FGFR3 and P53 Characterize Alternative Genetic Pathways in the Pathogenesis of Urothelial Cell Carcinoma
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Abstract

Fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) and P53 mutations are frequently observed in bladder cancer. We here describe the distribution of FGFR3 mutations and P53 overexpression in 260 primary urothelial cell carcinomas. FGFR3 mutations were observed in 59% and P53 overexpression in 25%. Interestingly, FGFR3 and P53 alterations were mutually exclusive, because they coincided in only 5.7% of tumors. Consequently, we propose that they characterize two alternative genetic pathways in urothelial cell carcinoma pathogenesis. The genetic alterations were reflected in the pathology and the clinical outcome, i.e., FGFR3 mutations were found in low-stage/grade tumors and were associated with a favorable disease course, whereas P53 alterations were tied to adverse disease parameters.

Introduction

Urothelial cell carcinoma (UCC), of which bladder cancer is the major representative, is the fifth most common malignancy in Western society. Because of its frequent recurrence and the relatively long life span of patients, UCC is the most expensive cancer in health care. Approximately 70% of the patients initially present with superficial tumors (stages pT 0, pT1, or pT2). UCCs fall into two major groups with a substantially different natural behavior, i.e., superficial and invasive UCC. More than 80% of superficial UCCs remain confined to the submucosa throughout their clinical course, whereas most of the invasive UCCs exhibit their invasive property at first presentation, and these tumors are associated with a high propensity to metastasize. In the last decade, many efforts were undertaken to find a molecular basis for this divergent disease pathogenesis of UCC. Mutations in the P53 gene were frequently found in invasive UCC as well as in high-grade superficial UCC including carcinoma in situ, the putative precursor of invasive UCC, whereas these mutations were rare in well-differentiated superficial UCC. The other hand, loss(es) of heterozygosity (LOH) on the chromosomal arms 9p and 9q was the most frequent genetic alteration in the low-grade papillary lesions. However, subsequent studies showed that LOH on 9p/q was also a very common finding in high-grade superficial and invasive UCC. Consequently, LOH on 9p/q did not provide a sufficient molecular explanation for the clinically divergent disease pathogenesis of UCC. Recently, fibroblast growth factor receptor 3 (FGFR3) mutations, identical to the mutations responsible for several skeletal anomalies associated with dwarfism in most cases, were reported at a high frequency in UCC and at a low frequency in multiple myeloma and cervical cancer. Surprisingly, the oncogenic FGFR3 mutations were particularly related to favorable UCCs in three pilot studies. The FGFR3 mutations occur predominantly in UCC (11, 12), whereas P53 mutations are found in over 50% of human cancers. In the present study, we investigated the distribution of FGFR3 and P53 alterations in 260 primary (first diagnosis) UCCs. We here report that FGFR3 and P53 characterize almost 80% of UCCs and that these mutations seem to exclude each other. These distinct molecular features were also reflected in the different pathological parameters and the clinical follow-up of the patients. We, therefore, propose that FGFR3 and P53 characterize different pathogenesis pathways for UCC.

Materials and Methods

Patients and Tumor Samples. We analyzed the tumors of 260 patients (196 males) with first diagnosis UCC. The median age of the patients was 67.2 years. No patient had a hereditary skeletal anomaly. A paraffin-embedded, formalin-fixed tissue block was obtained from the archives of two pathology departments (Erasmus MC and Sint Franciscus Gasthuis, Rotterdam, the Netherlands) and was classified according to the TNM and WHO guidelines. A single pathologist (T.H. v. d. K.) reviewed the slides using the 1998 WHO International Society of Urological Pathology classification system for grading. In case of multifocality (n = 67), the lesion with the highest grade/stage was taken. The largest tumor was taken if grade/stage were the same for multiple UCCs.

P53 Analysis. Four-μm-thick sections were freshly cut from each tissue block and mounted on amino alkylsilane-coated slides. Incubation with primary antibody P53 (clone DO-7; DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark; dilution, 1:200) was 30 min in PBS/BSA 5%. Positive and negative controls were included. The conventional avidin-biotin complex method was applied for all immunostainings. Two persons (B. W. G. v. R. and A. N. V.) independently assessed the slides without knowledge of clinical data. In case of heterogeneity, the parts within the tumor that showed the highest positive ratio were particularly assessed. This was performed if these regions comprised at least 10% of the tumor load in the examined tissue section. P53 overexpression was scored if >10% stained positive. In case of discrepancy between the observers, the slides were reassessed in a combined session without the information of the previous scores.

FGFR3 Analysis. Standard H&E slides served as templates for manual microdissection. The dissected samples contained a minimum of 70% tumor cells. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Tissue kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany). FGFR3 mutation analysis was by PCR single-strand conformation polymorphism analysis (14), followed by sequencing in case of a shift (T7 Sequenase v2.0; Amersham Life Science, Inc., Cleveland, OH). DNA extracted from venous blood was available from 139 patients. Mutation analyses were performed without knowledge of clinical data.

Clinical Follow-Up and Statistical Analysis. The follow-up data were collected by chart review. Disease-specific survival was determined. The patients were censored at their last clinical visit or at the time of their death. The statistical package for social sciences 9.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) computer software was used for the data documentation and analysis. The two-sided Fisher’s exact test was used to analyze the relationships between the molecular variables and their correlation with pathological stage and grade.
The clinical outcome was analyzed by applying the Kaplan-Meier method. Statistical significance was assumed if $P < 0.05$.

Results

Mutations in the $P53$ and FGFR3 genes were studied in 260 primary UCCs. Activating FGFR3 mutations were found in 153 (59%) of these tumors. We found 117, 32, and 4 mutations in the exons 7 (R248C and S249C), 10 (G372C, Y375C, and A393E), and 15 (K652T, K652E, and K652M), respectively. No mutations were detected in exon 19. No mutations were seen in the matched blood samples. P53 overexpression, presumably reflecting missense mutations in the $P53$ gene, was noted in 66 (25%) cases. Table 1 shows a highly significant inverse relation between the presence of a FGFR3 mutation and P53 overexpression ($P < 0.0001$). Only 5.7% of the 260 primary UCCs were positive for both markers, whereas 72.7% UCCs were either positive for FGFR3 or positive for P53.

We subsequently determined the correlation of FGFR3 and P53 with pathological stage and grade for the 260 UCCs. FGFR3 mutations were found in 77% of pTa, 31% of pT1, and 15% of pT2 tumors. Conversely, P53 overexpression was found in 11% of pTa, 51% of pT1, and 56% of pT2 tumors, respectively ($P < 0.0001$). The numbers for pathological grade showed the same trend. FGFR3 mutations were found in 85% of urothelial neoplasia of low malignant potential (LMP), in 71% of low-grade (LG) and in 26% of high-grade (HG) cases. On the other hand, P53 overexpression was found in only 1% of LMP, in 15% of LG and in 54% of HG cases, respectively ($P < 0.0001$). The distributions of the stages and grades for the FGFR3/P53 subgroups are given in Fig. 1. In general, the FGFR3 mutation related to favorable, i.e., pTa and LMP/LG disease, whereas P53 overexpression indicated unfavorable, i.e., invasive and HG disease.

The UCCs, which were wild type for both genes, were a substantial subgroup encompassing 21% of the 260 UCCs (Table 1). When compared with stage and grade, these UCCs apparently included tumors of all stages and grades, with a higher percentage of pTa tumors than the P53-positive subgroup, i.e., 51% versus 22%, but also a higher percentage high-grade tumors than the FGFR3-mutation subgroup, i.e., 48% versus 12% (Fig. 1).

Besides histopathological parameters, the clinical outcome of the 260 patients was also determined. The mean follow-up was 5.6 years (SD, 3.7 years). Twenty-one patients died of UCC. The Kaplan-Meier analyses in Fig. 2 clearly show that patients with a FGFR3 mutation in their UCC have a favorable prognosis, whereas patients with P53 overexpression have a worse prognosis than patients with a normal P53 expression pattern.

Discussion

UCCs are genetically characterized by frequent LOH; mutations and deletions in the $P53$, RB and p16 tumor suppressor genes; and activating point mutations in the gene for the FGFR3 receptor, LOH of chromosomal arms 9p and 9q is observed in over 50% of UCC. Homozygous deletions of the p16 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor are found in 20–30% of high-grade and -stage tumors (16). Bladder tumors with pRB alterations are significantly more prone to metastasize (Ref. 17, and references therein). Mutations in the $P53$ gene are observed in poorly differentiated carcinomas, and mutations in this gene apparently characterize tumors with a worse prognosis. On the basis of these observations Spruck et al. (5), proposed a two-pathway model for UCC pathogenesis, in which $P53$ mutations delineated one arm of the pathway. LOH on chromosome 9 was supposed to characterize the other arm. However, later work revealed that chromosome 9 loss is found in all grades and stages and, therefore, can no longer serve as a marker for the noninvasive pathway (6–9). A recent update.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FGFR3wt</th>
<th>FGFR3mt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P53 wt</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P53 mt</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* FGFR3, fibroblast growth factor receptor 3; wt, wild type; mt, mutated type.
of the UCC pathogenesis model, including more markers, was published by Cote and Datar (17). However, although many genes may play a role in UCC pathogenesis, most of them are only mutated in a limited percentage of tumors and, at present, their mutual dependencies, when present, are not entirely clear.

We have recently shown that FGFR3 mutations are generally associated with favorable disease characteristics such as low stage and grade, low recurrence rate, and a better prognosis (13, 18). In the present study, we intended to place this new genetic marker in the genetic pathogenesis model for UCC. To this end, we determined the FGFR3 and P53 status in 260 primary tumors. To our surprise, we observed that alterations in FGFR3 and P53 were almost always mutually exclusive. Together these two markers described 79% of the primary UCC. However, they occurred in only 5.7%. This suggested that FGFR3 and P53 characterize two distinct genetic pathways in UCC pathogenesis. While this paper was being reviewed, Bakkar et al. (19) reported similar findings based on a study of 81 patients. In our patients, the FGFR3 mutation was associated with pT\textsubscript{a} and LMP/LG tumors, whereas the P53 marked invasive and HG carcinomas. In addition, the clinical outcome of the two investigated molecular markers confirmed this suggestion of two genetic pathways. A model for UCC pathogenesis based on our observations is given in Fig. 3. This model resembles Spruck’s original model [Spruck et al. 5], but clearly designates two distinct pathways with genetic markers for a noninvasive and papillary, FGFR3-associated pathway and an invasive P53-associated pathway. Together, these two markers provided a genetic framework for the majority of UCCs. The addition of the FGFR3 marker significantly expands Spruck’s original model [most recently reviewed in Cote and Datar (17)]. We feel that, at the moment, it is not completely clear where to put LOH, especially of chromosome 9q, in this pathway. In P53-positive tumors, this event may have occurred before the P53 mutation. However, in a considerable percentage of FGFR3-mutant tumors, LOH may occur after the FGFR3 mutation, because FGFR3 mutations are more frequent than LOH of 9q. On the other hand, we previously showed, in evolutionary tree models of multiple UCC recurrences, that tumors with LOH of 9q can precede recurrences with a mutation in the FGFR3 gene (7). Thus, the FGFR3 mutation is not necessarily the first genetic event in superficial tumor formation. In the model displayed here, we also suggest that UCC with alterations in both FGFR3 and P53 derive from FGFR3-mutant tumors. We argued that it would be more difficult for a P53-positive, invasive, HG carcinoma to become a lower-grade superficial tumor than that a pT\textsubscript{a}, LMP/LG tumor would transform into a more invasive, higher-grade descendant. This small group of UCCs apparently displays an intermediate phenotype when compared with the tumors with single alterations (Ref. 18 and present study). Thus, it appears that the effect of the FGFR3 mutation mitigates the effect of the P53 mutation. The remainder of UCCs, 21% in our series, lacking P53 or FGFR3 alterations, forms an interesting subset. The fact these tumors include all possible grades and stages suggests that they may also harbor different genotypes. Some of these tumors may in the future, perhaps, be placed in a FGFR3-like group or a P53-like group. However, it is also possible that yet a third genetic pathway is responsible for a portion of these tumors. Previous work reviewed by Cote and Datar (17) suggests that RB and p16 and/or pARF alterations are mostly associated with the P53-mutant UCCs and, thereby, are expected to be part of this pathway. However, it is not yet clear whether these mutations occur before or after the P53 event. The same holds true for other less frequently occurring alterations. Thus far, no mutations, besides LOH for 9p/9q and other chromosomes have been described to concur with FGFR3 mutations. Therefore, additional investigations are required to place all markers in the pathway model. Consequently, the arrow on the right in Fig. 3 signifies an increasing frequency of LOH and other genetic aberrations from the top to the bottom.

The colorectal cancer model proposed by Fearon and Vogelstein (20) is the prototype for the molecular evolution of cancer. The model is presented as a linear model, in which inactivation of APC, mutation of KRAS, inactivation of a gene on 18q, and inactivation of P53 occur as sequential steps in the development from low-grade adenomas to carcinoma. However, Smith et al. (21) recently showed that co-occurrence of mutations in both KRAS and P53 in colorectal cancers is extremely rare, and they suggest that these mutations, in fact, lie on alternate pathways of colorectal tumor development. Thus, the route to colorectal cancer seems to bifurcate after the initial APC step into at least two alternative genetic pathways. This situation is similar to the one that we describe for UCC in Fig. 3. In colorectal cancer, KRAS mutations are overrepresented in Dukes C tumors, and, thereby, KRAS may be a marker for tumor progression. The added value of the model for UCC pathogenesis is that FGFR3 and P53 represent markers for favorable and unfavorable disease, respectively. Together, these markers characterize almost 80% of all primary tumors. The search for mutations in other genes of both pathways may increase the percentage of molecularly characterized tumors further and may facilitate a more complete molecular description of UCC as a possible alternative for classical pathology (18). Moreover, the favorable FGFR3 marker is a potential candidate to identify patients for whom a less frequent follow-up is required, and this may help reduce the costs of management for patients with UCC.
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