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**ABSTRACT**

Inflammatory breast cancer (IBC) is the most aggressive form of breast cancer and is phenotypically distinct from other forms of locally advanced breast cancer. In a previous study, we identified specific genetic alterations of IBC that could account for a highly invasive phenotype. Rhôc GTPase was overexpressed in 90% of IBC archival tumor samples, but not in stage-matched, non-IBC tumors. To study the role of Rhôc GTPase in contributing to an IBC-like phenotype, we generated stable transfectants of human mammary epithelial cells overexpressing the Rhôc gene. The HME-Rhôc transfectants formed large colonies under anchorage-independent growth conditions, were more motile, and were invasive. In conjunction with an increase in motility, overexpression of Rhôc led to an increase in actin stress fiber and focal adhesion contact formation. Furthermore, orthotopic injection into immunocompromised mice led to tumor formation. Taken together, these data indicate that Rhôc GTPase is a transforming oncogene in human mammary epithelial cells and can lead to a highly invasive phenotype, akin to that seen in IBC.

**INTRODUCTION**

Primary IBC accounts for approximately 6% of new breast cancer cases annually in the United States (1). IBC is clinically well characterized by skin changes such as erythema, skin nodules, peau d’aurange, and nipple retraction (2, 3). IBC is also distinguished by a very rapid onset of characteristics of locally advanced breast cancer, typically within 6 months. Almost all tumors have lymph node metastases at the time of diagnosis, and approximately 36% have gross distant metastasis (2, 3). This number greatly increases 1 year after diagnosis, presumably due to the presence of occult metastases, suggesting that IBC cells acquire metastatic capabilities early in tumor formation. Not surprisingly, even with multimodality treatment, the 5-year disease-free survival is less than 45%, thus making IBC the most deadly form of locally advanced breast cancer (3).

Until recently, relatively little was known about the genetic mechanisms underlying the development and progression of IBC. In a previous study, our laboratory identified genes that strongly correlated with the aggressive and invasive IBC phenotype (4). In this study, we describe the potential role of one of those genes, Rhôc GTPase, in modulating the invasive IBC phenotype.

Rhôc GTPase is a member of the Ras superfamily of small GTPases. Activation of Ras proteins by soluble factors, such as serum or growth factors, leads to the assembly of actin-myosin contractile filaments and focal adhesion complexes (5, 6). “Cross-talk” interactions between the various members of the Ras superfamily lead to the dynamic reorganization of the cell cytoskeleton, resulting in polarity, the formation of lamellipodia, and adhesion during directed motility (7).

We hypothesized that, given these known functions of the Ras proteins, Rhôc GTPase would confer to mammary epithelial cells specific features of enhanced invasiveness and tumorigenic potential. To test this hypothesis, we set out to determine whether overexpression of Rhôc GTPase could alter the phenotype of nontransformed, immortalized HME cells. We generated stable HME-Rhôc transfectants and tested them for any alterations in their phenotype. In contrast with either untransfected or HME-β-gal control, the HME-Rhôc cells were able to form colonies under anchorage-independent growth conditions. Furthermore, these cells were highly invasive in a Matrigel invasion assay, exhibited enhanced motility in a random motility assay, and were tumorigenic in nude mice. These characteristics of the Rhôc transfectants strikingly resemble in vivo behavior of IBC.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Lines.** As described previously, cell lines were maintained under defined culture conditions for optimal growth in each case (8–10). Briefly, HME cells were immortalized with human papilloma virus E6/E7 (11) and grown in 5% FBS (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO)-supplemented Ham’s F-12 medium (JRH Biosciences, Lenexa, KS) containing insulin, hydrocortisone, epidermal growth factor, and cholera toxin (Sigma Chemical Co.). The SUM149 cell line was developed from a primary IBC tumor and grown in 5% FBS-supplemented Ham’s F-12 medium containing insulin and hydrocortisone. The HME cells were characterized as being keratin 19 positive, ensuring that they are from the same differentiation lineage as the SUM149 IBC tumor cell line.

**Transfection Experiments.** Low passage (passage 10) immortalized HME cells were cotransfected with the pTP-2000-hygro vector and either pFLAG-Rhoc GTPase, pFLAG-β-gal, or pFLAG-CMV-4 (Sigma Chemical Co.) alone using FuGene 6 transfection reagent (Roche-Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany). Transfection efficiency was 22–85% as determined by β-gal staining of the pFLAG-β-gal-transfected cells using X-Gal reagent (1 mg/ml X-Gal, 4 mM potassium ferrocyanide, 4 mM potassium ferricyanide, 2 mM magnesium chloride-hexahydrate in PBS (pH 7.4); Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD) and 2% formaldehyde and 0.2% glutaraldehyde in cation-free PBS (pH 7.4), Sigma Chemical Co.) for 16 h at 37°C. Stable transfectants were established by culturing the cells in the described medium supplemented with 100 μg/ml hygromycin (Life Technologies, Inc.,) for 14–20 days. Expression of the transgene was determined by RT-PCR and immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis.

**Constructs.** Rhôc GTPase from the SUM149 cell line was amplified by RT-PCR and cloned using the pGEM-T Easy kit (Promega, Madison, WI). The full-length Rhôc GTPase cDNA was sequenced and checked against the published sequence of any mutations. Using EcoRI (Roche-Boehringer Mannheim), the Rhôc cDNA was excised and ligated into pFLAG-CMV-4 (Sigma Chemical Co.) and resequenced.

**Expression Analysis of Rhôc GTPase Transfectants.** Total RNA was isolated from cells using Trizol reagent (Life Technologies, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. One μg of total RNA was converted to cDNA using an avian myeloblastosis virus reverse transcription system (Promega). A 100-μg aliquot of the resulting cDNA was amplified in a double PCR with 25 ng each of pFLAG/GAPDH or Rhôc GTPaseGAPDH-specific primers. PCR products were separated on a 1.2% agarose gel and imaged on an Alpha Image 950 documentation system (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA). Densitometry of images was performed using NIH Image version 1.62.
Proteins were harvested from cell cultures using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (1 X PBS, 1% NP40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 0.1 mg/ml phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and 0.3 mg/ml aprotinin; Sigma Chemical Co.). Whole cell lysates (100 μg) were precleared using normal mouse IgG and protein A/G-agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA). The cleared supernatants were incubated overnight with antibodies specific for the FLAG epitope (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, NY). Antibody-bound proteins were precipitated after the addition of protein A/G-agarose and washed four times with 1 X PBS. Immunoprecipitates were resuspended in 20 μl of 2 X Laemmli electrophoresis buffer, boiled for 3 min, and centrifuged briefly to pellet the free protein A/G-agarose. Samples were separated by SDS-PAGE on an 8% gel under nonnaturating conditions and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Nonspecific binding was blocked by overnight incubation with 2% powdered milk (Kroger, Cincinnati, OH) in Tris-buffered saline with 0.05% Tween 20 (Sigma Chemical Co.). Anti-FLAG immunoprecipitation blots were incubated with the FLAG antibody. Protein bands were visualized by ECL (Amersham-Pharmacia Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA). The cleared supernatants were incubated for 3 min, and centrifuged briefly to pellet the free protein A/G-agarose.

Monolayer Growth Rate. Monolayer culture growth rate was determined as described previously (12) by conversion of MTT (Sigma Chemical Co.) to a water-insoluble formazan by viable cells. Three thousand cells in 200 μl of medium were plated in 96-well plates and grown under normal conditions. Cultures were assayed at 0, 1, 2, 3, 5, and 7 days by the addition of 40 μl of 5 mg/ml MTT and incubation for 1 h at 37°C. The MTT-containing medium was aspirated, and 100 μl of DMSO (Sigma Chemical Co.) were added to lyse the cells and solubilize the formazan. Absorbance values of the lysates were determined on a Dynatech MR 5000 microplate reader at 540 nm.

Anchorage-independent Growth in Soft Agar. A 2% stock of sterile, low melting point agarose was diluted 1:1 with 2X MEM. Further dilution to 0.6% agarose was made using 10% FBS-supplemented Ham’s F-12 medium completely with growth factors, and 1 ml was added to each well of a 6-well plate as a base layer. The cell layer was then prepared by diluting agarose to 0.3% and 0.6% with 10^5 cells in 2.5% FBS-supplemented Ham’s F-12 medium/1.5 ml/well. Colonies greater than or equal to 100 μm in diameter were counted after a 3-week incubation at 37°C in a 10% CO2 incubator.

Invasion through a Matrigel-coated Filter. The invasion assay was performed as described previously, with minor modifications (12). A 10-μl aliquot of Matrigel (10 mg/ml) was spread on a 6.5-mm Transwell filter with 8 μm pores (Costar, Corning, NY) and air dried in a laminar flow hood. Once dried, the filters were reconstituted with a few drops of serum-free medium. The lower chamber of the Transwell was filled with either serum-free or serum-containing media. Cells were harvested and resuspended in serum-free medium with 0.1% BSA at a concentration of 3.75 × 10^5 cells/ml, and 0.5 ml of cell suspension was added to the top chamber. The chambers were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in a 10% CO2 incubator. The cell suspension was aspirated, and excess Matrigel was removed from the filter using a cotton swab. The filters were then cut away from the Transwell assembly and fixed with methanol, gel side down, to a glass microscope slide. The fixed filters were stained with H&E, and the cells in 20 random ×40 magnification fields counted. These cells were assumed to have invaded through the Matrigel/filter. The number of cells that had invaded the serum-free containing lower chambers was considered background, and this number was subtracted from the number of cells that had invaded in response to the serum-containing medium.

Random Motility Assay. Random motility was determined using a gold-colloid assay (13). Gold-colloid was layered onto glass coverslips and placed into 6-well plates. Cells were plated onto the coverslips and allowed to adhere for 1 h at 37°C in a CO2 incubator (12,500 cells/5 ml in serum-free medium). To stimulate the cells, the serum-free medium was replaced with 5% FBS-containing Ham’s F-12 medium supplemented with growth factors and allowed to incubate for 3 h at 37°C. The medium was aspirated, and the cells were fixed using 2% glutaraldehyde. The coverslips were then mounted onto glass microscope slides, and areas of clearing in the gold-colloid corresponding to phagokinetic cell tracks were counted.

Rhodamine-Phalloidin Staining of Actin Filaments. Visualization of actin filaments was accomplished by staining with a conjugated phalloidin. Cells were grown on glass coverslips for 48 h and washed with PBS followed by fixation with 1:1 ice-cold acetone and methanol. After a 30-min incubation in PBS containing 1% BSA, 5 μl of methanolic rhodamine-phalloidin stock (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) were added to each coverslip and allowed to stain for 20 min at room temperature. After repeated washing with PBS, the coverslips were mounted onto glass microscope slides using Gel/Mount (Bio-media Co., Foster City, CA). Cells were visualized under an Olympus fluorescence microscope equipped with a 573 nm filter.

Orthotopic Injection into Nude Mice. Ten-week-old female NCR athymic nude mice were orthotopically injected with HME-, HME-β-gal-, or HME-RhoC GT Pase-transfected cells. Injection into the MFP of 20 mice/group was performed as described previously (14). Briefly, cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed, and resuspended in HBSS at a concentration of 1.5 × 10^6 cells/0.2 ml. Mice were anesthetized using 10 mg/ml ketamine, 1 mg/ml xylazine, and 0.01 mg/ml glycopyrrolate, and an incision below the thoracic MFP was made. Using a 27-gauge needle, the cell suspension was injected directly into the expector MFP, and the wound was closed with a single wound clip. Tumor growth measurements were taken weekly until tumors reached 2.5 cm in diameter. Mice were necropsied, and tumor tissues were dissected for histological analysis.

RESULTS

Expression of RhoC GT Pase in Immortalized HME Cells Induces Anchorage-independent Growth but Does Not Alter the Monolayer Growth Rate. To study the effect of RhoC GT Pase overexpression on the phenotype of HPV-immortalized HME cells, stable HME-RhoC GT Pase-overexpressing transfectants were established. Quantitative RT-PCR using primers specific for the pFLAG-CMV vector and for RhoC GT Pase verified expression levels of the RhoC transgene (Fig. 1A). Levels of RhoC GT Pase expression were found to be 6–20-fold higher in RhoC GT Pase transfectants when compared to pFLAG-CMV controls. The transfectants exhibited anchorage-independent growth, but the doubling time remained at 14.5 h.

To study the effect of RhoC GT Pase overexpression on the phenotype of HPV-immortalized HME cells, stable HME-RhoC GT Pase-overexpressing transfectants were established. Quantitative RT-PCR using primers specific for the pFLAG-CMV vector and for RhoC GT Pase verified expression levels of the RhoC transgene (Fig. 1A). Levels of RhoC GT Pase expression were found to be 6–20-fold higher in RhoC GT Pase transfectants when compared to pFLAG-CMV controls. The transfectants exhibited anchorage-independent growth, but the doubling time remained at 14.5 h.

Expression of RhoC GT Pase in Immortalized HME Cells Induces Anchorage-independent Growth but Does Not Alter the Monolayer Growth Rate. To study the effect of RhoC GT Pase overexpression on the phenotype of HPV-immortalized HME cells, stable HME-RhoC GT Pase-overexpressing transfectants were established. Quantitative RT-PCR using primers specific for the pFLAG-CMV vector and for RhoC GT Pase verified expression levels of the RhoC transgene (Fig. 1A). Levels of RhoC GT Pase expression were found to be 6–20-fold higher in RhoC GT Pase transfectants when compared to pFLAG-CMV controls. The transfectants exhibited anchorage-independent growth, but the doubling time remained at 14.5 h.
compared with the untransfected or HME-β-gal control-transfected HME cells. This level of expression is comparable with that of the SUM149 IBC cell line, which was found to have an 8-fold higher expression of RhoC as compared with normal HME cell lines and non-IBC breast cancer cell lines as determined by Northern blot analysis (4). Also, this level of expression was comparable to archival IBC tumor specimens, which were previously found to have 5–12-fold higher levels of RhoC expression compared with the surrounding normal tissue as determined by in situ hybridization (4). Expression of the FLAG-tagged RhoC GTPase protein was confirmed by immunoprecipitation with an anti-FLAG antibody followed by immunoblotting with the same antibody (Fig. 1B).

Because the Rho proteins can affect activation of transcription (15), we studied the monolayer growth rate of eight clones of the HME-RhoC transfectants. As shown in Fig. 2A, no significant changes in the monolayer growth rates were observed for any of the HME-RhoC transfectant clones or the mixed polyclonal population, as compared with the control cell lines using the MTT assay. Furthermore, regardless of quantitative differences in the level of RhoC transgene expression, no difference in growth rate was observed between the various clones and the polyclonal population.

When tested for their ability grow under anchorage-independent conditions, which is a strong indicator of malignant transformation, the HME-RhoC transfectants formed 6–176-fold more colonies than the control cell lines (B). The number of colonies formed by the HME-RhoC cells was similar to the number of colonies formed by the SUM149 IBC cell line.

FIG. 2. Monolayer growth (A) of the HME-RhoC cells was not significantly different from that of untransfected HME or HME-β-gal control cells. When compared for their ability to grow under anchorage-independent conditions in 0.6% soft agar, the HME-RhoC cells produced significantly more colonies than the control HME cell lines (B). The number of colonies formed by the HME-RhoC cells was similar to the number of colonies formed by the SUM149 IBC cell line.
more, in this assay, none of the untransfected HME cells were motile. HME-RhoC cells were, on average, 5-fold more invasive than the untransfected and HME-β-gal control cells. The invasive capabilities of the HME-RhoC cells were similar to those of the SUM149 IBC cell line. RhoC GTPase directly correlates with the ability of the cells to invade, over the control cell lines were observed, depending on the RhoC transgene expression levels. These data demonstrate that expression of RhoC GTPase: A NOVEL TRANSFORMING ONCOGENE

Using a modified blind-well chamber model, we measured the amount of migration of cells across a porous membrane coated with the extracellular matrix conglomerate Matrigel in response to a chemotactant. In this assay, all cell lines tested were invasive to varying degrees after 24 h. The data in Fig. 3 are expressed as the fold increase in invasion observed over the invasion level of the untransfected HME cell line. The HME-β-gal control-transfected cell line was 1.5-fold more invasive than the untransfected cells, whereas the HME-RhoC transfectants were, on average, 5-fold more invasive than either the untransfected or HME-β-gal cell lines. In comparison, the SUM149 primary IBC cell line was 4-fold more invasive than the control (untransfected and β-gal) HME cell lines. As was observed previously in the anchorage-independent growth assays, the ability to invade directly correlated with the level of RhoC GTPase expression of the HME-RhoC transfectant clones. Invasion rates from 1–19-fold over the control cell lines were observed, depending on the RhoC transgene expression levels. These data demonstrate that expression of RhoC GTPase directly correlates with the ability of the cells to invade, with a clear threshold effect dependent on RhoC expression level. Similar results were obtained when the cells were assessed for their ability to move in response to stimulation during a random motility assay. Fig. 4A depicts representative photomicrographs of a gold-colloid random motility assay comparing HME-β-gal and HME-RhoC transfectants. In this assay, cells are layered onto a gold-colloid, on which motile cells produce tracks that can then be quantitated. The data depicted in Fig. 4B are represented as a percentage of the total number of cells of each clone that moved in response to serum activation over a 3-h period. In this assay, we found that more than 90% of the HME-RhoC cells were highly motile in response to serum stimulation. This was true for the different HME-RhoC GTPase clones. In comparison, only 10% of the HME-β-gal control-transfected HME cells were motile under the same conditions. Furthermore, in this assay, none of the untransfected HME cells were motile. Rho proteins are reported to form actin stress fibers and focal adhesion points, whereas rac and Cdc42 are involved in lamellipodia and filopodia formation (17). The dynamic balance of formation and reformation of these structures leads to cellular motility. Recent evidence has implicated Rho in the control of lamellipodia through activation of Rho effector proteins (18). To test whether RhoC GTPase was effecting the motility of the HME transfectants through the formation of stress fibers and focal adhesions, we stained the cells with a rhodamine-tagged phalloidin that targets actin structures and compared the patterns with those of the HME controls (untransfected and β-gal HME cells). As seen in Fig. 5B, clearly shows actin stress fiber formation emanating from a focal adhesion point and leading to a discernible lamellipodial extension. Taken together, these features imply much greater motility and ability to effect cytoskeletal reorganization in the RhoC transfectants than control HMEs.

Malignant Transformation of HME Cells by RhoC GTPase. The HME-RhoC GTPase transfectants were assessed for their ability to form tumors in athymic nude mice. The SUM149 IBC cell line, untransfected HME, HME-β-gal, and the polyclonal population of the HME-RhoC GTPase transfectants were each injected into the MFP of female nude mice. As shown in Table 1, none of the untransfected HME cells or HME-β-gal cells formed tumors in nude mice. Untransfected HME cells have never been known to form tumors in nude mice. In contrast, both the SUM149 IBC cell line and the RhoC GTPase HME cells grew tumors in nude mice, in 86% (17 of 20) and 25% (5 of 20) of cases, respectively. The incidence of tumor growth by the SUM149 cell line is comparable with the SUM190 cell line, the only other known available IBC cell line (data not shown). These data indicate that overexpression of RhoC GTPase is weakly sufficient for malignant transformation of immortalized HME cells and that other genetic abnormalities in the IBC cell lines derived from established tumors contribute to the full-blown malignant phenotype.

Fig. 3. Results of a Matrigel invasion assay. Cells in serum-free medium were seeded into the top half of a blind-well chamber onto a Matrigel-coated filter. Serum-containing medium was placed into the lower half of the chamber as a chemoattractant. The number of invading cells was counted 24 h later. Results are given as the fold increase in invasion over the that seen in untransfected HME cells. The HME-RhoC cells were, on average, 5-fold more invasive than the untransfected and HME-β-gal control cells. The invasive capabilities of the HME-RhoC cells were similar to those of the SUM149 IBC cell line.
DISCUSSION

Differential display and more recently, gene arrays, are leading to the isolation of many genes with altered patterns of expression in cancer. The task of sorting out their specific role and relative importance in the cancer phenotype is, in general, exceedingly complex. In the case of IBC, however, our previous work indicated that RhoC GTPase overexpression is specifically correlated with this phenotype in contrast to slow-growing locally advanced breast cancers. By exploiting insight from the clinical behavior of IBC, we focused on sorting out the specific contributions of RhoC GTPase to the IBC phenotype. In deciding how to go about defining the role of RhoC GTPase in IBC, we also considered the known functions of the Rho family members.

The mammalian Rho GTPase family can be divided into six different groups with the following members: (a) Rho (RhoA, RhoB, and RhoC); (b) Rac (RhoG and Rac1–3); (c) Cdc42 (Cdc42Hs, G25K, and TC10); (d) Rnd (Rho6, Rho7, and RhoE); (e) RhoD; and (f) TTF (19). RhoA, RhoB, and RhoC share a high degree of homology with one another, with RhoA and RhoC being the most homologous (20). Studies performed on Swiss 3T3 cells have helped to define the role that each of the Rho GTPase family members plays in controlling cytoskeletal reorganization (21). Rho controls actin stress fiber and focal adhesion contact formation, whereas rac and Cdc42 are responsible for the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia, respectively (17). Several upstream pathways that activate Rho as well as the downstream targets of activated Rho have been identified (15, 22–25). The ability of Rho to form stress fibers and focal adhesions is due to the phosphorylation and activation of two of these targets, Rho-kinase/ROK/ROCK (24, 26, 27) and the myosin-binding subunit of myosin phosphatase (18, 28). Phosphorylation of myosin-binding subunit by Rho inhibits myosin phosphatase, whereas activated Rho-kinase directly phosphorylates the myosin light chain. The phosphorylation of myosin light chain regulates the formation of stress fibers and focal adhesion contacts. Rho-kinase can also phosphorylate adducin, which leads to cell membrane ruffling and cell motility (29). It is also becoming clear that the various members of the Rho family (Rho, rac, and Cdc42) are able to undergo molecular “cross-talk,” creating dynamic molecular interactions leading to cell motility (30, 31).

There is increasing evidence that the effects of Rho family member GTPases on cell morphology and motility are due to their ability to regulate actin cytoskeletal reorganization. Rho-kinase is activated downstream of the small GTPases and is responsible for the formation of focal adhesions and stress fibers. Phosphorylation and activation of Rho-kinase are due to the phosphorylation and activation of two of these targets, RhoA and RhoC being the most homologous (20). Studies performed on Swiss 3T3 cells have helped to define the role that each of the Rho GTPase family members plays in controlling cytoskeletal reorganization (21). Rho controls actin stress fiber and focal adhesion contact formation, whereas rac and Cdc42 are responsible for the formation of lamellipodia and filopodia, respectively (17). Several upstream pathways that activate Rho as well as the downstream targets of activated Rho have been identified (15, 22–25). The ability of Rho to form stress fibers and focal adhesions is due to the phosphorylation and activation of two of these targets, Rho-kinase/ROK/ROCK (24, 26, 27) and the myosin-binding subunit of myosin phosphatase (18, 28). Phosphorylation of myosin-binding subunit by Rho inhibits myosin phosphatase, whereas activated Rho-kinase directly phosphorylates the myosin light chain. The phosphorylation of myosin light chain regulates the formation of stress fibers and focal adhesion contacts. Rho-kinase can also phosphorylate adducin, which leads to cell membrane ruffling and cell motility (29). It is also becoming clear that the various members of the Rho family (Rho, rac, and Cdc42) are able to undergo molecular “cross-talk,” creating dynamic molecular interactions leading to cell motility (30, 31).

There is increasing evidence that the effects of Rho family mem-
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Fig. 4. A, representative photomicrographs of HME-βgal control cells and HME-RhoC cells in a gold-colloid random motility assay. Cells in serum-free medium were seeded onto gold-colloid layered coverslips and allowed to adhere for 1 h. To stimulated motility, the serum-free medium was replaced with 5% serum-containing medium. Motile cells were counted 3 h after stimulation. The percentage of motile cells is given in B. The HME-RhoC cells were significantly more motile than the control HME cells and were even more motile than the SUM149 parent cell line.
At lower expression levels, stress fibers and focal adhesion contact formation are seen. Further work in our laboratory indicates that RhoC overexpression modulates the angiogenic potential of the transfectants.

Finally, RhoC-HME cells produced tumors in nude mice. As expected, however, the uptake was considerably lower than that of wild-type SUM149. This is likely due to the absence of many other genetic events that contribute to tumor growth in the RhoC-HME transfectants. To quantitatively reproduce the in vivo tumorigenic phenotype of the SUM149 cell line, other genes, such as Ras, p53, or antiapoptotic genes may need to be altered as well. Therefore, overexpression of RhoC GTPase represents a single but important component of HME transformation.

The set of experiments that test the potential oncogenicity of a gene vary according to cell type and study. However, in general, they comprise a battery of assays that test in vitro and in vivo specific qualities of the phenotypic behavior. The experiments we chose for this work were guided by the specific IBC phenotypic characteristics of the human tumors and the IBC parent cell line (SUM149) as well as by the putative function of the Rho proteins. For this particular system, we conclude that all of our experiments credential RhoC GTPase as an oncogene in breast cancer, which, to a significant extent, recapitulates the invasive behavior of our IBC model system. In addition, given the specific role that RhoC plays in the invasive phenotype of IBC, interfering with its function suggests a new therapeutic target in a particularly challenging form of breast cancer. As the upstream and downstream signaling pathways are explored, these too may present new targets for therapeutic intervention.
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**Table 1** Tumor take for various cell lines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>No. of mice with tumor</th>
<th>Tumor take (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HME</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HME-β-gal</td>
<td>0/20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HME-RhoC</td>
<td>5/20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUM149</td>
<td>17/20</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unpublished observations.
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