BRAF Oncogenic Mutations Correlate with Progression rather than Initiation of Human Melanoma
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Abstract

BRAF oncogenic mutations have been identified in significant numbers of melanocytic lesions. To correlate BRAF mutation and melanoma progression, we screened BRAF mutations in 65 melanocytic lesions, including nevi, radial growth phase (RGP), vertical growth phase (VGP) melanomas, and melanoma metastases, as well as 25 melanoma cell lines. PCR and direct sequencing were used to analyze DNA samples extracted from laser capture microdissected tissues. A similar high frequency (62–72%) of BRAF oncogenic mutations was identified in melanocytic nevi, VGP, metastatic melanomas, and melanoma cell lines [H. Davies et al., Nature (Lond.), 417: 949–954, 2002; P. M. Pollock et al., Nat. Genet., 33: 19–20, 2002; and M. S. Brose et al., Cancer Res., 62: 6997–7000, 2002]. In striking contrast, we found BRAF lesions in only 10% of the earliest stage or RGP melanomas. These findings imply that BRAF mutations cannot be involved in the initiation of the great majority of RGP melanomas but instead reflect a progression event with important prognostic implications in the transition from the great majority of RGP melanomas to VGP and/or metastatic melanoma.

Introduction

BRAF encodes a serine/threonine kinase that acts in the MAPK signaling pathway to transduce regulatory signals from RAS through MEK (MAPK kinase) to MAPK. Recently, mutations in BRAF have been reported at high frequency ranging from 59 to 80% in melanoma samples, including tumor cell lines, short-term cultures, and tumor tissues (1). A T1796A transversion in exon 15, resulting in a V599E missense mutation, accounts for >90% of mutations detected in melanoma samples (1). The V599E mutation has been shown to increase BRAF kinase activity and to cause it to acquire transforming activity in the NIH3T3 transfection assay (1). Pollock et al. (2) recently reported that the incidence of BRAF-activating mutations in nevi, including congenital, acquired, compound, and dysplastic, ranged from 70 to 88%, consistent with the hypothesis that this mutation represents the initiation step in melanocytic neoplasia. However, the involvement of BRAF mutations in the earliest stage of melanoma designated RGP was not reported. Such tumors are generally confined to the epidermis or with microinvasion into the dermis and represent frank but low-grade malignancy. The present studies were undertaken in an effort to elucidate whether mutational activation of BRAF correlates with initiation or progression of human melanoma.

Materials and Methods

Tumor Samples and Melanoma Cell Lines. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues, including 24 melanocytic nevi, 20 RGP, 8 VGP, and 13 melanoma metastases, were obtained from the Pathology Department of Mount Sinai School of Medicine. The diagnosis of a melanocytic nevus was based on a well-established constellation of criteria. The epidermis and dermis contained a symmetrical ordered, sharply demarcated melanocytic proliferation. The melanocytes were present as small clusters in the epidermis or dermis, exhibited minimal cytological atypia, and did not show significant mitotic figures. The melanocytes also showed changes in response to the microenvironment in that their nuclei became smaller and more neurited with descent deeper in the dermis (maturation), and there was more pigment superficially. The diagnosis of RGP and VGP melanoma was made according to well-established criteria. RGP melanomas consisted of cases in which the melanoma cells were confined either to the epidermis only (malignant melanoma in situ RGP) or confined to the superficial papillary dermis (malignant melanoma microinvasive RGP). By definition, if the cells entered the dermis, the nests in the dermis could not be larger than the largest intraepidermal cluster and could not exhibit mitoses. The VGP melanoma was defined by the presence of an invasive dermal component in which the cells in the dermis were larger than the largest intraepidermal cluster of melanocytes or showed significant mitotic activity. Other features that were helpful in establishing the VGP diagnosis included that the cells in the dermis were more morphologically atypical, irregular shaped, or showed foci of necrosis, i.e., cytologic evidence that a new, potentially tumorigenic clone of melanocytes was forming (5). In addition, 25 human melanoma cell lines obtained from American Type Culture Collection, Dr. Meenhard Herlyn (Wistar Institute, Philadelphia, PA), or established by us were included in the study.

LCM and DNA Extraction. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections were histologically evaluated for the presence of melanocytic lesions, including melanocytic nevus, RGP, VGP, and metastatic melanomas. Pure populations of cells were carefully microdissected using a PixCell II Laser Capture Microdissection System (Arcturus, Mountain View, CA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of >200 cells was collected in each case from serial tissue sections. Collected cells were transferred to an Eppendorf tube and resuspended in 20–50 μl of lysis buffer containing 10 mM Tris, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% Tween 20 (pH 8.3), and 5 μl of proteinase K (20 mg/ml). Samples were incubated 1–2 days at 55°C followed by boiling for 10 min to inactivate proteinase K. Microdissection and DNA extraction were repeated as required. The Puregene Cell and Tissue Kit was used to extract DNA from cultured cells according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Gentra, Minneapolis, MN).

PCR and Direct Sequencing. BRAF exon 15, NRAS exon 2, and exon 3 were PCR amplified using forward and reverse primer sequences as described previously (1). PCR amplification was carried out with genomic DNA in a volume of 50 μl containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.4), 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 200 μM dNTPs triphosphate, 50 pmol of forward and reverse primers, 20 μg/ml BSA, 5 units of TaqDNA polymerase (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), and 3–10 μl of crude DNA extract of microdissected cells or 100 μg of purified DNA from cultured cells. PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). The purified PCR products were directly sequenced on both strands using a Prism Model 3700 Capillary Array Sequencer and Big Dye Terminator Chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Sequence analysis was based on BRAF and NRAS cDNA sequences (GenBank access nos. NM_004333 for BRAF, and NM_002524 for NRAS).
Results and Discussion

We screened BRAF exon 15 sequences in LCM RGP melanomas (Fig. 1A) and compared results with a similar analysis of VGP melanomas, which commonly arise within the RGP and exhibit a high potential for metastatic spread, as well as metastatic melanomas and melanoma cell lines. The frequencies of BRAF mutations in nevi, VGP, and metastatic melanomas were high, ranging from 62 to 71% (Table 1). However, RGP melanomas exhibited BRAF mutations in only 2 of 20 (10%) analyzed. NRAS-activating lesions are also found in melanomas (1, 2, 5), but do not generally overlap with BRAF mutations in the same lesion (1, 2, 5). Thus, we also sequenced NRAS exon 2 and exon 3 surrounding codons 12, 13, and 61, the hot spots for oncogenic-activating mutations (1, 2, 5), in all BRAF wild-type samples. NRAS mutations were not detected in RGP samples but were found in other lesions and increased the combined BRAF and NRAS mutation frequencies to 75% in VGP, 69% in metastasis, and 84% in melanoma cell lines (Table 1). The great disparity in the frequency of BRAF mutations in nevi and RGP melanomas was highly statistically significant (Fisher’s exact test, P < 0.00006). The difference between the frequency of BRAF mutations in VGP (63%) or metastatic melanoma (62%) and RGP melanoma was also statistically significant whether compared separately (P < 0.01 or P < 0.005) or pooled (P < 0.001).

As has been recently reported (1, 2, 5), we observed (Fig. 1B) that the great majority of BRAF mutations identified were T1796A transversions, which change the wild-type codon GTG (valine) to GAG (glutamate) at position 599 (V599E). In several tested cases, these mutations were not present in normal cells from the same individuals, indicating that they were somatically acquired. One VGP melanoma and one metastasis (Fig. 1B) showed the same two-nucleotide substitution, which changes the wild-type codon GTG (valine) to AAG (lysine), at position 599 (V599K). To examine the transforming ability of the V599E and V599K BRAF mutants, pCEV29 expression constructs for T1796A/BRAF, GT1795–1796AA/BRAF, and wild-typeBRAF cDNAs were transfected into NIH3T3 cells. Comparable transforming activities were observed for the two mutants (~5000 foci/µg DNA compared with <1.0 focus/µg DNA for wild-type BRAF). Mass cultures of marker selected NIH3T3-transformed cells with either T1796A/BRAF or GT1795–1796AA/BRAF produced rapidly growing tumors in SCID mice (data not shown). Mutations in BRAF V599 codon have been shown to create an acidic or basic amino acid substitution, V599E, D, K, or R (1, 2, 5), and our results show that either type of substitution can comparably activate BRAF. We also identified loss of heterozygosity in 3 metastatic melanomas, including one with a V599K mutation (Fig. 1B), and in 16 of 18 (89%) melanoma cell lines carrying BRAF mutations (data not shown). It is possible that deletion of the wild-type allele represents an additional genetic selection in aggressive melanoma cells.

Although our present results confirm the high frequency of BRAF mutations both in nevi and later stage melanomas, our demonstration that early stage (RGP) melanomas exhibit a very low frequency of BRAF mutation argues strongly that BRAF mutation cannot be involved in the initiation of the great majority of melanomas but instead reflects a progression event. There are profound differences between RGP and VGP melanoma cells at the cellular and functional level. In RGP, neoplastic cells spread in the epidermis or invade dermis only as single cells or small clusters of nonproliferating tumor cells. In VGP, cancer cells expand in the dermis and generate tumor nodules. Unlike VGP cells, RGP melanoma cells are difficult to maintain in long-term tissue culture, have low colony-forming ability, are nontumorigenic in nude mice, and do not have the competence to metastasize (7, 8). When melanoma is diagnosed as RGP, the disease can be cured by proper surgical excision. However, tumors in VGP have acquired metastatic capability and may be resistant to current methods of therapy. Therefore, the distinction between RGP versus VGP has

Table 1  BRAF mutation frequencies in different stages of melanoma progression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>BRAF mutation frequency</th>
<th>NRAS mutations in BRAF wild-type lesions</th>
<th>Combined mutation frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Melanocytic nevi (benign)</td>
<td>17/24</td>
<td>0/7</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGP (early melanoma)</td>
<td>2/20</td>
<td>0/18</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGP (invasive melanoma)</td>
<td>5/8</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastatic melanoma</td>
<td>8/13</td>
<td>1/5</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melanoma cell lines</td>
<td>18/25</td>
<td>3/7</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Microdissection of RGP melanoma and mutations in the BRAF gene. A, representative LCM of a RGP melanoma. B, before microdissection, and dissected tumor cells. LCM achieved >90% tumor cell population in each case. B, mutations in the BRAF codon 599, wild-type T1796, heterozygous T1796A mutation, 2-base GT1795–1796AA substitution, and loss of heterozygosity of T1796A mutation. Formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissue sections were evaluated by histopathology for the presence of melanocytic nevus, RGP, VGP, and metastasis. The sensitivity of the mutation analysis was examined using mixtures of different ratios of BRAF wild-type and mutant alleles. It was possible to detect the BRAF mutation in a 3:1 mixture of wild-type and heterozygous mutant DNA.
profund prognostic and therapeutic implications. Our present findings strongly imply that BRAF mutations most commonly represent genetic progression events in melanomas and thus may serve as biomarkers for disease progression in the important clinical distinction between RGP and VGP neoplasms.

It should be noted that comparable BRAF mutations frequencies of 86, 88, 70, and 80% were reported in various nevi specimens, including congenital, intradermal, compound, and dysplastic (also termed atypical; Ref. 2), suggesting that BRAF mutations do not likely contribute to possible differences in the propensity to progress to melanoma among these nevi groups. There are a very large number of melanocytic nevi in the general population compared with the relatively low incidence of melanoma (7–9). It is known clinically that nevi very often regress over time. In tissue culture, oncogenically activated RAS or RAF has been shown to induce permanent growth arrest/senescence rather than unrestricted proliferation in normal fibroblasts through a mechanism involving p53, p21Cip1, or p16 up-regulation (10–13). For example, RAS or RAF oncogenic stress mediated by extracellular signal-regulated kinase leads to increased MEK/MAPK mitogenic signaling. Genes Dev., 5598–5611, 1997.
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