An Anti-ICAM-2 (CD102) Monoclonal Antibody Induces Immune-mediated Regressions of Transplanted ICAM-2-negative Colon Carcinomas
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ABSTRACT

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) can mediate antitumor effects by indirect mechanisms involving antiangiogenesis and up-regulation of the cellular immune response rather than by direct tumor cell destruction. From mAbs raised by immunization of rats with transformed murine endothelial cells, a mAb (EOL4G8) was selected for its ability to eradicate a fraction of established colon carcinomas that did not express the EOL4G8-recognized antigen. The antigen was found to be ICAM-2 (CD102). Antitumor effects of EOL4G8, which required a functional T-cell compartment, were abrogated by depletion of CD8+ cells and correlated with antitumor CTL activity, whereas only a mild inhibition of angiogenesis was observed. Interestingly, we found that EOL4G8 acting on endothelial ICAM-2 markedly enhances leukotactic factor activity-1-independent adhesion of immature dendritic cells to endothelium—an effect that is at least in part mediated by DC-SIGN (CD209).

INTRODUCTION

mAbs have been used to inflict selective damage to malignant cells in vivo both in animal experimentation and at the clinic (1). More recently, mAbs have been used to elicit indirect mechanisms that efficiently treat tumors by potentiating the immune response (2–4) or by decreasing angiogenesis in the malignant tissue (5, 6).

To identify novel antibody specificities that could operate through these indirect mechanisms, we immunized rats with mouse endothelial cells and searched for mAbs displaying antitumor effects in vivo. We found one antibody fulfilling such features that recognized the adhesion molecule ICAM-2 (CD102). This surface antigen is a glycoprotein that belongs to the Ig superfamily encompassing two Ig domains (7–9). It was functionally identified in humans as a counter-receptor for the leukocyte integrin LFA-1 (8). Tissue distribution for ICAM-2 in humans includes constitutive expression on endothelial cells as well as on T and B lymphocytes (7). Recently a second counter-receptor for ICAM-2 has been identified selectively expressed on human DCs, and that molecule is the C-type lectin named DC-SIGN—activity-1-independent adhesion of immature dendritic cells to endothelium—that effect that is at least in part mediated by DC-SIGN (CD209).
EOL4G8 was performed as described (25) with ascites 1/1000 or 5 μg/ml of purified mAb in blocking solution.

Affinity Chromatography, SDS-PAGE, and In-Gel Digestion of Proteins. Purified EOL4G8 was covalently bound to Sepharose beads (CNBr-activated Sepharose; Amersham-Pharmacia-Biotech) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Columns were packed in 2-ml syringes and, after conditioning with extensive washing with PBS, were loaded with PY-4.1 cell lysate from 106 cells in PBS with 1% Triton Tx-100 containing phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, aprotinin, and leupeptin (Sigma). Optimal elution buffer was 1 M Tris (pH 12), and 0.5-ml fractions were collected. Concentrated fractions (Centricron; Millipore, Madrid, Spain) were run in 12% SDS-PAGE, and stained protein bands were excised from the gel and then processed automatically using an Investigator ProGest protein digestion station (Genomic Solutions, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom). Peptides were eluted with acetonitrile, 25 mM ammonium bicarbonate, and 10% formic acid (v/v) for a final extraction volume of 100 μl.

MALDI Peptide Mass Fingerprinting, Database Searching, and PSD MALDI Analysis. A 0.5-μl aliquot of the extraction solution was used to measure automatically the mass fingerprint on a Bruker Reflex III MALDI-time of flight mass spectrometer (Bruker-Franzen Analytic GmbH, Bremen, Germany) in positive ion reflector mode using delayed extraction. The measured tryptic peptide masses were transferred automatically through the MS BioTools program as inputs to search automatically the National Center for Biotechnology Information database using Mascot software (Matrix Science, London, United Kingdom). The PSD MALDI spectrum was recorded automatically, and the precursor ion was selected by FAST deflecting pulses. Data analysis was performed using Bruker BioTools 2.0 software.

Mouse and in Vivo Experimentation. Female C57BL/6, BALB/c, and BALB/c-<nu/nu> mice (6–12 weeks old) were purchased from Jackson (Barcelona, Spain). A breeding pair of Rag2<−/−> mice was obtained from T. Rolink (Basel Institute for Immunology, Basel, Switzerland; Ref. 27) and bred in our animal facility. s.c. tumors were obtained by injection of 5 × 106 CT26 cells into BALB/c mice, 1 × 106 MC38 in C57BL/6 mice (18), or 1 × 104 PY-4.1 cells in BALB/c-<nu/nu> mice (19), and monitored as described (25). s.c. Matrigel plugs were implanted by injection of ice-cold Matrigel containing 10 ng/ml VEGF and 10 ng/ml bFGF (Peprotech, London, United Kingdom). Ten days later, plugs and surrounding tissue were paraffin-embedded and H&E stained. Endothelial cells with lumen per microscopic field (×200) were counted in at least 5 fields/section and 5 sections/Matrigel plug (identity of endothelium was confirmed by immunohistochemistry with anti-Von Willebrand factor in serial sections; Refs. 25, 28, 29).

CTL Studies and ELISAs. Standard 51Cr release were done on CT26 and P815 cells as target cells using spleen cells restimulated in vitro with Mytomycin-C-treated CT26 cells at a 10:1 ratio as described (25, 30).

Adhesion Experiments. Human monocyte-derived DCs and mouse bone marrow-derived DCs were obtained as described (18, 31). PY-4.1 cells were cocultured with anti-ICAM-2 MAB THERAPY FOR ICAM-2 TUMORS

RESULTS

Obtainment, Selection, and Characterization of the EOL4G8 mAb. To obtain mAbs, rats were immunized with mouse endothelioma cells derived from hemangiomas raised in SV40T-transgenic mice (19, 20). A primary screening was set up to search for antibodies that could bind surface proteins on murine endothelioma cells but not on a wide panel of cell lines of epithelial origin. Thus, selected antibodies were screened for in vivo antitumoral effects. The antibody produced by the hybridoma EOL4G8 (rat IgG2b) fulfilled both criteria. As it can be seen in Fig. 1, a and b, EOL4G8 brightly stained the cell surface of two endothelioma cell lines that coexpressed endothelial lineage and activation markers such as CD31, CD34, the α<sub>1</sub>β<sub>3</sub> integrin, ICAM-1, ICAM-2, and VCAM-1.

By contrast as shown in Fig. 1, c and d, EOL4G8 completely failed to detect antigens on the surface of the colon carcinoma cell lines CT26 and MC38 that were MHC class I-positive. EOL4G8 immunoprecipitated a single band of 59 kDa (Fig. 1e) on reducing and nonreducing conditions from lysates that had been obtained from the endothelioma cell line PY-4.1.

Immunohistochemical analysis of BALB/c livers harboring CT26-derived experimental metastasis showed a pattern of stromal staining...
in vascular structures with lumen (Fig. 1, f–h). Positive cells were confirmed to be endothelial by positive immunostaining of serial sections with anti-CD31 mAb (not shown). It should be noted that the number of EOL4G8/H11001 cells in tumor stroma was outnumbered by at least 3-fold by CD31/H11001 cells, indicating that not every endothelial cell in the malignant tissue was EOL4G8/H11001 (not shown). Interestingly, these immunohistochemical analyses did not detect any cells of healthy liver including sinusoidal and nonsinusoidal vascular structures. Positive staining with EOL4G8 mAb was also found in many vascular structures within skeletal muscle (Fig. 1, i).
minority of vascular structures (mainly arteriolas) were detected in brain, eye, testis, heart, and lung tissue (not shown).

On PY-4.1 cells the antigen recognized by EOL4G8 evenly decorated the plasma membrane on indirect immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 1a), but it underwent capping at 37°C for 20 min (Fig. 1k) and internalization at later time points (Fig. 1l).

Affinity chromatography with EOL4G8 mAb purified the membrane protein from PY-4.1-derived cell lysates. Elution fractions could be followed by Western blot with EOL4G8. Fraction F2 (indicated with a dotted arrow in Fig. 2a) was concentrated, run in SDS-PAGE, and the excised band was subjected to tryptic peptide fingerprinting analysis by MALDI. The database search using tryptic peptide masses observed in the MALDI peptide fingerprinting (Fig. 2a) proposed the intercellular adhesion protein-2 from mouse as a top candidate as shown in the score table under Fig. 2a.

Furthermore, immunoprecipitates obtained with a commercial antineoplasmodium ICAM-2 mAb (3C8; Ref. 9) were recognized in Western blot analysis by EOL4G8 mAb depicting a band with identical electrophoretic mobility to that immunoprecipitated by EOL4G8 itself (Fig. 2b). Identification was additionally confirmed by MALDI-PSD analysis. Fig. 2c shows a recorded series of y-, a- and b-type fragment ions generated by decomposition of the peptide at m/z = 1194.64 (peak) in the mass fingerprinting spectrum, which are compatible with its putative sequence. Molecular weight of 31.8 kDa from the predicted peptide backbone indicates heavy glycosylation if compared with the estimated 59 kDa in SDS-PAGE analysis of immunoprecipitates.

**Antitumor Effects of EOL4G8 anti-ICAM-2 mAb.** Experiments shown in Table 1 demonstrate that in vivo administration of EOL4G8 mAb to mice bearing established s.c. tumors derived from the injection of CT26 or MC38 cells resulted in complete regressions in an important fraction of cases. In this series of experiments the antibody was given either as ascites fluid or as purified protein. Three doses repeated every third day of 100 μl of ascites or 150 μg of purified antibody were chosen. In the first two experiments EOL4G8 was injected inside the malignant nodule in an attempt to reach high local concentrations in the tumor environment. Treatment of CT26 tumors was started on day 7 or 8 after tumor inoculation when tumor nodules rank from 4 to 7 mm (mean diameter). Injection of purified EOL4G8 mAb through i.p. route at similar doses and dosing schedule induced complete regressions in a comparable fraction of cases, including that the intratumoral route was not required. All of the tumor regressions were sustained during at least 6 months without obvious signs of tumor relapses or toxicity related to treatment.

Similar experiments were undertaken with MC38-derived tumors. In this case the effects were not curative if the onset of the i.p. regime of EOL4G8 mAb was postponed until day 7. However, two tumors of six cases completely regressed if the treatment course started on day 5. Moreover, when i.p. treatment immediately followed MC38 tumor cell inoculation, three cases of four mice underwent complete regression after transient detectable tumor growth.

Surprisingly, no antitumor activity against CT26 s.c. tumors was detected in immunodeficient BALB/c-nu/nu or Rag2−/− mice (in BALB/c background) suggesting a role of the immune system in the observed phenomenon.

**Mechanisms Involved in EOL4G8 Antitumor Activity.** Addressing this immune system involvement, we found that weekly depletion of CD8 cells with specific mAbs eliminated the antitumor effects of EOL4G8 (Fig. 3, a and b). Depletion of CD4 cells in a similar type of experiment did not exert a significant effect on the outcome of EOL4G8 mAb treatment (not shown). In accordance, we found in two experiments that 20 days after EOL4G8 treatment the spleen of mice bearing CT26 s.c. tumors had specific antitumor CTL activity (Fig. 3c) that was not detected in mice treated with control antibody. Furthermore, tumors 7 days after treatment showed a prominent infiltrate of mononuclear cells (especially around vessels) along with some granulocytes, including eosinophils and areas of tumor necrosis (Fig. 3d).

Resting murine T lymphocytes expressed barely detectable levels of ICAM-2 but concanavalin + interleukin 2 stimulated T lymphoblasts readily expressed this molecule with high intensity (Fig. 3e, f). Therefore, a potential way of action of the antibody was to provide costimulatory signals to activated ICAM-2− antitumor T cells. Nonetheless we could not demonstrate any activity of EOL4G8 mAb to provide costimulation to suboptimal stimulation with anti-CD3 antibodies in vitro either to induce proliferation or IFN-γ secretion, regardless of the extensive series of experimental conditions under which anti-CD28 used as a positive control readily costimulated these effects (not shown). Those conditions included rested T-cell blasts that intensely expressed ICAM-2 and mixed lymphocyte culture-type cultures of T cells and fully allogeneic DCs. In both cases EOL4G8 mAb, either attached to plastic or in soluble form, failed to modify the response to suboptimal anti-CD3 mAb or alloantigens.

We carried out experiments to assess a potential antiangiogenic effect of this antibody although the antitumor activity could not be explained solely by antiangiogenesis because it was not found in immunodeficient mice. The subject was studied by implanting Matrigel plugs embedded in VEGF + bFGF in nude mice and assessing the number of endothelial cells in microscopic fields within the Matrigel plug. An inhibition (= 30%) induced by EOL4G8 mAb was found in comparison with mice treated with control antibodies (Fig. 3g). Furthermore, EOL4G8 was able to decrease the rate of progression of PY-4.1-derived hemangiomata in nude mice indicating the ability of the antibody to interfere in vivo with the growth of these ICAM-2−transformed endothelial cells (Fig. 3h).

**EOL4G8 mAb Provokes Attachment of DCs to ICAM-2− Endothelial Cells.** Incubation of PY-4.1 cells in vitro with EOL4G8 did not result in changes in cell viability, morphology, proliferation, or tubulization on Matrigel. However, we found that preincubation of a monolayer of PY-4.1 with EOL4G8 induced the adhesion to it of a DC line (D2SC/1) that represents DCs at an immature status (Ref. 21; Fig. 4a). This effect was not observed with control antibodies including a commercially available antimurine ICAM-2 antibody (3C8). The increased adhesion was not blocked by an anti-LFA-1 mAb that inhibits

---

**Table 1 Antitumor effects of treatment of established s.c. tumors with EOL4G8 mAb**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor/strain</th>
<th>Route/days</th>
<th>mAb form</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Saline</th>
<th>EOL4G8</th>
<th>IgG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT26/BALB/c</td>
<td>i.t. a 7, 10, 12</td>
<td>Ascites b</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT26/BALB/c</td>
<td>i.t. b 8, 10, 13</td>
<td>purificate c</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>4/4</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MC38/C57BL/6</td>
<td>i.p. 5, 8, 11</td>
<td>purificate d</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>2/6</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT26/BALB/c</td>
<td>i.t. 7, 9, 11, 18</td>
<td>purificate e</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT26/BALB/c</td>
<td>i.p. 0, 3, 7, 10</td>
<td>Ascites f</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT26/BALB/c</td>
<td>i.p. 5, 8, 11</td>
<td>purificate g</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>0/4</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT26/BALB/c</td>
<td>i.p. 0, 3, 7, 10</td>
<td>purificate h</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>0/6</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Fraction of tumor-free surviving mice (number of cured mice/total number of mice) in different experiments 24 weeks after being injected s.c. in the right flank with the indicated tumor cell lines to develop tumor nodules. Mice were treated with the indicated antibody doses, via the indicated routes, on the indicated days after tumor inoculation. In some cases mice received an equivalent volume of saline buffer or polyclonal rat IgG as a control. Mice were terminated when tumor nodules surpassed 24 mm (mean diameter) or were followed up for 6 months if disease-free.

b Ascites fluid drained from peritoneal cavity of athymic nude mice injected i.p. with the EOL4G8 hybridoma; 100 μl were given per dose.
c Purified antibody obtained with protein G Sepharose columns from ascites fluid that was given at 150 μg/dose.

d Determined after SDS-PAGE, and the excised band was subjected to tryptic peptide fingerprinting by MALDI.

e T cell blasts readily expressed this molecule with high intensity (Fig. 3e, f).

---
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Fig. 3. Treatment with EOL4G8 mAb stimulates antitumor CTL-mediated immune responses and can interfere with endothelial cells in vivo. a, follow-up of tumor mean diameters of CT26 tumors in mice receiving 150 μg i.p. of EOL4G8 mAb on days 7, 10, and 12 after tumor inoculation. b, similar to a, but in this case mice received 100 μl of ascites containing depleting anti-CD8 mAb on days 6, 14, and 21 after tumor inoculation. c, CTL activity against CT26 in 4-hour 51Cr release assays of splenocytes obtained from mice bearing s.c. CT26 tumors treated on days 7, 10, and 12 with 150 μg of EOL4G8 or control rat IgG. Results from two independent experiments with two mice/group are shown. Specificity was checked by lack of cytolytic activity against P815 cells in experiments performed in parallel (not shown). d, H&E staining of sections obtained from a representative s.c. CT26 tumor taken 14 days after tumor cell inoculation once mice had received treatment with two doses of 150 μg of EOL4G8 mAb given on days 6 and 8. A (×100) magnification field is shown with a detail (×200) shown in the top right corner of the picture. Eosinophils are indicated by the arrows: e and f, expression of ICAM-2 as detected by EOL4G8 and FACS analysis in magnetic bead-purified T cells from normal resting BALB/c spleens containing 29% CD8+ cells and 60% CD4+ cells (e) and in those lymphocytes after activation with 2 μg/ml of Con A plus 500 IU of human recombinant interleukin 2 for 4 days (f). Results were confirmed with the 3C8 anti ICAM-2 mAb (not shown). g, vascularization of Matrigel implants embedded in VEGF and bFGF placed under the skin of BALB/c nude mice that received 150 μg of EOL4G8 or control antibody on days 0 and 3 after Matrigel injection, measured as the number of endothelial cells in microscopic fields (×200) from H&E-stained sections. Four Matrigel plugs were implanted in each mouse with a total of seven mice/group, and data were from five sections from each plug. Statistical significance was P < 0.01 according to Student’s t test. h, sequential hemangiomia growth (assessed by mean diameter in mm) under the skin of BALB/c nude mice treated with EOL4G8 mAb or control rat IgG (150 μg/g, i.p. dose) on days 3, 6, and 9; bars, ±SD.

its binding to ICAM-1 and remained unaffected by an antibody that also binds a surface molecule of endothelial cells (anti-MECA-32). The augment of cell adhesion took place at 4°C and when soluble nonattached mAb had been removed indicating that this phenomenon did not require active metabolism nor direct access of soluble antibody to the DC line (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, EOL4G8 mAb was able to promote adhesion of DCs to affinity-purified PY-4.1-derived ICAM-2, showing that enhancement of adhesion took place irrespective on whether ICAM-2 was or was not attached to a cell surface (Fig. 4b). D2SC/1 also expresses low levels of ICAM-2 and, therefore, EOL4G8 could be acting on the DC side. (Fig. 4c). EOL4G8 increased adhesion is dependent on divalent cations because it is inhibited by EGTA (Fig. 4d) and is dependent on the tubulin cytoskeleton of DCs as deduced from inhibitions observed with colchicine (Fig. 4e). Enhancement of adhesion by EOL4G8 also took place with cultured bone marrow-derived murine DCs thus excluding artifacts because of the transformed phenotype of the D2SC/cell line (Fig. 4e).

EOL4G8 mAb Enhances Adhesion of Human DCs to Mouse Endothelium in a DC-SIGN-mediated Fashion. EOL4G8 also increases the adhesion of human DCs to the murine endothelium PY-4.1 (Fig. 5a), although the antibody does not bind human ICAM-2 nor does it stain human DCs (FACS histogram in Fig. 5a). Therefore, the antibody in this case cannot bind both cell surfaces to form a bridge between them. Instead the mechanism seems to be that EOL4G8 binding modifies ICAM-2 in such a way that it becomes more prone to interact with a putative ligand on human DCs that are not LFA-1, as deduced from the lack of inhibition observed with an anti-CD18 mAb that blocks LFA-1-dependent adhesion (Fig. 5b). As shown in Fig. 5b, an anti-DC-SIGN mAb (MR-1) (23) inhibits 40–50% of the EOL4G8-induced adhesion of human DCs to mouse PY-4.1 endothelioima cells. To additionally confirm the involvement of DC-SIGN, a series of experiments was carried out with transfectants in human K562 cells, which stably expressed DC-SIGN (FACS histogram under Figs. 5, c and d) or in equivalent untransfected cells. As it can be seen in Fig. 5c, EOL4G8 mAb intensely promoted the adhesion of the DC-SIGN+ transfectant to PY-4.1 cells, whereas it did not modify the adhesion of the untransfected K562 cells (Fig. 5d). Moreover the mAb against DC-SIGN inhibited >60% of the adhesion of DC-SIGN+ K562 to mouse endothelium. All of these results strongly indicate that EOL4G8 enhances tumor immunity by promoting the adhesion of ICAM-2+ cells to DC-SIGN molecules expressed on DCs.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that in vivo injection of a mAb that recognizes ICAM-2 (CD102) eradicates experimental solid tumors that do not
express ICAM-2. Hence, the antibody required the contribution of endogenous mechanisms to interfere with cancer progression. Ineffectiveness of the antibody in nude and rag2⁻/⁻ mice pointed out an absolute requirement of T cells. Depletion experiments with anti-CD8 mAb clearly showed that CTLs were required for antitumor effects, and this fact correlated with the detection of antitumor-specific CTL activity in EOL4G8-treated mice. mAbs that enhance the antitumor immune response to produce therapeutic immunity have been described. The most potent seem to be anti-CTLA-4 (2), anti-4–1BB (3), and anti-CD40 (4). Each of them acts through different mechanisms that share the activation of a CTL response against the tumor as the final effector mechanism.

ICAM-2 is readily expressed on murine activated T cells but very poorly on resting T cells. Thus, it was conceivable that EOL4G8 could be providing costimulatory signals to T cells preactivated through the T-cell receptor. However all of our attempts to show that costimulatory activity for proliferation or cytokine secretion in vitro have failed. Therefore, it is still unresolved whether ICAM-2 artificial ligation with EOL4G8 mAb on T cells would be involved in the observed antitumor effects by direct signals through ICAM-2. ICAM-2 bound by mAbs has not been involved yet in active signaling unlike the case of related molecules such as ICAM-1 (32), ICAM-3 (33), and VCAM-1 (34). The reported intracytoplasmic interactions of ICAM-2 with cytoskeletal proteins (35, 36) may be involved in these phenomena, and are consistent with our observations on the capping and internalization induced by EOL4G8.

CTL activity and the lymphoid infiltration of tumors after systemic treatment with EOL4G8 speak of an activation of the immune system provoked by the antibody in vivo. The presence of granulocytes in the infiltrate is also interesting and resembles to some extent observations in tumors transfected to produce certain cytokines (37) or fas-L (38, 39). Because CT26 is more immunogenic than MC38 (18), the higher efficacy of EOL4G8 treatment on CT26-derived tumors indicates that EOL4G8 amplifies a weak, preexisting immune response. The basal immunogenicity of CT26 cells is best indicated by experiments that show a slower growth of these transplanted tumors in BALB/c mice than in Rag-2⁻/⁻ syngeneic mice.¹

¹Tirapu et al. submitted for publication.
Carbohydrates attached to ICAM-2 are ligands of the DC-SIGN lectin (10). DC-SIGN on interaction with ICAM-3 has been found instrumental for the early low avidity interactions of DCs and T cells (12). Our results show an enhanced attachment of immature DCs to endothelial cells on incubation with EOL4G8. Because EOL4G8 stains with some degree of selectivity tumor endothelium, it is possible that the mAb favors recruitment of DCs into malignant tissue. Besides it is tempting to speculate that the antibody would modify ICAM-2/DC-SIGN interactions at the immunological synapse between lymphocytes and DCs. Our data with the interspecies adhesion systems, involving human DCs and mouse endothelial cells, strongly suggest that EOL4G8 operates increasing the adhesion of ICAM-2 to DC-SIGN. The involvement of human DC-SIGN is shown both by antibody blocking and by transmission of the activity on transfection of the DC-SIGN-encoding cDNA. Definitive proof of such hypotheses awaits additional characterization on the function of mouse homologues of DC-SIGN (16).

EOL4G8 binds ICAM-2 at an epitope that interferes with LFA-1 binding (an activity that maps to the NH2-terminal Ig domain of ICAM-2; Refs. 7, 8, 13) but which could up-regulate somehow the binding to DC-SIGN (an activity dependent on the COOH-terminal Ig domain of human ICAM-2 and its attached carbohydrates; Ref. 13). Peculiar functional properties of the epitope bound by EOL4G8 mAb might be crucial to understand its biological properties. This is supported by our observation that another antibody against ICAM-2 (3C8), which also interferes with adhesion to LFA-1 (Ref. 9; although it binds to a noncompeting epitope), fails to up-regulate DC adhesion to endothelium. A conformational change induced by EOL4G8 in the protein backbone of ICAM-2 or its attached carbohydrates, enhancing its adhesiveness to DC-SIGN, could be the intimate mechanism of action. This is our best-fitting explanation to the observation that EOL4G8 also enhances binding of DCs to immunopurified ICAM-2 attached to plastic. We cannot exclude that other putative ICAM-2-binding lectins might also be involved.

EOL4G8 inhibits angiogenesis of Matrigel implants, but such an effect, although detectable, was very weak in comparison to inhibitions reported for other agents of which the efficacy is entirely dependent on this mechanism (28, 29, 40). An appraisal of the real extent of the contribution of antiangiogenesis to the overall EOL4G8 efficacy is difficult, but this mechanism is not sufficient to cause macroscopic antitumor activity in immunodeficient mice.

As a whole our studies define ICAM-2 as a new target for antibodies mediating antitumor effects through indirect mechanisms that seem to include enhancement of the intrinsic adhesion properties of
this molecule. In vivo, the injected antibody finds its cognate antigen mainly on activated T cells and on endothelial cells, and is subsequently capable to ignite antimicrobial immune mechanisms that can eradicate established tumors.
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