The Tumor Microenvironment Controls Primary Effusion Lymphoma Growth in Vivo
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ABSTRACT

Certain lymphomas in AIDS patients, such as primary effusion lymphoma (PEL), are closely associated with the lymphotropic herpes virus Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpes virus (KSHV), also called human herpesvirus 8. The virus is thought to be essential for tumorigenesis, yet systems to investigate PEL in vivo are rare. Here we describe PEL tumorigenesis in a new xenograft model. Embedded in Matrigel, PEL cells formed rapid, well-organized, and angiogenic tumors after s.c. implantation of C.B.17 SCID mice. Without Matrigel we did not observe comparable tumors, which implies that extracellular support and/or signaling aids PEL. All of the tumors maintained the KSHV genome, and the KSHV latent protein LANA/orf73 was uniformly expressed. However, the expression profile for key lytic mRNAs, as well as LANA-2/vIRF3, differed between tissue culture and sites of implantation. We did not observe a net effect of ganciclovir on PEL growth in culture or as xenograft. These findings underscore the importance of the microenvironment for PEL tumorigenesis and simplify the preclinical evaluation of potential anticancer agents.

INTRODUCTION

Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus (KSHV), also called human herpesvirus 8 (HHV-8), is a B-cell-tropic human herpesvirus (reviewed in Refs. 1, 2). The virus was first discovered in 1994 in Kaposi’s sarcoma (KS) tumors of AIDS patients. In AIDS patients, KSHV is also associated with two lymphoproliferative disorders, namely, primary effusion lymphoma [PEL; initially called body cavity based lymphoma (BCBL)]; Ref. 3] and multicentric Castleman’s disease (4). To date, multiple KHSV isolates have been sequenced and been shown to contain a 120-kb central long unique region encoding ~80 putative open reading frames (5–7). Antibodies against KSHV exist in virtually all of the HIV-infected as well as in HIV-uninfected KS patients. Prospective longitudinal studies found that increases in peripheral-blood viral load as well as KHSV-specific antibody titers precede the onset of disease and correlate with increased risk for KS. These observations argue that KSHV is required for disease manifestation, although a particular molecular mechanism, the exact requirement for KSHV in tumor development (tumor initiation versus tumor progression), or specific viral oncoproteins have not been unequivocally established.

In endothelial-lineage KS lesions, KSHV is present in every tumor cell at 10–20 copies of the viral episome per cell (8–11). Most KS tumor cells are latently infected, but low percentages of KSHV-infected cells undergo random lytic reactivation, lytic protein expression, and lytic DNA replication (10, 12). Depending on the stage of the lesion (plaque, patch, or nodal) KS can be monoclonal or oligoclonal in origin (13–15), and conflicting studies have been published. This underscores the difficulty in studying the role of KSHV in KS. Despite a multiyear effort, less than a handful KS-derived cell lines have been adapted to continued growth in culture, because KS biopsy explants tend to lose the virus upon passage in culture (16, 17). Unlike primary tumors, KS-derived culture-adapted cells are aneuploid, have accumulated multiple translocations, and have lost the KSHV episome during the culture process (18–21). Presumably, this outcome reflects different selection pressures in different environments: growth on a plastic substrate selects for fully transformed tumor cells that acquire additional mutations and, therefore, no longer depend on viral oncoproteins and no longer need to maintain the viral episome. These KS tumor-derived, KSHV-negative cell clones cannot be used to study the contribution of KSHV to KS. Experimental infection of primary or life-extended endothelial cell culture mimics the opposite sequence of events, because the cells are cell culture-adapted before infection. A number of endothelial cell culture models maintain KSHV after experimental infection for some time (22–25), and infection of cell culture endothelial cells represents one approach to studying KS oncogenesis. Flore et al. (26) examined the infection of cultured primary vascular endothelial by KSHV and reported that over time these cells gave rise to transformed clones. KSHV-infected endothelial cell populations ordinarily do not form tumors in nude mice, unless other oncoproteins, such as human papilloma virus E6/E7 or telomerase, are also present (22, 23). These observations led to the development of the paracrine hypothesis for KS pathogenesis in which KSHV induces a growth-factor rich microenvironment that supports continued proliferation of KSHV latently infected as well as neighboring, uninfected tumor cells (21, 27–31).

In contrast to KSHV-associated endothelial lineage tumors, stable 100% KSHV-positive suspension cell lines are readily established from KSHV-associated B cell-lineage tumors such as PEL (3, 32–39). All of the PEL are monoclonal and usually arise in patients with advanced HIV disease, often in conjunction with KS. Although PEL came to prominence as an AIDS-associated malignancy, incidences of PEL have also been reported in HIV-negative men and women (40). With the advent of highly active antiretroviral therapy, the incidence of AIDS-associated cancers has decreased in the United States, but PEL cases are regularly encountered in countries of high KSHV prevalence, such as Italy, or in individuals who do not have access to anti-HIV treatment or prevention programs. It is possible that as highly active antiretroviral therapy failures mount, we will again see an increased incidence of PEL in KSHV+/HIV+ patients. Hence, there is a continued need for the development of therapeutics against KSHV-associated lymphomas. PEL cell lines represent the most consistent model in which to study KSHV biology. These cell lines grow indefinitely in culture with or without human interleukin 6 as a supplement. Importantly, every single lymphoma cell maintains the KSHV episome and expresses the viral latency associated nuclear
antigen LANA/orf73 (41–49). In these PEL cell lines, the viral load is around 50–100 latent episomes per cell (50), which is significantly higher than in KS lesions. About half of the available PEL cell lines are coinfected with EBV as well as with KSHV (39, 40, 51–54). Stable PEL cell lines have been derived from HIV-positive as well as HIV-negative patients, which suggests that HIV did not contribute to B-cell tumorigenesis in the latter instances. Although KSHV is predominantly latent in the PEL cell lines, it can be induced to undergo lytic replication by treatment with phorbol ester, calcium ionophores, sodium-butyrate, IFN-γ or coinfection with human cytomegalovirus (37, 52, 55–63).

The use of tissue culture as a single model for KSHV-associated cancers is limited in that significant events in KSHV pathogenesis may be underappreciated, because they do not take place in cell culture. Therefore, many investigators have tried to establish animal models for the study of PEL and KSHV. Because KSHV replicates exclusively in human cells, there are only two established rodent models with which to study KSHV-dependent lymphomagenesis: either normal human tissue is engrafted onto severe combined immunodeficiency (SCID) mice followed by injection of purified KSHV (35, 64, 65), or KSHV-infected human tumor cells, such as PEL, are injected into immunodeficient SCID or nude mice (34, 59, 66). We showed previously that inoculation of chimeric SCID-hu Thy/Liv mice with purified KSHV virions resulted in lytic replication followed by long-term latency. In this model, lytic and latent KSHV transcription was restricted to CD19+ human B-cells (64) reflecting the lymphotropism of the virus. Systemic administration of ganciclovir to KSHV-infected SCID-hu mice inhibited lytic viral replication in the implant. However, mice infected with cell-free virus did not develop tumors. Alternatively, several groups have reported on the behavior of KSHV-positive PEL tumor cells in mouse xenograft systems. I.p. injection of the KS-1 PEL cell line (KSHV− EBV+) led to ascites tumors (47). Different PEL injection sites were studied by Boshoff et al. (39) who showed that injection of the PEL cell lines HBL-6 (KSHV+ EBV−) and BCP-1 (KSHV− EBV+) i.v., i.p., or s.c., likewise led to tumor formation in the mice. Picchio et al. (35) found that i.p. or s.c. injection of the PEL cell line BCBL-1 (KSHV− EBV+) led to ascites as well as s.c. tumors, whereas implantation of KSHV-exposed normal human PBMCs did not elicit a phenotype, confirming that in contrast to EBV, KSHV cannot transform peripheral B lymphocytes (63, 68). Common to all three of the studies, PEL-derived tumors grew extremely poorly with a mean tumor latency period of ≥50 days per 10^6 cells in a challenge dose. This is in contrast to rapid progression of PEL growth in patients or EBV+B Burkitt’s lymphoma cell line growth in xenograft models (69, 70). Such a phenotype suggests that in the case of PEL, like in the case of KS, the microenvironment surrounding the KSHV-infected cell may play a critical role in tumor progression.

In trying to study the influence of the host environment on tumor growth, we initially observed that tumor growth accelerated if KSHV+ PEL cells (BCBL-1) were injected topically near the abdominal wall or beneath the kidney capsule compared with s.c. or i.p. injection.5 These observations argued in favor of the aforementioned model that KSHV-infected PEL cells respond to environmental factors, which may be soluble (cytokines) or mechanical (extracellular matrix) in nature. To explore this conjecture, the studies presented herein test the hypothesis that growth factor-depleted extracellular matrix (Matrigel) enhances ectopic tumor formation by KSHV+/EBV− BCBL-1 cells. We observed much more rapid growth of PEL cells s.c. in SCID mice in the presence of Matrigel compared with injection of PEL cells without Matrigel. This outcome shows that PEL tumor growth in vivo is dependent on the presence of extracellular matrix. Furthermore, these studies establish a tractable small animal model to study KSHV lymphomagenesis. In this model, ganciclovir did not inhibit PEL tumor formation. The novel animal model described in this report should facilitate in vivo screening and validation of other anti-KSHV and anticancer drugs.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell Culture.** The BCBL-1 cell line was obtained from Don Ganem (University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA). The cells were routinely cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 100 μg/ml streptomycin sulfate, 0.25 μg/ml amphotericin B, and 100 units/ml penicillin G (Invitrogen Life Technologies, Inc., Carlsbad, CA), 2 mm L-glutamine, 0.05 mm 2-mercaptoethanol, and 0.075% sodium bicarbonate at 37°C in 5% CO2. To test the **in vitro** effects of Ganciclovir on BCBL-1 cells, 3 × 10^6 cells were resuspended in the presence of 390 μM (C156) equivalent, 39 μM (C190) equivalent, and 3.9 μM Ganciclovir (C180 equivalent; Sigma Inc., St. Louis, MO), and 20 ng/ml TPA (Calbiochem Inc., San Diego, CA) as described previously (52, 71). The cell numbers and viability were determined by trypan blue stain.

**Tumor Formation.** Cells were counted, washed once in ice-cold PBS (Cellgro Mediatech, Inc., Herndon, VA), and indicated cell doses were diluted in 200 μl PBS or 200 μl growth factor-depleted Matrigel (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA). Cells were injected s.c. into the right flank or i.p. into C.B.-17 SCID mice (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME). The mice were observed every 2 days for the presence of palpable tumors. In experiments studying the effect of Ganciclovir, mice were injected with 5 × 10^6 BCBL cells containing 200 μl Matrigel s.c. and were also injected i.p. with 2 mg/kg/day Ganciclovir (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO) and observed for the tumor formation. This dosing follows our protocol established previously (64). Ganciclovir was dissolved at 1 mg/ml in PBS and adjusted to pH 8.0 with 1 N NaOH at 65°C, filter-sterilized through a 0.2-μm filter (Acrodisc), and stored at 4°C for the duration of the experiment. The tumors were excised from the site of injection and were either fixed in formalin (Fisher Diagnostics, Middletown, VA) or resuspended in TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and processed for reverse transcription-PCR.

**RNA Isolation and Reverse Transcription.** Total RNA from suspension cells was isolated by using the Absolutely RNA Microprep kit (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Solid tumor pieces were resuspended in 750 μl TRI Reagent (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) and disrupted using a Ultra-Turrax T8 (IKA Labortechnik, Staufen, Germany). RNA was isolated according to the supplier’s protocol, precipitated, and resuspended in 50 μl diethyl pyrocarbonate-treated water at 56°C for 10 min. Subsequently, DNA was removed from the RNA isolation buffer with a DNA-free RNA kit (Zymo Research, Orange, CA). The RNA was reverse transcribed as described (43) in a 20-μl reaction with 100 units of Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase (Life Technologies, Inc.), 2 μM deoxyoligonucleotides triphosphates, 2.5 μM MgCl2, 1 unit Rnasin (all from Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA), and 0.5 μg of random hexanucleotide primers (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ). The reverse transcription reaction was sequentially incubated at 42°C for 45 min, 52°C for 30 min, and 70°C for 10 min. The reaction was stopped by heating at 95°C for 5 min. Finally, 0.5 μl of RNase H (Life Technologies, Inc.) was added to the reverse transcription reaction, which was then incubated at 37°C for 30 min, heat-inactivated at 70°C for 10 min, and cDNA pools stored at −80°C.

**Real-Time Quantitative PCR.** Quantitative real-time PCR primers were designed using Primer Express 1.5 (Applied Biosystems) and used as described previously (43, 72) on an ABI PRIZM 7700 Sequence Detector (Applied Biosystems) using universal cycling conditions (2 min at 50°C, 10 min at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C). The cycle-threshold values were determined by automated analysis. The threshold was set to five times the SD of the nontemplate control. Dissociation curves were recorded after each run, and the amplified products were routinely analyzed by 2% agarose gel electrophoresis (73).

**Immunohistochemistry.** Seven μm paraffin sections of fixed tumor tissue were stained with H&E stains. H&E (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) staining was done by de-paraffinization of the sections using histoclear (Sigma-Aldrich Corp.) followed by staining with hematoxylin for 15 min then a
20-min water wash. The slides were subsequently stained with eosin for 2 min, dehydrated in 95% alcohol and absolute alcohol, cleared in xylene, and mounted in Permount (Sigma Inc.). LANA was detected using a monoclonal rat anti-LANA antibody (Ref. 47; 1:10 dilution, from Applied Bioscience Inc.) and goat antirat horseradish peroxidase (Kirkegaard & Perry, Inc. Gaithersburg, MD) and visualized using horseradish peroxidase Substrate-Chromogen mixture (Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA). Proliferating cell nuclear antigen was detected using rabbit antiproliferating cell nuclear antigen antibody (FL261; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., Santa Cruz, CA) and cyclin-D using rabbit anti-cyclin-D1 antibody (M-20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc.) and goat antirabbit horseradish peroxidase (Kirkegaard & Perry, Inc.). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated in 95% alcohol and absolute alcohol, cleared in xylene, and mounted in Permount (Sigma Inc.).

Statistical Analysis. Calculations were performed using Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redwood, WA) and SPSS v11.0 (SPSS Science, Chicago, IL). Hierarchical clustering was performed as described previously (72). All of the samples were normalized to gapdh, centered by median of gene, normalized to presents the fastest in vivo model to study KSHV+ PEL tumorigenesis to date.

In the same series of experiments with more animals, 13 SCID mice were injected i.p with $5 \times 10^6$ BCBL-1. Nine of the mice developed tumors within 28 days (Table 1, Experiment #3), which is significantly different from the zero mice with tumors derived from s.c. injected BCBL-1 cells ($P \leq 0.0001$, by t test), and implies that the BCBL-1 cell line maintained its dependence on pleural cavities or some other supportive environment in vivo. The observation that BCBL-1-derived tumors develop more rapidly in the presence of Matrigel in the s.c. microenvironment of the mouse compared with without Matrigel suggests that Matrigel may be a capable substitute for the pleural environment. To account for different mouse cohorts and variations in the BCBL-1 preparation, we repeated the experiment a third time. Five mice were injected s.c. with $5 \times 10^6$ BCBL-1 in 0.2 ml of Matrigel, and 5 mice were injected s.c. with $5 \times 10^6$ BCBL-1 cells in PBS without Matrigel (Table 1, Experiment #4). As observed in the previous experiments, all of the mice that received BCBL-1 cells with Matrigel developed tumors by day 14. Among the mice injected s.c. with BCBL-1 cells without Matrigel, 1 animal developed a tumor after 19 days. A second mouse developed a tumor on day 24, and the remaining mice did not develop lesions at all ($P \leq 0.0005$, by t test).

To quantify the growth differential of Matrigel on BCBL-1 s.c. tumor formation, we performed Kaplan-Meier analysis. Fig. 1A compares C.B.-17 SCID mice that were injected s.c. with BCBL-1 cells alone to animals that were injected with BCBL-1 cells plus Matrigel. None of the mice injected s.c. with BCBL-1 cells developed tumors by 1 month. In contrast, 2 of the 7 mice that received BCBL-1 cells in Matrigel developed tumors by day 6 (71% tumor free), 4 of 7 mice had developed tumors by day 10 (43% tumor free), 6 of 7 mice by day 12 (14% tumor free), and all of the mice had developed tumors by day

---

**RESULTS**

**Matrigel Enables Rapid, s.c. Growth of PEL in SCID Mice.** In human patients, PEL are found in the pleural or abdominal cavities. Previous studies have reported the successful propagation of PEL cells as ascites tumors in mice (35, 39). In contrast to EBV+ lymphomas or EBV+ lymphoblastoid cell lines (reviewed in Ref. 70), KSHV+ PEL grew extremely slowly with a mean time-to-tumor-formation of $\sim 2$ months despite injection of a large dose ($>10^6$) of cells per animal. The progression of i.p. tumors is difficult to measure by noninvasive methods, and as such their use for quantitative studies is limited. To circumvent these limitations, we attempted to establish a s.c. tumor model of KSHV+ PEL in SCID mice. The combination of PEL and Matrigel presents the fastest in vivo model to study KSHV+ PEL tumorigenesis to date.

In the same series of experiments with more animals, 13 SCID mice were injected i.p with $5 \times 10^6$ BCBL-1. Nine of the mice developed tumors within 28 days (Table 1, Experiment #3), which is significantly different from the zero mice with tumors derived from s.c. injected BCBL-1 cells ($P \leq 0.0001$, by t test), and implies that the BCBL-1 cell line maintained its dependence on pleural cavities or some other supportive environment in vivo. The observation that BCBL-1-derived tumors develop more rapidly in the presence of Matrigel in the s.c. microenvironment of the mouse compared with without Matrigel suggests that Matrigel may be a capable substitute for the pleural environment. To account for different mouse cohorts and variations in the BCBL-1 preparation, we repeated the experiment a third time. Five mice were injected s.c. with $5 \times 10^6$ BCBL-1 in 0.2 ml of Matrigel, and 5 mice were injected s.c. with $5 \times 10^6$ BCBL-1 cells in PBS without Matrigel (Table 1, Experiment #4). As observed in the previous experiments, all of the mice that received BCBL-1 cells with Matrigel developed tumors by day 14. Among the mice injected s.c. with BCBL-1 cells without Matrigel, 1 animal developed a tumor after 19 days. A second mouse developed a tumor on day 24, and the remaining mice did not develop lesions at all ($P \leq 0.0005$, by t test).

To quantify the growth differential of Matrigel on BCBL-1 s.c. tumor formation, we performed Kaplan-Meier analysis. Fig. 1A compares C.B.-17 SCID mice that were injected s.c. with BCBL-1 cells alone to animals that were injected with BCBL-1 cells plus Matrigel. None of the mice injected s.c. with BCBL-1 cells developed tumors by 1 month. In contrast, 2 of the 7 mice that received BCBL-1 cells in Matrigel developed tumors by day 6 (71% tumor free), 4 of 7 mice had developed tumors by day 10 (43% tumor free), 6 of 7 mice by day 12 (14% tumor free), and all of the mice had developed tumors by day

---

### Table 1. Tumor formation of BCBL-1 cells in SCID mice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Route</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Animals</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>No. of tumors</th>
<th>% tumors</th>
<th>Significance ($P \leq$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0E+05</td>
<td>s.c.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.0E+06</td>
<td>s.c.</td>
<td>Matrigel</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.0E+06</td>
<td>s.c.</td>
<td>Matrigel</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.0E+05</td>
<td>i.p.</td>
<td>Matrigel</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.0E+06</td>
<td>s.c.</td>
<td>Matrigel</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>69%</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.0E+06</td>
<td>s.c.</td>
<td>Matrigel</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
onstrate that: (a) PEL are dependent on extracellular support for in vivo tumor formation; (b) growth factor-depleted Matrigel can provide such support as efficiently as the pleural cavity; and (c) PEL can be propagated s.c., which facilitates the ease of tumor observations. 

Upon gross examination, BCBL-1 tumors could induce murine angiogenesis as evident by the red blood vessels leading to the tumor implant (Fig. 1B). The blood vessels and capillary endothelial cells were of murine origin as determined by immunohistochemical staining of BCBL-1 tumors with a rat monoclonal antibody specific for mouse cyclin D (Fig. 1, C and D). This phenotype was expected based on the long history of human xenograft tumors in SCID mice (70) and emphasizes that the principal angiogenic signals are conserved across species.

Because some rare tumors did eventually form after s.c. injection of BCBL-1 cells without Matrigel, we asked if these tumors were phenotypically different compared with BCBL-1 tumors formed after s.c. injection of BCBL-1 plus extracellular support via Matrigel. While comparing BCBL-1 cells growing in these three environments (i.e., s.c., or s.c. plus Matrigel), striking morphological differences became evident. BCBL-1 i.p. tumors were observed to be irregular by H&E staining, with empty spaces and necrosis evident (Fig. 2C and D). In addition, individual nucleoli were prominent, perhaps suggesting blastic differentiation. In contrast, Matrigel-supported tumors exhibited a regular appearance with many uniformly stained small cells and inconspicuous nuclei (Fig. 2, E and F). As seen in Fig. 1, these tumors were well vascularized. Under low magnification the organizing effect of the Matrigel becomes more evident and can be used to discern between s.c. tumors with or without Matrigel. The very few tumors that formed after s.c. injection in the absence of Matrigel also showed extensive necrosis but dense nuclei (Fig. 2, A and B). This observation confirms that Matrigel forms a lattice in which the tumor cells can grow in an orderly fashion, which could provide a more even flow of oxygen and nutrients and could help explain the accelerated rate of tumor formation in mice injected with both BCBL-1 cells and Matrigel.

Because LANA is expressed in every PEL cell in suspension culture, we wanted to determine whether LANA was also expressed in PEL-derived tumors in mice. The few aberrant tumors that developed in the absence of Matrigel maintained LANA expression, but LANA-negative foci were also observed (Fig. 3A). In contrast, tumors that formed after i.p. injection of BCBL-1 cells expressed LANA protein uniformly in all of the cells (Fig. 3B). LANA expression likewise was uniform in Matrigel-supported s.c. tumors (Fig. 3C). Taken together, the histochemical analysis revealed that the peritoneal cavity imposes a different environment on BCBL-1 cells as s.c. implantation even with Matrigel. Specifically, i.p. growth induces immunoblastic activation of PEL and KSHV viral reactivation (see below). Ectopic in vivo growth, such as experienced upon s.c. injection without Matrigel, selected for fast-growing clones, which no longer resembled the inoculums, and many lost LANA expression (analogous to KS biopsy explant cultures; Ref. 16). In contrast, Matrigel enabled uniform growth of LANA-positive PEL cells after s.c. injection but without overt differentiation.

KSHV Transcription in PEL Implants. To assess the fate of KSHV in the three different microenvironments (i.e., s.c., or s.c. plus Matrigel), we performed real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR using a set of primers described previously (43). These primers query representative members of the various KSHV kinetic transcriptional classes (α, β, and γ), which we and others defined previously for KSHV in PEL (41–43, 74) and which most consistently predict the stage of the KSHV life cycle for all of the known PEL isolates. The assay is highly specific, because in addition to the outside primers, hybridization to a third sequence-specific probe (TaqMan) is required to obtain a signal. To limit variation, we used multiplexing and coamplified either gapdh or LANA as an internal control in the same
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KSHV Transcription in PEL Implants. To assess the fate of KSHV in the three different microenvironments (i.e., s.c., or s.c. plus Matrigel), we performed real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR using a set of primers described previously (43). These primers query representative members of the various KSHV kinetic transcriptional classes (α, β, and γ), which we and others defined previously for KSHV in PEL (41–43, 74) and which most consistently predict the stage of the KSHV life cycle for all of the known PEL isolates. The assay is highly specific, because in addition to the outside primers, hybridization to a third sequence-specific probe (TaqMan) is required to obtain a signal. To limit variation, we used multiplexing and coamplified either gapdh or LANA as an internal control in the same
few aberrant tumors that developed ectopically in the s.c. microenvironment can induce a corresponding adaptation in KSHV gene expression. The s.c. environment and the peritoneal cavity exude different signals that activate and transcribed several early lytic mRNAs, whereas BCBL-1 cells grown as s.c. tumors did not. The s.c. plus Matrigel tumors also showed elevated levels of lytic gene expression, which was similar to i.p. tumors. The LANA-2/vIRF-3 mRNA was only present in the s.c. environment in the absence of extracellular support (Matrigel) or cytokines only expressed latent viral genes.

Ganciclovir Slows PEL Tumor Formation in Mice. Nucleoside analogs, such as ganciclovir, inhibit the KSHV polymerase (orf9; Refs. 55, 64, 71, 76, 77). A clinical study suggested that ganciclovir has a beneficial effect on KSHV-associated malignancies, because it seems to lower tumor burden and spread in KS patients (78). However, its mechanism of action with regard to antitumor activity is unknown. Ganciclovir can act by several different means to inhibit KSHV pathogenesis. The drug could act to limit peripheral viremia, which would inhibit the latent establishment of KSHV and, thus, reduce the pool of infected cells available for subsequent reactivation and KS dissemination (analogous to immediate highly active antiretroviral therapy after occupational exposure to HIV). It is also possible that, by eliminating or limiting the number of KS cells that undergo KSHV lytic reactivation, ganciclovir could act to inhibit paracrine loops propagated by KSHV lytic proteins that contribute to local tumor development. And finally, the ganciclovir prodrug, after activation into its toxic metabolite by the virus (79), could result in direct cytoxicity for the virally infected cells. To investigate the in vivo efficacy of ganciclovir against PEL, we tested its effect in the BCBL-1 xenograft model. Five mice were injected s.c. with 5 $\times$ 10^6 BCBL-1 and 0.2 ml Matrigel and left untreated with respect to drugs. A second cohort of 5 mice were injected i.p. first with 2 mg of Ganciclovir (Sigma-Aldrich) followed by 5 $\times$ 10^6 BCBL-1 with 0.2 ml of Matrigel s.c. (Table 1, Experiments #5 and #6). The 5 mice in the ganciclovir arm were given 2 mg of ganciclovir i.p. daily for 12 days. This follows our previously established dosing schedule that abrogates KSHV lytic replication in SCID-hu chimeric mice (64). Injection of ganciclovir at a site away from the tumor requires systemic dissemination of the drug for Ganciclovir to reach the tumor, rather than direct exposure of the tumor cells to the drug. All 5 of the reaction phor tube, the signal of which was quantified using a different fluorophor. Our molecular profiling uncovered significant differences for the three tumor types (Fig. 4). First, the mRNA for the latent gene LANA was abundantly transcribed in all three of the tumor types at $\sim$50% the level of gapdh mRNA, which corroborates our observations of BCBL-1 cells in culture (43, 75). Second, primers directed against the v-cyclin open reading frame (vCyc/orf72) likewise detected equivalent mRNA levels in each tumor class. This is expected, because LANA and vCyc mRNAs are coregulated and 3'-coterminal (12, 75). In contrast to both LANA and v-cyclin, LANA-2/vIRF3 is a latent mRNA that is only present in the KSHV-infected B-cells (MCD and PEL) but not in KSHV-infected endothelial cells such as KS (49, 72). LANA-2 mRNA levels were significantly ($P \leq 0.07$, by $t$ test) higher in the i.p. tumor cohort compared with s.c. and s.c. plus Matrigel tumors, although in culture all of the BCBL-1 cells transcribe LANA-2/vIRF-3. Also, the mRNA levels for Rta/orf50 and vGPCR/orf74 were significantly higher in i.p. tumors compared with s.c. and s.c. plus Matrigel tumors. This observation is consistent with the more immunoblastic phenotype of the i.p. tumor class. The mRNAs level for orf57, another lytic gene showed a similar trend, but the differences did not reach significance levels below $P \leq 0.07$. These results argue that, whereas all of the tumors transcribed the canonical viral latent genes, BCBL-1 cells grown as i.p. tumors became activated and transcribed several early lytic mRNAs, whereas BCBL-1 cells grown as s.c. tumors did not. The s.c. plus Matrigel tumors also showed elevated levels of lytic gene expression, which was similar to i.p. tumors. The LANA-2/vIRF-3 mRNA was only present in the environment of the peritoneal cavity. This suggests that the Matrigel s.c. environment and the peritoneal cavity exude different signals that can induce a corresponding adaptation in KSHV gene expression. The few aberrant tumors that developed ectopically in the s.c. microenvironment in the absence of extracellular support (Matrigel) or cytokines only expressed latent viral genes.

Fig. 2. H&E stain of BCBL-1 tumors. A and B, $\times$40 and $\times$400 magnification of a representative s.c. tumor. C and D, $\times$40 and $\times$400 magnification of a representative i.p. tumor. E and F, $\times$40 and $\times$400 magnification of a representative Matrigel-enhanced s.c. tumor.

Fig. 3. LANA expression in BCBL-1 tumors. Thin sections were stained with a rat monoclonal antibody against the LANA protein (brown) and counterstained with hematoxylin (blue). A, a representative s.c. tumor. B, a representative i.p. tumor. C, a Matrigel-enhanced s.c. tumor. All images are at $\times$400 magnification.
untreated control mice developed tumors. In a second experiment all of the mice developed tumors at the same time regardless of the presence or absence of ganciclovir (Table 1). Upon visual inspection, the tumors in the ganciclovir-treated cohort appeared smaller and seem to be associated with less angiogenesis (Fig. 5, A–D). This outcome suggests that ganciclovir may impede KSHV-dependent PEL lymphomagenesis but does not block tumor formation completely.

To validate our dosing regimen, we investigated the cytotoxic effects of ganciclovir on BCBL-1 cells in culture. Earlier studies had determined the concentration required to inhibit 50% of KSHV reactivation (IC50) for ganciclovir to be 3.9 μM, and the concentration required to inhibit 90% of KSHV reactivation (IC90) to be 39 μM (55, 71, 77). At these levels, ganciclovir does not exhibit any cellular cytotoxicity on uninfected cells in culture or cause toxicity in mice. On the basis of the known antiviral mechanism of action of ganciclovir, it is possible that the drug would kill cells in which KSHV reactivates, because during viral reactivation the viral kinases that are able to phosphorylate ganciclovir are expressed. To investigate this possibility, KSHV reactivation was induced in BCBL-1 cells in culture by transient (12 h) exposure to 20 ng/ml TPA before Ganciclovir exposure as described previously (52), and cell survival was recorded at 24, 48, and 96 h after induction (Fig. 6A). Upon TPA-induced KSHV reactivation, BCBL-1 cell growth ceased, and cells were destroyed by viral egress resulting in a net loss of cells over time (Fig. 6A, second group), whereas in contrast the untreated BCBL-1 cells grew exponentially as expected (Fig. 6A, first group). Treatment of BCBL-1 cells in culture with ganciclovir at 3.9 μM or 39 μM partially restored cell growth in BCBL-1 cells undergoing lytic reactivation as assessed by the counting of viable cells, presumably by interfering with viral maturation (Fig. 6A, third and fourth group). Only when BCBL-1 cells were treated with 390 μM (10 times the IC90) was cytotoxicity observed (Fig. 6A, fifth group), which is consistent with the reported cytotoxicity concentration (CC50) of ganciclovir on uninfected cells (77). These data demonstrate that even at the IC90, ganciclovir does not exhibit cellular cytotoxicity in PEL. Instead, the complete block of viral replication due to ganciclovir translates into increased survival of cells undergoing viral reactivation in vitro.

To investigate the mechanism of ganciclovir on viral transcription, we conducted viral array analysis using our previously validated real-time quantitative PCR array for KSHV (43, 72). Fig. 6B shows a heatmap representation of the normalized data, in which red indicated the highest and blue the lowest relative abundance for each KSHV mRNA under these experimental conditions. As expected (43), treatment with TPA alone induced most KSHV lytic transcripts, for instance the immediate early mRNAs (e.g., orf57f1, orf57f2, orf50s1, and exon3, exon4, exon 3–4 of KbZIP). Treatment did not affect the latent mRNAs [orf72f1, 73–5′ untranslated region, Taq-F4 (for spliced vCyc), lat273, 71primer2], which fluctuate rather than showing a continues pattern. Addition of ganciclovir at 4 and 40 μM increased the transcription of all of the lytic genes in a dose-dependent manner, presumably by inhibiting viral maturation and cellular destruction (as shown in Fig. 6A), which leads to an accumulation of immediate early, early, and delayed early mRNAs that were induced by TPA. Only at 400 μM ganciclovir, the CC50 for this drug, did we
observe a drastic decrease in virtually all of the viral mRNAs, as those virally infected cells that reactivate and phosphorylate the ganciclovir prodrug are removed from the culture. At this concentration the loss in cell viability (Fig. 6A) is mirrored by the loss of viral mRNAs.

**DISCUSSION**

Malignant lymphomas are common in late-stage AIDS patients and other immunosuppressed individuals, such as transplant recipients. In general, their prognosis is extremely poor. PELs represent an unusual and distinct set of AIDS-associated non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas with a median patient survival of less than 6 months in most cohorts (80). They can present as solid masses, but most often as lymphomatous effusions in the body cavities. Hence, PELs were initially called BCBLs (34). Because PELs generally do not express B cell-lineage antigens (CD20; Ref. 36), they cannot be treated with B cell-specific immunotherapeutics. To date, only conventional anticancer chemotherapies are in use to treat patients with PEL. These tumors are distinct from other non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas, however, due to their established association with the human herpesvirus KSHV. All of the PEL cells contain >50 copies of the KSHV genome, and furthermore, all of the PEL cells express the KSHV latent genes LANA, v-cyclin, and v-FLIP (41–43, 48, 74), as well as LANA-2/vIRF-3, suggesting that KSHV is required for tumorigenesis. Additionally, in ~80% of PEL cases, the tumor cells are also infected with EBV. A principle bottleneck for mechanistic investigations into the role of KSHV in PEL tumorigenesis and for the evaluation of innovative drugs that specifically target the viral component of PEL is the lack of rapid and economically practical preclinical animal models. Most often, PEL cell lines are propagated in cell culture in the presence of a steady state of numerous media supplements, such as FCS, and so forth. This environment may stunt, alter, or redirect the virally infected cellular response to antitumor and antiviral drugs. In an effort to address these limitations and to capitalize upon KSHV as a unique tumor-specific target, we developed a rapid, quantitative xenograft model in SCID mice that allows us to monitor PEL growth continuously and noninvasively in vivo. This model provides a more natural tumor microenvironment compared with cell culture and should aid in the evaluation of novel therapeutics and tumor vaccines.

We found that Matrigel enables rapid s.c. growth of PEL, which lends itself to continuous, noninvasive monitoring of tumor progression. Matrigel provides a supporting extracellular lattice for individual
PEL cells. As a consequence, the tumors appear very homogenous, highly angiogenic, and maintain PEL morphology (Fig. 1). Whether the growth factor-depleted extracellular matrix additionally provides signals through direct contact with the cells as occurs during normal development is currently unresolved. KSHV was retained in the PEL tumor cells as evidenced by uniform LANA expression at both the mRNA and protein levels (Figs. 3 and 4). Without Matrigel, PEL did not grow in the s.c. environment within the time frame of our observation period. Rather, rare variants emerged after a very long in vivo incubation. They were characterized by disorganized growth, local necrosis, and altered viral gene transcription (Figs. 2–4) and, hence, would not be expected to mimic the human disease.

We found substantial evidence for KSHV lytic gene expression in PEL grown in the mouse xenograft model (Fig. 4). These data substantiate a paracrine mode operandi for KSHV pathogenesis that postulates that KSHV lytic genes, such as vGPCR/orf74 (31, 81, 82), are expressed at a level capable to support more complete viral replication than typically seen in PEL cell lines, which renders them susceptible to treatment with this drug. We previously documented extreme heterogeneity of viral transcription in primary KS biopsies (72), which provide a rationale for tumor stratification in additional studies.
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