Prognostic Value of P53 Gene Mutations in a Large Series of Node-negative Breast Cancer Patients
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ABSTRACT

The most important subgroup of breast cancer patients for which reliable prognostic factors are needed are women without axillary lymph node involvement. Although overall, these patients have a good prognosis, it is known that 20–30% will experience a recurrence of the disease. To determine the prognostic significance of P53 tumor suppressor gene mutation, specimens from 113 primary breast cancers were evaluated for the presence of P53 alterations, as detected by cDNA sequencing of the entire coding sequence of the gene. The median follow-up for patients was 105 months. P53 gene mutation was an independent prognostic marker of early relapse and death. Our results suggest that P53 gene mutations could be an important factor to identify node-negative patients who have a poor prognosis in the absence of adjuvant therapy. Prospective studies should be designed to determine which therapy should be performed in this subgroup of patients.

INTRODUCTION

Tumor involvement of the axillary lymph nodes has been the primary parameter in making decisions on adjuvant therapy for breast cancer patients because it is known that these patients have both a high risk for recurrence and a shorter overall survival time. However, 20–30% of lymph node-negative breast cancer patients will relapse with a local recurrence or distant metastasis (1). Reliable prognostic markers are needed to help clinicians identify this subset of patients and arrive at more rational treatment decisions. The importance of identifying high-risk patients at diagnosis is supported by the evidence of an improved survival after chemotherapy for specific subsets of patients with lymph node-negative breast cancer (2, 3). A variety of molecular genetic changes have been described in breast cancer. Oncogene and tumor suppressor gene alterations have been studied in an attempt to define the molecular correlation of prognosis and the clinical behavior of breast cancer phenotype (4). Among those, the P53 tumor suppressor gene has become the focus of intensive studies. The current and most powerful model of wild-type p53 function is one in which p53 monitors the genome for DNA damage (5). After treatment of cells with DNA damaging agents, p53 protein levels are increased by posttranslational stabilization and can transactivate various genes that may be related to cell cycle arrest or apoptosis (6). Arrest of cell cycle progression following DNA damage is thought to represent a basic protective mechanism preventing replication of damaged template DNA. If damage is irreparable, the cell may be driven to the apoptotic pathway, thus preventing replication of defective mutations. In the P53 tumor suppressor gene are the most frequent known genetic alterations in all human cancers (7). Most of the biologically significant mutations impair the ability of p53 to participate in the maintenance of genomic stability. Consequently, tumors lacking normal p53 might be expected to be prone to deleterious mutations and to be more aggressive clinically. Many studies have examined the association between breast cancer prognosis and p53 protein expression in tumor cells (8–16). The use of IHC2 was based on the fact that missense mutations normally result in an increased half-life of the protein product and a consequent accumulation of the mutant p53 protein in the nucleus. Differences in methodologies and variability in the frequency and intensity of immunoreactivity with p53-specific antibodies may explain discrepant results reported for the prognostic significance of p53 accumulation within the same tumor type (8, 11–15). In contrast, there have been only a few reports on P53 gene alterations in large series of breast cancers. Nevertheless, recent studies reported the prognostic value of P53 mutations in nonselected breast cancers comprising both node-negative and node-positive tumors (17, 18). In our study, the complete coding region of the P53 gene was sequenced from 113 node-negative breast cancers. In parallel, immunohistochemical studies were performed using two monoclonal antibodies directed against p53. The P53 status was then related to prognosis for relapse-free survival and overall survival, with a median duration of follow-up of 105 months.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Population. Entered in this study were randomly selected tumor samples from 113 breast cancer patients treated and followed up at the Center Léon Bérard. All patients had a primary breast tumor and histopathologically verified carcinoma-free axillary lymph nodes. The median age of patients at the time of diagnosis was 59 years (range, 32–87 years). Patients had been surgically treated by mastectomy or lumpectomy and axillary dissection. Postoperative radiotherapy was given to 47 patients. Adjuvant chemotherapy consisting primarily of three courses of i.v. 5-fluorouracil, Adriamycin, and methotrexate was offered to all patients with a tumor >30 mm (n = 27 patients). Adjuvant hormonal therapy (tamoxifen) was given to postmenopausal patients having positive estrogen receptor tumors (n = 57). The mean follow-up was 103 ± 34 months for patients still alive (median follow-up, 105 months). All patients treated for breast cancer were seen on a regular basis every 6 months for 5 years; after 5 years, they were seen on a yearly basis. The follow-up evaluation consisted of a clinical evaluation, physical examination, X-ray procedures, and serum chemistry tests.

Tumor samples were collected at the Department of Pathology of the Center Léon Bérard. Tumors were pure histological variants of invasive breast carcinomas comprising 98 ductal, 10 lobular, 2 mucinous, 1 tubular, 1 cribriform, and 1 squamous cell metaplastic carcinomas. Tumor size was recorded as the maximum tumor diameter in a fresh mastectomy specimen. The median size of collected tumors was 25 mm (range, 7–70 mm). Histopathological type and grade of the carcinomas were reevaluated according to WHO criteria and Scarff-Bloom-Richardson grading, respectively (19). Results of the cytosolic estrogen and progesterone receptor assays (cutoff, 10 fmol/mg of protein), which had been performed on snap-frozen tumor samples by the dextran-coated charcoal method, were available from patient records in 112 cases. A sample was carefully selected from the most viable part of the tumor to be snap frozen in liquid nitrogen, transferred, and stored at −70°C until analysis. A slide was generated to estimate the percentage of tumor cells. All samples studied contained 60% or more malignant cells.
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This retrospective study included 26% of tumors from node-negative patients treated at the Center Léon Bérard between 1983 and 1989. The studied population was representative of node-negative breast cancer patients treated at the Center Léon Bérard during this period (median age of patients at the time of diagnosis, 57 years; median tumor size of invasive breast carcinomas, 22 mm; histopathological types: ductal carcinomas, 74%; lobular carcinomas, 8%; others, 18%).

**IHC of Paraffin-embedded Tissue.** Intraepithelial p53 protein levels were evaluated in 112 tumors by immunohistochemical analysis. Four-μm sections from Bouin-fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks were deparaffinized in toluene, transferred to 100% and 95% ethanol, and air dried. They were then treated with 5% hydrogen peroxide for 20 min to exhaust endogenous peroxidase. Tissue sections were placed in sodium citrate solution (0.01 M, pH 6.0) and then incubated three times for 5 min each in a 800-W microwave oven. After preincubation in 1% BSA in PBS, sections were incubated for 90 min at 37°C with mouse monoclonal p53 antibodies DO-7 (Dako Corp.) or PAb1801 (Oncogene Science) diluted 1:50, washed, and reacted with streptavidin-biotin-peroxidase reagents (Dako) and diaminobenzidine chromogen. Sections were slightly counterstained with hematoxylin. The entire slides were microscopically evaluated separately by two investigators. The localization and the intensity of the staining and the percentage of positive-stained tumor cells were estimated by each investigator. When the values determined by the two investigators differed by greater than 5%, the score was decided by joint examination. A threshold of 5% cells staining was chosen to score tumors as IHC positive. In all series, a set of breast cancers with high levels of p53 was used as the positive control. Negative controls were obtained by omission of the primary antibody.

**Sequence-based Analysis of P53.** The method was essentially as described by Sjögren et al. (20). RNA was prepared from the frozen tumors under stringent conditions to avoid degradation and contamination. This was followed by an enzymatic conversion of the RNA to cDNA. P53 was amplified from the tumor cDNA by the PCR using four overlapping primer pairs covering the complete coding region of the P53 gene. Biotin-labeled PCR products were produced with one of the primers (in each pair) being modified with a biotin molecule, which facilitated solid-phase sequencing. Solid-phase sequencing was carried out using AutoLoad solid phase sequencing combs and T7 DNA polymerase (Pharmacia Biotech). The sequencing products generated were analyzed using an automated laser fluorescence ALF DNA sequencer (Pharmacia Biotech). The limit for heterozygote detection in wild-type back mutation was 1.82 (95% confidence interval, 1.08-3.06; P = 0.02).

**RESULTS**

**Detection and Characterization of P53 Mutations.** A total of 113 breast cancer RNA samples were analyzed by cDNA sequencing. Mutations were detected in tumors from 18 (16%) patients (Table 1). All mutations were missense mutations. Thirteen of them were located within evolutionarily conserved domains of P53. G/C to A/T transitions were predominant (12 of 18 mutations). This type of alterations is thought to be involved in spontaneous mutations (7). Codons 110, 175, 248, 273, and 337 (CGN), which encode arginine, accounted for 10 of the 18 mutations.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis of p53 Protein Accumulation.** 112 tumors from the total were examined by IHC for p53 protein overexpression using antibodies PAB1801 (p33IHC-PAB1801) and DO-7 (p33IHC-DO7). The localization and the intensity of the staining and the percentage of positive cells were assessed without knowledge of clinical outcome and P53 mutation status. p53 labeling was restricted to tumor cell nuclei. Cytoplasmic staining, as reported by other authors (21), was not observed in our series. In agreement with previous reports (22, 23), we found a discrepancy between the immunoreactivity of the different p53 monoclonal antibodies. Thirty tumors were positive using DO-7, although only 16 of them expressed a mutant P53 gene. Using PAB1801, overexpression of the p53 protein was found in 13 tumors. Ten of these contained mutant P53. Thus, a significant fraction of IHC-positive tumors did not show any gene alterations by sequencing. It is noticeable that some of these tumors displayed up to 70% positive cells. Therefore, as previously reported, the proportion of p53-stained cells is not an exact representation of the number of cancer cells bearing a mutation within a tumor (24).

**P53 Mutations and Pathobiological Variables.** Table 2 shows the distribution of some tumors and patients characteristics by P53 status. χ² analysis revealed significant associations between the presence of P53 mutations and high histological grade (P < 0.001), lack of estrogen receptors (P = 0.0044), and lack of progesterone receptors (P = 0.0392). No correlation was observed between P53 gene mutation and the age at diagnosis or tumor size.

**Survival Analysis.** Kaplan-Meier curves demonstrated significantly shorter overall (P = 0.0026) and relapse-free (P = 0.0077) survival for patients who had a primary tumor with P53 alterations (Figs. 1 and 2). Only 60% of patients with P53 mutations were alive 5 years after surgery, compared with 88% for those lacking detectable mutation. Together with patient age, P53 status was the only factor that predicted survival. In univariate analyses, tumor size (RH, 0.98; P = 0.28), estrogen receptor (RH, 0.79; P = 0.42), progesterone receptor (RH, 0.65; P = 0.13), and histological grade (RH, 0.92; P = 0.78) had no significant power. The RH associated with a P53 mutation was 1.82 (95% confidence interval, 1.08–3.06; P = 0.02). The detection of a gene mutation by cDNA sequencing had a substantially greater prognostic value than the observation of a P53

---

**Table 1 Location and sequence change of P53 mutations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor no.</th>
<th>Codon</th>
<th>Nucleotide change</th>
<th>Amino acid change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>GCT→GCT</td>
<td>Arg→Pro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>TGC→TGC</td>
<td>Cys→Tyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>CCC→CTC</td>
<td>Pro→Ser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>CGC→CAC</td>
<td>Arg→His</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>865</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>CGC→CAC</td>
<td>Arg→His</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>598</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>TGC→TAC</td>
<td>Cys→Tyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>538</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>CAT→GAT</td>
<td>His→Asp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>986</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>TTC→ACC</td>
<td>Ile→Thr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>917</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>CGG→TGG</td>
<td>Arg→Trp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>770</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>CGG→TGG</td>
<td>Arg→Trp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>932</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>AGG→GGG</td>
<td>Arg→Gly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>905</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>ATC→AAC</td>
<td>Ile→Asn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>GAC→GTC</td>
<td>Asp→Val</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1066</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>CGT→CAT</td>
<td>Arg→His</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>766</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>CGT→CAT</td>
<td>Arg→His</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>562</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>CGT→CAT</td>
<td>Arg→His</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1003</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>CGC→GTC</td>
<td>Arg→Cys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2 Distribution of tumor and patient characteristics by P53 gene status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate (no. of patients)</th>
<th>P53 mutation</th>
<th>Wild-type P53</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estrogen receptor (112), &gt;10 fmol/mg</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Progesterone receptor (112), &gt;10 fmol/mg</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III versus grade I or II (110)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, yr (113)</td>
<td>58.2 ± 13.9*</td>
<td>58.8 ± 12.4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (100)</td>
<td>29.47 ± 6.74*</td>
<td>27.37 ± 12.17*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean value ± SD.
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Fig. 1. Overall survival by P53 gene status.
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Fig. 2. Relapse-free survival by P53 gene status.

protein accumulation by IHC. RHs associated with p53 accumulation detected by DO-7 and PAb1801 were 1.53 (P = 0.05) and 1.63 (P = 0.10), respectively. As previously mentioned, in line with other investigators (25–27), we chose a threshold of 5% cells staining to score tumors as IHC-positive. This subjective choice was based on the decision not to take into consideration scattered single p53-positive cells that could reflect microenvironmental stresses in individual cells rather than a widespread change throughout the neoplastic cells. As shown in Table 3, depending on the antibody, the variation of the cutoff affected in a different way the prognostic significance of p53 protein accumulation. This confirms that p53 immunostaining depends greatly on the conditions and reagents used in the test and illustrates the need for optimization of each individual antibody used in immunohistochemical studies.

Next, we investigated whether P53 status maintained its prognostic value for survival in the presence of information provided by other variables. The variables used for the multivariate analysis were age at diagnosis, tumor size, and estrogen and progesterone receptors. Information on 100 patients fulfilled the criteria set by the program. As shown in Table 4, P53 mutation was an independent prognostic factor for survival, with RHs of the same magnitude in both univariate and multivariate models. When the same parameters (age at diagnosis, tumor size, and estrogen and progesterone receptors) were considered, the prognostic value of p53 protein accumulation was statistically significant only for p53HCl-PAb1801 (cutoff, 1%; RH, 1.83; P = 0.04). Tests using other thresholds of positivity and/or the DO-7 antibody had no prognostic significance in multiple regression analysis.

DISCUSSION

To date, seven independent studies, using different methodologies, evaluated the prognostic value of genetic alterations of the P53 tumor suppressor gene in invasive breast cancers (17, 18, 23, 26, 28–30). All but one (30) observed a strong association of P53 mutation with poor survival. The median clinical follow-up of these studies varied from

Table 3 Cox proportional hazard univariate analysis of p53 protein accumulation
Impact of the cutoff (% = percentage of p53-positive cells) on the prognostic value of p53HCl-DO-7 and p53HCl-PAb1801.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cutoff</th>
<th>DO-7 RH (95% CI)</th>
<th>PAb 1801 RH (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1.19 (0.76–1.87)</td>
<td>2.01 (1.20–3.37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1.53 (0.99–2.39)</td>
<td>1.63 (0.91–2.96)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>1.60 (1.01–2.56)</td>
<td>1.75 (0.91–3.39)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* CI, confidence interval.
Two recent nonrandomized studies, one based on cDNA sequencing ever, it is noticeable that all four patients with a negative sequence surgery and locoregional radiotherapy. Considering the possible im patients with tumors displaying P53 abnormality (25, 32). In these from radiotherapy in preventing local relapse among node-negative aggressive tumors. Which therapy should be offered to these patients? tumours of good prognosis, P53 mutations identify a subpopulation of observation indicates that among breast cancers usually classified as to only 9% (7 of 75) of the patients with wild-type P53. This 50% of patients with mutant P53 had died, in contrast to 23% of constitutes a factor of poor prognosis. By the end of our study period, of breast cancer in patients without axillary lymph nodes invasion. Using a CDNA-based sequencing method, we searched for mutations in the entire coding region of the P53 gene. The frequency of P53 missense mutations (16%) is in concordance with data reported by others (17). Although missense mutations are the most frequent alter the P53 gene, nonsense mutations, deletions, and insertions have been recently reported at an unusual frequency in breast cancers (17, 18). The lack of detection of these types of mutation in our study could be either linked to the low number of detected mutations or due to a differential pattern of mutations among populations, as suggested previously (27, 31). p53 protein accumulation was detected in a number of tumors expressing wild-type P53, as assessed by cDNA sequencing. False-negative sequencing results may occur as a conse- quence of contamination of tumor samples by adjacent normal tissue. However, the manner in which the tumor material was isolated in this study should have minimized such risk. Another explanation is the presence of mutations in noncoding regions of the gene. Alternatively, the accumulation of wild-type p53 may occur in response to a variety of stresses including DNA damage or hypoxia. Following the latter hypothesis, one could expect that the nuclear accumulation of wild- type p53 in tumor cells would be a predictive factor of favorable outcome. Further studies are necessary to test this hypothesis. How- ever, it is noticeable that all four patients with a negative sequence tumor displaying ≥40% stained cells by IHC, using the DO-7 anti- body, did not relapse and were still alive by the end of the study (mean follow-up of these patients, 104 months).

Our data clearly show that the detection of a P53 gene alteration constitutes a factor of poor prognosis. By the end of our study period, 50% of patients with mutant P53 had died, in contrast to 23% of patients with wild-type P53. More strikingly, among patients who did not receive adjuvant systemic chemotherapy, 50% (5 of 10) of the patients with mutant P53 died within 5 years after surgery, in contrast to only 9% (7 of 75) of the patients with wild-type P53. This observation indicates that among breast cancers usually classified as tumors of good prognosis, P53 mutations identify a subpopulation of aggressive tumors. Which therapy should be offered to these patients? Two recent nonrandomized studies, one based on cDNA sequencing and the other on IHC, provided indirect evidence of a beneficial effect from radiotherapy in preventing local relapse among node-negative patients with tumors displaying P53 abnormality (25, 32). In these studies, most of the patients were treated either by surgery only or by surgery and locoregional radiotherapy. Considering the possible im- pact on overall survival, the benefit from a systemic therapy (chemo- therapy and/or hormonotherapy) should also be evaluated. It is known that antiestrogens and genotoxic drugs used in chemotherapy modulate p53 activity (33, 34). However, in spite of numerous studies, the relationship between the P53 status and the activity of anticancer agents remains unclear. There are theoretical arguments for both increased and decreased chemosensitivity in tumors with mutant P53 (35–37). In our study, based on the size of the tumor, 27 patients were treated with chemotherapy at the time of diagnosis. Eight of these patients had a tumor with mutant P53. Among them, six were still alive 5 years after surgery. The overall survival was not significantly different (P = 0.3547) from the patients with wild-type P53 who received a similar treatment. In contrast, P53 mutation was a signif- icant prognostic factor for overall survival (P = 0.0065) among patients who did not receive systemic chemotherapy. Obviously, no conclusion can be drawn from these small subgroups of patients. Considering the high prognostic power of P53 mutations, prospective and randomized trials should be designed to evaluate the benefit of node-negative patients with a mutant P53-expressing tumor from specific adjuvant therapies.
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