Bone Marrow Stroma Influences Transforming Growth Factor-β Production in Breast Cancer Cells to Regulate c-myc Activation of the Preprotachykinin-I Gene in Breast Cancer Cells
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ABSTRACT

Breast cancer cells (BCCs) have preference for the bone marrow (BM). This study used an in vitro coculture of BCCs and BM stroma to represent a model of early breast cancer metastasis to the BM. The overarching hypothesis states that once BCCs are in the BM, microenvironmental factors induce changes in the expression of genes for cytokines and preprotachykinin-I (PPT-I) in both BCCs and stromal cells. Consequently, the expression of both PPT-I and cytokines is altered to facilitate BCC integration within BM stroma. Cytokine and transcription factor arrays strongly suggested that transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) and c-myc regulate the expression of PPT-I so as to facilitate BCC integration among stroma. Northern analyses and TGF-β bioassays showed that stromal cells and BCCs influence the level of PPT-I and TGF-β in each other. In cocultures, PPT-I and TGF-β expressions were significantly (P < 0.05) increased and decreased, respectively. TGF-β and PPT-I were undetectable in separate stromal cultures but were expressed as cocultures. Two consensus sequences for c-myc in the 5′ flanking region of the PPT-I gene were shown to be functional using gel shift and reporter gene assays. Mutagenesis of c-myc sites, neutralization assays with anti-TGF-β, and transient transfections all showed that c-myc is required for TGF-β-mediated induction of PPT-I in BCCs. TGF-β was less efficient as a mediator of BCC integration within stroma for c-myc-BCCs. Because the model used in this study represents BCC integration within BM stroma, these studies suggest that TGF-β is important to the regulation of PPT-I in the early events of bone invasion by BCCs.

INTRODUCTION

Many hormone-dependent cancers show preference for the bone marrow (BM; ref. 1). Different stimuli can cause responses in BM cells (2), indicating that the BM could be categorized as an organ with functionally “plastic” cells. Both clinical and experimental evidence suggests that cancer cells could take advantage of the plastic nature of BM cells, particularly stromal cells, to promote their own survival. The BM is host to relatively few hematopoietic stem cells that are responsible for replenishing the immune system throughout life (3). The BM is important to the regulation of mammalian hematopoiesis (4, 5). It is generally thought that cancer cells survive within the bone (6). This study assumes that long before bone invasion is clinically detectable, and perhaps before the initial cancer diagnosis, malignant cells could enter and integrate into the BM stromal compartment and perhaps become involved in the interactions between BM stroma and hematopoietic stem cells, close to the endosteal areas of the BM (2, 7).

This study focuses on breast cancer (BC), which shows preference for BM (8). BC cells (BCCs) that metastasize to the BM have been thought to be derived from clones with multiple abnormalities. This premise has been challenged recently by Kittler et al. (9) who have shown that BCCs with relatively few mutations can enter the BM at low frequencies. These studies (9) are consistent with observed BC recurrence after 10 to 20 years of remission (10). Furthermore, whereas the BRCA genes link breast and ovarian cancers (11, 12), unlike BC, ovarian cancers do not show preference for the BM (12). This observation suggests that genes other than the BRCA genes are involved in BM metastasis.

Previous studies have elucidated the importance of the preprotachykinin-I gene (PPT-I) in early entry of BCCs in the BM (7). The PPT-I gene, linked to the endocrine system, has been associated with the biology of both BC and the BM (13, 14). PPT-I is a single copy, 7-exon gene that produces peptides that are conserved by evolution (15). PPT-I produces multiple peptides through alternate splicing and post-translational modification (15). In BM cells, PPT-I peptides interact with neurokinin (NK)-1 and NK-2, both G-protein coupled, 7-transmembrane receptors (16, 17). Coexpressions of NK-1 and NK-2 in BCCs are stimulated by PPT-I through autocrine mechanisms (13, 18).

This study has sought to elucidate the mechanisms by which the constitutively expressed PPT-I gene in BCCs mediates the integration of BCCs as part of the BM microenvironment, particularly among stromal cells (Fig. 1). This question is important because the answer would provide insight into mechanisms that allow BCCs to become part of the BM/hematopoietic microenvironment. Significantly, the 5′ flanking region of the PPT-I gene has two sites with consensus sequences that bind to the c-myc proto-oncogene (19, 20). Moreover, c-myc-mediated cell cycle progression of BCCs is resistant to the effects of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β; 21). This study probes the roles of PPT-I, TGF-β, and c-myc in BM and extrapolates the data to understand how the associations of these three molecules could be involved in early entry of BC to the BM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents, Cytokines, and Antibodies. FCS was purchased from Hyclone Laboratories (Logan, UT). Ficol Hypaque and non-immune rabbit IgG were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Recombinant interleukin (IL)-1α, TGF-β1, and rabbit anti-TGF-β were purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN). FITC-goat antimouse IgG, phycoerythrin (PE) rat-antimouse kappa and PE-cytokatin monoclonal antibody (mAb) were purchased from BD Bioscience (San Jose, CA). FITC-streptavidin was purchased from Vector
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Laboratories (Burlingame, CA). Two different clones of c-myc mAb were obtained from the following sources: Clone C-8 from Santa Cruz Biotechnol-

ogy (Santa Cruz, CA) and Clone Ab-1 from Oncogene Research Products (San Diego, CA). Carboxy-Epithelial was purchased from Dynal Biotech (Oslo, Norway). Antifibroblast microbeads and FITC-fibroblast mAbs were pur-

chased from Miltenyi Biotec (Auburn, CA). Non-immune rabbit IgG was obtained from Sigma Chemicals. Heroserdish peroxidase-antimurine IgG was purchased from Amersham Life Science (Arlington Heights, IL).

Cell Lines. All cell lines were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and propagated according to ATCC instructions. For group 1, tumorigenic cell lines were as follows: ZR-75–50/ATCC CRL-15044, ascites ductal carcinoma; BT-474/ATCC HTB-20, ductal carcinoma; T-47D/ ATCC HTB-133, pleural effusion ductal carcinoma; MDA-MB-330, breast carcinoma from pleural effusion; DU4475/ATCC HTB-123 breast carcinoma; BT 483/ATCC HTB-121, ductal carcinoma; and SK-BR-3/ATCC HTB-30, pleural effusion adenocarcinoma. Each of the aforementioned cell lines con-

stitutively expresses the PPT-I gene, as determined by immunoreactive sub-

stance P (7, 18). For group 2, non-tumorigenic/adenh-dependent-independent cells were as follows: MDA-MB-330/ATCC HTB-127. And for group 3, non-
tumorigenic mammary epithelial cells were as follows: MCF12A/ATCC CR-

10782; MCF-12A/ATCC CR-10783; Hs578Bst/ATCC HTB-125 breast carcinoma; MCF 10A/ ATCC CRL-10317; MCF-10 2 A/ATCC CRL-10781. Immunoreactive sub-

stance P was undetectable in this third group (7).

Primary Breast Tissue and Selection Method. Breast tissues were ob-

tained from patients with stage IIIA or IIIB BC. At the time of surgery, patients were not subjected to chemotherapy or radiation. The use of breast tissues followed an approved protocol from the Institutional Review Board of Uni-

versity of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Newark Campus. Patient 7 tissue was obtained from Cooperative Human Tissue Network, University of Pennsylvania Medical Center (Philadelphia, PA). All tissues expressed the PPT-I gene and were diverse with respect to hormone receptor status (7).

The method to obtain maximal number of cells from breast tissues used a two-step procedure as described previously (7). Briefly, step 1 flushed the cells within the tissue by inserting culture media via a 1-cm syringe. Step 2 dissolved residual cells by gently teasing the tissue with serrated-end forceps. Fibroblasts were depleted by subjecting the cells to two positive selections with antifibo-

blast microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec, Auburn, CA). Two-color flow cytometry with FITC-antifibroblasts and PE-anticytokerin verified fibroblast-depletion.

Malignant cells, referred to hereafter as primary BCCs, were selected with a coculture method as described previously (7).

Modified Cells. PPT-I suppressed BCCs. PPT-I-cell lines and PPT-I-

primary cells using stable transfection with an RNA interference-expressing vector were described previously (7). Non-tumorigenic cells in which PPT-I was stably expressed were described previously (7).

BM Stromal Cells. BM stromal cells were cultured from BM aspirates of healthy individuals as described previously (17). The use of BM aspirates followed the guidelines of a protocol approved by the Institutional Review Board of University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey-Newark Cam-

pus. At confluence, non-adherent cells were removed and trypsin-sensitive cells were passed at least five times. a-MEM (Sigma) served as the base media for the stromal cultures. Flow cytometry indicated that >99% of passage 5 cells were positive for antifibroblasts.

Cocultures of BCCs and BM Stroma. Cocultures of BCCs and BM stromal cells were established as described previously (7). Briefly, cultures were initiated with equal numbers of BCCs (primary or cell lines) and BM stroma in stromal media. At cell confluence, the BCCs were positively selected with anticytokerin-conjugated Dynabeads. Flow cytometry determined >99% purity for each of the selected cell subsets. BCCs were analyzed with PE-cytokeratin mAb and stromal cells with FITC-fibroblast mAb. Non-

specific labeling was determined in parallel labeling with PE- and FITC-

conjugated isotype control. Following isolation from cocultures, BCCs were able to survive in stromal media (no exogenous growth supplement) for up to 1 week.

Modified Cocultures. Cocultures performed with PPT-I (by RNA inter-

ference) BCCs and non-tumorigenic cells (PPT-I−) did survive (7). A modified coculture was performed with PPT-I-BCCs or non-tumorigenic cells and

containing protease inhibitor mixture at 1:2000. Extracts were cleared by centrifugation and cell-free extracts stored as culture supernatants.

Human TGF-β protein array II was purchased from Ray Biotech, Inc. (Norcross, GA) and used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, membranes were blocked with 5% BSA and were then washed with 1× Tris-buffered saline/0.1% Tween followed by two 5-minute washes with Tris-buffered saline. Membranes were incubated with fresh culture media, culture supernatants, cell extracts, or culture supernatants + cell extracts. After this, membranes were incubated for 1 hour with biotin-conjugated anticytokines (provided with the kit) and then developed with horseradish peroxidase-streptavidin and chemiluminescence.

Quantitation of Bioactive TGF-β. Bioactive TGF-β was quantified with CCL64 cells as described previously (23). CCL64 cells at 10^4/ml were resuspended in 80% confluent cells using SuperFect (Qiagen). At 48 hours, cell-free extracts were quantitated using the Luciferase assay (Promega) and ranged between 0.18 and 0.19.

In situ Hybridization for PPT-I mRNA. In situ hybridization for PPT-I mRNA was performed with a mixture of three biotin-conjugated oligonucleotides specific for β-PPT-I, as described previously (18). Briefly, cocultures of BCCs and BM stroma were established on coverslips placed in 35-mm Petri dishes. At confluence, cells were permeabilized with PBS-Tween and then hybridized overnight at 37°C with 20 μmol/L of oligonucleotide mixture. After this, cells were incubated with 1:100 dilution of FITC-streptavidin for 2 hours. Following controls were labeled in parallel: cells incubated with FITC-streptavidin alone, cells hybridized with scrambled oligonucleotides, and cells pretreated with RNase and then incubated with antisense oligonucleotides. Immediately after staining, cells were examined for fluorescence intensity with an Olympus Provis microscope.

Electrophoretic Mobility Shift Assay. Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) for c-myc binding was performed as described previously (19). Double-stranded oligonucleotides were synthesized with Cy3 at the 5′ end. DNA sequences spanned +421/+441 and +634/+654 of the 5′ flanking region of the PPT-I gene (accession number AF252261). The changes in nucleotide sequences in both c-myc regions are shown in Table 1. Double-stranded probes were prepared with 2.5 μg of the forward and reverse oligonucleotides. Reaction mix consisted of 2.5 μg of double-stranded DNA, 3 μg of poly(dI-dC) (Sigma), 25 μg of poly(dI-dC), and 250 ng of poly(dI-dC). Parallel reactions contained three different c-myc mAbs, each at 1:20 dilution. Cy3 was detected by scanning the gels on the Typhoon 9410 Molecular Imager.

Transfection and Reporter Gene Assay. Experimental vectors with luciferase-reporter gene inserts were cotransfected with β-gal-Control (0.5 μg each) in 80% confluent cells using SuperFect (Qiagen). At 48 hours, cell-free lysates were prepared as described previously (19). Luciferase and β-gal activities in 10 μl of extracts were quantitated using the Luciferase assay system (Promega, Madison, WI) and the Luminescent β-galactosidase detection kit II (BD Bioscience Clontech, Palo Alto, CA), respectively. The ratios of Luciferase/β-gal in cells transfected with vector alone were normalized to 1 and ranged between 0.18 and 0.19. β-Gal activities ranged between 100- and 1,000-relative light units. Cotransfectants were intermittently stained with X-Gal (Life Technologies, Inc.), and the number of blue cells was counted to ensure that the DNA is taken up by ~80% of the transfectants. On the basis of the transfection efficiency and error bars for each experimental point (refer to Figs), we observed no evidence of donor variability. Because cytokines activated β-gal promoter, luciferase activities in cultures stimulated with cytokines were presented per microgram of total protein, and the levels were normalized with cells transfected with vector alone. Total protein was determined with a kit purchased from Bio-Rad.

Vectors. The 5′ flanking region of PPT-I was cloned previously and analyzed (19). Five PPT-I vectors were used as reporters: pGL3-PPT-I-1.2: 740 bp upstream of exon 1, exon 1, and parts of the 5′ region of Intron 1; pGL3-PPT-IN0: 740 bp upstream of exon 1 with consensus sequences for c-myc, sites a and b; pGL3-PPT-IN3: 5′ deleted fragment of PPT-IN0 with consensus sequence for c-myc, site b; pGL3-PPT-I/Exon 1: exon 1.

Site-directed mutagenesis of the two c-myc sites was done with a mutagenesis kit from Stratagene as described previously (19). The following designations were given to c-myc mutants: sites a and b; pGL3-PPT-IN0mut a; site b, pGL3-PPT-IN0mut b; sites a and b, pGL3-PPT-IN0mut ab (Table 1). pRC-CMV-hu-myc, kindly provided by Dr. Stephen Hann, Vanderbilt University, contains the full-length human c-Myc cDNA under the control of a cytomegalovirus promoter.

Data Analyses. In all studies, data performed with cell lines and cells of patients were similar. Therefore the results of cell lines were analyzed together. Similar analyses were done for experiments with cells from patients. Statistical evaluations of the data were done by using ANOVA and Tukey-Kramer multiple comparisons test. A P value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

This study is based on the “hypothesized” model, shown in Fig. 1. The model depicts BCCs entering the BM express PPT-I (18) at an early phase of cancer invasion. The BCCs move toward the endosteal region where they begin to integrate among stromal cells (7). In the stromal microenvironment, the BCCs undergo phenotypic and functional transition, termed epithelial-to-mesenchymal-to-stromal transition (EMST; ref. 7). Interaction between BCCs and BM stromal cells induce molecular changes in each other resulting in altered expressions of PPT-I and TGF-β in each cell subset. Consequently, the BCCs acquire quiescence within the BM stromal compartment. Importantly, this hypothesis suggests an altered role for TGF-β in BCC-stromal interaction than its role in normal BM stromal cells in which PPT-I is minimally induced by TGF-β (Fig. 2C), as compared with a known stimulator of PPT-I such as IL-1α (19; Fig. 2C).

Relative Expression of PPT-I in BM Stroma and BCCs before and after Coculture. Studies show that the PPT-I gene is important for the integration of BCCs within the stromal compartment of the BM (7). Although PPT-I is constitutively expressed in BCCs (7, 13, 18), it is unclear if similar expression occurs when BCCs are in a microenvironment with BM stroma. To this end, we studied the expression of PPT-I in BM stroma and BCCs (six cell lines and six...
primary cells) before and after coculture. In cocultures, normal breast epithelial cells do not survive, leaving only malignant cells (7). By Northern analyses, the densities of normalized β-PPT-I in BCCs were found to be increased 2-fold in coculture compared with similar cells cultured separately (Fig. 2). PPT-I expression in both stroma and BCCs in cocultures were significant \((P < 0.05)\) when compared with similar cells cultured separately.

### Activities of the 5' Flanking Regions of PPT-I in BM Stroma and Breast Cells.

From the preceding observations with malignant cells (Fig. 2), we could not extrapolate how PPT-I is regulated in non-tumorigenic mammary epithelial cells. Because PPT-I expression was different in coculture BM stroma and BCCs (Fig. 2), it was important to ask whether PPT-I shows tissue-specific regulation in breast and BM cells. This question was addressed with the following cells lines: seven different BCCs (regardless of c-myc status), five different non-tumorigenic breast cells, and BM stroma from 10 different donors. Cells were transfected with pGL3-PPT-I/1.2, pGL3-PPT-I/N0, or PPT-I-Exon 1 (Fig. 3A). Because exon 1 is untranslated and might have regulatory sequences that confer tissue specificity, we included fragments of PPT-I/1.2. The trends were similar for reporter gene activities in BCCs, stroma and non-tumorigenic breast cells (Fig. 3B). However, luciferase activities were significantly \((P < 0.05)\) increased for non-tumorigenic breast cells as compared with BCCs and stromal cells. These data indicate that PPT-I exerts tissue specificity with regards to the efficiency of reporter gene activity, although the trend for reporter gene activity was similar in BM stroma, BCCs and non-tumorigenic breast cells.

### Activation of PPT-I/1.2 and PPT-I/N0 in Cocultures.

Studies in this section used the reporter gene constructs to understand why PPT-I expressions were changed in both BCCs and BM stroma following cocultures (Fig. 2). The activity of exon 1 as a separate gene insert was only increased in non-tumorigenic cells (Fig. 3A). Because these cells do not survive in cocultures, this section focused on PPT-I/1.2 and PPT-I/N0 (7). Cocultures were transfected with pGL3-PPT-I/1.2 or pGL3-PPT-I/N0. After 48 hours, BCCs were positively selected and...
Suppressed BCCs.

Three different patients.

Three different BC cell lines and primary BCCs from separate cultures/cocultures, mean

Cell lysates from BCCs and the negative subset (stroma) were ana-

luciferase activities were significantly (P < 0.05) increased in all other cocultures compared with separate cultures (Fig. 4, vertical bars versus solid circles). At the molecular level, these results suggest contact between BCCs and BM stroma induces differences in PPT-I regulation.

Cytokine Profiles in BM Stroma and BCC: Coculture versus Separate Cultures. Because cytokines influence the expression of PPT-I (24), the next set of studies determined their expressions in coculture because this information might begin to provide insights in the altered expressions of PPT-I in cocultures (Fig. 2). Microarrays studied cytokine expression in cocultures established with six BCCs (three cell lines and three primary cells). Each BCC was studied with stroma from a different BM donor. Because stromal cells produce extracellular matrix proteins that could mobilize cytokines, whole cell extracts were included in the analyses. Each experiment was analyzed with the following samples: culture media (released cytokines), whole cell extracts (cell-associated cytokines), and combinations of culture media and cell extracts (released + cell-associated). Similar analyses used samples from single cultures of stroma or BCCs.

Microarray membranes were scanned, and the densitometry of each spot was assessed. These results are presented as the ratio of the value in samples taken from BCCs cultured alone to the value in samples taken from coculture. Factors were classified as either cytokines (Fig. 5A) or chemokines (Fig. 5B). Factors showing similar ratios were plotted together and are presented as the mean ± SD (n = 6) ratios (BCCs alone/cocultures). Thus, the densitometric ratios are inversely proportional to cytokine production in cocultures. Among the cytokines in the arrays, three cytokines showed marked increases in coculture (IL-6, IL-1α, and stem cell factor) whereas a high density of platelet-derived growth factor was detectable only in cocultures. Interestingly, insulin-like growth factor linked to bone resorption by BCCs was unchanged in the cocultures (6). The chemokines growth-related oncogene and monokine induced by IFN-γ were also increased. High production of TGF-β was retained, although its level was decreased when compared with cells cultured alone. To verify that the cytokine array data represent the relative changes in cytokines, we selected two cytokines (stem cell factor and stromal-derived factor-1α) for quantitation by ELISA. The results for BCCs/coculture are similar to the microarray data: stem cell factor = 8 ± 3 pg/ml/40 ± 5 pg/ml; stromal-derived factor-1α = 55 ± 3 pg/ml/28 ± 1 pg/ml.

TF and TGF-β in BCCs and BM Stroma: Cocultures versus Separate Cultures. The presence of particular TF could be linked to specific cytokines. Thus, by scanning nuclear extracts of cocultures for TF, we would be able to identify cytokines relevant to PPT-I as a facilitator gene in BCC integration within BM stroma (7). Nuclear extracts from BCCs or BM stroma (cocultures and separate cultures) were analyzed using TF microarrays. Selected results, shown in Table 2, indicate that Smad, Smad-binding proteins, and c-myc were increased in BCCs after coculture. Interestingly, E2F, which has been linked to cell proliferation, was undetectable in cocultures. This observation is consistent with contact inhibition in the growth of BCC and stromal cells at confluence (7). Smad proteins are linked to TGF-β cell signaling (25). Because BCCs are resistant to TGF-β, the link between TGF-β and the PPT-I gene is particularly interesting. The level of TGF-β might be pivotal in explaining BM homeostasis versus disruption when BCCs enter the BM (26, 27).

Microarray analyses were repeated with the following modification: BCCs and stroma were separated at cell confluence. The production of cytokines from each cell subset was determined by replating separately for 24 hours in the coculture media. The changes in TGF-β, as determined by densitometric scans of the microarrays, are

Fig. 4. Activities of PPT-reporter gene constructs in cocultures. pGL3-PPT-I-1.2 or -PPT-I-N0 were cotransfected with pGL-gal in cocultured BC cell lines, regardless of c-myc expression. Each cell subset was isolated and then analyzed for luciferase activities. Normalized luciferase was performed as for Fig. 3. Each experimental point is presented as the ratios (mean ± SD, n = 21 for seven BCCs, each assayed with stroma from three different donors. *,P < 0.05 versus activities in the same cell subsets from cocultures.

Fig. 5. Cytokine profile in BCC-BM stroma cocul-
tures. Supernatants and cell extracts from cocultures were analyzed with protein microarrays. The spots were analyzed by densitometric scans and the data represented as the ratios (mean ± SD, n = 6) of BCC as separate cultures/cocultures, mean ± SD, n = 6 for three different BC cell lines and primary BCCs from three different patients. *, Undetectable in PPT-I-suppressed BCCs.
consistent with the bioactive levels of TGF-β (Fig. 6). In BCCs, TGF-β levels were changed from 125 ± 8 pg/ml as separate cultures to 25 ± 3 pg/ml in cocultures. For stroma, the levels were changed from <5 pg/ml to 45 ± 5 pg/ml in cocultures. The results of bioactive TGF-β are the mean ± SD of 14 BCCs (seven primary and seven cell lines). Each BCC type was assayed with stromal cells from a different donor. The results show changes in TGF-β production in both BCCs and BM stroma following cocultures.

**Table 2. Transcription factors in BCCs: cocultures versus separate cultures.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TF</th>
<th>BCCs/ separate</th>
<th>BCCs/ coculture</th>
<th>Stroma/ separate</th>
<th>Stroma/ coculture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E2F1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-Myc</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myc-Max</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SmadSE/Smad</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRF-1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CREB</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE. BCC (three cell lines and two primary cells) were cultured with BM stroma or as separate cultures. At cell confluence, BCCs were positively selected and nuclear extracts immediately isolated and analyzed with transcription factor membranes. The changes in transcription factors (TF) shown in the Table were observed for all cultures studied. The densitometric scans for the internal positive controls were arbitrarily assigned 2 and the negative controls 0. The two extremes were used to normalize the densities of unknown samples. The techniques are described in Materials and Methods.

**Effects of TGF-β in the Activities of PPT-I Promoter in c-myc**^−^ BCCs. Because TGF-β levels are changed after coculture (Fig. 6), we hypothesized that this cytokine might be linked to PPT-I and thus relevant to BCC integration among stromal cells (7). We addressed this question by first investigating whether c-myc is relevant to PPT-I-reporter gene activities and, if so, to determine the role of TGF-β in c-myc-mediated activities. c-myc^−^ BCCs (three different cell lines and three different primary cells) were transfected with pGL3-PPT-I/N0 (wild-type c-myc sites a and b, Fig. 3A) or pGL3-PPT-I/N3 (wild-type c-myc site b, Fig. 3A). Stimulation of transfectants with 10 ng/ml of TGF-β led to significant (P < 0.05) activation of PPT-I/N0 and PPT-I/N3 (Fig. 8A). Overall, TGF-β induced the activities of PPT-I fragments in c-myc^−^ BCCs.

**Does TGF-β Activate PPT-I-Reporter Gene Activities via c-myc?** Because the studies shown in Fig. 8A did not prove a cause-effect relationship between c-myc and TGF-β in PPT-I activities, we addressed this question by transfecting PPT-I/N0 in c-myc^−^ BCCs and then stimulated the transfectants with 10 ng/ml of TGF-β. The status of c-myc expression in BCCs was verified by Western blots. In contrast to increased luciferase activity for c-myc^+^ BCCs stimulated with TGF-β1 (Fig. 8A), there was significant (P < 0.05) reduction for c-myc^−^ BCC by 4-fold (Fig. 8B).

**Role of Endogenous TGF-β in the Activation of PPT-I in c-myc**^−^ BCCs. Because exogenous TGF-β induced PPT-I-reporter gene activities in c-myc^−^ BCCs (Fig. 8A), we next studied whether PPT-I could be regulated by autocrine stimulation with endogenous TGF-β (Fig. 6). BCCs (c-myc^−^) were transfected with PPT-I/N0 containing wild-type or mutant c-myc sites a and b. Mutations were verified by gel shift assays with c-myc protein (Fig. 7C). Endogenous TGF-β was neutralized by culturing the transfectants in the presence of various concentrations of anti-TGF-β, 1 to 50 ng/ml. Control cultures contained equivalent concentrations of non-immune rabbit IgG. Anti-TGF-β at ≥2 ng/ml neutralized active TGF-β as determined by undetectable levels in the culture supernatants. The results showed that neutralization of TGF-β led to significant (P < 0.05) decreases in luciferase activities for wild-type c-myc (Fig. 8C, open versus diagonal bars). Decreases were similar in parallel studies in which c-myc sites were mutated (Fig. 8C, hatched bar). Anti-TGF-β showed no effect in studies with mutant c-myc (Fig. 8C, horizontal
versus hatched bar). The results for non-immune rabbit IgG were similar to studies with wild-type c-myc and were therefore plotted in the same bar (Fig. 8C, open bar). It should be noted that despite the double mutations of the two c-myc sites in PPT-I/N0, luciferase activities were 50-fold over background/vector (Fig. 8C). The results show that endogenous TGF-β is partly responsible for c-myc-mediated activation of PPT-I/N0 in BCCs.

TGF-β in the Integration of BCCs within BM Stroma: Link with PPT-I and c-myc. The next set of studies was designed to further elucidate the potential interaction among PPT-I, c-myc, and TGF-β in the integration of BCCs within BM stroma (7). Cocultures were established with BCCs (c-myc or c-myc) and BM stroma in the presence or absence of varied concentrations of TGF-β Ab. Parallel cultures containing non-immune rabbit IgG were used for non-specific effects. At different times after cocultures, the total numbers of cytokeratin (+) cells were counted with positively selected cells. At the time of cell counting, active TGF-β levels were assayed to verify neutralization by the antibody. The data from experiments with non-immune rabbit IgG and untreated cultures were similar and were therefore combined (Fig. 9A, solid circle).

Neutralization of TGF-β showed significant (P < 0.05) reduction in the numbers of c-myc BCCs (Fig. 9A, solid square) compared with cultures with non-immune/ununtreated cocultures (Fig. 9A, solid circle). Cocultures with c-myc BCCs showed significant (P < 0.05) reduction in cell growth at week 4 (Fig. 9B, solid circle versus solid square). PPT-I-BCCs do not expand in cocultures (7). Furthermore, by microarray studies, we showed that TGF-β is not produced in PPT-I-BCCs, but is induced in PPT-I expressing non-tumorigenic cells (7). We therefore sought to determine whether TGF-β supplementation would facilitate the formation of cocultures with PPT-I-BCCs. The results show that whereas TGF-β is important for maintaining c-myc BCCs in cocultures, it cannot supplement PPT-I in the formation of cocultures (Fig. 9C, open circles). The results also show that in the absence of c-myc, factors other than TGF-β have predominant roles as mediators of BCC growth in coculture.

DISCUSSION

This study reports on the analyses of the mechanisms by which PPT-I is involved in BCC integration among BM stroma (7). The studies used a previously reported coculture model of BM stroma and BCCs (7). Because the model represents an early period of BCC entry in the BM and the studies were verified with cells from primary BC, the findings provide insights in early cancer invasion of BM. The
model is particularly useful because it could circumvent some of the inherent problems associated with studies on cancer metastasis in mice, which rarely formed cancer.

Use of cytokine and transcription factor microarrays provides clues on the molecular relationship among TGF-β, c-myc, and PPT-I to facilitate BCC integration within BM stroma (7). Cytokine production in BCC and BM stroma after cocultures (Figs. 5 and 6) is consistent with the complex biology between PPT-I and cytokines, suggesting that future studies will need to dissect the pathways between PPT-I peptides and cytokines in the early integration of BCCs as part of the BM microenvironment (24). TGF-β was demonstrated to be a relevant facilitator in PPT-I-mediated integration of BCCs among stromal cells (Figs. 9A and B, solid squares). Despite the relevance of TGF-β, it is not an alternate for PPT-I (Figs. 9A and B, open circle). We observed the formation of cocultures, regardless of c-myc status (7; Figs. 9A and B). This suggests that TGF-β might be regulating the PPT-I gene via signaling pathways that do not involve c-myc. This is not surprising considering that cAMP-responsive element-binding proteins (CREBs) have been shown to be relevant to the induction of PPT-I (19).

An explanation for TGF-β1 as a negative regulator of luciferase activity in c-myc− BCCs (Fig. 8B) could be explained by the formu-
lation of a hypothesis based on the effects of TGF-β1 on PPT-I induction in normal BM stroma. TGF-β-stimulated stroma showed reduced levels of PPT-I mRNA and its major peptide, substance P, compared with IL-1α (Fig. 2C). The blunted expression of PPT-I by TGF-β1 in stroma is consistent with the negative and positive hematopoietic effects of TGF-β1 and substance P, respectively (24, 26). Thus if TGF-β is required to negatively regulate hematopoiesis, it should not induce the expression of PPT-I. It has been hypothesized that TGF-β exerts cell cycle check through the repression of c-myc in normal cells (29). This suggests that c-myc–/- BCCs might be responding as normal cells with respect to TGF-β stimulation and that other pathways other than those involving c-myc might be operative.

The evolutionary conserved nature of the PPT-I gene, combined with its ability to induce different cytokines justify the focus on the PPT-I and TGF-β genes as facilitators of BCC metastasis to the BM. PPT-I exerts pleiotropic functions with regards to cytokine production (24). This suggests that in the event of constitutive production of PPT-I in BCCs during the time when there are relatively few mutations, PPT-I could induce many cytokines to facilitate invasion of BCCs in the BM.

The distribution of cytokines produced by the BCCs before and after cocultures supports that the coculture model might represent an early stage of BC in the BM, at a time before bone invasion. At this time, we cannot explain why platelet-derived growth factor is up-regulated in the cocultures (Fig. 5). Perhaps platelet-derived growth factor might be important to the maintenance of BM functions when BCCs are in the microenvironment. The impetus for this assumption is the common roles of substance P, the major PPT-I peptide, and platelet-derived growth factor in bone formation (6, 30). Two interesting findings are related to insulin-like growth factor and TGF-β production; insulin-like growth factor production was similar in BCCs before and after coculture (Fig. 5A) whereas TGF-β production was reduced (Fig. 6). Because insulin-like growth factor and TGF-β are both linked to bone resorption, it is tempting to propose that the profiles of these cytokines might be explained by their functions to maintain homeostasis in the BM microenvironment. This will be advantageous for the BCCs to survive and also to remain undetectable at a period before clinical detection.

Studies show that PPT-I is regulated differently in BCCs, compared with non-tumorigenic mammary epithelial cells (18, 19). In BCCs, PPT-I translation is enhanced by cytoplasmic factors (18). Ongoing studies using proteomics suggest that a protein of Mr equivalent to 15 kilodaltons might be involved in binding of the untranslated region of the PPT-I mRNA so as to increase in the rate of translation.7 Together, these differences in PPT-I regulation might explain the significantly high activities in the 5’ flanking region of PPT-I in non-tumorigenic cells, compared with BCCs (Fig. 3).

TGF-β levels changed in both BCCs and stromal cells during different levels of cell confluence.7 Perhaps there is a gradient dependency on TGF-β for EMST transition. TGF-β levels are significantly reduced in cocultures (Fig. 6). Because TGF-β has been shown to induce PPT-I-reporter gene activities (Fig. 8A), increased PPT-I mRNA and reduced levels of TGF-β in confluent coculture BCCs (Figs. 2A and B and 6) appear contradictory. Endogenous TGF-β appears to be important for PPT-I activities in BCCs (Fig. 8C). Perhaps TGF-β production in both stromal cells and BCCs might be involved in autocrine and/or paracrine activation of PPT-I in cocultures. TGF-β-c-myc-PPT-I axis, although relevant to the formation of cocultures by BCCs and BM stroma (Fig. 9A), is not the only mechanism by which BCC integrate as part of BM stroma. This is evident by minimal effects of TGF-β Ab in the growth of c-myc–/- BCCs in cocultures (Fig. 9B).

We reported previously that hematopoietic activities are not affected by the cocultures of BCCs and BM stroma (7). Studies with cytokine microarrays have provided insights on this observation (Fig. 5). The different groups of cytokines produced or down-regulated in cocultures might offset excessive stimulatory and inhibitory effects on hematopoiesis so as to maintain hematopoietic homeostasis. For example, increased TGF-β production in stroma and BCCs might be “offset” by the production of stimulatory cytokines such as stem cell factor (Figs. 5 and 6). Studies to determine how multiple cytokines are involved with PPT-I in BCC integration among stroma are ongoing experiments.

EMST, assigned as the method by which BCCs retain a quiescent phenotype among BM stroma (7), is probably mediated by TGF-β on BCCs that have already undergone epithelial to mesenchymal transition (25). The reason for implicating TGF-β in EMST is based on its role in autocrine reversion of epithelial to mesenchymal transition and its property as a mediator of “functional plasticity” in epithelial cells (31, 32). To understand the role of TGF-β is important because TGF-β/Smad signaling pathways are potential targets for cancer treatment (33).

The regulation of fragments within the 5’ flanking region of the PPT-I gene shows similar trends in breast and BM cells (Fig. 3). However, the activities of PPT-I/N0 were the highest in stroma (Fig. 4). This was surprising because subsequent studies show that the same inserts were increased in BCCs (Fig. 7E). The differences were explained by studies with c-myc–/– and c-myc–/- BCCs (Figs. 3 and 4), compared with Fig. 7E in which studies used only c-myc–/– BCCs.

Computer analyses of PPT-I/N0 for TF motifs showed consensus regions for multiple TF, suggesting that PPT-I could be influenced by BM microenvironmental factors. This report indicates that at least one c-myc site is sufficient for optimum activity of PPT-I in BCCs (Fig. 7E). The effects of TGF-β on PPT-I induction in BCCs is different for normal BM stroma in which TGF-β blunted the induction of PPT-I (Fig. 2C). Other cytokines could be involved in the activities of PPT-I because neutralization of endogenous TGF-β did not completely blunt the activity of PPT-I/N0 (Fig. 8B). Perhaps cytokines that induce CREB might be relevant, as reported previously for the regulation of PPT-I (19) and as suggested in the microarray studies (Table 2). Because the PPT-I promoter has two binding sites for CREB (19), perhaps the presence of nuclear CREB in BCCs might explain why PPT-I/N0 with mutant c-myc sites were activated above baseline levels (vector alone; Fig. 8C). MYC overexpression has been shown to disrupt the repair of double-stranded DNA breaks (34), suggesting that PPT-I might be indirectly involved in DNA damage of BCCs. The role of c-myc in PPT-I being able to protect cancer cells from undergoing apoptosis is yet to be studied (35). The link between TGF-β and PPT-I expands on other reports on bone biology where TGF-β is linked to other genes such as IL-11 (36).

Microarray analyses show that PPT-I induces different cytokines and chemokines, which could be involved in cell cycle progression (Fig. 5). Despite the controversies within the major research groups regarding the genes and uniqueness of cancer cells that metastasize to the BM (10), there is no doubt that cancer metastasis to the BM leads to poor prognosis (8). Interestingly, preference for the BM is common for cancers with the highest frequencies: breast, lung, prostate, and to a lesser extent colon. This report contributes to BM metastasis of cancer through studies that demonstrate a role for PPT-I and also show that this gene could be subjected to microenvironmental influence in the BM (i.e. stromal cells). Undoubtedly, an understanding of intercellular interactions between cancer cells and resident BM cells during the early period of cancer development would aid in the early).
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detection of cancer in the BM and perhaps prevent further invasion to the BM.
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