td>40(10.6)</td>
<td>375(100.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Numbers in parentheses, percentage.

than that among controls ($P < 0.001; \chi^2 = 15.249$), although the frequencies of other genotypes did not show statistical significance. On the other hand, the frequency distribution of genotypes among patients with adenocarcinoma, which has little association with cigarette smoking (18), was almost identical to that among controls. The frequency distributions among the patients with cancer of the stomach, colon, and breast were also examined (15) and were identical to those among controls; their genotype C frequencies were 8.7% (9 of 104), 11.5% (9 of 78), and 9.7% (3 of 31), respectively.

Our first purpose in this paper entails the comparison of cigarette dose among patients with different P450IA1 gene genotypes. We focused on the squamous cell carcinoma, which is most closely associated with smoking in Kreyberg type I lung cancer (17). The total amounts of cigarettes consumed over a lifetime were compared among the patients with genotypes A, B, and C (Fig. 1). We found that the distribution of amounts in genotype C shifted to the lesser dose from that of the other two genotypes. Since there was no difference in the distributions between genotypes A and B (see Table 2 for mean ± SD), we combined these two genotypes as $A+B$ and compared the mean cigarette consumption with that of genotype C. The mean value of $31.3 \times 10^4$ cigarettes ($n = 12$, $SD = 12.8 \times 10^4$) was lower than the mean of $42.5 \times 10^4$ ($n = 33$, $SD = 18.2 \times 10^4$) of genotypes $A+B$ with a statistical significance of $P < 0.05$ [$t_0 = 2.117$ for d.f. = 43, noting that the variance of the two samples estimated the same parametric variance

$$F = s_{n1}^2/s_{n2}^2 = 2.010 < F_{0.05(12,41)} = 2.076.$$
in Table 2, where mean ages and lifetime mean cigarette consumption were calculated in each of the genotypes together with frequencies of the genotypes. Among the controls, mean cigarette dose levels are shown in Table 3, where genotypes A and B are combined as A+B. The frequency of genotype C showed no differences among patients or among controls.

The distributions of patients and controls by genotypes and cigarette dose levels are shown in Table 3, where genotypes A and B are combined as A+B. The frequency of genotype C among controls was almost constant in cigarette dose levels, while that among patients clearly depended on cigarette dose levels. The odds ratios of genotype C increased from 7.31 (95% CI, 2.13 to 25.12) at the lowest dose of less than 3 x 10^5 cigarettes and reduced to the odds ratios of 2.00 and 1.13 at the higher dose levels. The odds ratios of genotype C increased from 7.31 (95% CI, 2.13 to 25.12) to 13.17 (95% CI, 3.42 to 50.68) and 13.17 (95% CI, 2.99 to 58.05) with increased cigarette dose, although this increase was not statistically significant. On the other hand, the odds ratio of genotypes A+B increased linearly with the dose (P < 0.001; \( \chi^2 = 24.319 \) for trend) from the baseline of 1.0 to 6.58 (95% CI, 2.39 to 18.12) and 11.70 (95% CI, 4.33 to 30.25).

### DISCUSSION

Genetically determined variation among individuals in the metabolic activation of chemical carcinogens is first in line to explain the individual difference in susceptibility to chemical carcinogenesis. We investigated the genetic difference in susceptibility to lung cancer in terms of the polymorphisms of the P450IA1 gene (11, 15). We inferred that the DNA sequence polymorphisms of cytochrome P450IA1 might influence the activation of its substrates, benzo(a)pyrene, and other procarcinogens in cigarette smoke and thus contribute to the differing susceptibility to the cancer. Following our first approach summarized in Table 1, we analyzed the cumulated cigarette dose among the patients with the squamous cell carcinoma and showed that the patients with “susceptible” genotype C contracted the carcinoma with a smaller dose than those with other genotypes. Comparison of their smoking status at the time of interview did not provide any statistical significance, although the proportion of former- and exsmokers in genotype C was 0.42 (5 of 12) and higher than 0.27 (9 of 33) in genotypes A+B (the subjects of exsmoking within 1 yr before interviewing were regarded as current smokers). The distribution of the total amounts of cigarette consumption in the genotypes among the patients with the adenocarcinoma showed no differences, and the numbers of the patients with other histological types were too small for analysis. We also compared other lung cancer risk factors of occupational career, intake of green and yellow vegetables, and familial history of cancer between the patients of different genotypes, although no significant differences were found.

In Table 1 the healthy controls were randomly selected be-
cause the frequencies of the genotypes did not vary between sexes and ages. On the other hand, the controls in our case-control study had to be matched to the patients by sex and age because the cumulated cigarette amount obviously depended on these matching conditions. Our case-control study evaluated the risk of individuals with the susceptible and nonsusceptible genotypes in genotype-exposure interactions or dose response. Here we ascertained the fact that the environmental exposure, namely, cigarette dose, was independent of the genotypes among controls. Otherwise the risk as response to exposure could not be estimated correctly (19). Our results showed that the susceptibility of genotype C to the carcinoma was remarkably high at a low dose level of cigarette smoking and that the difference in susceptibility of the genotypes was reduced at high dose levels. Considering the biological role of P450IA1 enzyme in carcinogenesis, one of the most plausible interpretations for the high susceptibility of genotype C to the carcinoma may be that the susceptible individuals have different metabolic activation of carcinogens catalyzed by the enzyme. Less difference of risk among the genotypes at high dose levels may be reflected by a dose-response relationship of the enzymatic reaction, because this kind of saturation of metabolic activation was known for the effective dose of vinyl chloride in target tissues and because a rapid elevation of metabolic response, reaching a saturated level quickly, to increased dose among susceptible individuals was speculated upon by Omen (20). Another possible interpretation would be that the individuals with genotype C have a genetically high risk of the carcinoma independent of cigarette smoking. We did not have enough never-smoking patients to determine which interpretation is correct. On the other hand, a correlation of the high inducibility phenotype of P450IA1 enzyme (aryl hydrocarbon hydroxylase activity) with an increased risk of bronchogenic carcinomas was indicated (3, 4). Very recently cosegregation of the Mspl polymorphisms and the inducibility phenotype of P450IA1 was reported, suggesting that the susceptible genotype may involve an increased metabolism of procarcinogens in cigarette smoke (21). However, the association of the high inducibility phenotype with lung cancer incidence still needs to be confirmed, because a lack of the association was also reported (8). Further study is also needed to confirm whether the high susceptibility observed in this paper is ascribed to different metabolic activation of procarcinogens or to some other mechanisms.

It is essential in our study to investigate the mechanism of how the Mspl polymorphisms influence the phenotypic expression of the P450IA1 gene. We recently found that single-point mutation occurred in the coding region of the P450IA1 gene in a strong linkage with this Mspl polymorphism, and that this mutation resulted in an amino acid substitution in the heme-binding region, indicating at least two types of P450IA1 protein with different primary structures (12). A further biological and epidemiological investigation will be required to clarify the mechanism and to establish the model for individual susceptibility to chemical carcinogenesis.
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