Estrogenic Potential of Progestins in Oral Contraceptives to Stimulate Human Breast Cancer Cell Proliferation
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ABSTRACT

Most oral contraceptives (OC) contain a progestin in combination with an estrogen, and the progestin component in OC includes one of the following 19-nortestosterone derivatives: norethynodrel; norethindrone; or norgestrel (levonorgestrel). It is well known that estrogens promote the growth of breast cancer. However, progestins have recently also been implicated in the development of breast cancer. We have compared and contrasted the ability of synthetic progestins to stimulate the proliferation of cultured human breast cancer cells and examined their possible mechanism of action.

We found that some progestins used in OC were able to stimulate the growth of estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) MCF-7 and T47DA18 human breast cancer cells but not ER- MDA-MB-231, BT-20, and T47DC human breast cancer cells. However, two other progestins, MPA and R5020, which are not used in OC, were either not able to stimulate or only slightly stimulated growth. The potency of norethynodrel [median effective dose (EC50) = 4 × 10^-8 M] and norethindrone (EC50 = 3 × 10^-8 M) was greater than norgestrel (EC50 = 2 × 10^-7 M) in MCF-7 cells. EC50 (EC50 = 8 × 10^-13 M) was an even more potent stimulator of growth. More importantly, the progesterin-induced growth stimulation was blocked by the antiestrogens 4-hydroxytamoxifen and ICI 164,384 but not the antiprogestin RU486. To determine whether the proliferative action of progestins was mediated through the ER, cells were transfected with a chloramphenicol acetyltransferase reporter gene containing an estrogen response element derived from vitellogenin 2A gene. The progestins which stimulated the growth of breast cancer cells also increased chloramphenicol acetyltransferase activity. The induction of chloramphenicol acetyltransferase activity was blocked by the addition of the antiestrogens 4-hydroxytamoxifen and ICI 164,384 but not the antiprogestin RU486.

This study provides direct evidence that the 19-nortestosterone derivatives in OC have estrogenic properties and suggests that activation of ER, but not progesterone receptor, is the growth-stimulatory mechanism for these synthetic progestins. Our results may help to explain the conflicting evidence linking OC and breast cancer risk. A rigorous evaluation of the "total" estrogenic potential of OC might produce a better correlation with breast cancer risk.

INTRODUCTION

OC3 have been used by women to control ovulation, and most OC contain an estrogen in combination with a progestin (1). There is a concern that OC may increase the risk of breast cancer because most of the known risk factors for breast cancer are related to steroid hormones (2, 3). However, there is conflicting evidence regarding the increased risk of breast cancer in women taking OC (4-12). Also, the inconsistency of laboratory evidence has made the interpretation of the relationship between the use of OC to breast cancer risk more difficult (13-16).

It is well known that estrogens promote the growth of breast cancer (17). However, progestins have also been implicated in the development of breast cancer (4, 5, 18, 19), but the underlying mechanism is not known. Most of the progestin components of OC include the 19-nortestosterone derivatives norethynodrel, norethindrone, and norgestrel (levonorgestrel) (1, 20-22) (Fig. 1), all of which have been shown to bind to PR with high affinity (23-25) and have potent progestational activity. However, we have recently demonstrated that one of the progestin components used in OC, norethindrone, can stimulate breast cancer cell growth and decrease transforming growth factor β2 and β3 mRNA levels (26), actions generally ascribed to estrogen action. It is therefore important to determine whether the progestin components of OC in general can initiate cell replication.

The action of steroid hormones is mediated by the steroid's cognate receptors located in the nucleus and the hormone response elements in the target genes. Antiestrogens (17, 27-29) and antiprogestin (30-32) are proving to be powerful tools in the understanding of gene regulation mediated by steroid hormones because of their ability to specifically block the actions of the relevant steroid hormones.

In this study, we investigated whether the commonly used progestins in OC could stimulate the growth of human breast cancer cells and determined whether these progestins could act through the ER. We found that not only norethindrone but also the other 19-nortestosterone derivatives, norethynodrel and norgestrel, can stimulate the growth of ER+ but not ER- human breast cancer cells. More importantly, we demonstrated that these progestins induced the activity of a CAT reporter gene containing an ERE, and this induction could be blocked by the addition of antiestrogens but not antiprogestin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture. The MCF-7 cell line (33) was originally obtained from Dr. Dean Edwards (Department of Medicine, Health Sciences Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX), who obtained the stock from the Michigan Cancer Foundation. The MCF-7 cells were between passages 470 and 510. MCF-7 cells were grown in minimum essential medium containing 5% (v/v) calf serum supplemented with 0.29 mg/ml r-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin plus 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 6 ng/ml bovine insulin (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO), 0.35 g NaHCO3/liter, and 25 mM N-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N'-2-ethane sulfonic acid.

The T47DA18 and T47DC4 cells (34) were cloned from T47D cells originally obtained at passage 81 from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD). The T47DA18 and T47DC4 cells were between passages 200 and 220. T47DA18 cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum (heat inactivated), 0.29 mg/ml r-glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin plus 100 μg/ml streptomycin, 6 ng/ml bovine insulin, and 0.35 g NaHCO3/liter.
bovine serum and phenol red-free RPMI 1640.

Cells were cultured in dextran-coated charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum and phenol red-free RPMI 1640. T47DC4 cells were cultured in dextran-coated charcoal-stripped fetal bovine serum, and media were changed every other day. On the day of transfection, cells were fed with 9 ml complete medium 1 to 3 h prior to the addition of the precipitate. Transfections were carried out by the calcium phosphate method, with 10 μg of CAT reporter plasmid together with 5 μg of pCMVβ plasmid/plate (36). ERE-CAT (pvitERE15-TK-CAT) (37), which consists of a palindromic ERE derived from the vitellogenin 2A gene and the thymidine kinase promoter derived from herpes simplex virus; pvitERE15 mt-TK-CAT, which consists of an mutant ERE and thymidine kinase promoter; pGRE15T (pGRE15-TK-CAT) (37), which consists of consensus GRE and thymidine kinase promoter; and the parental plasmid pBL-CAT.2, which consists of the thymidine kinase promoter linked to the gene for CAT, were used as reporter plasmids. pCMVβ plasmid, which consists of the Escherichia coli β-galactosidase gene driven by the human cytomegalovirus immediate early gene promoter and enhancer, was used as a reference plasmid for internal control. Six h after transfection, medium was removed, and cells were incubated for 3 min with 10% glycerol in complete medium and then refed with medium containing compounds for an additional 48 h. Cells were rinsed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (50 mM sodium phosphate, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.35) followed by the addition of 1 ml 40 μM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl and incubated on ice for 5 min. Cells were then harvested by scraping with a policeman, and cells were pelleted and suspended in 100 μl ice-cold 0.25 M Tris-HCl (pH 7.5). Cell extracts were prepared by 3 freeze-thaw cycles. Five to ten μl of cell extracts were used to determine the content of β-galactosidase activity (38) after transfection, and cell extracts were heated to 65°C for 10 min before the CAT assay. CAT reaction (36) was performed with cell extracts containing equal amounts (2–4 units) of β-galactosidase activity (usually 2–5 μl of cell extracts) in the presence of 0.05 μCi [14C]-chloramphenicol (55 mCi/mmol; Amersham), 0.53 mM acetyl-CoA, and 0.22 μM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5) at 37°C for 25–30 min. Thin-layer chromatography was performed to separate the acetylated chloramphenicol from the unacetylated chloramphenicol. Autoradiography was accomplished by exposing the thin-layer chromatography plates to Kodak X-Omat AR film at −70°C.

Progestosterone Receptor Enzyme Immunoassay. Cells were plated in 15-cm-diameter dishes and deprived of estrogen. Compounds were added for 6 days, and cells were collected by scraping. Cytosols were prepared in buffer containing 1 mM monothioglycerol, 10 mM Tris, 1.5 mM EDTA, 5 mM Na2MoO4, and 0.4 M KCl and collected following 100,000 × g centrifugation for 45 min. The level of PR in cytosol was measured by using progesterone receptor enzyme immunoassay kits obtained from Abbott Laboratories (N. Chicago, IL).

RESULTS

Growth Stimulation of ER+ Human Breast Cancer Cells by E2, Norethynodrel, Norgestrel, and Norethindrone and Blockade by Antiestrogens but not Antiprogestin. Previously, we showed that norethindrone, a 19-nortestosterone derivative which is a commonly used progestin in OC, stimulated the growth of MCF-7 cells (26). We have now investigated whether other 19-nortestosterone derivatives, which are also used in OC, can stimulate human breast cancer cells to grow. We chose norethynodrel and norgestrel (levonorgestrel) because these two progestins are also widely included in OC (39). We found that, at a concentration of 10−6 M, not only norethindrone (5.6-fold over control) but also norethynodrel (7.2-fold over control) and norgestrel (4.8-fold over control) could stimulate the growth of MCF-7 cells in culture (Fig. 2A). Fig. 2A is representative of data from 7 independent experiments. The
Fig. 2. A, effects of various progestins and E₂ on the growth of human breast cancer ER⁺ MCF-7 cells. B, effects of various progestins and E₂ on the growth of ER⁻ T47DA18 cells. C, effects of 4-OHT on the E₂- and norethynodrel-induced cell proliferation in MCF-7 cells. D, effects of 4-OHT and RU486 on the E₂- and progestin-induced cell proliferation in MCF-7 cells. Cells were seeded at a density of 14,000 cells/well into 24-well plates in triplicate, deprived of estrogens (in phenol red-free medium containing dextran-coated charcoal-stripped serum) for 4 days, and then treated with compounds for 5 days. Media were changed every 2 days. All compounds were prepared in a concentrated form in 100% ethanol and diluted 1:1000 (v/v) into cell culture medium. Final ethanol concentrations in the media were 0.1 to 0.2%. After compound treatment, cells were sonicated and subjected for DNA assay with Hoechst dye 33258. All points for each DNA measurement represent a mean of three sampled wells. A and B: ○, control (0.1% ethanol); □, E₂; ■, norethynodrel; △, norethindrone; □, RU486; ●, MPA. C and D: ○, control (0.1% ethanol); ○, E₂; □, E₂ + 10⁻⁷ M 4-OHT; △, E₂ + 10⁻⁷ M 4-OHT; □, norethynodrel; □, norethynodrel + 10⁻⁷ M 4-OHT; △, norethynodrel + 10⁻⁷ M 4-OHT. D: □, compounds used were control (0.1% ethanol), E₂ (10⁻⁶ M), norethynodrel (10⁻⁶ M), norethindrone (10⁻⁶ M), and norethindrone (10⁻⁶ M), RU486 (10⁻⁷ M) was used in addition to the above compounds. □, 4-OHT (10⁻⁷ M) was used in addition to the above compounds.

The potency of norethynodrel (EC₅₀ = 4 × 10⁻⁸ M) or norethindrone (EC₅₀ = 3 × 10⁻⁸ M) was greater than norgestrel (EC₅₀ = 2 × 10⁻⁷ M). However, E₂ (EC₅₀ = 8 × 10⁻¹³ M) was even more potent as a growth stimulator than the progestins. E₂ at a concentration of 10⁻¹⁰ M stimulated cell growth (9.4-fold over control). R5020, a progestin lacking the 19-C group like the 19-nortestosterone derivatives, stimulated minimal cell growth at 10⁻⁶ M as reported by other investigators (40). The progestin MPA, which is not a 19-nortestosterone derivative, did not stimulate the growth of MCF-7 cells. Similar results were obtained using other ER⁺ human breast cancer T47DA18 (Fig. 2B) and ZR-75-1 cells (25).

Antiestrogens (17, 27-29) block E₂-induced growth in MCF-7 cells. The addition of the antiestrogens 4-OHT (Fig. 2, C and D) or ICI 164,384 (data not shown) also blocked the growth induced by the three progestins. Competitive reversal of the blockade by the antiestrogens was observed. Interestingly, the antiestrogen RU486 did not influence the growth stimulation induced by these progestins at a concentration of 10⁻⁷ M (Fig. 2D) or 10⁻⁵ M (data not shown). Furthermore, it is important to note that the addition of progestins did not completely block the E₂-induced cell proliferation to the control level (Fig. 3).

The ability of the 19-nortestosterone derivatives and MPA to regulate the growth of ER⁺ human breast cancer MDA-MB-231, BT-20, and T47DC4 cells was also examined. Neither these progestins nor E₂ altered the growth of ER⁻ MDA-MB-231 (Fig. 4), BT-20, or T47DC4 (data not shown) human breast cancer cells.

Induction of p vitERE-TK-CAT Activities by E₂ and Progesterin Components in OC and Blockade by Antiestrogens but not Antiprogestin. Since the antiestrogens 4-OHT and ICI 164,384 blocked the growth stimulation induced by norethynodrel, norgestrel, and norethindrone, we believed that the growth stimulation induced by these progestins was mediated by ER. To test this hypothesis, the p vitERE-TK-CAT (ERE-CAT) (37) was used as the reporter gene to examine whether these progestins were estrogenic in inducing the expression of the CAT gene downstream from an ERE. ERE-CAT consists of an ERE derived from the vitellogenin 2A gene and the thymidine kinase promoter derived from herpes simplex virus linked to the gene for CAT. We observed that norethynodrel induced the ERE-CAT activity in a concentration-dependent fashion...
ESTROGENICITY OF PROGESTINS

Fig. 4. Effects of various progestins and E2 on the growth of ER(-) MDA-MB-231 cells. Cells were seeded at a density of 14,000 cells/well into 24-well plates in triplicate, deprived of estrogens for 4 days, and then treated with compounds for 5 days. Media were changed every 2 days. O, control (0.1% ethanol); □, E2; ▲, norethynodrel; △, norethindrone; □, norgestrel; ▲, R5020; ●, MPA. (ECho = 3.2 x 10^{-9} M) (Fig. 5A). Fig. 5A is representative of data from 5 independent experiments. Norethynodrel at concentrations of 10^{-13} to 10^{-11} M induced no activity. When the concentration reached 10^{-9} M some induction was observed, which demonstrated a maximum stimulation at 10^{-6} M (7.4-fold over control). E2 also regulated the ERE-CAT activity in a concentration-dependent fashion (EC_{50} = 3.2 x 10^{-11} M) (Fig. 5B). When the concentration of E2 reached 10^{-11} M, a slight induction of ERE-CAT activity was observed, and this induction reached a maximum level at a concentration of 10^{-8} M (7.4-fold over control). Antiestrogens 4-OHT and ICI 164,384 blocked both norethynodrel- and E2-induced ERE-CAT activities (Fig. 5, A and B). However, when the concentrations of norethynodrel and E2 were increased to 3 x 10^{-6} and 10^{-7} M respectively, norethynodrel and E2 reversed the blockade by antiestrogens on ERE-CAT activity.

Progestins that stimulated the growth of human breast cancer cells were able to induce the activity of ERE-CAT (Fig. 6). Fig. 6 is representative of data from 5 independent experiments. The extent of induction of ERE-CAT activity by these progestins (10^{-6} M) was identical to that observed for E2 (10^{-10} M) (Fig. 6). We found that antiestrogens 4-OHT and ICI 164,384 could block the progestin-induced ERE-CAT activities (Figs. 6 and 7). Furthermore, the antiprogestin RU486, which blocks progestin binding to the PR and forms nonactive complexes with the hormone response element (31, 32), did not block the progestin-induced growth stimulation (Fig. 2D) and did not prevent the expression of ERE-CAT (Fig. 7). Therefore, these progestins behave more like estrogens with respect to cellular proliferation.

In contrast to the ER+ MCF-7 cells, in which norethynodrel, norgestrel, norethindrone, and E2 induced the expression of ERE-CAT, in ER- MDA-MB-231, BT-20, and T47DC4 cells we observed no induction of ERE-CAT activity by any of these compounds (Fig. 8).

Fig. 5. Dose response of norethynodrel (A) and E2 (B) on the induction of pvitERE-TK-CAT activity in the presence and absence of antiestrogens 4-OHT and ICI 164,384 in MCF-7 cells. Cells were plated into 10-cm-diameter dishes at a density of 8 x 10^5 cells in phenol red-free medium containing stripped calf serum. Cells were then deprived of estrogen for 6-7 days before transfection, and media were changed every other day. Transfections were done by the calcium phosphate method with 10 µg of pvitERE-TK-CAT plasmid together with 5 µg of pCMV/β plasmid/plate. After transfection, cells were treated with compounds for an additional 48 h, and β-galactosidase activity and CAT activity were then determined. CAT reactions were performed with cell extracts containing equal amounts of β-galactosidase activity.
confirmed that norethynodrel, norgestrel, and norethindrone could bind to ER and induce ERE-CAT activity, we also used a mutant ERE construct (pvitERE15 mt-TK-CAT) (Fig. 9B). We found that these progestins could not induce the CAT activities of parental plasmid pBL.CAT.2 and the pvitERE15 mt-TK-CAT containing the mutant ERE (Fig. 9B).

Klock et al. (37) have shown that ERE and PRE/GRE are closely related but distinct. We showed that these progestins also induced the CAT activity of pGRE15T (Fig. 9A), and the addition of RU486 blocked this progestin-induced CAT activity (Fig. 9C).

Regulation of PR Level by Progestins. To determine whether the progestins that were able to stimulate cellular proliferation and induce ERE-CAT activity could regulate the synthesis of an endogenous estrogen target gene, the change in PR level was examined after treatment of MCF-7 cells with the progestins and E2. The progestins that were estrogenic (i.e., stimulate cellular proliferation and induce ERE-CAT activity) were also able to induce endogenous PR synthesis (Table 1). Therefore, these progestins can regulate gene expression in a manner similar to that of E2. The progestins MPA or R5020, which were not distinctly estrogenic, did not induce PR synthesis. Interestingly, the antiestrogen 4-OHT was able to block the norethindrone-induced PR synthesis. This agrees with our hypothesis that the progestins in OC are estrogenic and that antiestrogens are able to block their actions.

**DISCUSSION**

We have investigated the effects of progestins on the proliferation of human breast cancer cells and determined the possible mechanism underlying their action. We conclude that the 19-nortestosterone derivatives norethynodrel, norgestrel, and norethindrone are estrogenic in stimulating the growth of ER+ human breast cancer cells and that this action is mediated through ER.

Plasma progestin concentrations in women taking OC is in the range of $10^{-7}$ to $10^{-6}$ M (39, 41, 42), consistent with the levels we used in vitro. Therefore, our finding that the growth of mammary epithelia in culture can be stimulated by the progestins in OC supports the hypothesis that these compounds might do the same in vivo (16). In addition, our study provides further support for the possibility that women taking OC for an extended period of time will maintain this risk of developing breast cancer. Our results (Fig. 3) demonstrate that a modest protective effect at best could be expected from these progestins in the prevention of breast cancer. Unlike antiestrogens, the progestins do not have a profound effect on estrogen-stimulated growth. The dominant action of 19-nortestosterone derivatives is as a growth promoter. This position is supported by the epidemiological data, which show that women are not protected from breast cancer by OC use.

Recently, Battersby et al. (43) demonstrated that the ER was decreased and PR was increased by the progestins in OC in the normal breast. In addition, Williams et al. (44) showed that OC use increased cell proliferation in normal breast and suggested that OC use is a risk factor for breast cancer. This is consistent with the observation we obtained in cultured human breast cancer cells. The ability of the progestin components in OC to stimulate the growth of human breast cancer cells and to induce PR synthesis in a manner that was blocked by antiestrogens but not antiprogestin led us to speculate that these progestins were estrogenic in stimulating the growth of human breast cancer cells and that this action was mediated through ER. Furthermore, it is possible that 19-nortestosterone derivatives could act...
through ER (45), since it was suggested that the estrogentic action of androgens is mediated through ER in human breast cancer MCF-7 cells (46). Several reports have described the estrogentic actions of 19-nortestosterone derivatives (25, 46-48). However, they did not have sufficient evidence to demonstrate that ER, but not PR, is involved. Our approach using hormone response element-CAT constructs proves the mechanism is via ER.

It is well known that steroid regulation of gene transcription in target cells is mediated by the steroid’s cognate specific high-affinity intracellular receptor proteins (49-51). These receptors act as transcription factors that can regulate gene expression positively or negatively by interacting with specific DNA sequences (hormone response elements) (49-51). Estrogen regulation of target gene transcription is mediated by estrogen receptors, which interact with the ERE sequence located in target genes (52, 53). Meyer et al. (54) showed that ER cannot activate transcription controlled by a PRE/GRE in vivo or bind to a PRE/GRE in vitro, nor can PR bind to a synthetic palindromic ERE. Therefore, only ER, and not PR, can bind to a synthetic palindromic ERE. We favor the hypothesis that 19-nortestosterone derivatives that are progestins bind directly to ER and then interact with the ERE in the target gene because (a) only ER and not PR interacts with the vitERE (54) used in our study; (b) these progestins induce the activities of only ERE-CAT but not the mutant ERE-CAT nor the parental plasmid; (c) other progestins do not induce ERE-CAT activities; (d) we do not observe any induction of the ERE-CAT activity in ER- cells; (e) antiestrogens but not antiprogestin block the progestin-induced cell proliferation and ERE-CAT activities; and (f)

---

Fig. 9. Effects of various progestins and E₂ on the induction of pBL.CAT.2, pvitERE15-TK-CAT, pvitERE15 mt-TK-CAT, and pGRE15T-TK-CAT activities. A, plasmid constructs of various reporter genes. B, effects of various progestins and E₂ on the induction of pBL.CAT.2, pvitERE15-TK-CAT, and pvitERE15 mt-TK-CAT activities. Cells were seeded, transfected, and assayed for CAT activity as described in Fig. 5. The concentrations of compounds used were: E₂, 10⁻¹⁰ M; norethynodrel, 10⁻⁶ M; norgestrel, 10⁻⁶ M; norethindrone, 10⁻⁶ M; R5020, 10⁻⁶ M; and MPA, 10⁻⁶ M. C, effects of norethynodrel on the induction of pGRE15T-TK-CAT activity. MCF-7 cells were seeded, and 10⁻¹⁰ M E₂ was added for 4 days before the transfection. Cells were then transfected, and norethynodrel at various concentrations was added for an additional 48 h. CAT was assayed as described in “Materials and Methods.”
Table 1  Effects of progestins and the antiestrogen 4-OHT on PR synthesis in breast cancer cells in culture

MCF-7 cells were deprived of estrogen for 6 days and various compounds were added for 6 days. Media were changed every other day. PR were extracted and assayed with Abbott PG-ER monochromat kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The experiments were carried out in duplicate wells. Data are the average of two determinants. Norethynodrel, norgestrel, and norethindrone increased the PR level. Antiestrogen 4-OHT blocked the level increase in PR. MPA and R5020 did not cause increases in the PR level. 4-OHT was estrogenic and caused an increase in PR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>PR levels (fmol/mg cytosol protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (10^{-11} M)</td>
<td>128 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2 (10^{-10} M)</td>
<td>323 ± 55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norethynodrel (10^{-6} M)</td>
<td>92 ± 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norgestrel (10^{-6} M)</td>
<td>26 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norethindrone (10^{-6} M)</td>
<td>83 ± 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norethindrone (10^{-6} M) + 4-OHT (10^{-7} M)</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-OHT (10^{-7} M)</td>
<td>18 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPA (10^{-6} M)</td>
<td>4 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R5020 (10^{-6} M)</td>
<td>4 ± 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

antiprogestin is able to block the progesterin-induced GRE-CAT activity but not the progesterin-induced growth and ERE-CAT activity.

We also ruled out the possibility that these progesterins were converted into aromatized estrogens for their actions, since the aromatase inhibitors 4-hydroxyandrostenedione and aminoglutethimide did not block the growth stimulation induced by these progesterins (data not shown). Nevertheless, it is still possible that these progesterins were converted into estrogenic metabolites, as suggested by other investigators (55-58). This does not invalidate the conclusion, however, that the 19-nortestosterone derivatives are indeed estrogenic. Further studies will be necessary to confirm this possibility. In addition, we were able to show that the action of norethindrone was not due to the presence of an estrogenic contaminant such as mestranol. When the high-performance liquid chromatography-purified norethindrone peak was collected and used for both growth and ERE-CAT assays, we observed the same results as reported here (data not shown). Therefore, this study suggests that 19-nortestosterone derivatives in OC can activate ER to stimulate the growth of ER+ human breast cancer cells and provides further support for the dual effects of the 19-nortestosterone derivatives used in OC.

The observation that R5020 was able to induce ERE-CAT activity only at high concentrations is nevertheless intriguing. R5020 may exhibit some weak estrogenic activity at high concentrations. This is consistent with the observation by Hissom and Moore (40) that R5020 stimulated proliferation of T47D human breast cancer cells. It is important to note that R5020 is also a steroid lacking the 19-C group like 19-nortestosterone derivatives. Therefore, it is reasonable to believe that R5020 may have weak estrogenic actions at high concentration.

Our results may help to explain the conflicting evidence linking OC and breast cancer risk. A rigorous evaluation of the "total" estrogenicity of OC on breast cancer cells might produce a better correlation with breast cancer risk. This study provides direct evidence that 19-nortestosterone derivatives have intrinsic estrogenic properties. In addition, these results should also prove to be helpful in evaluating the long-term safety of OC and in understanding the underlying mechanism of the proposed toxicities. Since estrogen is implicated in the development of breast cancer, we believe that less estrogenic progestins should be selected for inclusion in OC. There is a decrease in ovarian and endometrial carcinoma in OC users but no decrease in breast cancer incidence. Alteration in the formulation could in the future produce a decrease in breast cancer risk by reducing the "total" estrogenicity of the product.
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