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Abstract

Metastasis is the leading cause of death in cancer patients. Cell motility is believed to be a necessary step in the metastatic process (L. Liotta and W. G. Stetler-Stevenson, In: Cancer: Principles and Practice of Oncology, pp. 134–149, 1993). Currently, most methods available to study the behavior of metastatic tumor cells are indirect, e.g., cell motility is examined in vitro and the results are correlated with metastatic capability (A. W. Partin, et al., Cancer Treat. Res., 59: 121–130, 1992). We have developed a model that directly examines the motility of metastatic primary tumor cells in situ. A metastatic rat breast cancer cell line was established that constitutively expresses green fluorescent protein. Upon s.c. injection of these cells into the mammary fat pad of female Fisher 344 rats, primary and metastatic tumors form that fluoresce when they are excited with FITC-filtered light. Animations of metastatic tumor cells moving in live rats were generated by intravital imaging of the primary tumor in situ on a laser scanning confocal microscope. With this model, the behavioral phenotype of metastatic and nonmetastatic tumor cells can be described and determined. This information will allow the effects of genetic manipulations or therapeutic treatments on this phenotype to be determined (D. R. Soll, Int. Rev. Cytol., 163: 43–104, 1995). This is the first time that living primary tumor cells in a live animal have been visualized as part of a clinically relevant model.

Introduction

Metastasis is a multistep process that, for some types of tumors, is believed to require cell motility for tumor cells to invade and exit host tissues and vasculatures. Wood (1), in his pioneering studies, observed apparent in vivo movement of tumor cells following injection of V2 carcinoma cells into the rabbit ear vasculature. Videomicroscopy showed tumor cell extravasation, and pathology documented viable secondary metastatic implants.

Subsequent studies, noting that tumor cells are heterogeneous in their motility characteristics, focused on the potential relationship between the degree of motility and metastatic potential. Using time lapse videomicroscopy, Hosaka et al. (2) found that those rat hepatoma cell lines known to show early vascular invasion showed greater active locomotion in vitro than did those which did not. A later series of experiments by Raz et al. (3), which examined the behavior of closely related cell lines and clones originally derived from the same parental tumor, similarly found that highly metastatic cells show considerably more motility in vitro than do their low metastatic counterparts.

Studies with an emphasis on morphology have shown that tumor cells exhibit leukocyte-like motility and, more specifically, pseudopod extension. Hosaka et al. (4) observed movement analogous to leukocytic diapedesis in AH66F and Yoshida sarcoma cells in culture. Locker et al. (5), after inoculating Yoshida ascites hepatoma cells into chick embryos, documented pseudopod extension by ultrastructural examination of the resultant liver metastases in fixed tissue. Such pseudopods were even observed to be located between hepatocytes, suggesting ameboid movement. On the basis of similar observations but using an in vitro model system, Mohler and co-workers (6, 7) developed a visual grading system of tumor cells grown in culture, designed to predict a tumor’s metastatic potential. Cumulative scores of morphological characteristics such as membrane ruffling, pseudopod extension, and vectoral translocation allowed these investigators to successfully distinguish highly metastatic cell lines from those with low metastatic potential, despite histological resemblances (8). In sum, these studies highlight the importance of motility as a positive predictor for the development of metastases. A limitation is that all of these live cell observations have been made in vitro.

Examples of intravital imaging systems include videomicroscopy of the microvasculature in the choioallantoic membrane (9), the cremaster muscle, and the liver (10). In principle, the systems are similar. Fluorescently labeled tumor cells are injected into the regional vasculature and cells are observed as they lodge, extravasate, and begin to multiply. These models have substantively contributed to our knowledge of the later steps in the metastatic cascade. They give us no information, however, about how tumor cells exit their native environment, and in some cases, they are highly artificial, e.g., observing the behavior of murine melanoma cells after injection into immunodeficient chick embryos.

The in vivo reality is that the metastatic cascade is influenced both by intrinsic properties of the tumor cell and by interactions with the host environment. It is generally agreed that, for animal models to have human clinical relevance, the model must simulate, as closely as possible, the natural biological state. Heterologous systems, for example, or models involving tumors grown in ectopic sites (xenograft models) do not permit the usual tumor-stroma interaction, which may affect both tumorigenesis and the development of metastatic subclones. Furthermore, models that use the nude mouse lack the usual host immunomodulatory mechanisms, which may also affect both the primary tumor development and its pattern of spread.

An ideal model, then, for the observation of motile events in metastasis, would be homologous, immune competent, and in vivo. In addition, a tracking mechanism would be needed so that tumor cells might be distinguished from normal host cells. Fluorescent labeling is possible, but this is a temporary phenomenon, restricted to one cell.
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cycle. Transfection of tumor cells with GFP\(^4\) cDNA, however, produces a heritable, stable volume marker that allows cells to be followed long term without additional manipulations. To date, GFP has been used only in static observations of tumor cells in vivo or in culture conditions (11).

Here, we report a model that allows the early motile events of metastasis to be visualized at a cellular level using GFP-expressing tumor cells in live immune-competent rats. The system is homogeneous and uses rat mammary adenocarcinoma cells that are orthotopically injected into the mammary fat pad of female rats.

Materials and Methods

Stable Transfection. The LipofectAMINE (Life Technologies, Inc.) method was used for transfections according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Cells were transfected with 11.4 \(\mu\)g of the pGreenLantern-1 vector (Life Technologies, Inc.) and 1.4 \(\mu\)g of the pSV\(\gamma\)neo vector. Cells were assayed for GFP fluorescence 24 h after the start of the transfection. Forty-eight h after transfection, cells were placed in medium containing 0.8 mg/ml Geneticin disulfate salt (Sigma Chemical Co.).

Spectral Assays. MTLn3 and MTLn3+GFP cells were grown to 60–80% confluence, harvested with trypsin-EDTA (Life Technologies, Inc.), and resuspended in lysis buffer (0.5% Triton X-100-PBS) at a density of 1 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) cells/ml. The intensities of fluorescence at passages 13, 20, and 28 were determined on a Hitachi F-2000 fluorescence spectrophotometer at peak GFP excitation and emission wavelengths (489 and 512 nm, respectively).

Histopathology. To produce primary tumors, we adhered to the protocol described by Neri et al. (16). Briefly, s.c. injection of MTLn3 cells into the mammary fat pad of these rats results in primary tumor formation, followed by subsequent metastasis to the lymph nodes and lungs. At the time of sacrifice, the primary tumor was excised and groin and axilla were explored bilaterally for lymph nodes. Any grossly identifiable adenopathy was removed and submitted for histological examination. The abdominal, thoracic, and cranial cavities were also explored, and the lungs, liver, and brain were routinely sampled.

Intravital Imaging. For intravital imaging, the animals were anesthetized by isoflurane inhalation. The primary tumor was surgically exposed, creating a skin flap that allowed the tumor to be viewed while an intact blood supply from the superficial branch of the femoral artery was maintained (13). For viewing the tumor in vivo, an acrylic platform with a coverslip window was designed (Fig. 1, bottom). The platform rested on the microscope stage with the coverslip over the objective. The animal lay to the side of the coverslip window designed (Fig. 1. bottom)- The platform rested on the microscope stage with the

Microscopy. Intravital imaging was carried out on a Bio-Rad MRC 600 CLSM system and a Nikon Diaphot inverted microscope. The system used an unattenuated Kr/Ar laser, a confocal slit of 8 mm, and an FITC filter block (laser line at 488 nm, long-pass emission filter at 515 nm). All digital images were saved using COMOS software (Bio-Rad). For time lapse imaging, single optical sections were obtained at 2-min intervals for a period of 1 h. Saved images were transferred to a Macintosh Quadra 840AV computer. Each image was processed with either Adobe Photoshop 3.0.5 or NIH Image software and uses an animation.

Motion Analysis. Motion analysis was performed on animations using 2D-DIAS software (Solltech, Iowa City, IA). Cell perimeters were manually traced and digitized at each time point. Centroid (cell center) location at each time point was computed from X and Y coordinates of the pixels at the perimeter of the digitized cell image. Difference pictures were generated by superimposing successive frames. The central difference method described by Maron (14) was used to determine instantaneous “velocity” (\(\mu\)m/min). Directional change was calculated as the absolute difference in centroid location between two consecutive frames. For velocity measurements in vitro, cells were videorecorded as they moved randomly on a surface or as they were subjected to a gradient of EGF delivered using a micropipette, as described elsewhere (15).

Results and Discussion

The highly metastatic MTLn3 cell line, derived from the 13762NF rat mammary adenocarcinoma, was cotransfected with the pGreenLantern-1 vector (Life Technologies, Inc.), which contains the cDNA for a humanized S65T mutant of GFP, and the pSV\(\gamma\)neo vector. One clone, MTLn3+GFP, was chosen for its ability to retain both a fluorescent phenotype and resistance to neomycin in culture. The growth rate and morphology of the MTLn3+GFP cells were the same as those of parental MTLn3 cells. The transfected cells appear uniformly fluorescent, and the cell perimeters were easily defined. The fluorescence level of the MTLn3+GFP cells, measured by fluorescence spectrophotometry, remained stable through 30 passages in culture. This was verified by measuring the fluorescence intensity of MTLn3+GFP cell lysates, at various culture passage numbers, on a fluorescence spectrophotometer.

The metastatic involvement of tissues from rats injected with MTLn3 cells was summarized previously by Neri et al. (16) and Edmonds et al. (17). The pattern of involvement seen in this model is closely correlated with that seen clinically in breast cancer patients (18). An extensive histopathological examination was performed to establish that, upon inoculation, MTLn3+GFP cells would follow the same pattern of metastatic tissue involvement as did the parental MTLn3 cells. The results of this study indicate that the MTLn3+GFP cells retain the same metastatic phenotype displayed by parental MTLn3 cells (Table 1). Immunohistochemistry with an anti-GFP polyclonal antibody (Clontech) was performed on paraffin sections of MTLn3+GFP primary tumors. The results showed GFP expression to be homogeneous throughout all primary tumors and stable through 5 weeks postinjection (data not shown). Control MTLn3 tumors showed no positive staining for GFP.

Primary tumors and tissues with secondary involvement were dissected and viewed with light passed through an FITC filter set. We found that the primary tumor is brightly fluorescent (Fig. 1A, top). Primary tumors and their blood vessels are easily identifiable: the tumors themselves appear much brighter than the background of host tissue. This fluorescence could also be seen in metastatic tumors of the lymph nodes (data not shown) and lungs (Fig. 1B, top). The fluorescence level of the MTLn3+GFP cells persisted in primary tumors and metastases throughout the life span of the animals injected. On the basis of these results, we analyzed the motility of fluorescent MTLn3 cells in primary tumors growing in live animals.

All intravital imaging, that is, imaging of an intact primary tumor in a live animal (Fig. 1, C–E, top; Figs. 2 and 3) was carried out on a laser scanning confocal microscope (Bio-Rad MRC 600) using a whole-animal platform, as shown in Fig. 1 (bottom). In images of regions containing both primary tumor and blood vessels, the blood vessels appear black because they are not fluorescent. The observation of blood flowing freely in the tumor’s vasculature was readily observed in the microscope by eye during the imaging session (data not shown), indicating that the blood supply to the tissue was not disrupted.

To determine the characteristics of cell motility in vivo, time lapse imaging was performed on the tumor shown in Fig. 1, D and E, top. Fig. 2A (arrows) shows the single cell in Fig. 1, D and E, top, after displaying the time-lapse video as a series of difference pictures, rendered from DIAS software, as described in “Materials and Methods.” The DIAS images demonstrate that the cell exhibits random motility with frequent changes in direction as indicated by its velocity vector (Fig. 2A). It is apparent from this series of images that cell perimeters are easily defined with this technology. This allows iden-
Fig. 1. Description of the GFP cell model for intravital imaging. A–E, fluorescent primary and metastatic lung tumors from MTLn3+GFP cells. A, fluorescent MTLn3+GFP cell-induced primary tumor viewed on a Zeiss Axioskop microscope. Magnification, ×1.5. This fluorescent tumor was dissected 2 weeks after injection of 1.5 × 10⁶ cells into the mammary fat pad. For viewing this and other dissected tissues, animals were sacrificed in a CO₂ chamber. All dissected tissue was kept alive by maintenance in ice-cold PBS and viewed immediately. Scale bar, 1 mm. B, projection of lung metastasis in lung dissected four weeks after injection. This image was taken on the Bio-Rad MRC 600 scanning laser confocal microscope equipped with a ×20 dry objective (Apochromat; Nikon; numerical aperture = 0.5). To generate this image, consecutive focal planes were imaged using a Z-axis stepping motor. The image shown is a projection of the Z-series constructed by COMOS software using a maximum algorithm. Scale bar, 50 μm. C, primary tumors are exposed by minimal surgery. The primary tumor was produced according to the method of Neri et al. (16). The tumor was surgically exposed in the anesthetized animal creating a skin flap that allows the tumor to be viewed while an intact blood supply to the tumor and surrounding tissues was maintained. Shown in figure are: primary tumor (a), skin flap (b), superficial epigastric branch of the femoral artery (c), lateral thoracic artery (d). D and E, paired stereo projections of primary tumor cells bordering a blood vessel. Arrow, cell shown in Fig. 2A. The microscope was equipped with either a ×10 dry objective (Apochromat; Nikon; NA = 0.3; as in D) or a ×20 dry objective (Apochromat; Nikon; NA = 0.5; as in E). These stereo projections were generated as described in Fig. 1 legend. Scale bars, 100 μm. F and G, details of the microscope chamber used to view primary tumors in live rats. F: the viewing chamber was constructed from clear acrylic 0.5 cm thick with a central rectangular hole. A glass coverslip (0.151) was glued over the hole and the animal was placed face down on the viewing platform with the tumor positioned over the hole. When imaging at high magnification greater mechanical stability was achieved by placing a 2 mm thick insert into the viewing platform with a 2-cm hole in the center. The glass coverslip was then glued over the smaller hole and the tumor was positioned over the hole. This arrangement prevented bending of the glass coverslip by the weight of the animal which might disturb fine focusing with higher magnification objectives. G, orientation of the anesthetized animal on the viewing platform of the inverted microscope is shown.

Fig. 2B consists of three still frames extracted from a video time-lapse obtained during imaging of an intact primary tumor in a live animal. The directed protrusion of the marked cell is clearly demonstrated as it makes its way into the field of view over a 6-min time period. The DIAS difference pictures of the same cell from the time interval t = 10–16 min, of a 60-min viewing period, is shown in Fig. 2C. In this figure, pseudopod extension occurs at the same pole of the cell at each time point, indicating successive cell movements in the same general direction. This is distinct from the type of cell movement seen in Fig. 2A, in which the cell remains stationary while it extends and retracts pseudopods in all directions.

Photobleaching of fluorophores is a common drawback of laser scanning confocal microscopy; it can lead to dramatic misinterpretations of changes in cell shape over time, including extensions and retractions of pseudopods, observations that are crucial to descriptions of cell motility.
Table 1  Metastatic involvement of tissues from rats inoculated with MTLn3 or MTLn3+GFP cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week</th>
<th>PT*</th>
<th>IPN</th>
<th>CLN</th>
<th>Lung</th>
<th>PT*</th>
<th>IPN</th>
<th>CLN</th>
<th>Lung</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>3/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PT, primary tumor; IPN, ipsilateral lymph node; CLN, contralateral lymph node.

The timing and appearance of MTLn3+GFP cell-induced primary tumors and metastases correspond to the pattern displayed by MTLn3 parental cells. Female 49-day-old Fischer 344 rats (Charles River Laboratories) were injected s.c. with 1.0 × 10⁶ cells (either MTLn3 or MTLn3+GFP) suspended in 150 µl of PBS under the right abdominal nipple in the region of the mammary fat pad. Tumor growth was allowed to progress for 1.5–5 weeks. Each animal was palpated and grossly inspected for metastases. In addition, primary tumors, lymph nodes, lungs, liver, and brain were excised and submitted for routine histological examination. In no cases were metastases found in the brain or liver.

In our model system, a 30% decrease in pixel intensity was calculated after obtaining eight images every 2 min over the course of 1 h. This did not affect our analyses because this level of photobleaching did not prevent identification of cell margins.

Furthermore, a more direct assessment of the effect of prolonged exposure to laser illumination was carried out on MTLn3+GFP cells by video time-lapse recording cells that were subjected to unattenuated laser confocal imaging in vitro while undergoing both random motility and stimulated motility in response to EGF. In both cases, the cells responded normally, as compared to the behavior of parental Mtn3 cells when exposed to low levels of white light as usually used in phase contrast imaging (15). It is important to note that no detectable changes in cell behavior were observed in the MTLn3+GFP cells, although the laser illumination used in vitro was more intense than that occurring in vivo due to light scattering caused by the presence of host tissue. For these reasons, we conclude that the cells remain fully functional and motile during laser illumination of the intact tumor.

Cellular locomotion was observed repeatedly in several experiments. The average instantaneous velocity of seven cells, from four different primary tumors, was measured as 3.0 ± 2.2 µm/min. However, in all of the tumors examined, it was apparent that only a small fraction of primary tumor cells are actively motile. One of these motile cells is shown, in Fig. 3A, as it moves across the field. Fig. 3B demonstrates the centroid and perimeter plots of the cell tracked in Fig. 3A (arrows). From these plots, an instantaneous velocity of 3.4 ± 1.5 µm/min and a persistence value of 1.48 ± 1.2 µm/min-deg was calculated. Persistence is a parameter that can be useful as an index of chemotaxis or directed cell movement (19). The persistence value demonstrated by this cell is high, taking into account the maximum (3.4 µm/min-degree) and minimum (0.07 µm/min-degree) values of persistence possible for a cell moving at a velocity of 3.4 µm/min: persistence = speed/[1 + ((100/360) × directional change in degrees)]/deg. The velocities shown by these cells in the primary tumor are higher than velocities observed by these cells in culture during either random motility (0.45 ± 0.08, n = 8) or highly persistent motility (0.82 ± 0.19, n = 8) observed in a gradient of EGF, which is chemotactic for MTLn3 cells (15). Besides velocity and persistence, additional parameters that one can compute using a DIAS analysis are described elsewhere (19).

Through the use of this model, we have observed the locomotion of metastatic primary tumor cells and surface features of these movements in live animals. It is clear that these tumor cells are actively motile as they disseminate from their primary site. This information
supports the three-step hypothesis of metastasis (20), which purports that motility is necessary for a cell to metastasize. This model will be useful not only in describing and tracking the behavior and interactions of these metastatic tumor cells in vivo but also in assessing the effects that genetic manipulations of key cytoskeletal proteins impart on cell motility and metastasis (19). This model will allow the direct correlation of key metastatic markers with the subpopulation of locomoting cells in a metastatic primary tumor. Additionally, it will provide a means to evaluate the impacts of treatment modalities such as pharmaceuticals, radiation, and chemotherapy on metastatic tumor cell behavior in vivo. It is the first model that allows direct observation of metastasis in an intact orthotopically grown primary tumor while in a live animal.

We expect that further modifications can improve the resolution of this model. Increased intensity of fluorescence can be achieved by transfection of tumor cells with new genetically enhanced versions of GFP that have increased emission and expression (12, 21, 22). We have already successfully acquired Z-series during time lapse imaging to generate three-dimensional views of cell movement (data not shown). New confocal technology with more sensitive detectors, higher light throughput, and higher speeds of image acquisition will significantly increase the spatial and temporal resolution of this type of cell imaging in intact tissue. In addition, the application of two photon confocal microscopy will allow repeated imaging of the same field without photobleaching or toxicity.
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