ABSTRACT

We studied the therapeutic value of Sindbis vectors for advanced metastatic ovarian cancer by using two highly reproducible and clinically accurate mouse models: a SCID xenograft model, established by i.p. inoculation of human ES-2 ovarian cancer cells, and a syngeneic C57BL/6 model, established by i.p. inoculation of mouse MOSEC ovarian cancer cells. We demonstrate through imaging, histologic, and molecular data that Sindbis vectors systemically and specifically infect/detect and kill metastasized tumors in the peritoneal cavity, leading to significant suppression of the carcinomatosis in both animal models. Use of two different bioluminescent genetic markers for the IVIS Imaging System permitted demonstration, for the first time, of an excellent correlation between vector delivery and metastatic locations in vivo. Sindbis vector infection and growth suppression of murine MOSEC tumor cells indicate that Sindbis tumor specificity is not attributable to a species difference between human tumor and mouse normal cells. Sindbis virus is known to infect mammalian cells using the Mr 67,000 laminin receptor. Immunohistochemical staining of tumor cells indicates that laminin receptor is elevated in tumor versus normal cells. Down-regulated expression of laminin receptor with small interfering RNA significantly reduces the infectivity of Sindbis vectors. Tumor overexpression of the laminin receptor may explain the specificity and efficacy that Sindbis vectors demonstrate for tumor cells in vivo. We show that incorporation of antitumor cytokine genes such as interleukin-12 and interleukin-15 genes enhances the efficacy of the vector. These results suggest that Sindbis viral vectors may be promising agents for both specific detection and growth suppression of metastatic ovarian cancer.

INTRODUCTION

Despite aggressive surgical approaches and combination chemotherapeutic regimens implemented over the past two decades, epithelial ovarian cancer remains a disease with a grim prognosis. Because early symptoms of ovarian cancer are uncommon and if present, nonspecific, the majority of patients are diagnosed with stage II or III disease, which is characterized by carcinomatosis throughout the peritoneal cavity. Current treatment combines surgery, which can be both diagnostic and therapeutic, and systemic chemotherapy. The therapeutic goal of surgical management in ovarian cancer is optimal cytoreduction; however, in the most advanced cases, such cytoreductive surgery may not be technically possible. Furthermore, although 70% of ovarian cancer patients initially respond to platinum-based chemotherapy, the majority experience recurrence, and overall 5-year survival is approximately 20% for advanced-stage disease (1). New therapeutic approaches are clearly warranted.

Our laboratory has been developing a Sindbis viral vector system for the treatment of cancer. Sindbis virus is a member of the alphavirus genus in the Togaviridae family. In nature, Sindbis virus is transmitted via mosquito bites to mammals. Thus, Sindbis virus has evolved as a blood-borne vector. This hematogenous delivery property of Sindbis virus enables Sindbis vectors to reach tumor cells throughout the circulation (2, 3). Another advantageous property of Sindbis vectors is that they have been shown to induce apoptosis in mammalian cells (4–7). This cytotoxicity could eradicate cancer if specific and complete targeting is achieved.

The cell specificity of Sindbis vectors may be mediated by the Mr 67,000 high-affinity laminin receptor (8, 9), which is overexpressed in several types of human tumors including those of ovarian origin (10–17). We hypothesized that i.p. delivery of Sindbis vectors may be especially suitable for detection and treatment of ovarian cancer because this cancer predominantly involves the peritoneal cavity and because human ovarian cancer cells also overexpress laminin receptor (15). Assisted by the IVIS Imaging System, specific detection of metastases could be achieved by Sindbis vectors carrying bioluminescent luciferase genes in small animals.

We used two highly reproducible and clinically accurate mouse models of ovarian cancer. The first is a SCID xenograft model, which uses human ES-2 cells derived from a clear cell ovarian carcinoma that is known for its resistance to a variety of chemotherapeutic agents, including cisplatin. We also used a syngenic ovarian cancer model developed by Roby et al. (18), which entails injecting MOSEC cells into fully syngenic immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. In both models, Sindbis vectors specifically targeted tumor cells and effectively suppressed disease progression. Successful tumor targeting in the MOSEC model allowed us to demonstrate that the tumor specificity of Sindbis vectors is not due to preferential tropism for human cells and that immune responses did not affect the targeting or therapeutic efficacy of Sindbis vectors. In addition, by using two different bioluminescent reporter genes to label ES-2 tumor cells and Sindbis vectors, a highly significant correlation has been established, for the first time, between the locations of metastases and vector infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines. ES-2 cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Manassas, VA) and were cultured in McCoy’s 5A medium (Mediatech, Inc., Herndon, VA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. ES-2/Fluc cells are derived from the ES-2 line by stable transfection of a luciferase plasmid, pRES2-Fluc/EGFP, as described previously (3). A hairpin small interfering RNA sequence targeting 5′-CCAGAUCCAGGCAGCCUUC-3′/H11032 of the human laminin receptor precursor (LRP) transcript was designed using the on-line insert design tool1 (Ambion, Inc., Austin, TX) and was ligated into the BamHI site on pSilencer 2.1-U6 hygro plasmid (Ambion, Inc.). The small interfering RNA expression cassette was excised from pSilencer 2.1-U6 hygro plasmid using the PvuII restriction enzyme and was subcloned into the AflII site on the pRES2-Fluc/EGFP plasmid. The plasmid, named pRES2-Fluc

1 Internet address: www.ambion.com.
RESULTS

Sindbis Vectors Specifically Target ES-2 Metastases throughout the Peritoneal Cavity. Injection (i.p.; on day 0) of Sindbis/Fluc, which carries a Fluc gene, enabled the specific infection/detection of ES-2 metastases in SCID mice. In ES-2–inoculated mice, we observed substantial vector infection in regions corresponding to the pancreas/omentum, bowel, and peritoneal fat (Fig. 1A, right panel). Low levels of Sindbis/Fluc infection were observed in the lower abdomen of some tumor-free control mice (Fig. 1A, left panel). We performed another set of IVIS imaging after the removal of the peritoneum to examine the exact location of vector infection in both control and ES-2–inoculated mice (Fig. 1B, day 1).

To determine whether repeated administration of Sindbis vectors leads to accumulative infection in tumor-free mice, we i.p. injected a second dose of Sindbis/Fluc vector to both control and ES-2–inoculated mice on day 2 and performed IVIS imaging on day 3. Interestingly, control mice that receive the second Sindbis/Fluc injection showed no detectable IVIS signal in the peritoneal cavity, whereas the vector infection signal in tumor metastases remained high in the ES-2–inoculated mice (Fig. 1B, day 3). We histologically confirmed the specific vector infection in tumor metastases in several tissues/orans, such as peritoneal fat, peritoneum, diaphragm, pancreas, and the bowel (Fig. 1C, organs harvested from same mouse (ES-2, day 3) in B). In tumor-free control mice, except for the transient background signals observed in the fat tissue after the first treatment, no vector infection signal was detected in the peritoneal cavity. ES-2–inoculated mice that received only a single Sindbis/Fluc treatment on day 0 showed decreased bioluminescence signals in tumors on day 3 compared with those treated twice (data not shown). Increased bioluminescence signals in twice treated mice suggest that although a single vector treatment is capable of detecting widespread metastases in the peritoneal cavity, it is not sufficient to infect all tumor cells within the same metastatic implant. Successful Sindbis cancer therapy will probably require repetitive treatments to achieve good therapeutic effects.

We histologically confirmed the presence of tumor metastases within several tissues of the peritoneal cavity at this early stage of disease progression, including pancreas, omentum, mesentery, and the peritoneum (Fig. 1D). Four weeks after tumor inoculation, we also observed lung micrometastases of ES-2 tumors (Fig. 1D). The lung metastases may be established via the lymphatic pathway because we observed the presence of tumor in the mediastinal lymph nodes of the chest (data not shown).
Fig. 1. Sindbis vector specifically infects metastasized ES-2 cancer cells throughout the peritoneal cavity. A. SCID mice received inoculations of $2 \times 10^6$ ES-2 cells on day 5 and were i.p. treated with a single injection of Sindbis/Fluc vector on day 0. On the next day (day 1) the bioluminescence signals, resulting from vector infection of ES-2 cancer cells, were monitored using the IVIS system (right panel). Low level of background vector infection was observed in the lower abdomen of tumor-free control mice (left panel). B. After the first whole-body IVIS imaging on day 1, the peritoneum was removed for another IVIS imaging of the peritoneal cavity. Despite a low level of infection in the peritoneal fat tissue of tumor-free control mouse, specific tumor infection of Sindbis/Fluc vector was observed throughout the peritoneal cavity of ES-2–inoculated mouse (top panels). Some mice received a second i.p. injection of Sindbis/Fluc vector on day 2, and we IVIS imaged the peritoneal cavities on day 3 (bottom panels). The background infection in the fat tissue disappeared completely, and no detectable signals were observed elsewhere in the peritoneal cavity of control mouse. In contrast, Sindbis/Fluc vector infection was sustained in the ES-2 tumor metastases. C. The organs in the double-treated ES-2–inoculated mouse (bottom right panel in B) were harvested and imaged. Specific vector infection was exclusively observed in ES-2 metastases. The tumor metastases are shown in red circles. Similar specific tumor targeting was also observed in ES-2–inoculated mice that received only a single Sindbis/Fluc treatment (data not shown). D. Corresponding tumor metastases (arrows) were observed microscopically at this early stage of disease progression in the omentum/pancreas, bowel, and peritoneum. We also observed lung metastases 4 weeks after ES-2/Fluc inoculation. Bar = 500 μm.
To establish the degree and specificity of Sindbis infection of tumor cells, we conducted imaging studies that measured independent bioluminescent signals from tumor cells and vectors. Because the ES-2/Fluc cells express the firefly luciferase gene, we generated a Sindbis vector, Sindbis/Rluc, which carries a different luciferase gene cloned from soft coral Renilla reniformis (Rluc) for IVIS imaging. Firefly luciferase uses α-luciferin, whereas Renilla luciferase uses coelenterazine to generate bioluminescence; the two luciferases are highly substrate specific and do not cross-react (19). By switching substrates, we separately determined the Rluc (Fig. 2, right) and Fluc activities (Fig. 2, right) in vivo using the IVIS system. For quantitative analysis, the bioluminescence signals generated, in the same animal, from Sindbis/Rluc and ES-2/Fluc were quantitated using Living Image software. The images of Rluc and Fluc signals were grided (12 × 8 = 96 boxed regions), and corresponding regions were analyzed for statistical correlation. A highly significant correlation was established (P < 0.0001). Thus, data analysis indicates that a single i.p. delivery of Sindbis vectors leads to efficient infection of the metastasized tumor cells throughout the peritoneal cavity.

It is known that Sindbis virus induces cytopathic effects in infected mammalian cells, which results from its ability to induce apoptosis (4–7). We also observed increased caspase-3 activity within ES-2 cell after Sindbis infection (data not shown). Therefore, we compared the efficacy of Sindbis vectors carrying different gene payloads against metastatic ovarian cancer in ES-2/Fluc models. Three different vectors were tested: Sindbis/LacZ, which carries the bacterial β-galactosidase gene; Sindbis/IL-12, which carries mouse IL-12 genes; and Sindbis/IL-15, which carries a mouse IL-15 gene. IL-12 and IL-15 are known to elicit antitumor activity by activation of natural killer cells (20, 21). On day 0, all SCID mice were i.p. inoculated with 1.5 × 10⁶ ES-2 cells, and daily treatments were started on day 1. Control mice did not receive vector treatment. Total whole body photon counts were determined by IVIS imaging on days 1, 5, 13, and 20 to determine disease progression of ES-2/Fluc metastases (Fig. 3A; ref. 3). Without any antitumor cytokine gene, the Sindbis/LacZ vector significantly suppressed disease progression compared with untreated control mice (Fig. 3B; two-way ANOVA, P < 0.0001). The IL-12 and IL-15 cytokine genes further enhanced the antitumor activity of Sindbis vectors compared with mice treated with Sindbis/LacZ (Fig. 3B; two-way ANOVA, P = 0.0081 for Sindbis/IL-12 and P = 0.0026 for Sindbis/IL-15). Within 5 days, the Sindbis/IL-12 treatments reduced the tumor load by, on average, more than 11-fold to ~140,000 tumor cells (Fig. 3B). This signifies a reduction of greater than 95% when compared with untreated mice, where the increase in photon counts indicated that the number of cells by day 5 had increased, on average, 1.9-fold to ~3 × 10⁶ tumor cells. These results suggest that in addition to specific infection/detection, repeated vector treatments suppress tumor growth likely via induction of apoptosis. Furthermore, incorporation of antitumor genes into this vector system further enhances the efficacy against tumors.

Sindbis Vectors Specifically Target Mouse MOSEC Ovarian Cancer Metastases in a Syngenic Animal Model. The advanced ovarian cancer model described above was established by inoculation of human ES-2 cells into SCID mice that rapidly developed advanced disease. In this model, the possibility that the tumor-specific infection results from a preferential tropism of Sindbis vectors for human cells could not be ruled out. Furthermore, because SCID mice lack intact immune systems, this model does not assess the impact of potential immune responses on delivery and targeting of Sindbis vectors to tumor cells. We took advantage of a previously established syngenic ovarian cancer model in C57BL/6 immunocompetent mice (18). Inoculation (i.p.) of MOSEC cells into C57BL/6 mice induces a disease similar to that induced by i.p. injection of ES-2 cells into SCID mice, albeit the MOSEC cells grow more slowly in animals. Four weeks after i.p. MOSEC inoculation, the mice received a single i.p. treatment of Sindbis/Fluc. Tumor-free control mice also received Sindbis/Fluc treatment. As had been the case in the ES-2/Fluc SCID model, we observed substantial bioluminescent signals indicating widespread
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Fig. 3. Sindbis vectors suppress disease progress in mice inoculated with ES-2/Fluc cells. A. ES-2/Fluc cells \( \times 10^7 \) were i.p. inoculated into SCID mice on day 0. Next day (day 1), mice were imaged using the IVIS Imaging System using d-luciferin as substrate and were split into four groups: control \((n = 12)\), which received no vector treatment, and Sindbis/LacZ \((n = 9)\), Sindbis/IL-12 \((n = 5)\); and Sindbis/IL-15 \((n = 4)\) groups that received daily i.p. treatments of corresponding Sindbis vectors. All Sindbis treatments suppressed the tumor growth on the mesentery and diaphragm and reduced the signals on the omentum compared with control mice. The signals by the left legs at the lower abdomens were intramuscular tumors at tumor inoculation sites. B. Quantitative analysis of the whole-body total photon counts of control and Sindbis-treated mice. Error bars represent the SEM.

metastasis in the peritoneal cavity of tumor-inoculated mice (Fig. 4A). No significant bioluminescent signals were generally observed, although low background signals in the peritoneal fat were observed sometimes, in control mice. To visualize the specific infection in tumor metastases, we used a C57BL/6 mouse bearing MOSEC tumors for 7 weeks. At this later time point, ascites were visible (Fig. 4B, left panel), and extensive tumor metastases were visually observed during autopsy (Fig. 4B, bottom right panel). When given a single i.p. injection of Sindbis/Fluc vectors, the whole body imaging revealed a weaker bioluminescent signal than mice treated 4 weeks after tumor inoculation, which probably results from the development of severe ascites, which decreases the excitation and subsequent detection of luminescent signals, and that vector dosage must infect a much larger area and is thus less concentrated. Sindbis/Fluc vector demonstrated specific targeting to most of the MOSEC metastases within the peritoneal cavity (Fig. 4B, top right panel). In addition, the vector could efficiently infect metastases in several tissues, similar to the ES-2 model (Fig. 4C). Tumor metastases were confirmed histologically on these tissues (Fig. 4D).

We found, in addition to specific detection, that Sindbis vectors suppress disease progression. Mice treated with Sindbis/Fluc have lower incidence of ascites after 2 weeks of treatments (7 weeks after tumor inoculation). By then, five of seven untreated control mice developed severe ascites compared with only one of eight in Sindbis/Fluc mice (Fig. 5A). In addition, the treated mice with ascites was considerably less sick. Disease suppression was also reflected in significant prolongation of survival in Sindbis-treated mice (Fig. 5B).

Laminin Receptor Expression Levels Correlate with the Infectivity of Sindbis Vectors. Laminin receptor has been identified as the cell surface receptor for Sindbis infection to mammalian cells (8, 9). To establish whether our data are consistent with the hypothesis that Sindbis vectors preferentially infect tumor versus normal cells due to differences in laminin receptor expression, we performed immunohistochemical staining on tumor sections with an antibody specific to the LRP. The ES-2/Fluc tumors express higher levels of laminin receptor than normal tissues (Fig. 6A). We also observed higher levels of laminin receptor expression in MOSEC metastases and spontaneous tumors in MSV-RGR/p15 × 7–7– transgenic mice (Fig. 6A), which are also targeted by Sindbis vectors (3).

To further investigate the correlation between laminin receptor expression and Sindbis vector infection, we generated an ES-2–derived cell line, ES-2/Fluc/cLRP, that stably expresses a small interfering RNA specifically against LRP messenger in addition to the plasmid backbone for Fluc expression as in ES-2/Fluc cells. We performed quantitative real-time PCR to determine the expression levels of laminin receptor in ES-2/Fluc and ES-2/Fluc/cLRP cells. A pair of primers specific to human GAPDH mRNA was included to serve as an internal control. Our results indicate that the laminin receptor expression level in ES-2/Fluc/cLRP cells is about 40% compared with ES-2/Fluc cells (Fig. 6B). To determine the Sindbis infectivity of these two cell lines, we infected ES-2/Fluc and ES-2/Fluc/cLRP cells with Sindbis/LacZ vectors at MOIs of 100, 10, and 1. As hypothesized, ES-2/Fluc/ cLRP cells that express less laminin receptor are infected less well by Sindbis vectors compared with ES-2/Fluc (Fig. 6C). These data indicate that the Sindbis infectivity of ES-2 cells correlates with the expression levels of laminin receptor and are concordant with previously reports that indicated laminin receptor as the mammalian receptor for Sindbis infection (8, 9).

DISCUSSION

In this paper, we demonstrate the capability of Sindbis viral vectors to specifically afect and detect micro and macro tumor metastases in the peritoneal cavity. The advantage of Sindbis viral gene therapy vectors for tumor detection is that the vector can markedly amplify the signals by overexpression of the transgene markers. Although luciferase expression may not be suitable for imaging of tumor cells in humans, because of the potential greater depths at which such cells might be found in humans versus mice, other more tissue penetrating reporter genes, such as the herpes simplex virus type-1 thymidine kinase (HSV1-tk) and dopamine-2 receptor (D2R) genes, can be incorporated into Sindbis vectors for tumor detection using positron emission tomography (22).

To specifically detect tumor cells, viral vector systems require either tumor-specific receptors for infection or the use of tumor-specific promoters for reporter transgene expression in tumor cells. In general, vectors using tumor-specific promoters for gene activation are taken up and expressed by only a small proportion of the targeted tumor cells. In contrast, because vectors based on Sindbis virus infect
Fig. 4. Sindbis/Fluc vectors are capable of specific detection of syngenic MOSEC metastases in the peritoneal cavity of immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice. A. Four weeks after i.p. inoculation with $1 \times 10^7$ MOSEC cells, mice were treated with a single i.p. injection of Sindbis/Fluc vectors and were IVIS imaged the next day. Tumor-free control mice were treated with Sindbis/Fluc and imaged in parallel. Substantial bioluminescent signals were observed in the peritoneal cavities of MOSEC-inoculated mice but not in the ones of control mice. B. To visualize the specific tumor infection, a single i.p. injection of Sindbis/Fluc vector was administered to C57BL/6 mice bearing MOSEC tumors for 7 weeks. By then, the mice showed the onset of ascites development and had severe carcinomatosis that was directly visible during necropsy. Left panel, whole body imaging the day after a single i.p. Sindbis/Fluc treatment; right panels, imaging of the peritoneal cavity of the same animal. The tumor metastases are shown in red circles. C. The imaging of the organ array indicated that Sindbis/Fluc vector specifically infects MOSEC metastases on the peritoneum (1), bowel/mesentery (2), small and great omentum (4), next to stomach and spleen, liver surface (5), kidney (6), peritoneal fat (7), diaphragm (8), and uterus (9). No substantial signals were observed in the heart (3), lung (3), and brain (10). Red circles, the MOSEC metastases locations visible with regular light photography. D. Microscopically, H&E staining confirmed the presence of MOSEC tumors (arrows) on the pancreas, peritoneal fat, mesentery, and diaphragm. Bar = 250 μm.
via a ubiquitously expressed receptor that is differentially expressed between tumor and normal cells, these vectors achieve efficient tumor targeting and robust transgene expression using the viral promoter. Sindbis vectors rapidly and extensively amplify transgenes once they infect target cells. Sindbis vectors thus provide faster and more sensitive detection of tumor cells via systemic administration. In addition, our imaging data, along with previous biochemical analysis of transgenes expression (2), indicate that no Sindbis vector infection of liver or other organs occurs in mice upon systemic delivery, permitting the use of relatively high doses of Sindbis vectors capable of suppressing metastatic tumor growth.

The cell surface receptor for Sindbis has been identified as the high-affinity laminin receptor (8), a glycosylated membrane protein that mediates cellular interactions with the extracellular matrix, and it is overexpressed and unoccupied (compared with normal cells) in the vast majority of tumors (23–26). Several reports suggest that higher
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Fig. 5. Sindbis vector treatments suppress disease progression of C57BL/6 mice i.p. inoculated with MOSEC cancer cells. Mice were i.p. inoculated with 1 × 10⁷ MOSEC cells on day 0, and the daily i.p. treatments of Sindbis/Fluc vector started on day 34. Control mice received no Sindbis treatment. A. On day 47, five of seven control mice have severe ascites compared with only one of eight in Sindbis/Fluc mice whose ascites was much less intense. B, the survival curves of different treatment group. Sindbis/Fluc significantly prolonged the survival of mice carrying MOSEC cancer (Sindbis/Fluc versus control: P = 0.0071, log rank test).

Fig. 6. Infectivity of Sindbis vectors correlated with the expression of laminin receptor. A. Immunohistochemical staining on tumor sections with an antibody specific to the LRP of laminin receptor revealed that tumor metastases (arrows) overexpress laminin receptor in both ES-2/Fluc and MOSEC models. Similarly, we also observed high levels of laminin receptor expression in spontaneous tumors in MSV-RGR/p15⁻/⁻ transgenic mice, which were successfully targeted by Sindbis vectors as demonstrated previously (3). Bar = 250 μm. B. ES-2/Fluc/αLRP cells, which stably expresses a small interfering RNA specifically against LRP messenger, had a lower expression level of laminin receptor as indicated by real-time reverse transcription-PCR assay. A pair of primers specific to human GAPDH mRNA was also included to provide an internal control. The graph represents the average of three independent assays using 1000, 500, and 250 ng of total RNA, and the error bar indicates the SEM. C. To determine the Sindbis infectivity of these two cell lines, we infected ES-2/Fluc and ES-2/Fluc/αLRP cells with Sindbis/LacZ vectors at MOI of 100, 10, and 1. The β-galactosidase activities in infected cells were analyzed the day after infection. For each designed MOI, three independent assays were performed, and the data were presented as the percentage of activities in infected ES-2/Fluc cells.
levels of laminin receptor on human tumor cells provide growth advantages such as more aggressive invasiveness and metastatic spread (10–14). This fortuitous event also provides a differential marker on the surface of normal and tumor cells for Sindbis attachment and infection.

Laminin receptor is an attractive target on ovarian cancer cells because they have been shown to express high levels of this receptor (15–17). In our advanced ovarian cancer models, both ES-2/Fluc and MOSEC cells express higher levels of laminin receptor than normal tissues (Fig. 6A) and can be specifically infected by Sindbis vectors (Figs. 1 and 4). Similar higher expression levels of laminin receptor were also observed in spontaneous tumors of MSV-RGR/p15+/- transgenic mice, which were also targeted by Sindbis vectors (3). In the peritoneal cavity, it is likely that most of the laminin receptors on the tumor cells in the ascitic fluid are not occupied by the ligand laminin and therefore serve as ideal targets for Sindbis vector infection. The observation that Sindbis treatments suppressed ascites formation in this study supports this point of view.

Although the exact composition of laminin receptor is still unknown, one essential component of the laminin receptor has been identified as a M, 37,000 LRP (27). It is believed that LRP is modified post-translationally and forms heterodimers (28) with other glycosylated proteins before translocation to the cell surface. One of its likely partners is heparan-sulfated proteoglycan (29). It is relevant to note that a number of laboratories have also identified the laminin receptor as the target for the prion protein (29–34). For example, Hundt et al. (29) have shown that the prion protein binds to two sites of this laminin receptor. One of the binding sites is dependent for optimal binding in the presence of a heparan sulfate arm of a heparan-sulfated proteoglycan molecule, but the other binding site appears to function independently of heparan sulfate.

It has been proposed that heparan sulfate plays a role in the attachment of Sindbis vectors to cells (35). However, although the interaction with heparan sulfate enhances the infection efficiency, it is not required for infection (35). Therefore, it is possible that the Sindbis vectors infect tumor cells via interactions with both LRP and heparan sulfate. The exact nature of the receptor is an issue that requires additional study if a comprehensive understanding of the antitumor activities of Sindbis vectors is to be achieved.

Our data indicate that the tumor specificity of Sindbis vectors is not likely to be due to a different tropism between human and mouse cells. The vector is as capable of specific infection/detection of murine MOSEC ovarian cancer cells (Fig. 4) as it is of human ES-2/Fluc cells in SCID mice (Fig. 1). In addition, Sindbis vectors can specifically infect spontaneous tumors in MSV-RGR/p15+/- transgenic mice (3). Because no human cells are involved in the latter models. The specific infection of Sindbis vector is likely due to fundamental differences between normal and tumor cells such as expression levels of laminin receptor.

Infection of Sindbis vector induces apoptosis without any cytotoxic transgene in vitro (4–7) and in vivo (2). Despite the cytotoxicity to infected cells, systemic delivery of Sindbis vectors shows no observable morbidity in our experimental animals.

In most cases, after wild-type replication-capable Sindbis virus enters the bloodstream, virus titers reach high levels throughout all organs (36, 37). Yet, minimal, self-limiting disease (usually no more than 1 week in duration and accompanied only by mild symptomatology) is associated with the wild-type virus (36, 37). While maintaining the capability of reaching all organs through the bloodstream, it has been shown that the laboratory strain of Sindbis used to produce all of our vectors does not cause any disease or adverse consequences in humans (36, 37). One reason for this is that all of our vectors are replication defective. That is, once these vectors infect cells, they cannot propagate to other cells. Although they are able to infect virtually all target cells, the fact that they do not replicate and do not integrate makes them very safe.

In tumor-free mice, we only observed very low levels of vector infection in the peritoneal fat after the initial i.p. vector treatment (Fig. 2A). For reasons that require additional investigation, this low-level infection resolved after a subsequent vector treatment (Fig. 2B). That is, no infection is detectable in normal mice after the second injection of Sindbis vectors. In contrast, specific tumor infection persists during the course of treatments. Because these phenomena occur in SCID mice, which are immunodeficient, the loss of vector infection in normal fat tissues may be due to other innate antiviral responses, such as type I IFN (IFN-I) production, which protect surrounding normal tissues from secondary vector infection. Several studies suggest that during oncogenesis, tumor cells evolve to be less responsive to IFN stimuli compared with normal cells (38). Therefore, it is plausible that after the initial vector infection, IFN-I production is induced, protecting the normal fat tissue from secondary infection. On the other hand, tumors, which often demonstrate defects in IFN-I response, are still subject to secondary Sindbis vector infection and eventually succumb to the vector cytotoxicity. In this aspect, the difference in the responsiveness of IFN-I may provide Sindbis vectors another level of specificity for tumor cells.

All of our present studies were done with replication-defective vectors. It is plausible to argue that use of a replication-capable vector system could enhance the antitumor effects. However, we believe that this will not be necessary with Sindbis vectors. Rather, we hope that additional studies or combination of Sindbis vectors with other agents will allow us to develop protocols that can achieve complete eradication of ovarian tumor cells. Sindbis vectors have a decisive safety advantage over replication-competent viruses for use in gene therapy. Hopefully, these vectors can be developed without having to sacrifice this advantage.

In conclusion, we have shown, in our aggressive mouse ovarian cancer models, that Sindbis vectors can achieve two major therapeutic goals of cancer gene therapy: specific detection of tumor cells, primary and metastatic, and efficient tumor suppression. Additional studies with a variety of gene payloads are under way. For all of the reasons stated, Sindbis vectors appear to offer much promise for the treatment of advanced ovarian cancer.
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