Cannabinoids Inhibit the Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Pathway in Gliomas
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ABSTRACT

Cannabinoids inhibit tumor angiogenesis in mice, but the mechanism of their antiangiogenic action is still unknown. Because the vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) pathway plays a critical role in tumor angiogenesis, here we studied whether cannabinoids affect it. As a first approach, cDNA array analysis showed that cannabinoid administration to mice bearing s.c. gliomas lowered the expression of various VEGF pathway-related genes. The use of other methods (ELISA, Western blotting, and confocal microscopy) provided additional evidence that cannabinoids depressed the VEGF pathway by decreasing the production of VEGF and the activation of VEGF receptor (VEGFR)-2, the most prominent VEGF receptor, in cultured glioma cells and in mouse gliomas. Cannabinoid-induced inhibition of VEGF production and VEGF-R2 activation was abrogated both in vitro and in vivo by pharmacological blockade of ceramide biosynthesis. These changes in the VEGF pathway were paralleled by changes in tumor size. Moreover, intratumoral administration of the cannabinoid Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol to two patients with glioblastoma multiforme (grade IV astrocytoma) decreased VEGF levels and VEGF-R2 activation in the tumors. Because blockade of the VEGF pathway constitutes one of the most promising antitumor approaches currently available, the present findings provide a novel pharmacological target for cannabinoid-based therapies.

INTRODUCTION

To grow beyond minimal size, tumors must generate a new vascular supply for purposes of gas exchange, cell nutrition, and waste disposal (1–4). They do so by secreting proangiogenic cytokines that promote the formation of blood vessels. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF; also known as VEGF-A) is considered the most important proangiogenic molecule because it is expressed abundantly by a wide variety of animal and human tumors and because of its potency, selectivity, and ability to regulate most and perhaps all of the steps in the angiogenic cascade (1–4). The best characterized VEGF receptors are two related receptor tyrosine kinases termed VEGF receptor (VEGFR)-1 (also known as Flt-1) and VEGFR-2 (also known as kinase domain region or Flk-1). Although VEGF binds to VEGFR-1 with higher affinity, numerous studies in cultured cells and laboratory animals have provided evidence that VEGF-R2 is the major mediator of the mitogenic, antiapoptotic, angiogenic, and permeability-enhancing effects of VEGF (1–4). Because overexpression of VEGF and VEGF-R2 is causally involved in the progression of many solid tumors, several strategies to inhibit VEGF signaling have been translated into clinical trials in cancer patients, including anti-VEGF and anti-VEGFR-2 antibodies, small VEGFR-2 inhibitors, and a soluble VEGFR-1 (also known as Flt-1) to decrease VEGF levels and VEGF-R2 activation in the tumors. Because blockade of the VEGF pathway constitutes one of the most promising antitumor approaches currently available, the present findings provide a novel pharmacological target for cannabinoid-based therapies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cannabinoids. The Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol was kindly given by Alfredo Dupetit (The Health Concept, Richelbach, Germany). JWH-133 was kindly given by Dr. John Huffman (Department of Chemistry, Clemson University, Clemson, SC; Ref. 24). WIN-55,212-2 and anandamide were from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). SR141716 and SR144528 were kindly given by Sanofi-Synthelabo (Montpellier, France). For in vitro incubations, cannabinoid agonists and antagonists were directly applied at a final DMSO concentration of 0.1–0.2% (v/v). For in vivo experiments, ligands were prepared at 1% (v/v) DMSO in 100 μL PBS supplemented with 5 mg/ml BSA. No significant influence of the vehicle was observed on any of the parameters determined.

Cell Culture. The rat C6 glioma (25), the human U373 MG astrocytoma (26), the mouse PDV.C57 epidermal carcinoma (19), and the human ECV304 bladder cancer epithelioma (22) were cultured as described previously. Human glioma cells were prepared from a glioblastoma multiforme (grade IV astrocytoma; Ref. 26). The biopsy was digested with collagenase (type Ia; Sigma) and treated with trypsin before being plated in serum-free medium 15% FCS and 1 mM glutamine, cells were grown for 2 passages, and then transferred to 90% serum. Further details on cell culture are described in the Supporting Methods section.
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Tumor Induction in Mice. Tumors were induced in mice deficient in recombination activating gene 2 b c. flank inoculation of 5 × 10^6 C6 glioma cells in 100 μl PBS supplemented with 0.1% glucose (16). When tumors had reached a volume of 350–450 mm^3, animals were assigned randomly to the various groups and injected intratumorally for up to 8 days with 50 μg/day JWH-133 and/or 60 μg/day fumonisin B1 (Alexis, San Diego, CA). Control animals were injected with vehicle. Tumors were measured with external caliper, and volume was calculated as (4/3) × (width/2)^2 × (length/2).

Human Tumor Samples. Tumor biopsies were obtained from two of the patients enrolled in an ongoing Phase II/II clinical trial (at the Neurosurgery Department of Tenerife University Hospital, Spain) aimed at investigating the effect of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol administration on the growth of recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. The patients had failed standard therapy, which included surgery, radiotherapy (60 Gy), and temozolomide chemotherapy (4 cycles). Patients had clear evidence of tumor progression on sequential magnetic resonance scanning before enrollment in the study, had received no anticancer therapy for >1 year, and had a fair health status (Karnofski performance score = 90). The patients provided written informed consent. The protocol was approved by the Clinical Trials Committee of Tenerife University Hospital and by the Spanish Ministry of Health.

Patient 1 (a 48-year-old man) had a right-occipital-lobe tumor (7.5 × 6 cm maximum diameters), and Patient 2 (a 57-year-old man) had a right-temporal-lobe tumor (6 × 5 cm maximum diameters). Both tumors were diagnosed by the Pathology Department of Tenerife University Hospital as glioblastoma multiforme and showed the hallmarks of this type of tumor (high vascularization, necrotic areas, abundant palisading and mitotic cells, and so on). The tumors were removed extensively by surgery, biopsies were taken, and the tip (~5 cm) of a silastic infusion catheter (9.6 French; 3.2 mm diameter) was placed into the resection cavity. The infusion catheter was connected to a Nutrop subcuticular s.c. reservoir. Each day 0.5–1.5 (median 1.0) mg of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol (100 μg/ml in ethanol solution) were dissolved in 30 ml of physiological saline solution supplemented with 0.5% (w/v) human serum albumin, and the resulting solution was filtered and subsequently administered at a rate of 0.3 ml/min with a syringe pump connected to the s.c. reservoir. Patient 1 started the treatment 4 days after the surgery and received a total amount of 24.5 mg of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol for 19 days. The posttreatment biopsy was taken 19 days after the cessation of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol administration. Patient 2 started the treatment 4 days after the surgery and received a total amount of 13.5 mg of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol for 16 days. The posttreatment biopsy was taken 43 days after the cessation of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol administration. Samples were either transferred to DME containing 15% FCS and 1 mm glutamine (for tumor-cell isolation, see above; Fig. 2B) and frozen (for VEGF determination, Patients 1 and 2; and for VEGF Western blotting, Patient 1; Fig. 6A and C) or fixed in formalin and embedded in paraffin (for VEGF-2 confocal microscopy, Patients 1 and 2; Fig. 6B).

The cDNA Arrays. Total RNA was extracted (27) from tumors of vehicle- or Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol-treated mice (see above), and poly(A)^+ RNA was isolated with oligotex resin (Qiagen Inc., Valencia, CA) and reverse-transcribed with Moloney murine leukemia virus reverse transcriptase in the presence of 50 μCi [α-32P]dATP for the generation of radiolabeled cDNA probes. Purified radio-labeled probes were hybridized to angiogenesis, hypoxia, and metastasis gene array membranes (GEArray Q Series; SuperArray Bioscience Corporation, Frederick, MD) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Hybridization signals were detected by phoshorimagier and analyzed by Phoretix housekeeping genes in the blots as internal controls for normalization. The selection criteria were set conservatively throughout the process, and the genes selected were required to exhibit at least a 2-fold change of expression and a P < 0.01.

ELISA. VEGF levels were determined in cell culture media and in tumor extracts, obtained by homogenization as described previously (16), by solid-phase ELISA using the Quantikine mouse VEGF Immunoassay (R&D Systems, Abingdon, United Kingdom; 70% cross-reactivity with rat VEGF) for rat and mouse samples and the Quantikine human VEGF Immunoassay (R&D Systems) for human samples.

Western Blot. Particulate cell or tissue fractions were subjected to SDS-PAGE, and proteins were transferred from the gels onto polyvinylidene fluoro-ride membranes. Blots were incubated with antibodies against total VEGF-2 (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), VEGF-2 phosphory-rnase 996 (1:250; Cell Signaling, Beverly, MA), VEGF-2 phosphosryosine 1214 (1:250; kindly given by Dr. Francesco Pezzella, Nuffield Department of Clinical Laboratory Science, University of Oxford, United Kingdom), and α-tubulin (1:4000, Sigma). The latter was used as a loading control. In all of the cases, samples were subjected to luminography with an enhanced chemiluminescence detection kit (Amersham Life Sciences, Arlington Heights, IL). Densitometric analysis of the blots was performed with the Multianalyst software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA).

Confocal Microscopy. Glioma cells were cultured in coverslips and fixed in acetone for 10 min. Mouse tumors were dissected and frozen, and 5-μm sections were fixed in acetone for 10 min. Human tumors were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and then paraffin-embedded, 5-μm sections were deparaffinized and rehydrated, and antigen retrieval was carried out by immersing the slides in 10 mM citrate (pH 6.0) and boiling for 3 min. All of the samples were incubated with 10% goat serum in PBS for 30 min at room temperature to block nonspecific binding. Slices were incubated for 1.5 h with the aforementioned primary antibodies against total VEGF-2 (1:50) and VEGF-2 phosphosryosine 1214 (1:20). After washing with PBS, slices were additionally incubated (1 h, room temperature, darkness) with a mixture of the secondary goat antioverse antibodies Alexa Fluor 488 and Alexa Fluor 546 (both at 1:400; Molecular Probes, Leyden, The Netherlands). After washing with PBS, sections were fixed in 1% paraformaldehyde for 10 min and mounted with DAKO fluorescence mounting medium containing TOTO-3 iodide (1:1000; Molecular Probes) to stain cell nuclei. Confocal fluorescence images were acquired using a Laser Sharp 2000 software (Bio-Rad) and a Confocal Radi-ance 2000 coupled to Axiovert S100 TV microscope (Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany). Pixel quantification and colocalization were determined with Meta-morph-Off-line software (Universal Imaging, Downingtown, PA).

Ceramide Synthesis. C6 glioma cells were cultured for 48 h in serum-free medium with the additions indicated together with 1 μCi of l-U-14Ceramide, well, lipids were extracted, and ceramide resolved by thin-layer chromatography as described previously (28).

Statistics. Results shown represent mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was performed by ANOVA with a post hoc analysis by the Student-Neuman-Keuls test or by unpaired Student’s t test.

RESULTS

Changes in Gene Expression Profile in Mouse Gliomas. The cDNA array analysis was used as a first approach to test whether cannabinoid administration affects the VEGF pathway in mouse gliomas. Because cannabinoid-based therapeutic strategies should be as devoid as possible of psychotropic side effects and glioma cells express functional CB2 receptors, which do not mediate psychoactivity (16, 26), mice bearing s.c. gliomas were injected with the selective CB2 agonist JWH-133 (26). A total of 267 genes related to angiogenesis, hypoxia (perhaps the most potent stimulus for the onset of tumor angiogenesis), and metastasis (a characteristic of actively growing tumors related closely to angiogenesis) were analyzed, of which 126 were considered to be expressed in reliable amounts. JWH-133 administration altered the expression of 10 genes, all of which are directly or indirectly related to the VEGF pathway (Fig. 1). Thus, cannabinoid treatment lowered the expression of the following: (a) VEGF-A [confirming our previous Northern blot data (22)] and its relative VEGF-B (3, 4); (b) hypoxia-inducible factor-1α [one of the subunits of hypoxia-inducible factor-1, the major transcription factor involved in VEGF gene expression (29)]; (c) two genes known to be under the control of VEGF, namely those encoding connective tissue growth factor [a mitogen involved in extracellular matrix production and angiogenesis (30)], and heme oxygenase-I [an enzyme highly expressed during hypoxia and inflammation (31)]; and (d) four genes known to encode proteins functionally related to VEGF, namely Id3 [a transcription factor inhibitor involved in angiogenesis and tumor progression (32)], midkine [a proangiogenic and tumorigenic growth factor (33)], angiotopi-

5 See Internet address http://www.superarray.com for a detailed list of the genes analyzed.
etin-2 [a prominent proangiogenic factor that cooperates with VEGF (3, 19, 22)], and Tie-1 [an angiopoietin receptor (34)]. In addition, cannabinoid treatment increased the expression of the gene encoding type I procollagen α1 chain (a metalloproteinase substrate related to matrix remodeling during angiogenesis; Ref. 35).

Inhibition of VEGF Production in Cultured Glioma Cells and in Mouse Gliomas. We focused next on the two main components of the VEGF pathway, namely VEGF and VEGFR-2, in both cultured glioma cells and gliomas in vivo. Incubation of C6 glioma cells with the synthetic cannabinoid WIN-55,212-2 (100 nM), a mixed CB₁/CB₂ receptor agonist, decreased VEGF levels in both cultured cells and gliomas. This effect was specific to VEGF, as cannabinoid treatment did not affect the expression of other angiogenic factors such as angiopoietin-2, midkine, or VEGF-A. Moreover, cannabinoid treatment inhibited the production of VEGF in a dose-dependent manner, with the highest inhibition observed at 100 nM WIN-55,212-2. In vivo, administration of cannabinoids to mice bearing gliomas also resulted in a significant decrease in VEGF levels, as measured by immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis.

Fig. 1. Changes in gene expression profile in mouse gliomas after cannabinoid treatment. Animals bearing gliomas were treated with either vehicle (Control) or JWH-133 (JWH) for 8 days as described in “Materials and Methods.” Equal amounts of poly(A)⁺ RNA from tumors of 2 animals/group were pooled and hybridized to angiogenesis, hypoxia, and metastasis cDNA array membranes. Genes affected by cannabinoid treatment are listed. Examples of affected genes are pointed with arrows. Angiogenesis membrane, angiopoietin-2 (top), midkine (middle), and VEGF-A (bottom); Hypoxia membrane, procollagen I (top), heme oxygenase-1 (middle), and VEGF-A (bottom); and Metastasis membrane, VEGF-A.

Fig. 2. Inhibition of VEGF production by cannabinoids in cultured glioma cells and in mouse gliomas. A. C6 glioma cells were cultured for the times indicated with vehicle (□) or 100 nM WIN-55,212-2 (●), and VEGF levels in the medium were determined (n = 4). B. U373 MG astrocytoma cells, tumor cells obtained from a patient with glioblastoma multiforme (GBM), PDV.C57 epidermal carcinoma cells, and ECV304 bladder cancer epithelioma cells were cultured for 48 h with vehicle (□) or 100 nM WIN-55,212-2 (●), and VEGF levels in the medium were determined. Data represent the percentage of VEGF in cannabinoid incubations versus the respective controls (n = 3–4). C. C6 glioma cells were cultured for 48 h with vehicle (Control), 100 μM WIN-55,212-2 (WIN), 1 μM C₂-mercaptoethanol (CER), and/or 0.5 μM fumonisin B1 (FB₁), and VEGF levels in the medium were determined (n = 4). D. C6 glioma cells were cultured for 48 h with vehicle (Control), 100 μM WIN-55,212-2 (WIN), 1 μM C₂-mercaptoethanol (CER), and/or 0.5 μM fumonisin B1 (FB₁), and VEGF levels in the medium were determined (n = 4). E. Animals bearing gliomas were treated with either vehicle (Control), JWH-133 (JWH), fumonisin B1 (FB₁), or JWH-133 plus fumonisin B1 for 8 days as described in “Materials and Methods,” and VEGF levels in the tumors were determined (n = 4–6 for each experimental group). Significantly different (*, P < 0.01; **, P < 0.05) from control incubations or control animals. Bars, ±SD.

Inhibition of VEGF Production in Cultured Glioma Cells and in Mouse Gliomas. We focused next on the two main components of the VEGF pathway, namely VEGF and VEGFR-2, in both cultured glioma cells and gliomas in vivo. Incubation of C6 glioma cells with the synthetic cannabinoid WIN-55,212-2 (100 nM), a mixed CB₁/CB₂ receptor agonist, decreased VEGF levels in both cultured cells and gliomas. This effect was specific to VEGF, as cannabinoid treatment did not affect the expression of other angiogenic factors such as angiopoietin-2, midkine, or VEGF-A. Moreover, cannabinoid treatment inhibited the production of VEGF in a dose-dependent manner, with the highest inhibition observed at 100 nM WIN-55,212-2. In vivo, administration of cannabinoids to mice bearing gliomas also resulted in a significant decrease in VEGF levels, as measured by immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis.

Fig. 1. Changes in gene expression profile in mouse gliomas after cannabinoid treatment. Animals bearing gliomas were treated with either vehicle (Control) or JWH-133 (JWH) for 8 days as described in “Materials and Methods.” Equal amounts of poly(A)⁺ RNA from tumors of 2 animals/group were pooled and hybridized to angiogenesis, hypoxia, and metastasis cDNA array membranes. Genes affected by cannabinoid treatment are listed. Examples of affected genes are pointed with arrows. Angiogenesis membrane, angiopoietin-2 (top), midkine (middle), and VEGF-A (bottom); Hypoxia membrane, procollagen I (top), heme oxygenase-1 (middle), and VEGF-A (bottom); and Metastasis membrane, VEGF-A.

Inhibition of VEGF Production in Cultured Glioma Cells and in Mouse Gliomas. We focused next on the two main components of the VEGF pathway, namely VEGF and VEGFR-2, in both cultured glioma cells and gliomas in vivo. Incubation of C6 glioma cells with the synthetic cannabinoid WIN-55,212-2 (100 nM), a mixed CB₁/CB₂ receptor agonist, decreased VEGF levels in both cultured cells and gliomas. This effect was specific to VEGF, as cannabinoid treatment did not affect the expression of other angiogenic factors such as angiopoietin-2, midkine, or VEGF-A. Moreover, cannabinoid treatment inhibited the production of VEGF in a dose-dependent manner, with the highest inhibition observed at 100 nM WIN-55,212-2. In vivo, administration of cannabinoids to mice bearing gliomas also resulted in a significant decrease in VEGF levels, as measured by immunohistochemistry and Western blot analysis.
receptor agonist, inhibited VEGF release into the medium in a time-dependent manner (Fig. 2A). The cannabinoid did not affect cell viability throughout the time interval in which VEGF determinations were performed (up to 48 h; data not shown). Cannabinoid-induced attenuation of VEGF production was evident in another glioma cell line (the human astrocytoma U373 MG) and, more importantly, in tumor cells obtained directly from a human glioblastoma multiforme biopsy (Fig. 2B). The cannabinoid effect was also observed in the mouse skin carcinoma PDV.C57 and in the human bladder cancer epithelioma ECV304 (Fig. 2B).

To prove the specificity of WIN-55,212-2 action on VEGF release, we used other cannabinoid receptor agonists as well as selective cannabinoid receptor antagonists (Fig. 2C). The inhibitory effect of WIN-55,212-2 was mimicked by the endocannabinoid anandamide (2 μM), another mixed CB1/CB2 agonist, and by the synthetic cannabinoid JWH-133 (100 nM), a selective CB2 agonist. In addition, the CB1 antagonist SR141716 (0.5 μM) and the CB2 antagonist SR144528 (0.5 μM) prevented WIN-55,212-2 action, pointing to the involvement of CB receptors in cannabinoid-induced inhibition of VEGF production.

The sphingolipid messenger ceramide has been implicated in the regulation of tumor cell function by cannabinoids (16, 23, 36). The involvement of ceramide in cannabinoid-induced inhibition of VEGF production was tested by the use of N-acetylsphingosine (C2-ceramide), a cell-permeable ceramide analog, and fumonisin B1, a selective inhibitor of ceramide synthesis de novo. In line with our previous data in primary cultures of rat astrocytes (28), fumonisin B1 was able to prevent cannabinoid-induced ceramide biosynthesis (relative values of [14C]serine incorporation into ceramide, n = 3: vehicle, 100; 100 nM WIN-55,212-2, 140 ± 1; 100 nM WIN-55,212-2 plus 0.5 μM fumonisin B1, 86 ± 9). C2-ceramide (1 μM) depressed VEGF production, whereas pharmacological blockade of ceramide synthesis de novo with fumonisin B1 (0.5 μM) prevented the inhibitory effect of WIN-55,212-2 (Fig. 2D). We subsequently evaluated whether fumonisin B1 action was also evident in vivo. The decrease in tumor VEGF levels induced by cannabinoid administration (19, 22, 37) was prevented by cotreatment of the animals with fumonisin B1 (Fig. 2E).

**Inhibition of VEGFR-2 in Cultured Glioma Cells and in Mouse Gliomas.** VEGFR-2 activation was determined by measuring the extent of phosphorylation of two of its essential tyrosine autophosphorylation residues, namely 996 and 1214 (3, 4). Western blot experiments showed that C6 glioma cells express highly phosphorylated VEGFR-2 in the absence of ligand, indicating that the receptor may be constitutively active. Incubation of C6 glioma cells with WIN-55,212-2 or JWH-133 decreased VEGFR-2 activation without affecting total VEGFR-2 levels (Fig. 3A). Confocal microscopy experiments confirmed the decrease in VEGFR-2 immunoreactivity by cannabinoid challenge when fluorescence was expressed per cell nucleus (Fig. 3B) or per total-VEGFR-2 fluorescence (data not shown). Moreover, fumonisin B1 prevented cannabinoid inhibitory action, and C2-ceramide reduced VEGFR-2 activation (Fig. 3A and B). Interestingly, on cannabinoid exposure the receptor seemed to be constitutively active. Incubation of C6 glioma cells with WIN-55,212-2 was mimicked by the endocannabinoid anandamide (2 μM), another mixed CB1/CB2 agonist, and by the synthetic cannabinoid JWH-133 (100 nM), a selective CB2 agonist. In addition, the CB1 antagonist SR141716 (0.5 μM) and the CB2 antagonist SR144528 (0.5 μM) prevented WIN-55,212-2 action, pointing to the involvement of CB receptors in cannabinoid-induced inhibition of VEGF production.

The effect of cannabinoid administration on VEGFR-2 activation was subsequently tested in tumor-bearing mice. The ceramide-dependent cannabinoid-induced inhibition of VEGFR-2 activation found in cultured cells was also observed by Western blot (Fig. 4A) and confocal microscopy (Fig. 4B) in mouse gliomas. Like in the cultured-cell experiments and in line with the cDNA array experiments (data not shown), total VEGFR-2 expression in the tumors was unaffected by cannabinoid treatment (Fig. 4A and B).

Phosphorylated VEGFR-2 has been found previously in the cell nucleus, and it has been postulated that this translocation process might play a role in VEGFR-2 signaling (38–40). However, by confocal microscopy, we found a rather variable fraction of phosphorylated VEGFR-2 in the nuclei of C6 glioma cells in culture and on inoculation in mice, and this fraction of nuclear VEGFR-2 was unaltered after treatment with cannabinoids and/or fumonisin B1 in vitro and in vivo (data not shown).
Changes in the Size of Mouse Gliomas. To test whether the aforementioned ceramide-dependent changes in the VEGF pathway are functionally relevant, we measured tumor size along cannabinoid and fumonisin B1 treatment. In agreement with previous observations (26), JWH-133 administration blocked the growth of s.c. gliomas in mice. Of importance, cotreatment of the animals with fumonisin B1 prevented cannabinoid antitumoral action (Fig. 5).

Inhibition of the VEGF Pathway in Two Patients with Glioblastoma Multiforme. To obtain additional support for the potential therapeutic implication of cannabinoid-induced inhibition of the VEGF pathway, we analyzed the tumors of two patients enrolled in a clinical trial aimed at investigating the effect of Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol, a mixed CB1/CB2 agonist, on recurrent glioblastoma multiforme. The patients were subjected to local Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol administration, and biopsies were taken before and after the treatment. In both patients, VEGF levels in tumor extracts were lower after cannabinoid inoculation (Fig. 6A). The Δ9-tetrahydrocannabinol also lowered the expression of phosphorylated VEGFR-2 in the tumors of the two patients, and this was accompanied (in contrast to the mouse glioma experiments shown above) by a decrease in total VEGFR-2 levels (Fig. 6B). This was confirmed by Western blot analysis in Patient 1 (Fig. 6C). Unfortunately, we were unable to obtain appropriate samples for Western blot from Patient 2.

DISCUSSION

Angiogenesis is a prerequisite for the progression of most solid tumors. In particular, gliomas first acquire their blood supply by co-opting existing normal brain vessels to form a well-vascularized tumor mass without the necessity to initiate angiogenesis (41–43). When gliomas progress, they become hypoxic as the co-opted vasculature regresses and malignant cells rapidly proliferate. These hypoxic conditions, in turn, induce robust angiogenesis via the VEGF pathway and angiopoietin-2, and in fact, this angiogenic sprouting distinguishes a grade IV astrocytoma (glioblastoma multiforme) from lower-grade astrocytomas (41–43). Here, we show that cannabinoid treatment impairs the VEGF pathway in mouse gliomas by blunting VEGF production and signaling. Cannabinoid-induced inhibition of VEGF expression and VEGF-2 activation also occurred in cultured glioma cells, indicating that the changes observed in vivo may reflect the direct impact of cannabinoids on tumor cells. Moreover, a depression of the VEGF pathway was also evident in two patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Although the changes in VEGF-2 expression observed in these two patients
do not fully mirror the cultured-cell and mouse data, they clearly follow the same direction. The molecular basis of this discrepancy is, however, unknown.

Our observations do not exclude that cannabinoids may also blunt tumor VEGF signaling indirectly by targeting other receptor-mediated processes that stimulate the VEGF pathway. For example, it is known that engagement of epidermal growth factor (44) and nerve growth factor (45) receptors induces the VEGF pathway, and cannabinoids have been reported to inhibit the epidermal growth factor receptor in skin carcinoma (19) and prostate carcinoma cells (46) as well as the TrkA neurotrophin receptor in breast carcinoma (47) and pheochromocytoma cells (20). However, the molecular mechanisms by which cannabinoid receptor activation impact these growth factor receptors remain obscure.

Recent work has shown that cannabinoids can modulate sphingolipid-metabolizing pathways by increasing the intracellular levels of ceramide (23), a lipid second messenger that controls cell fate in lipid-metabolizing pathways by increasing the intracellular levels of ceramide (36). The findings reported here expand the role of de novo-synthesized ceramide in cannabinoid action. Moreover, as far as we know, this is also the first report showing that ceramide depresses the VEGF pathway by interfering with VEGF production and VEGFR-2 activation, a notion that is in line with the observation that ceramide analogs prevent VEGF-induced cell survival (51, 52). In the context of the “sphingolipid rheostat” theory (48, 49), the mitogenic sphingolipid sphingosine 1-phosphate would shift the balance toward angiogenesis and tumorigenesis (5, 53), whereas the antiproliferative sphingolipid ceramide would blunt angiogenesis and tumorigenesis (present study).

The use of cannabinoids in medicine is limited by their psychoactive effects mediated by neuronal CB1 receptors (9, 10). Although these adverse effects are within the range of those accepted for other medications, especially in cancer treatment, and tend to disappear with tolerance on continuous use (20), it is obvious that cannabinoid-based therapies devoid of side-effects would be desirable. As glioma cells express functional CB2 receptors (26), we used a selective CB2 ligand to target the VEGF pathway. Selective CB2 receptor activation in mice also inhibits the growth and angiogenesis of skin carcinomas (19). Unfortunately, very little is known about the pharmacokinetics and toxicology of the selective CB2 ligands synthesized to date, making them as yet unavailable for clinical trials.

Gliomas are one of the most malignant forms of cancer, resulting in the death of affected patients within 1–2 years after diagnosis. Current therapies for glioma treatment are usually ineffective or just palliative. Therefore, it is essential to develop new therapeutic strategies for the management of glioblastoma multiforme, which will most likely require a combination of therapies to obtain significant clinical results. In line with the idea that anti-VEGF treatments constitute one of the most promising antitumoral approaches currently available (5–7), the present laboratory and clinical findings provide a novel pharmacological target for cannabinoid-based therapies.
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