Low Systemic Exposure of Oral Docetaxel in Mice Resulting from Extensive First-Pass Metabolism Is Boosted by Ritonavir
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ABSTRACT

P-glycoprotein seems to be the most important factor limiting the oral absorption of paclitaxel. We have now explored the mechanisms responsible for the low oral bioavailability of docetaxel, a structurally related taxane drug. The recovery of 33% of oxidative metabolites and only 39% of unchanged drug in the feces of FVB wild-type mice receiving 10 mg/kg of oral docetaxel indicates that the major part of the oral dose has been absorbed. The feces and bile of mice receiving 10 mg/kg of i.v. docetaxel contained large amounts of metabolites and only minor quantities of unchanged drug, highlighting the importance of metabolism as an elimination route for this drug. In wild-type and P-glycoprotein knockout mice, dose escalation of p.o. administered docetaxel from 10 to 30 mg/kg resulted in a more than proportional increase in plasma levels, which suggested saturation of first-pass metabolism. Moreover, coadministration of 12.5 mg/kg of the HIV protease inhibitor ritonavir, also a strong inhibitor of cytochrome P4503A4 with only minor P-glycoprotein inhibiting properties, increased the plasma levels after oral docetaxel by 50-fold. In vitro transport studies across monolayers of LLC-PK1 cells (parental and transduced with MDRI or Mdr1a) suggested that docetaxel is a weaker substrate for P-glycoprotein than paclitaxel is. In conclusion, docetaxel is well absorbed from the gut lumen in mice despite the presence of P-glycoprotein in the gut wall. Subsequent first-pass extraction is the most important factor determining its low bioavailability. The inhibition of docetaxel metabolism by ritonavir provides an interesting strategy to improve the systemic exposure of oral docetaxel.

INTRODUCTION

Cytotoxic drugs are administered to patients by mostly i.v. infusion. However, there are several arguments in favor of exploring the oral route for the administration of these drugs, including patients’ preference for oral treatment, facilitated repeated dosing for chronic treatment regimens, and pharmacoeconomic advantages. Frequently, however, the oral route cannot be used because of a low and variable oral bioavailability. Next to pharmaceutical properties of a drug (e.g., dissolution rate, stability within the intestinal tract), both limited passage through the intestinal wall and limited first-pass metabolism are important factors in reducing the oral bioavailability of drugs (1). We have previously shown that P-gp, a cell membrane localized transport protein that was initially discovered to confer multidrug resistance in mammalian cancer cells (2), limits the absorption of p.o. administered paclitaxel (3). This study using mice carrying a homozygous disruption of the Mdr1a gene [Mdr1a (−/−) mice], also demonstrated that the plasma levels of paclitaxel were increased by 6-fold relative to wild-type mice. Moreover, almost 90% of the oral dose was recovered unchanged in the feces of wild-type mice, whereas this was less than 3% in Mdr1a (−/−) mice, which showed that P-gp in the gastrointestinal tract forms an efficient barrier against paclitaxel absorption (3). On the basis of these findings, we have tested the usefulness of compounds that inhibit P-gp function to increase the systemic exposure of p.o. administered paclitaxel in mice and patients. Concomitant administration of the P-gp inhibitors cyclosporin A, SDZ PSC 833, and GF120918 to mice resulted in 7- to 10-fold higher paclitaxel plasma levels (4-6). In patients, the combination of 60 mg/m2 of oral paclitaxel and 15 mg/kg of oral cyclosporin A resulted in nearly 7-fold higher plasma levels compared with patients who received paclitaxel alone, thereby reaching potentially therapeutic levels (7, 8). These results with paclitaxel encouraged us to perform (pre)clinical studies investigating the usefulness of cyclosporin A to increase the systemic exposure of p.o. administered docetaxel. Docetaxel is a semisynthetic taxane analogue that is prepared from 10-deacetyl baccatin III, a noncytotoxic precursor isolated from the needles of the European yew tree (Taxus baccata L.). It is currently available as a formulation for i.v. infusion (Taxotere) and has shown activity against lung, head and neck, breast, and ovarian cancer (9). Like paclitaxel, docetaxel is a potent inhibitor of cell replication by blocking the cells in the late G2-M phase of the cell cycle because of inhibition of the microtubule dynamics (10). Thus far, four major metabolites (M-1, M-2, M-3, and M-4; Fig. 1) formed by CYP3A4 have been identified in human feces, which is the major route of elimination of docetaxel and its metabolites (11). We have detected three of these metabolites (M-1, M-2, and M-3) in mouse plasma after i.v. administration of a high dose (33 mg/kg) of docetaxel (12), which indicates that the metabolism of docetaxel in mice and humans occurs through comparable pathways. Docetaxel is also a substrate of P-gp, as was first shown in 1994 by Wils et al. (13) using Caco-2 cell monolayers and was recently confirmed using parental and MDRI-transduced LLC-PK1 cells (14).

Preliminary results showed that the pharmacokinetic handling of paclitaxel and docetaxel appeared to be less similar than anticipated on the basis of their structural similarities. Consequently, we performed a comprehensive pharmacokinetic analysis of docetaxel in mice, with the aim of unraveling the critical biological factors involved in the oral bioavailability of this compound.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Laboratory Animals

The experiments were performed using female FVB wild-type and Mdr1a/b (−/−) mice (15). All of the mice were between 10 and 17 weeks of age and were housed and handled according to institutional guidelines that comply with Dutch legislation. They were given food (Hope Farms B.V., Woerden, the Netherlands) and acidified water ad libitum.

Drugs and Chemicals

Docetaxel (10 mg/ml) formulated in polysorbate 80/ethanol/saline [20:13:67 (v/v/v); Taxotere] and docetaxel, pure compound, originated from Aventis...
Plasma Pharmacokinetic Studies

Plasma originated from the Blood bank (Amsterdam, the Netherlands). (Millipore, Milford, MA) was used throughout the experiments. Blank human analytical or Lichrosolv gradient grade. Water obtained by the Milli-Q Plus system (Braun (Emmenbruck, Germany). Saline was purchased from Nycomed (Switzerland) with free access to food and water. After an acclimatization period of 2 days, docetaxel (10 mg/kg) either as single agent or with cyclosporin A (50 mg/kg) was administered p.o. Cyclosporin A was administered at the same time as docetaxel. Feces and urine were collected for up to 96 h.

Combination with Ritonavir. The influence of ritonavir (12.5 mg/kg) was studied in a similar experiment; however, ritonavir was administered 30 min in advance of docetaxel.
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μM [3H]docetaxel or [3H]paclitaxel (both, ~5 kBq per well) at the donor compartment. In some experiments, the P-gp inhibitor LY335979 (17) was added at 0.5 or 5 μM to the apical compartment immediately before docetaxel or paclitaxel, whereas ritonavir was used at concentrations of 0 and 100 μM. Cells were incubated at 37°C in 5% CO2, and samples of 50 μl were taken every hour, up to 4 h after the start of the experiment. The radioactivity in the samples was counted after mixing with 4 ml of UltimaGold scintillation cocktail (Packard, Meriden, CT) using model Tricarb β-scintillation counter (Packard). Inuline [14C]carboxylic acid (~1 kBq per well) was added to the apical compartment to check the integrity of the cell layer. Wells demonstrating a leakage of more than 1.5%/h were excluded from the analyses.

**Cannulation of the Jugular Vein**

The technique of cannulation of the jugular vein has been developed to allow serial blood sampling from an animal. Details will be described elsewhere. In short, after anesthesia with 7 ml/kg Hypnorm/Dormicum/water [1:1:2 (v/v/v)], an incision was made in the skin just above the right clavicle. After preparation of the jugular vein, it was ligated at the distal side. A 2 French silicone cannula (Access Technologies, Skokie, IL) filled with heparin solution was inserted until the tip reached into the right atrium. The cannula was fixed to the vessel, tunneled to the head of the animal, fixed to the skin between the ears and further protected by a metal spring surrounded by polyvinyl chloride tubing. After recovery, the animal was placed in a specially designed cage that allowed free movement of the animal. The experiment was started after a recovery period of 2 days after the operation.

**Analytical Methods**

Docetaxel and metabolites in biological samples (plasma, feces, urine, tissue, and intestinal contents homogenates) were determined by HPLC, according to a previously developed assay (18).

To allow the quantification of docetaxel in the small plasma samples obtained from cannulated animals, we have developed a HPLC method with a lower limit of detection of 50 ng/ml that requires only 50 μl of sample volumes. Details of this method will be presented elsewhere. In short, the assay utilizes liquid-liquid extraction of the plasma samples with tert-buty1-methyl ether. Next, docetaxel and the internal standard cephalomannine were separated by reversed-phase HPLC using a narrow-bore stainless steel analytical column (150 × 2.1 mm) packed with Symmetry C18 material. The mobile phase was composed of acetonitril/methanol/0.01 M ammonium acetate buffer [pH 5.0:30:25:43 (v/v/v)] and was delivered at a flow rate of 0.2 ml/min. Peak detection was performed using a UV detector operating at a wavelength of 231 nm.

**Pharmacokinetic Analysis**

The AUC, the oral bioavailability and their respective SE values were calculated by noncompartmental methods as described previously (5, 6). The oral bioavailability is quoted “apparent” under the circumstance that oral docetaxel was given together with a modifier of drug uptake or inhibitor of drug metabolism, which may result in a change in the clearance of docetaxel from the body. To determine the “true” bioavailability, the same combination should be investigated with i.v. docetaxel.

**Statistical Analysis**

The unpaired Student t test (two-tailed) was used to compare the pharmacokinetic parameters. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

To support the initiatives for clinical studies with oral docetaxel in the Netherlands Cancer Institute, we performed a preclinical study to evaluate the effects of concomitant oral cyclosporin A on the bioavailability of docetaxel in wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice (Fig. 2). The bioavailability of docetaxel was increased by 6-fold from 3.6% in wild-type mice to 22.7% in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice (P < 0.001). There was no difference in the AUC of docetaxel in wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice after i.v. administration of the drug as a single agent (Fig. 2). In wild-type mice, the AUC of oral docetaxel was increased 9-fold from 119 ± 21 ng/mlh to 1121 ± 145 ng/mlh by coadministration of cyclosporin A (P < 0.001). Calculated relative to the AUC of docetaxel achieved after i.v. administration of this drug given without cyclosporin A, the apparent oral bioavailability of docetaxel plus concomitant cyclosporin A was 33.9%. However, coadministration of cyclosporin A also increased the AUC of i.v. administered docetaxel by 3-fold, both in wild-type and in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice (Fig. 2).

We next performed a mass-balance study in wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice to get additional insight into the disposition of p.o. administered docetaxel with and without cyclosporin A. Hardly any docetaxel was recovered in the feces of Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice or wild-type mice receiving concomitant cyclosporin A (Table 1). However, in wild-type mice receiving docetaxel as single agent, only 40% of the dose was recovered in the feces as unchanged drug and mainly within the first 8 h after dosing. Moreover, about 30% of the dose was recovered as oxidative metabolites. This result suggests that a substantial uptake of docetaxel from the gastrointestinal tract occurs in...
Table 1  Fecal excretion of unchanged drug and metabolites after oral administration of docetaxel (10 mg/kg) with or without concomitant oral cyclosporina A (50 mg/kg) to wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice.

Data are expressed as percentage of administered dose ± SE (n = 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse strain</th>
<th>Cyclosporin A</th>
<th>Docetaxel</th>
<th>Metabolites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>38.6 ± 2.0</td>
<td>32.7 ± 2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.3</td>
<td>37.3 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mdr1a/1b (−/−)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>45.2 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mdr1a/1b (−/−)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>25.8 ± 4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

wild-type mice, although the very low plasma levels observed after oral administration of docetaxel to wild-type mice do not support this assumption. Because an alternative explanation could be oxidative degradation of the drug within the gastrointestinal lumen, we studied the intraluminal kinetics of docetaxel in more detail. Docetaxel was administered p.o. to groups of wild-type mice, which were sacrificed after 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 3 h. Intestinal tissues (including their contents), the liver and gallbladder (with bile) were collected and analyzed for docetaxel and metabolites (Fig. 3). Within 1 h, ~90% of the dose of docetaxel had already disappeared from the stomach into the small intestine. At this time, only a very small quantity (~2%) had reached the colon, which suggested that excretion in the feces within 1 h after administration is negligible. Within 3 h after administration, hardly any drug was present in the small intestine, thus showing a very rapid disappearance from the major site in which drug uptake usually takes place. Given the rapid traversal of docetaxel through the gut, excretion of part of the dose will have occurred at this time point. Oxidative metabolites were present throughout the intestines. However, the finding that the ratio of the metabolites, relative to unchanged docetaxel, remains more or less constant over time throughout the intestines does not support the model of intraintestinal degradation. Moreover, the results from the in vitro experiment showed no degradation of docetaxel in the intestinal contents, either in the absence or in the presence of NADPH. Consequently, a more likely explanation for our observations is a rapid absorption from the intestines followed by extensive first-pass metabolism and effective hepatobiliary clearance. This is also supported by the finding that the liver contained 5.0% of the dose as docetaxel and 4.3% of the dose as metabolites at 1 h after drug administration.

Further evidence that metabolism plays an important role in the elimination of this drug from the body comes from in vitro experiments with i.v. administered docetaxel. In animals with a cannulated bile duct, the fraction of the dose that was recovered as metabolites in bile was 27.0% in wild-type mice and almost 50% in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice, whereas only 3–4% of unchanged drug was recovered in both of the mouse strains. The results of a mass balance study showed that, in both wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice, only a very small fraction of the dose was recovered in the feces as unchanged docetaxel (4.6 and 0%, respectively), whereas relatively large amounts of known metabolites were recovered in both of the mouse strains (42.6 and 25.6%, respectively).

The in vitro transport characteristics of docetaxel and paclitaxel were compared using monolayers of LLC-MDR1 and LLC-Mdr1a cells. The difference between transport in the basolateral-to-apical and apical-to-basolateral direction (sometimes used to indicated active transport) was smaller for docetaxel than for paclitaxel (Fig. 4). An accurate measurement of the $K_m$ was not feasible because of the relatively low aqueous solubility of paclitaxel and docetaxel. The inhibition of docetaxel transport over the LLC-MDR1 cell line was achieved using 0.5 μM LY335979, whereas a concentration of 5 μM was needed to inhibit transport of paclitaxel. The inhibiting properties of LY335979 were slightly lower in the LLC-Mdr1a cell line; however, when 5 μM was enough to inhibit docetaxel transport, it was not sufficient to inhibit paclitaxel transport completely. When the active component of transport of docetaxel and paclitaxel is fully inhibited, the residual apical-to-basolateral diffusion of both compounds appears to be about equal, which indicates no major differences in their membrane permeability. The potential participation of another transporter besides P-gp was excluded by the finding that vectorial transport of both drugs over the parental LLC-PK1 monolayers (by endogenous porcine P-gp (16), was fully inhibited at 0.5 μM LY335979.

To further investigate the linearity of uptake after oral administration of docetaxel, we performed a dose-escalation study in wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice. An increase of the oral dose from 10 to 20 and 30 mg/kg in wild-type mice resulted in AUCs of 161 ± 49, 916 ± 127, and 2539 ± 279 ng·mL⁻¹·h and, in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice, resulted in AUCs of 574 ± 112, 1625 ± 214, and 3705 ± 441 ng·mL⁻¹·h, respectively, thus showing a more than proportional increase. This can be caused by the saturation of uptake and/or metabolism, with the latter probably being the more important factor, given the already substantial uptake at the lowest dose level.

Metabolism of docetaxel in humans occurs mainly via CYP3A4 and, in mice, probably through similar reactions using the CYP3a pathway (19, 20). We have, therefore, tested the effects of ritonavir, a very strong inhibitor of CYP3A4, on the oral bioavailability of docetaxel. Administration of ritonavir results in a major increase in the intraluminal kinetics of docetaxel. Frac-

![Fig. 3. Intraluminal kinetics of docetaxel. Fractions of docetaxel (black bars) and metabolites (hatched bars), expressed as percentage of administered dose (mean ± SE; n = 4 animals per time point) found in segments of the intestines at 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 3 h after oral administration of 10 mg/kg of docetaxel to wild-type mice.](cancerres.aacrjournals.org)
structurally closely related taxane drug, is almost completely inhibited by 50-fold.

The mechanism that determines the low oral bioavailability of docetaxel is facilitated by P-gp expression in the intestinal epithelial cells (1). Given these results, we have tested the effects of the protease inhibitor ritonavir on the transport characteristics of docetaxel was studied in vitro over LLCL-MDR1 and LLC-Mdr1a cells. The concentrations of LY335979 are annotated in the graphs. (Fig. 4).

The rapid disappearance of docetaxel from the gut lumen and the short \( t_{\text{max}} \) in plasma both imply that the uptake of docetaxel occurs readily. Effective first-pass extraction and metabolic breakdown, subsequently followed by hepatobiliary excretion of unchanged drug and metabolites into the gut lumen, could thus explain the low docetaxel plasma levels. The important role of hepatic metabolism in the disposition of docetaxel is highlighted by the large amounts of metabolites recovered from the bile after i.v. administration of docetaxel to mice with cannulated gallbladders. Similarly, the fecal recovery of unchanged drug after i.v. administration of docetaxel was negligible. Given these results, we have tested the effects of the protease inhibitor ritonavir on the plasma concentration-time curves of docetaxel. Plasma concentration-time curves of 10 mg/kg p.o. administered docetaxel in wild-type mice (Fig. 5). Calculated relative to the AUC of i.v. administered docetaxel without ritonavir, the systemic exposure in wild-type mice increased about 50-fold from 123 ± 39 ng/mlh to 6,043 ± 1,572 ng/mlh (\( P = 0.013 \)) and in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice from 574 ± 112 ng/mlh to 33,322 ± 1,753 ng/mlh (\( P < 0.003 \)). A mass balance study performed with this combination showed that 65.3% of unchanged docetaxel and 3.6% of metabolites were recovered in the feces of wild-type mice, whereas in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice, this was 5.5 and 46.0%, respectively (Table 2). The influence of ritonavir on the transport characteristics of docetaxel was studied in vitro over LLCL-MDR1 and LLC-Mdr1a cells. It was found that a concentration of 100 \( \mu M \) had no effect on the vectorial transport of docetaxel (Fig. 6), which suggested that ritonavir is not a very potent P-gp inhibitor.

**DISCUSSION**

This study shows that the presence of P-gp in the epithelial cells lining the gastrointestinal tract is not to prevent the uptake of docetaxel from the intestinal lumen of mice. However, subsequent and very efficient first-pass metabolism, probably by CYP3a enzymes, results in very low plasma levels and, thus, in a low bioavailability. The HIV protease inhibitor ritonavir, a very effective inhibitor of human CYP3A4, dramatically increases the systemic exposure to docetaxel by 50-fold.

The mechanism that determines the low oral bioavailability of docetaxel is different from what we had expected, based on our experience with oral paclitaxel. The oral uptake of the latter, a structurally closely related taxane drug, is almost completely inhibited by P-gp in the intestinal wall, because virtually the complete p.o. administered dose is recovered as unchanged drug in the feces of wild-type mice (3). In line with paclitaxel, the oral bioavailability of docetaxel was very low and coadministration of cyclosporin A increased the AUC of docetaxel by 9-fold. However, after i.v. administration of docetaxel to Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice, there was no difference in its plasma clearance as compared with wild-type mice, whereas the clearance of paclitaxel was reduced by 50% in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice (3). Moreover, although the bioavailability of docetaxel was 6-fold higher in Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice, it was still only 23%. Interestingly, we recovered only about 40% of the p.o. administered dose in the feces of wild-type mice as unchanged drug, and we also detected about 30% of oxidative metabolites. On the basis of the in vitro degradation experiment in intestinal juices and the in vivo intraluminal kinetics, these observations could not be explained by degradation of docetaxel within the gastrointestinal lumen. Hence, these results seem to indicate a substantial uptake of docetaxel despite the presence of P-gp in the intestinal wall, which would imply that docetaxel is a weaker substrate of P-gp than paclitaxel is. The results of in vitro transport studies strongly support this idea.

Table 2. Fecal excretion of unchanged drug and metabolites after oral administration of docetaxel (10 mg/kg) with or without oral ritonavir (12.5 mg/kg) to wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (−/−) mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mouse strain</th>
<th>Ritonavir</th>
<th>Docetaxel</th>
<th>Metabolites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>37.8 ± 3.6</td>
<td>22.5 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild-type</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>65.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mdr1a/1b (−/−)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4</td>
<td>28.6 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mdr1a/1b (−/−)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>5.5 ± 1.5</td>
<td>46.0 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as percentage of administered dose ± SE (n = 4).
Ritonavir, a very effective inhibitor of CYP3A4, the key enzyme responsible for the metabolic breakdown of docetaxel in humans (21). Ritonavir increased the systemic exposure of oral docetaxel dramatically by 50-fold (Fig. 5). The inhibition of P-gp by ritonavir does not seem to contribute to this effect. Although several studies have suggested that ritonavir may act as a P-gp inhibitor (22–26), ritonavir was not potent enough to inhibit P-gp mediated in vitro transport of docetaxel. Moreover, there was no evidence for an increased uptake of docetaxel from the gastrointestinal tract when given with ritonavir. The fecal excretion of unchanged docetaxel in wild-type and Mdr1a/1b (–/–) mice receiving ritonavir was even higher than in animals receiving docetaxel as single agent, which may be caused by the strong inhibition of docetaxel metabolism resulting in a larger hepatobiliary excretion of unchanged drug.

Our results thus show that both P-gp and metabolism cause the low oral bioavailability of docetaxel with the latter, however, being the major determinant. It is well established that there is a striking overlap between substrates of P-gp and CYP3A4 (27–31). Bennet al. (29) and Zhang and Bennet (31) have proposed a model in which P-gp and CYP3A4 cooperate as a barrier against the drug uptake from the gut lumen, with P-gp limiting the access of the drug to CYP3A4, thus preventing the saturation of the latter. Docetaxel appears to be an excellent model compound for this so-called “gate-keeper” theory. It was found that P-gp is moderately efficient in preventing the intestinal uptake of docetaxel at a dose of 10 mg/kg, with about 40% of the unchanged drug being recovered in the feces. However, of the 60% of the dose that is absorbed from the intestines, only 4% managed to bypass metabolism and reach the systemic circulation. When P-gp was absent, as in Mdr1a/1b (–/–) mice, a higher uptake of only about 2-fold from the gut resulted in about a 6-fold higher systemic plasma level. Moreover, a similar nonproportional increase was observed with increasing doses, whereas docetaxel displayed linear pharmacokinetics in mice after i.v. administration in the same dose range (18). Consequently, these results reflect the saturation of enzymes involved in first-pass metabolism with an increasing supply of drug.

In patients, the combination of oral cyclosporin A and docetaxel has proven to be very effective because it increased the apparent bioavailability of docetaxel from 8 to 90% (32). The reasons for the rather modest effect of cyclosporin A on the bioavailability of docetaxel in mice are not clear. Cyclosporin A acts as a double-edged sword, inhibiting both P-gp and CYP3A4 (33), and it may be that cyclosporin A is more effective in inhibiting human CYP3A4 than it is in murine CYP3A. Our experiments show that ritonavir is a very effective inhibitor of metabolism of docetaxel in mice. In HIV patients, ritonavir is now commonly used as a booster for saquinavir and indinavir oral bioavailability, and studies have shown that a low oral so-called “baby” dose of 100 mg is already sufficient to increase the bioavailability to therapeutic plasma levels (34, 35). Moreover, the use of ritonavir seems to be safe, even when taken for a long period of time, as is common in anti-HIV therapy. Consequently, the clinical use of ritonavir as a booster for the oral bioavailability of docetaxel appears to be an attractive alternative over concomitant cyclosporin A. Moreover, boosting with ritonavir may even reduce the costs of therapy, because it is likely that dose reductions of docetaxel are required when using ritonavir.

In conclusion, our study shows that both the P-gp and the CYP3A metabolizing enzymes determine the low oral bioavailability of docetaxel in mice with the CYP3A, however, being quantitatively most important. Ritonavir boosts the systemic exposure of docetaxel extensively and provides a promising combination for further testing in patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge the excellent biotechnical assistance of Ton Schraauwers.

REFERENCES

Low Systemic Exposure of Oral Docetaxel in Mice Resulting from Extensive First-Pass Metabolism Is Boosted by Ritonavir

Heleen A. Bardelmeijer, Mariët Ouwehand, Tessa Buckle, et al.


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/62/21/6158

Cited articles
This article cites 33 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/62/21/6158.full#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 16 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/62/21/6158.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/62/21/6158.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.