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**ABSTRACT**

The thymidylate synthase reaction remains an important target for widely used anticancer agents; however, the clinical utility of these drugs is limited by the occurrence of cellular resistance. Despite the considerable amount of information available regarding mechanisms of drug action, the relative significance of downstream events that result in lethality remains unclear. In this study, we have developed a model system using the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* to dissect the influence of dUMP misincorporation into DNA as a contributing mechanism of cytotoxicity induced by antifolate agents. The activities of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase, key enzymes in uracil-DNA metabolism, were diminished or augmented, and the manipulated strains were analyzed for biochemical endpoints of toxicity. Cells overexpressing dUTPase were protected from cytotoxicity by their ability to prevent dUTP pool expansion and were able to recover from an early S-phase checkpoint arrest. In contrast, depletion of dUTPase activity leads to the accumulation of dUTP pools and enhanced sensitivity to antifolates. These cells were also arrested in early S-phase and were unable to complete DNA replication after drug withdrawal, resulting in lethality. Inactivation of uracil base excision repair induced partial resistance to early cytotoxicity (within 10 h); however, lethality ultimately resulted at later time points (12–24 h), presumably because of the detrimental effects of stable uracil misincorporation. Although these cells were able to complete replication with uracil-substituted DNA, they arrested at the G₂-M phase. This finding may represent a novel mechanism by which the G₂-M checkpoint is signaled by the presence of uracil-substituted DNA. Together these data provide both genetic and biochemical evidence demonstrating that lethality from antifolates in yeast is primarily dependent on uracil misincorporation into DNA, and that uracil-independent mechanisms associated with dUTP depletion play a minor role. Our findings indicate that the relative expression levels of both dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase can have great influence over the efficacy of thymidylate synthase-directed chemotherapy, thereby enhancing the candidacy of these proteins as prognostic markers and alternative targets for therapeutic development.

**INTRODUCTION**

Thymidylate metabolism is an important target of widely used anticancer agents that are used to combat a variety of neoplastic diseases including head and neck, breast, and gastrointestinal cancers (1). Chemotherapeutic agents that target this pathway act by inhibiting the TS reaction that catalyzes the reductive methylation of dUMP to dTTP. Inhibitors of this reaction include the fluoropyrimidine class of anticancer agents (FdUMP being the active metabolite) and TS-specific quinazoline antifolates [i.e., ZD1694 (Tomudex) and ZD9331] that directly inhibit the TS enzyme. Antifolate inhibitors of dihydrofolate reductase, such as aminopterin and methotrexate, can indirectly block dTMP production by depleting tetrahydrofolate pools required for the TS reaction. Inhibition of TS leads to a series of complex biochemical events that ultimately result in DNA damage and cytotoxicity, often referred to as thymineless death (2).

Analysis of the downstream biochemical consequences of TS inhibition demonstrates that depletion of dTTP pools triggers feedback mechanisms that induce the accumulation of dUMP and imbalance in deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate pools (3). Although the exact biochemical basis for toxicity in TS-inhibited cells remains unclear, it is likely to result from the profound effects of nucleotide precursor imbalance (3). Several mechanisms involving imbalance in particular nucleotides have been proposed including dTTP pool deprivation (4), elevation in dATP pools (5, 6), lowered dGTP pools (7), and dUTP pool accumulation, resulting in aberrant uracil misincorporation into DNA (8). Although many researchers have accepted uracil misincorporation as one component of TS inhibitor-based lethality, the relative significance of this pathway in mediating cell death has not been fully established.

During TS inhibition, dTTP pools are depleted, and dUMP pools accumulate behind the metabolic blockade. dUMP is subsequently phosphorylated by the action of mono- and dinucleotide kinases to form dUTP. If the build-up of dUTP overwhelms cellular dUTPase activity, the enzyme responsible for eliminating dUTP from the cell, dUTP pools will accumulate and can be used in place of dTTP by DNA polymerase during replication and repair (9). Under these conditions, the cell experiences detrimental cycles of uracil misincorporation and uracil-DNA glycosylase-mediated repair, resulting in catastrophic DNA damage and cell death (8, 10–12).

Studies aimed at determining the significance of uracil misincorporation have shown that exogenously expressed *Escherichia coli* dUTPase partially protects HT29 colon cancer cells from 5-fluorodeoxyuridine-induced DNA damage and toxicity (13). However, dUTPase overexpression did not protect HuTu80 cells from 5-fluorodeoxyuridine treatment, suggesting that this is not a consistent finding. Additionally, investigation in other cell culture models demonstrates that dUTP pools do not accumulate in all cell lines that are sensitive to TS inhibition (8). These data suggest that uracil misincorporation may not be the critical event resulting in lethality, and that other mechanisms, such as dTTP pool depletion itself, may play a more dominant role (8, 14). Thus, despite considerable effort to elucidate the underlying mechanisms responsible for toxicity in TS inhibited cells, the precise mechanisms remain controversial.

The budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* offers a powerful model to examine the action of anticancer agents and clarify the contribution of a specific gene or metabolic pathway in regulating sensitivity or resistance. Studies performed in budding yeast have suggested the role of uracil misincorporation as the mechanistic basis of antifolate toxicity; however, direct biochemical evidence demonstrating its involvement has not been shown (15). The purpose of this study was to use yeast as a model to determine the significance of aberrant uracil-DNA metabolism as a mechanism of cytotoxicity after TS inhibition by antifolate agents. To address this issue, we sought to...
diminish and augment the cellular activities of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase to understand their influence on antifolate-mediated lethality. The TS reaction represents the sole source of dTMP in these organisms, allowing for the unambiguous analysis of the consequences of TS inhibition. Through a series of genetic and biochemical-based analyses, we demonstrate that aberrant uracil misincorporation and excision plays a dominant role in antifolate toxicity, and that dTTP pool depletion alone does not mediate extreme lethality in yeast. Our data suggest that the relative cellular expression of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase can have profound effects on the ability of cells to respond to antifolates.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Yeast Growth Conditions. Yeast cells were grown at 30°C. SC medium was formulated according to Burke et al. (16). Carbon sources (glucose, raffinose, and galactose; 2%) are indicated in the individual experiments. Raffinose was used as a noninducing/nonpressuring carbon source of the GAL1 promoter. Galactose was used to induce expression from the GAL1 promoter. Where indicated, 5-FOA was used at 0.1%, and nocodazole was used at 10 μg/ml.

Antifolate Killing Assays. For antifolate killing, cells were grown to log phase in SC – Ura – Leu GAL (containing galactose), whereupon the cell density was adjusted to 5 × 10^6 cells/ml, and the medium was replaced with SC – Ura – Leu GAL + A + S, unless otherwise indicated. Aliquots were withdrawn and washed, and a determined number of cells was plated onto SC – Ura – Leu GAL agar at timed intervals and allowed to grow for several days at 30°C. Statistical analysis of results was performed by one-way ANOVA using GraphPad Prism software.

Synchronization of Cells. Strains were grown to log phase in SC – Ura – Leu GAL and treated with 1.5 μM α-factor (Sigma) for 4 h. Cells were then harvested, washed, and resuspended in SC – Ura – Leu GAL + A + S as indicated in the individual experiments.

Nucleotide Pool Assays. Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate pool sizes were determined by an enzymatic method first described by Sherman and Fyfe (17). dTTP and dUTP pools were determined independently by preincubating cellular extracts with or without recombinant dUTPase (18). Yeast cells (5 × 10^6) were harvested at timed intervals, washed, resuspended in 100 μg/ml of trichloroacetic acid, and processed as described.

PFGE-Based Determination of Replication Intermediates and Detection of Uracil in DNA. At timed intervals, 1.2 × 10^7 cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed, resuspended in 500 μl of 10 mM Tris (pH 7.2), 20 mM NaCl, 50 mM EDTA plus 6 μl of Zymolase (10 mg/ml), and incubated for 60 s at 37°C. The cell suspension was then mixed with 500 μl of 2% pulsed field certified agarose (Bio-Rad) premelted and equilibrated to 50°C, and transferred into plug molds. After solidification, the plugs were incubated in 0.5 M EDTA, 10 mM Tris (pH 7.5) for 8 h at 37°C. After incubation, plugs were processed for PFGE as per the manufacturer’s recommendations (Bio-Rad). Separation of chromosomal DNA was carried out over 11 h at 120 V with a switch time of 1–6 s, and chromosomes were visualized with ethidium bromide. To detect uracil in cellular DNA, plugs were first incubated in 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride for 1 h at room temp and then equivaled in Ung1 digestion buffer [50 mM Tris (pH 7.6) and 0.2 mM NaCl]. Plugs were digested overnight with recombinant uracil-DNA glycosylase (Ung1; 200 ng/ml) and AP endonuclease (Apr1; 200 ng/ml) in 300 μl of Ung1 digestion buffer and then separated according to parameters described above. Recombinant Ung1 expression and purification have been described previously (19). The ORF of the major class II AP endonuclease from yeast (Apr1) was PCR amplified from total genomic DNA, and the resulting fragment was cloned into the expression vector pProEx Htb (Life Technologies, Inc.). Recombinant Apr1 protein was overexpressed in DH5α cells and affinity purified by use of a Ni-NTA Agarose (Qiagen) as per the manufacturer’s recommendations.

FACS Analysis. At timed intervals, 5 × 10^6 cells were harvested and resuspended in 250 μl of 0.25 mM Tris (pH 7.5). Cells were then processed for FACS as described (20). Samples were analyzed for fluorescence content on a FACSscan flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson).

Strain and Plasmid Construction. Refer to Table 1 for details on all plasmids and yeast strains generated in this study. To construct a yeast strain suitable for generating Ts mutants of the DUT1 gene by PCR mutagenesis and plasmid shuffling, a Δαt1::HIS3/DUT1 diploid (BY100) was made from M. Henry by standard gene disruption procedures (21). To suppress lethality from dUTPase deficiency, BY100 was transformed with the URA3 CEN plasmid pPS293 (μg, 10 μl), which contains the human dUTPase ORF (dUTP-N) under the control of the DUT1 promoter (see below). The resulting strain was sporulated, tetrads were dissected, and haploids harboring the pBAM10 plasmid were selected on SC – Ura medium. Strains containing the DUT1 knockout were identified by the inability to grow on replica plates of SC – Ura supplemented with 5-FOA. To construct BY102, BY101 was transformed with pBAM11 (CEN6 LEU2-hDUT-N), and cells were plated on SC – Leu + 5-FOA to ensure the loss of the pBAM10 plasmid.

The hDUT-N-DUT1 promoter expression cassettes were generated by flanking the hDUT-N ORF between DUT1 gene sequences 0.9 kb upstream and 0.7 kb downstream of the coding region. The human DUT-N ORF was PCR amplified from the gene cloned previously (22), and DUT1 flanking sequences were PCR amplified from yeast genomic DNA. Each PCR fragment was sequentially cloned into pBluescript (pBS-), and the entire hDUT-N-DUT1 promoter expression cassette was subcloned using Sfil and Xhol sites into either pRS316 (URA3) or pRS315 (LEU2) generating pBAM10 and pBAM11, respectively. The ORFs of the yeast genes encoding dUTPase (DUT1) and uracil-DNA glycosylase (UNG1) were PCR amplified from total genomic DNA. The ORF of the Bacillus subtilis bacteriophage PBS2-encoded uracil-DNA glycosylase inhibitor protein (Ugi) was PCR amplified from the gene cloned previously (19). The resulting PCR products were cloned into pGEM-2Z (Promega) and sequenced to verify lack of mutation. The individual ORFs were then subcloned into the galactose-inducible expression vector pPS293 (2 μg URA3 GALI promoter), generating pBAM12–14 (see Table 1). Each plasmid construct and empty pPS293 was then transformed into BY102 and selected on SC – Leu – Ura, generating BY106–109. Knockout of the UNG1 gene was performed in BY106 using the TRP1 gene as a selectable marker (21), generating BY114 (Δung1). Gene disruption of UNG1 and Ugi1 expression was confirmed by Southern blot and an in vitro uracil-DNA cleavage assay (23). Overexpression of DUT1 and UNG1 was measured by in vitro enzymatic assays as described (19, 22). Enzymatic activity in soluble whole-cell extracts was calculated as fold increase over endogenous levels of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase. Endogenous levels of DUT1 activity in BY107 were determined to be 1.0 pmol of dUTP cleaved/min/μg of protein. Endogenous levels of Ugi1 activity in BY108 were determined to be 0.4 pmol of uracil cleaved/min/μg of protein.

RESULTS

A Yeast Model for Uracil-DNA Metabolism. To investigate the significance of aberrant uracil misincorporation as a mechanism of

Table 1 Strains and plasmids used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MHY340</td>
<td>MATαα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 ade2Δ1 ade3 lys2Δ1LYS2 trp1Δ TRY1</td>
<td>M. Henry (UMDNJ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY66</td>
<td>MATα ura3-52 leu2Δ1 his3Δ200 trp1Δ</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY101</td>
<td>as MHY340 Δαt1::HIS3/DUT1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY102</td>
<td>as BMY66 Δαt1::HIS3 + pBAM10</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY103</td>
<td>as BMY66 Δαt1::HIS3 + pBAM11</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY106</td>
<td>as BMY66 Δαt1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY107</td>
<td>as BMY66 Δαt1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pBAM12</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY108</td>
<td>as BMY66 Δαt1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pBAM13</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY109</td>
<td>as BMY66 Δαt1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pBAM14</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY114</td>
<td>as BMY102 Δung1Δ::TRP1 + pPS293</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMY119</td>
<td>as BMY102 Δung1Δ::TRP1 + pBAM12</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant markers</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pRS315</td>
<td>AmpC CEN6 LEU2</td>
<td>M. Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRS316</td>
<td>AmpC CEN6 URA3</td>
<td>M. Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pPS293</td>
<td>Amp2 URA3 GALI promoter</td>
<td>M. Henry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAM10</td>
<td>AmpC CEN6 URA3−hDUT-N</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAM11</td>
<td>AmpC CEN6 LEU2−hDUT-N</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAM12</td>
<td>Amp2 URA3 GALI−DUT1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAM13</td>
<td>Amp2 URA3 GALI−UNG1</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBAM14</td>
<td>Amp2 URA3 GALI−Ugi</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
thymineless death, we set out to determine the influence of dUTP metabolism and uracil-DNA repair on antifolate treatment in *S. cerevisiae*. Our goal was to generate a series of strains possessing depleted or elevated levels of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase and to analyze their effect on the biochemical events after treatment with A + S.

Because dUTPase is an essential gene, it is not possible to analyze the effects of a dUTPase-deficient strain. Therefore, we sought to generate Ts mutants of *DUT-1* to assess the influence of a compromised dUTPase function on toxicity. To generate Ts alleles of *DUT-1*, we performed PCR-based mutagenesis and plasmid shuffling. To perform this experiment, we constructed a *dut1* null strain, BMY101, which was kept alive by the human dUTPase gene, *hDUT-N*, on a *URA3 CEN* plasmid, pBAM10. Although we were unable to generate Ts alleles of *DUTI* by this approach, we noticed that BMY101 was greatly sensitized to A + S treatment (3% survival after 8 h) compared with an isogenic control strain, BMY66, that is wild type at the *DUTI* locus (60% survival after 8 h; data not shown). Analysis of dUTPase in these strains by enzymatic assay revealed that BMY101 contains 5-fold less dUTPase activity compared with BMY66, suggesting that the relative level of dUTPase activity can greatly influence antifolate cytotoxicity. We also noted that BMY101 accumulated dUTP pools subsequent to A + S treatment, whereas BMY66 did not, further implicating uracil-DNA metabolism as a key player in mediating toxicity.

To overexpress dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase, the ORFs of the yeast *DUT1* and *UNG1* genes were cloned into a *URA3 2μ* plasmid under the control of the *GAL1* promoter (pPS293), generating pBAM12 and pBAM13, respectively. These plasmids, including empty vector, were then transformed into BMY102 cells (isogenic with BMY101 with *hDUT-N* on a *LEU2 CEN* plasmid, pBAM11), generating BMY106 (pGAL-empty), BMY107 (pGAL-DUT1), and BMY108 (pGAL-UNG1). Expression of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase was induced by growing cells in galactose (SC−Leu GAL), and enzyme activity was monitored in total cell extracts prepared 3 days of incubation at 30°C. Analysis of their effect on the biochemical events after treatment with antifolates with elevated levels of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase was induced by growing cells in galactose (SC−Leu GAL), and enzyme activity was monitored in total cell extracts prepared 3 days of incubation at 30°C.

To examine the influence of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase activities on sensitivity to antifolate treatment, we determined the relative survival curves of the strains described above during various time periods of A + S treatment under galactose-induced conditions. The survival curves for each strain are presented in Fig. 1. The untreated control strain containing empty vector, BMY106 (pGAL-empty), showed no loss of viability over 24 h, as did all of the untreated expression strains tested (data not shown). In contrast, A + S treatment induced severe lethality in this strain corresponding to <2% survival at 24 h. Overexpression of dUTPase led to a dramatic increase in resistance to antifolates throughout the time course. At 24 h of drug treatment, the survival of BMY107 (pGAL-DUT1) was 25-fold greater than its isogenic control strain, BMY106 (pGAL-empty). The difference in survival between these two strains provides strong evidence that cell death attributable to A + S is mediated primarily through a dUTP-dependent mechanism.

The strain overexpressing Ung1, BMY108 (pGAL-UNG1), exhibits a similar loss of viability as pGAL-empty throughout the 24-h time course. However, when Ung1 is deleted, BMY114 (Δung1), or inactivated by Ugi, BMY109 (pGAL-Ugi) cells experience a significant protection from lethality 4–10 h after A + S addition when compared with the drug-treated isogenic control strain, pGAL-empty (*P* < 0.004 for each time point). Survival in these strains deteriorates after 12 h of A + S treatment, where it becomes nearly indistinguishable from pGAL-empty. These data suggest that the uracil-DNA repair system plays an important role in mediating cell killing, particularly at early time points. Lethality at later time points in the ung background.

![Fig. 1. Effects of antifolates on viability. Asynchronous cultures in mid-log phase were treated with 100 μg/ml aminopterin plus 5 mg/ml sulfamamide. At 2-h intervals, aliquots were withdrawn, and the cells were washed and plated on SC−Ura−Leu agar medium to determine viability. Colonies were scored after 2–3 days of incubation at 30°C. □, untreated BMY106 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293); ▪, treated BMY106 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293); ▲, BMY107 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1); ▼, BMY108 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-UNG1); ●, BMY109 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-Ugi); ○, BMY114 (Δdut1::HIS3 Δung1::TRP1 + pPS293).](image-url)
DUTP levels accumulate to 3.3 pools determined for BMY108, BMY109, and BMY114 were essential. Antifolate toxicity correlates with DUTP status in the cell. Nucleotide effectively suppresses DUTP pool expansion and confirm that severe (Fig. 2). These data demonstrate that DUTPase overexpression efficiently suppresses dUTP pool expansion on antifolate toxicity, we measured dTTP and dUTP pools are depleted, dUMP pools accumulate, and dUMP depletion. Also of note is the observation that all of these strains may be attributed to the detrimental effects of stable uracil misincorporation into DNA. Overexpression of UNG1 does not result in greater lethality, suggesting that this enzyme is already expressed at saturating concentrations in terms of mediating DNA damage. To determine the mechanistic basis for the observed viability profiles, we performed subsequent biochemical analysis of the metabolic endpoints of the uracil-DNA pathway.

**Elevated Expression of DUTPase Abrogates dUTP Pool Expansion in the Presence of Antifolates.** The model of uracil-mediated cytotoxicity suggests that when dTMP synthesis is inhibited by antifolates, dTTP pools are depleted, dUMP pools accumulate, and dUMP incorporation into DNA and complete replication. The early S-phase checkpoint initiated in the pGAL-DUT1 strain may be attributed to stalled replication complexes caused by a lack of thymidine equivalent (dTTP or dUTP) available for DNA replication (see Fig. 2D). In contrast, the pGAL-Ugi and Δungl strains treated with antifolates both progress through S-phase and arrest in G2-M as indicated by a 2C content of DNA. These data suggest that inactivation of Ung1 activity eliminates the antifolate-induced DNA damage that signals the early S-phase checkpoint, allowing cells to stably incorporate uracil into their DNA and complete replication. The unexpected G2-M arrest observed in these cells may represent a novel signaling mechanism whereby the checkpoint is initiated by the occurrence of stably substituted uracil in DNA.

When DUTPase is overexpressed in the Δungl background (Δungl/pGAL-DUT1), cells arrest in early S-phase, identical to the pGAL-DUT1 strain that contains wild-type levels of Ung1 (Fig. 3F). This result demonstrates that the early S-phase arrest is not attributable to low-level dUTP incorporation and Ung1-mediated misrepair and likely results from the combined effects of dTTP and dUTP pool depletion. Also of note is the observation that all of these strains

---

**Fig. 2.** TTP and dUTP pool analysis of antifolate-treated cells. Nucleotide pools were determined in yeast cells that were either untreated or treated with 100 μg/ml aminopterin plus 5 mg/ml sulfanilamide over a 4-h time course. At timed intervals, cells were harvested and washed, and dUTP and dTTP were determined by a DNA polymerase-based assay (see “Materials and Methods”). Bars, SD. A, untreated control, BMY106 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293). B, treated control, BMY106. C, untreated BMY107 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1); D, treated BMY107. (Results from BMY108, BMY109, and BMY114 resemble those from the control strain, BMY106, and are not shown.)

---

**Fig. 3.** Distinct cell cycle checkpoints are initiated by aberrant uracil-DNA metabolism. To determine cell cycle status upon antifolate treatment, yeast strains were analyzed for DNA content by FACS. Cells in the logarithmic phase were treated with A + S, harvested at various time points, and processed for FACS analysis. A, BMY106 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293). B, BMY107 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1). C, BMY108 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-UNG1). D, BMY109 (Δdut1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1). E, BMY114 (Δdut1::HIS3 Δung1::TRP1 + pPS293). F, BMY119 (Δdut1::HIS3 Δung1::TRP1 + pGAL-DUT1).

---
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ever, that this analysis does not rule out the possibility that the degradation upon Ung1/Apn1 treatment. It is important to note, how-

mation intermediates. All of these strains exhibit no detectable uracil indicating the formation of abnormal chromosomal structures or rep-

Formation of Replication Intermediates. Because FACS analysis

ting DNA in the p

bubbles that impede migration (26). We have modified this technique

agarose gels. Incompletely replicated chro-

doned replication forks) can be detected by the migration patterns of PFGE as an experimental approach to examine the accumulation of

PFGE after drug was withdrawn. For these experiments, cells were

treated with α-factor to synchronize cells in G1 for a more uniform response to drug. Cells were then released from α-factor into medium containing A + S for 2 h and transferred into fresh medium lacking antifolates for recovery. We also added nocodazole to the recovery medium to block cells in G2, thereby limiting our analysis of DNA recovery to a single round of replication. Cells were collected at timed intervals throughout the experiment and were analyzed for the presence of replication intermediates. Results of these experiments are presented in Fig. 5. Upon drug treatment, a time-dependent increase in replication intermediates was observed in the pGAL-empty, pGAL-DUT1, and pGAL-UNG1 strains (Fig. 5A). When released from drug, the pGAL-DUT1 strain is able to complete synthesis of DNA with normal structure, as indicated by the reappearance of intact, migrating DNA. However, the pGAL-empty and pGAL-UNG1 strains fail to complete synthesis of DNA with normal structure after drug withdraw. These data suggest that aberrant uracil-DNA repair induced by antifolates leads to defective chromosomal structures (resulting from single- and double-strand breaks) that are nonrecoverable and result in lethality. Inhibition of uracil-DNA repair (pGAL-Ugi) allows cells to traverse through replication with uracil-substituted DNA of normal structure. Results obtained from the Δung1 strain are identical to pGAL-Ugi and are not shown. Fig. 5B shows the quantitation of chromosomal DNA from Fig. 5A.

**DISCUSSION**

dUTPase-induced Resistance to Antifolates Results from Increased Recovery from Replicational Stress. Recovery from antifolate-induced checkpoint arrest varied greatly between the yeast strains tested in this study, as evidenced by dramatically different survival curves (Fig. 1). To determine whether lethality resulting from antifolate treatment is related to the ability to recover from persistent replication intermediates, we analyzed chromosomal structure by PFGE after drug was withdrawn. For these experiments, cells were treated with α-factor to synchronize cells in G1 for a more uniform response to drug. Cells were then released from α-factor into medium containing A + S for 2 h and transferred into fresh medium lacking antifolates for recovery. We also added nocodazole to the recovery medium to block cells in G2, thereby limiting our analysis of DNA recovery to a single round of replication. Cells were collected at timed intervals throughout the experiment and were analyzed for the presence of replication intermediates. Results of these experiments are presented in Fig. 5. Upon drug treatment, a time-dependent increase in replication intermediates was observed in the pGAL-empty, pGAL-DUT1, and pGAL-UNG1 strains (Fig. 5A). When released from drug, the pGAL-DUT1 strain is able to complete synthesis of DNA with normal structure, as indicated by the reappearance of intact, migrating DNA. However, the pGAL-empty and pGAL-UNG1 strains fail to complete synthesis of DNA with normal structure after drug withdraw. These data suggest that aberrant uracil-DNA repair induced by antifolates leads to defective chromosomal structures (resulting from single- and double-strand breaks) that are nonrecoverable and result in lethality. Inhibition of uracil-DNA repair (pGAL-Ugi) allows cells to traverse through replication with uracil-substituted DNA of normal structure. Results obtained from the Δung1 strain are identical to pGAL-Ugi and are not shown. Fig. 5B shows the quantitation of chromosomal DNA from Fig. 5A.

**DISCUSSION**

dUTP Pool Accumulation Is Required for Extreme Toxicity during Thymineless Conditions. Evidence supporting dUTP accumulation and uracil misincorporation as a mechanism of thymineless toxicity has been reported in a number of model systems; however, it is still unclear to what extent this pathway contributes to lethality (3). Elevated dUTP levels have been detected in human cell lines treated with inhibitors of thymidylate metabolism; however, some cell lines tested were not able to accumulate dUTP, yet were still sensitive to TS inhibition. It has been proposed that imbalance of other nucleotides (i.e., elevated levels of dATP) may contribute to cell killing by these drugs (8).

Our experiments in S. cerevisiae demonstrate that although all strains examined experience dTTP pool depletion during antifolate
URACIL MISINCORPORATION IN ANTIFOLATE TOXICITY

Fig. 5. Differences in the ability to recover from DNA replicational stress after transient antifolate treatment. Cells were treated with 1.5 mM α-factor for 4 h to synchronize cells in G1 phase. Cells were then washed and transferred to fresh medium containing A + S and incubated for 2 h. Cells were then released from antifolate and transferred to fresh medium containing 10 μg/ml nocodazole. At timed intervals, cells were harvested and washed, and a determined number of cells were analyzed by PFGE. A. CHEF gel of chromosomes from strains transiently treated with antifolates; BMY106 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293), BMY107 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1), BMY108 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-M1), and BMY109 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-Ugi). The headings over the lanes indicate at which time points that antifolates were present (shaded headings, + Drug) or when the drug had been washed out (open headings, Release). B. Quantitation of replicated chromosomes from: ■ BMY106 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293); ▲ BMY107 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1); ● BMY108 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-M1); ○ BMY109 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-Ugi). Quantitation of the chromosomal DNA was determined by Eagle Eye software (Stratagene).

Fig. 2. Relative intensity of relative DNA release. Cells were treated with 1.5 mM α-factor for 4 h to synchronize cells in G1 phase. Cells were then washed and transferred to fresh medium containing A + S and incubated for 2 h. Cells were then released from antifolate and transferred to fresh medium containing 10 μg/ml nocodazole. At timed intervals, cells were harvested and washed, and a determined number of cells were analyzed by PFGE. A. CHEF gel of chromosomes from strains transiently treated with antifolates; BMY106 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293), BMY107 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1), BMY108 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-M1), and BMY109 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-Ugi). The headings over the lanes indicate at which time points that antifolates were present (shaded headings, + Drug) or when the drug had been washed out (open headings, Release). B. Quantitation of replicated chromosomes from: ■ BMY106 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pPS293); ▲ BMY107 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-DUT1); ● BMY108 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-M1); ○ BMY109 (Δadu1::HIS3 + pBAM11 + pGAL-Ugi). Quantitation of the chromosomal DNA was determined by Eagle Eye software (Stratagene).

treatment, only those that accumulate dUTP undergo severe cytotoxicity. In addition, the ability to accumulate dUTP pools is directly related to dUTPase activity levels within the cell. Cells with diminished dUTPase activity are highly sensitive to antifolate treatment, whereas overexpression of dUTPase greatly reduces sensitivity to treatment. Despite the involvement of uracil misincorporation in thymineless death, this mechanism does not account for all lethality observed in antifolate-treated cells. Even when dUTP pools do not accumulate, a significant loss of viability is observed (30% cell kill observed after 6 h of continuous exposure in the pGAL-DUT1 strain; see Fig. 1). The mechanism of this limited, dUTP-independent toxicity is unclear; however, it may be related to the consequences of global nucleotide imbalance or prolonged checkpoint arrest.

Cellular Responses to Uracil Misincorporation. Previous investigations have noted perturbations in the cell cycle in response to inhibition of thymidylate biosynthesis (27). Our experiments show that antifolate treatment can elicit three distinct cellular responses that are directly related to dUTP status and a functional uracil-DNA repair system. When dTTP pools are depleted and dUTP pools accumulate, leading to uracil misincorporation into DNA, yeast cells are most sensitive to antifolate treatment. The immediate consequences of uracil misincorporation and detrimental repair are early S-phase arrest and formation of persistent replication intermediates. The early S-phase arrest and lethality in this case are associated with DNA damage induced by uracil-DNA repair. ungI deletion mutants bypass this checkpoint and complete replication with stably misincorporated uracil, providing both genetic and biochemical evidence supporting a repair-dependent mechanism. Our experiments suggest that, despite checkpoint arrest to allow for repair of damaged DNA, lethality coincides with the inability to recover from UngI-initiated catastrophic damage.

Cells that do not accumulate dUTP pools during antifolate exposure demonstrate remarkable resistance to cytotoxicity. This suggests that accumulation of dUTP is the central determinant of lethality, and although required, dTTP depletion is not sufficient to mediate extreme toxicity. The accumulation of dUTP pools is dependent on several factors; however, the central regulator is likely to be dUTPase. Indeed, lowering dUTPase activity 5-fold below endogenous levels in BMY66 greatly sensitized this strain to antifolate-induced cytotoxicity. It is also likely that enzymes contributing to dUMP pool expansion or efflux during the dTTP-depleted state also determine the ultimate capacity of cells to expand dUTP pools. Despite the mechanisms involved, if dUTPase levels are sufficient to combat dUTP pool expansion during antifolate exposure, the cell is starved for a thymidine equivalent (dTTP and dUTP) for replication and responds by arresting in early S-phase. Our experiments suggest that cell cycle arrest under these conditions is likely attributable to nucleotide depletion or imbalance. ungI deletion mutants also arrest in early S-phase when dUTP pools are suppressed by dUTPase overexpression, suggesting a uracil repair-independent mechanism of checkpoint activation (Fig. 3F). In sharp contrast to cells that experience detrimental uracil misincorporation/repair, those that do not accumulate dUTP are able to recover from the early S-phase arrest when drug is withdrawn. These cells demonstrate the ability to complete DNA synthesis, producing chromosomes of normal structure. This suggests that enhanced survival observed in cells that do not accumulate dUTP is related to the ability to effectively recover from replicational stress.

The effect of inactivating uracil-DNA repair during antifolate treatment was dramatic, not only in terms of lethality, but also in a distinct checkpoint response. Deletion or inhibition of the UngI gene product led to short-term enhancement of viability after antifolate exposure. These cells were able to complete DNA replication using uracil in place of thymidine. Upon the completion of replication, these cells arrested in G2-M and exhibited toxicity at later time points. The mechanism that leads to checkpoint arrest is unclear. It is possible that stably incorporated uracil residues in DNA may be signaling the checkpoint. Uracil-containing DNA has an inherently different stacking structure than normal DNA (28), and it has been also reported that transcription factors are unable to bind to their target sequences if uracil is present (29). Alternatively, it is possible that other promiscuous repair activities acting on uracil residues could be initiating low-level DNA damage that induces the G2-M arrest. Further clarification of this issue awaits future studies using both DNA repair and cell cycle checkpoint mutants.

The Role of Uracil-DNA Metabolism in Therapeutics. Thymidine metabolism is an important target for widely used chemotherapeutic agents used to treat many types of cancer in addition to some forms of arthritis and bacterial and fungal infections. Although this pathway has been targeted for many years, the mechanisms of drug action resulting in toxicity are still unclear. Studies implicating uracil misincorporation in cancer cell lines subsequent to TS inhibition suggest that this mechanism may have an important role in early...
toxicity (within 24 h; Ref. 8). When dUTP accumulation is suppressed in HT29 human colon cancer cells by overexpression of dUTPase activity, toxicity is delayed (13). This observation may have important implications in the clinical setting, where it is often more difficult to maintain high concentrations of antimitabolite within the tumor over extended periods of time. If this is the case, early toxicity elicited by uracil misincorporation may be the ultimate determinant of clinical efficacy. We demonstrate that lowering dUTPase activity in budding yeast greatly sensitizes cells to the effects of TS inhibition, providing a basis for further validation studies for dUTPase as a target for drug development. Furthermore, our studies strongly suggest that efficacy to TS-directed therapeutics can be greatly influenced by the expression of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase. Indeed, dUTPase expression in the nucleus of colon cancer cells has been implicated as a prognostic marker for resistance to 5-fluorouracil in metastatic colon cancer (30).

In conclusion, we provide definitive biochemical evidence implicating aberrant uracil-DNA metabolism as the central mediator of thymineless death in yeast. Our data further establish a link between the levels of dUTPase and uracil-DNA glycosylase and lethality elicited by antifolates. Although these data clearly define an association between toxicity and mechanism, the significance of uracil misincorporation in mediating response to chemotherapy in human cancers remains to be resolved.
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