Increased Expression of Cyclooxygenase-2 Protein in 4-Nitroquinoline-1-oxide-induced Rat Tongue Carcinomas and Chemopreventive Efficacy of a Specific Inhibitor, Nimesulide
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ABSTRACT

Expression of cyclooxygenase (COX)-2 protein in 4-nitroquinoline-1-oxide (4-NQO)-induced rat tongue lesions and the postinitiation chemopreventive potential of a selective COX-2 inhibitor, nimesulide (NIM), were examined in Fischer 344 male rats. NIM was administered in the diet at doses of 150, 300, and 600 ppm for 14 weeks after treatment with 25–35 ppm 4-NQO in the drinking water for 12 weeks. Western blot analysis revealed COX-2 protein to be barely expressed in the normal tongue epithelia, whereas it was increased 6-fold in squamous cell carcinomas (SCCs). Immunohistochemically, COX-2 protein was diffusely present in SCCs and dysplasia but expressed only in basal cells in hyperplasia and papillomas. In basal cells of normal epithelia, it was also occasionally weakly stained. NIM dose-dependently decreased at doses of 150 and 300 ppm, the incidences of SCCs to 4 of 12 (33.3%) and 1 of 13 (7.7%) and weakly stained. NIM dose-dependently decreased at doses of 150 and 300 ppm, the values being 5 of 12 (41.7%) and 0.50 ± 0.67. NIM did not significantly affect the development of hyperplasias, dysplasias, and papillomas. These results clearly indicate chemopreventive potential of a selective COX-2 inhibitor against the postinitiation development of SCCs in rat tongue carcinogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

The incidence of oral cancer, the major site being the tongue, exhibits marked geographic variation, with the highest morbidity and mortality rates appearing in southern Asia where people have the habit of chewing betel quid and tobacco, but recently it has been increasing worldwide, particularly in young adults (1–3). Tobacco and alcohol intake have been postulated to be major causes (4). Despite recent advances in surgical procedures, radiotherapy and chemotherapy, oral cancer remains a major problem. One reason is the characteristic “field cancerization,” with relatively high frequencies of second primary cancers at different sites (2). Retinoids, either naturally occurring or synthetic derivatives of vitamin A, have been reported to prevent progression, recurrence, and appearance of new oral precancerous lesions like leukoplakia in humans; however, in clinical trials, synthetic retinoids, such as 13-cis-retinoic acid and N-(4-hydroxyphenyl)retinamide, (5–9), have exhibited toxicities or other side effects, which means that the search for other chemopreventive target molecules must continue (8–9).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals. 4-NQO was obtained from Nacalai Tesque Inc. (Kyoto, Japan) and NIM from Helsinn Healthcare SA (Pambio Noranco, Switzerland). Mouse monoclonal antibody against rat COX-2 (COOH-terminal protein fragment corresponding to amino acids 368–604; C22420) and rabbit polyclonal antibody against synthetic peptides corresponding to COOH-terminal sequences of murine COX-2 (PG26), were obtained from Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, KY, and Oxford Biomedical Research Inc., Oxford, MI, respectively. Rabbit polyclonal antibody against rat COX-1 (C448 synthetic peptide) was obtained from IBL, Gunma, Japan, and trypsin inhibitor type II-S from Sigma Chemical CO, St. Louis, MO.

Animals, Diet, and Drinking Water. Fischer 344 male rats (Japan SLC Inc., Hamamatsu, Japan), 6 weeks old at the commencement of the experiments, were housed, three to a plastic cage, with hardwood chips for bedding, in an air-conditioned room with a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle. Drinking water containing 4-NQO was prepared twice a week by dissolving the carcinogen in distilled water and was given in light-opaque bottles. Diets containing NIM 150, 300, or 600 ppm were prepared once a week by mixing the compound with a powdered basal diet (2) (Japan Clea Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and were given to the animals in stainless steel containers. The diet and water were available ad libitum, and body weights and food consumption were measured weekly.

Specimens Used for Western Blot and Immunohistochemical Analyses. Forty-four animals were divided into two groups. Group 1 (38 rats) was given drinking water containing 10 ppm 4-NQO and the basal diet for 24 weeks, and group 2 (6 rats) served as a control without 4-NQO. All of the animals were killed under ether anesthesia 24 weeks after the commencement of the exper-

COXs, 3 rate-limiting enzymes for producing prostanoids, consist of two isomers, COX-1 and -2, and have been postulated to be target molecules for NSAIDs (10–12). COX-2, in contrast to COX-1, which is a constitutively expressed housekeeping gene generally contributing to normal physiological functions in most tissues, is an inducible immediate early gene that has recently been postulated to be involved not only in inflammation but also in carcinogenesis, with impact on cell proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, angiogenesis, metastasis, and immunological surveillance (10–13). In fact, evidence of up-regulated expression of COX-2 mRNA and protein in various human and animal tumor tissues such as colon, stomach, breast, skin, pancreas, lung, and urinary bladder, and prevention by specific COX-2 inhibitors of these carcinogenesis (12–23) as well as by double knockout of the COX-2 gene of colon carcinogenesis in APC gene knockout mice (24), strongly support the hypothesis that COX-2 could be a chemopreventive target molecule (12–13).

Up-regulated expression of COX-2 in human SCCs of head and neck, including the tongue, has recently been reported (25). In the present study, the expression of COX-2 protein in a 4-NQO-induced rat tongue carcinogenesis model and the chemopreventive potential of the specific COX-2 inhibitor NIM were investigated.
iment. Their tongues were longitudinally cut into three slices after fixation in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for 48 h, routinely embedded in paraffin, and serially sectioned at 3–4 μm. The sections were used for immunohistochemistry and H&E staining. Relatively large tongue tumors from five rats in group 1 were excised using a scalpel, frozen in liquid nitrogen, and submitted to Western blot analysis after histological diagnosis. Normal tongue epithelium, exfoliated according to the method of Telser et al. (26), was also submitted as a control for the Western blot analysis. Briefly, fresh normal tongues from two rats of group 2 were longitudinally cut into three slices after roughly removing muscle layers and were incubated in 10 volumes of a PBS-EDTA inhibitor solution (pH 7.4) [0.05 M (Na₂H₂KH₂PO₄), 0.15 M NaCl, 20 mM EDTA, 100 μg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor] at 37°C for 2 h, and then overnight in a fresh PBS-EDTA inhibitor solution at 4°C. The tongue epithelium was peeled off from the muscle layers using fine forceps, and stored at −80°C until use. Partitions were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin and submitted to H&E staining.

**Western Blot Analysis.** Particulate fractions were obtained from the tongue samples basically according to the method of Liu et al. (27). Briefly, the frozen tissues were homogenized in ice-cold homogenization buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 2 mM octyl glucoside, 10 mM EDTA, 1 mM diethylthiocarbamic acid, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM sodium vanadate, 60 μg/ml soybean trypsin inhibitor, 2 μg/ml leupeptin, and 2 μg/ml pepstatin], all from Sigma Chemical Co., and then centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C using a Beckman TLA-100.2 rotor (Beckman Instruments Inc., Palo Alto, CA). The resultant crude pellets were further homogenized in the same buffer as mentioned above (except with 20 mM Tris, 45 mM octyl glucoside, 50 mM EDTA, and 0.1 mM diethylthiocarbamic acid) and were sonicated for 20 s several times using a ultrasonic cell disruptor (Heat System Ultrasonics, Farmingdale, NY). The sonicates were centrifuged at 13,000 × g for 25 min at 4°C, and the resultant supernatants were stored at −80°C until use. Protein concentrations were determined using Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250 solution (Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan).

Supernatant samples containing 100 μg protein were mixed 1:1 with sample buffer [4% SDS, 20% glycerol, 12% β-mercaptoethanol, 0.05% bromphenol blue, and 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 6.8)], boiled for 5 min, electrophoresed using a 4.75% stack and a 10% running polyacrylamide gel, and electrophoretically transferred to polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (IPVH0000 10; Millipore, Bedford, MA). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in 0.05 M TBS-T (pH 7.6) and were incubated with primary antibodies to COX-2 (PG26) and COX-1 for 1 h at dilutions of 1:1000 or 1:80 in TBS-T. Secondary horseradish peroxidase-linked sheep antimouse and donkey antirabbit (Amer- sham Life Science Inc., Tokyo) IgG antibodies were then used, and the membranes were analyzed by the enhanced chemiluminescence detection system (Amersham Life Science Inc.). COX-2 and COX-1 proteins from sheep placenta and ram seminal vesicles (Cayman Chemical Company, Ann Arbor, MI), respectively, were used as COX-2- and COX-1-positive controls.

**Immunohistochemical Analysis.** For the immunohistochemical COX-2 analysis, paraffin sections were deparaffinized, treated with 0.05% protease XXVII (Sigma Chemical Co.) in 50 mM TBS (pH 7.6) at 37°C for 5 min for the antigen retrieval, blocked with 0.3% H₂O₂ in methanol for 45 min and incubated with a primary antibody to COX-2 (C22420) (1:100 dilution in TBS) for 2 h. Immunoreactivity was detected using a Dako LSAB2 kit for use with rat specimens (Dako Co., Carpenteria, CA) and 3,3′-diaminobenzidine hydrochloride (Sigma Chemical Co.) followed by counterstaining with Mayer’s hematoxylin. Immunohistochemical COX-1 analysis was basically the same as for COX-2, except that antigens were retrieved by microwaving for 50 min in 0.01 M citrate buffer (pH 6.0), and that after blocking nonspecific binding with 5% normal goat serum in TBS-0.25% Triton X-100 for 20 min, tissues were incubated with a primary antibody to COX-1 (1:60 dilution in TBS-0.25% Triton X-100) with detection of immunoreactivity using a Vectastain Elite ABC kit (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). A nonimmune serum, mouse IgG1 (Dako Japan Inc., Kyoto) or rabbit IgG (Dako Japan Inc.), as well as antibodies preabsorbed with the antigens, were used as controls for the primary antibody binding. In the preabsorption experiment, COX-2 antibody was preabsorbed by incubating with 4 times molar ratios of COX-2 or COX-1 proteins from sheep placenta and ram seminal vesicles (Cayman Chemical Company), respectively, and COX-1 antibody with 4 times molar ratios of the antigen peptide (IBL, Gunna, Japan), at 4°C overnight.

**Studies on the Chemopreventive Potential of NIM.** The experimental protocol is shown in Fig. 1. Sixty animals were divided into six groups, with 11–13 rats each for groups 1–4 and 6 rats each for groups 5 and 6. Animals in

![Fig. 1. Experimental protocol for the examination of the chemopreventive potential of NIM.](image)

![Fig. 2. Results of Western blot analysis of COX-2 and COX-1 protein expression in exfoliated normal tongue epithelium and pooled SCCs induced by giving 10 ppm 4-NQO in the drinking water for 24 weeks in rats. A, COX-2 and COX-1 (bottom) protein expression. Anti-COX-2 (PG26) and COX-1 antibodies were used. Note positive control proteins for COX-2 (derived from sheep placenta) and COX-1 (derived from sheep seminal vesicles). B, densities of the bands in A expressed as ratios to the density of normal epithelium.](image)
groups 1–4 were given 25–35 ppm 4-NQO in their drinking water for 12 weeks (25 ppm for the first 2 weeks, 30 ppm for the next 2 weeks, and 35 ppm for the other 8 weeks). Then, group 1 was fed the basal diet, and groups 2–4 received diets containing 150, 300, and 600 ppm, respectively, of NIM for 14 weeks. Animals in groups 5 and 6 served as controls and were given tap water for the first 12 weeks, followed by 600 ppm NIM and basal diet, respectively. All of the animals were killed under ether anesthesia 26 weeks after the commencement of the experiment. Their tongues were excised, fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin for 48 h, and longitudinally cut into three slices. The livers and kidneys from all of the animals were also excised, weighed, and fixed. All of these tissues were routinely processed for embedding in paraffin and sectioned for H&E staining. The tongue lesions were histologically diagnosed by three experts independently, basically according to the criteria of WHO (28).

**Statistical Analysis.** Quantitative differences between group values were statistically analyzed using ANOVA with multiple comparison post hoc testing by Dunnett, Student’s t, χ², or Fisher’s exact test.

**Results**

**Western Blot Analysis for COX-2 and COX-1 Proteins.** A representative Western blot from two separate analyses for COX-2 and COX-1 protein expression in exfoliated normal epithelium and pooled SCCs induced by 4-NQO in rat tongues is shown in Fig. 2A. The density of each band was quantified using NIH image, and the results are presented in Fig. 2B. Histological assessment of the exfoliated normal tongue epithelium revealed well-preserved architecture without muscle layers (Fig. 3A). The normal epithelial cells barely expressed COX-2 protein, whereas SCCs exhibited substantial binding of specific antibodies, ~6-fold that of normal epithelial cells (Fig. 2).

**In contrast, COX-1 protein was highly expressed both in the normal epithelial cells and SCCs, with SCCs exhibiting ~2-fold that of normal epithelial cells (Fig. 2).**

**Table 1** COX-2 immunohistochemical staining of tongue lesions in rats given 10 ppm 4-NQO in their drinking water for 24 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tongue lesions</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>No. of lesions examined</th>
<th>Staining with COX-2 antibody*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No. of lesions (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia</td>
<td>22/33 (66.7)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia</td>
<td>21/33 (63.6)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilloma</td>
<td>10/33 (30.3)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>11/33 (33.3)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Staining: −, negative; ±, less than 10% of the area weakly positive; +, weakly positive; ++, moderately positive; ++++, strongly positive.
Immunohistochemical Analysis of COX-2 and COX-1 Proteins. Results for COX-2 immunohistochemical staining of rat tongue lesions, induced by the administration of 10 ppm 4-NQO for 24 weeks, are summarized in Table 1. The staining was classified into five grades: – (negative), no staining; ±, less than 10% of the area weakly positive; +, weakly positive; ++, moderately positive; and +++, strongly positive. In the normal epithelium, basal cells were occasionally weakly positive for COX-2 protein (Fig. 3B). In approximately 50% of the hyperplastic lesions (Fig. 3C) and 100% of squamous cell papillomas (Fig. 3E) examined, only basal cells were positive for COX-2 (Table 1). In contrast, in all of the dysplasias (Fig. 3D) and SCCs (Fig. 3F) examined, not only basal but also other tumor cells were moderately or strongly positive for COX-2 (Table 1). In SCCs, stromal cells were also moderately positive for COX-2 (Fig. 3F). In all cases, COX-2 protein was localized in the nuclear membrane and cytoplasm. With nonimmune serum, staining was negative (data not shown). Preabsorption of the COX-2 antibody with COX-2 but not COX-1 proteins abrogated the staining (data not shown). Immunohistochemistry further revealed that in the normal epithelium, cells in the basal strongly, as well as upper layers weakly, were positive for COX-1 protein (Fig. 3G). Similar staining for COX-1 was obtained for hyperplasias, dysplasias, and papillomas (data not shown). In SCCs, tumor cells and stromal cells were strongly positive (Fig. 3H). In all cases COX-1 protein was localized in the cytoplasm and nuclear membrane. Nonimmune serum gave negative staining, and preabsorption of the COX-1 antibody with the antigen peptide abrogated the staining (data not shown).

Chemopreventive Potential of NIM. Data for body and organ weights and food intake are summarized in Table 2. NIM did not significantly affect the final body weights and liver and kidney weights at any doses. There were no significant differences in the average intake of 4-NQO among the 4-NQO-treated groups, and intake of NIM was dose dependent.

Representative macroscopic tongue findings are shown in Fig. 3, I and J. The tongues from group 1 (4-NQO alone), especially in their dorsal posterior aspect of the base, exhibited whitish protuberant nodular lesions, varying in size from larger than 10 mm to smaller than 1 mm in diameter (Fig. 3I). Their numbers and sizes tended to be decreased in the groups treated with NIM, particularly in group 3 (4-NQO + 300 ppm NIM; Fig. 3J). Data for incidences and numbers of histologically diagnosed tongue lesions and size distributions of SCCs are summarized in Table 3. Representative histological findings for SCCs and dysplasias developing in groups 1 and 3, respectively, are shown in Fig. 3, K and L. NIM at doses of 150 and 300 ppm, dose-dependently significantly decreased the incidence and multiplicity of SCCs but not those of hyperplasias, dysplasias, and papillomas (Table 3). The highest dose, 600 ppm NIM, also exhibited a tendency to decrease, but without statistical significance, the incidence, multiplicity, and size of SCCs, with the majority of SCCs being less than 3 mm in diameter (Table 3). No tongue lesions were observed in the animals without 4-NQO treatment, from groups 5 and 6.

Histopathological Findings of Other Organs. No histopathological lesions were observed in the livers and kidneys of rats given NIM with or without 4-NQO treatment. No macroscopic abnormalities were observed in other organs, and bleeding was not apparent in the gastrointestinal tract of NIM-treated animals.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study demonstrated up-regulation of COX-2 expression in precancerous lesions and SCCs, and preventive effects of the

---

**Table 2** Experimental details for rats given 4-NQO for 12 weeks followed by NIM for 14 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>No. of rats (final)</th>
<th>Body weight (g/total)</th>
<th>Liver weight (mg/total)</th>
<th>Kidney weight (mg/total)</th>
<th>Average water intake (ml/day/kg body weight)</th>
<th>Average food intake (mg/day/kg body weight)</th>
<th>NIM intake (mg/day/kg body weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-NQO alone</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>327 ± 39</td>
<td>9.1 ± 1.7</td>
<td>10.2 ± 0.8</td>
<td>72.4 ± 19.9</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-NQO + 150 ppm NIM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>349 ± 38</td>
<td>10.8 ± 2.3</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.10</td>
<td>74.2 ± 21.1</td>
<td>2.29 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-NQO + 300 ppm NIM</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>365 ± 21</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.9</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.08</td>
<td>73.1 ± 20.5</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-NQO + 600 ppm NIM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>351 ± 49</td>
<td>10.4 ± 2.4</td>
<td>1.12 ± 0.13</td>
<td>72.2 ± 19.8</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Water + basal diet</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>388 ± 15</td>
<td>12.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.05</td>
<td>90.0 ± 24.5</td>
<td>0.70 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Water + 600 ppm NIM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>392 ± 13</td>
<td>11.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.04</td>
<td>86.5 ± 22.5</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.0</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are means ± SD.

**Table 3** Effects of NIM on the development of tongue lesions in rats initiated by 4-NQO

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group no.</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Effective no. of rats</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
<th>Tongue lesions</th>
<th>Size distribution of SCCs (diameter, mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HP0</td>
<td>DP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-NQO alone</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7 (63.6)</td>
<td>10 (90.9)</td>
<td>2 (22.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-NQO + 150 ppm NIM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9 (75.0)</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
<td>5 (41.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-NQO + 300 ppm NIM</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11 (84.6)</td>
<td>13 (100)</td>
<td>3 (23.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-NQO + 600 ppm NIM</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7 (58.3)</td>
<td>10 (83.3)</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Based on histological examination.

**HP0** hyperplasia; **DP**, dysplasia; **PAP**, squamous cell papilloma.

Significantly different from Group 1 (**P < 0.05**).

Significantly different from Group 1 (**P < 0.0005**).

Significantly different from Group 1 (**P < 0.01**).
specific COX-2 inhibitor, NIM, in the postinitiation stage of rat tongue carcinogenesis induced by 4-NQO. NIM, a sulfonamidase class COX-2 inhibitor that can bind to the large catalytic moiety of COX-2 but not COX-1 (29), was earlier found to preferentially inhibit sheep placenta COX-2 activity in vitro in a time-dependent fashion, with an IC_{50} of 0.07 μM at the peak time point (as compared with >100 or 300 μM for ram seminal vesicle COX-1), and to possess much less adverse effects on the gastrointestinal tract than nonspecific NSAIDs (30–32).

The average daily intake at 300 ppm NIM is 13.6 mg/kg body weight and ~4 times the maximum tolerated dose in humans of 200 mg per person per day (30).

The present results are the first, to the authors’ knowledge, to provide direct evidence of involvement of COX-2 in rat tongue carcinogenesis, in line with the preventive effects of the NSAIDs piroxicam and indomethacin, reported earlier (8, 33), as well as with the suppressive effect of another selective COX-2 inhibitor, JTE-522, on the growth of a xenografted human oral SCC cell line in nude mice (34). The present preventive potential of 600 ppm being lower than that of 300 ppm NIM, without histological findings of tissue injury, may simply reflect variations within statistical uncertainty because of the relatively small numbers of animals, but it may be partly attributable to the existence of certain limited effective doses and warrants further study. Moreover, the lack of significant effects on the incidence and multiplicity of dysplasia, despite increased COX-2 protein expression, may suggest a most important role for the enzyme in the progression of dysplasia to SCC or roles of the enzyme expressed in the stromal cells. Discrepancies from the previous reports that indomethacin and piroxicam decreased the incidence of dysplasia or hyperplasia, as well as of SCC (33), might partly be attributable to the fact that, in the present study, these lesions had already developed by the time of cessation of the 4-NQO exposure, which at termination was at a much higher dose of 25–35ppm than the 10 ppm used in the previous report. In this context, it should be noted that retinoids reportedly can cause regression of dysplastic lesions like leukoplakia in humans (5–7), probably because of stimulation of squamous cell differentiation and apoptosis (35). However, various degrees of dysplasia are included in the clinical descriptive term leukoplakia, with only 3–6% progressing to SCCs (28). Nevertheless, taking into account the possible involvement of inhibitory effects of retinoids on COX-2 expression in their cancer chemopreventive potential (36–37), we conclude that the lack of NIM impact on dysplasia clearly warrants further study.

COX-2 is known to be induced by cytokines and growth factors including transforming growth factor α and EGF, and mutated Ras activation (13, 36–39). In fact, mitogenic signaling through EGFR induces COX-2, probably through activation of the Ras-mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway, which can be prevented by a selective COX-2 inhibitor (39–44). When we take into account the evidence of elevated expression of EGFR with infrequent gene amplification in hyperplastic and dysplastic lesions, normal-looking epithelia adjacent to tumor, and SCCs during head and neck tumorigenesis, including the oral cavity in humans (41–43), we conclude that there is a possibility that EGFR is involved in COX-2 elevation, although the EGFR-immunohistochemical findings, particularly those of no distinction between hyperplasias and dysplasias, reported earlier (42), do not parallel the present COX-2 findings. The relatively low frequencies of Hb- but not Ki- nor N-ras mutations reported for 4-NQO-induced tongue (24%) as well as human oral SCCs (10–35%; 43–44), may suggest that mutated Ras activation is unlikely to play a major role in the induction of COX-2 in these lesions.

In conclusion, our present results clearly indicate COX-2 protein to be highly expressed in the dysplastic precancerous lesions and SCCs in 4-NQO-induced rat tongue carcinogenesis, and to play important roles in the development of malignancies in this model, judging from the observed impact of the specific inhibitor NIM. The results, thus, provide that selective COX-2 inhibitors with less adverse effects on the gastrointestinal tract than nonspecific NSAIDs, could be promising candidates for chemopreventive agents active against human oral cancer.
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