Platelet-Derived Growth Factor Production by B16 Melanoma Cells Leads to Increased Pericyte Abundance in Tumors and an Associated Increase in Tumor Growth Rate
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ABSTRACT

Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) receptor signaling participates in different processes in solid tumors, including autocrine stimulation of tumor cell growth, recruitment of tumor stroma fibroblasts, and stimulation of tumor angiogenesis. In the present study, the B16 mouse melanoma tumor model was used to investigate the functional consequences of paracrine PDGF stimulation of host-derived cells. Production of PDGF-BB or PDGF-DD by tumor cells was associated with an increased tumor growth rate. Characterization of tumors revealed an increase in pericyte abundance in tumors derived from B16 cells producing PDGF-BB or PDGF-DD. The increased tumor growth rate associated with PDGF-DD production was not seen in mice expressing an attenuated PDGF β-receptor and was thus dependent on host PDGF β-receptor signaling. The increased pericyte abundance was not associated with an increased tumor vessel density. However, tumor cell apoptosis, but not proliferation, was reduced in tumors displaying PDGF-induced increased pericyte coverage. Our findings thus demonstrate that paracrine PDGF production stimulates pericyte recruitment to tumor vessels and suggest that pericyte abundance influences tumor cell apoptosis and tumor growth.

INTRODUCTION

Platelet-derived growth factors (PDGFs) are a family of dimeric disulfide-bonded growth factors exerting their biological effects through activation of two structurally related tyrosine kinase receptors, the PDGF α- and β-receptors (1). In solid tumors, PDGF receptor signaling participates in various processes, including autocrine stimulation of tumor cell growth, recruitment of tumor stroma fibroblasts, and stimulation of tumor angiogenesis (reviewed in Ref. 2). The interest in exploiting PDGF signaling in tumors for therapeutic purposes has been intensified by the availability of clinically useful PDGF antagonists, such as STI571/Glivec (3–5).

For almost two decades, PDGF-AA, PDGF-AB, and PDGF-BB were the only known PDGF isoforms. However, two new PDGF isoforms, PDGF-CC and PDGF-DD, were identified recently (6–8). The novel PDGF isoforms are, in contrast to the classical PDGFs, synthesized and secreted as latent factors that are activated on proteolytic removal of a CUB domain that is localized in the NH2-terminal of the precursor protein. Ligand binding to PDGF receptors entails receptor dimerization, kinase activation, and initiation of downstream signaling events. The PDGF receptors are expressed on multiple cell types of mesenchymal origin, e.g., fibroblasts, smooth muscle cells, pericytes, and glial cells (9).

The PDGF isoforms differ in their receptor specificity, i.e., the A- and C-chains of PDGF bind only to PDGF α-receptors, and the D-chain binds only to β-receptors, whereas the B-chain binds to both receptor types (6–8). PDGF-AB, PDGF-CC, and PDGF-DD activate both receptor types in cells that coexpress PDGF α- and β-receptors, presumably reflecting ligand-induced receptor heterodimerization (7, 10).

Inhibition of tumor growth in experimental models of autocrine PDGF growth stimulation has been observed after blockage of PDGF receptor signaling, e.g., in dermatofibrosarcoma protubersans and gliblastoma multiforme (11–15). Most recently, therapeutic effects have also been observed in dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans patients after treatment with PDGF receptor kinase inhibitors (16, 17). Paracrine PDGF stimulation also enhances tumor growth through recruitment of a vascularized stroma (18, 19). PDGF receptor signaling in tumor stromal cells was also recently shown to increase tumor interstitial fluid pressure and thereby hamper tumor uptake of anticancer drugs (20–22).

Finally, proangiogenic effects of PDGF have been described in various angiogenesis models (23–25). Studies on genetically altered mice deficient in PDGF β-receptor or PDGF B-chain production have also highlighted the importance of PDGF β-receptors on pericytes (26–28). PDGF β-receptor expression on tumor vessel pericytes has been described in both experimental tumors and human cancers (29, 30). Expression of PDGF β-receptors on tumor endothelial cells has also been described, e.g., in capillaries of human glioblastomas and in experimental prostate bone metastases (31, 32). Direct evidence for tumor angiogenic effects of PDGFs was obtained recently with the demonstration of increased tumor angiogenesis after transfections of PDGF isoforms into NIH3T3 cells or U87MG glioma cells (33, 34). The antiangiogenic effects of STI571 in experimental bone metastases provide additional support for a role of PDGF receptor signaling in tumor angiogenesis (32).

In the present study, we have characterized the functional effects of PDGF stimulation of tumor pericytes in the B16 melanoma tumor model. We demonstrate that paracrine PDGF stimulation of pericytes in the B16 melanoma mouse tumor model leads to an increased pericyte abundance in tumor vessels and to an increase in tumor growth rate, which occurs in the absence of increase in vessel density.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Culture and Generation of Cell Lines Stably Expressing PDGF-BB or PDGF-DD. B16F10 melanoma cells (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. Expression plasmids encoding full-length human PDGF-B and murine PDGF-D were generated by cloning of PDGB and PDGF-D cDNAs into the mammalian expression vector pcDNA3.1(+)/Zeo (Invitrogen). To
obtain stable expression, 60–80% confluent B16/F10 cells were transfected with pcdNA3.1+Zeo, pcDNA3.1+Zeo-hPDGF-B, or pcDNA3.1+Zeo-mPDGF-D using LipofectAMINE reagent (Invitrogen). After 3 weeks of selection in Zeocin (Invitrogen), transfected cultures were isolated and propagated as cell lines (B16/ctr, B16/PDGF-B, and B16/PDGF-D) under continuous Zeocin selection.

**Analysis of PDGF-DD and PDGF-BB Expression.** Reverse transcription-PCR for detection of PDGF-D mRNA in PDGF-D-transfected cells was performed according to standard procedures. For analyses of the expression of PDGF-DD, conditioned media were collected from subconfluent cultures of B16/ctr and B16/PDGF-D cultured for 12 h in serum-free medium, and total protein was recovered by precipitation in 20% trichloroacetic acid for 1 h on ice. Precipitated proteins were solubilized in SDS sample buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE. After electrophoretic transfer to nitrocellulose membrane (Hybond-C, Amersham), PDGF-DD was detected by immunoblotting with 2 μg/ml of an affinity-purified PDGF-DD antibody (6).

For PDGF-BB detection, subconfluent cultures of B16/ctr and B16/ PDGF-B cells were metabolically labeled for 8 h in serum-free MCDB104 medium supplemented with 100 μCi of [35S]cysteine (Amersham). Conditioned media were collected, and cells were lysed in 0.5 M NaCl, 0.01 M Tris (pH 7.8), 0.5% Triton X-100, 1% aprotinin, and 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride. Subsequently, PDGF-BB was immunoprecipitated from supernatants and cell lysates using rabbit anti-PDGF-BB antiserum (35), followed by incubation with protein A-Sepharose (Amersham). After four washes in 0.5 M NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.01 M Tris (pH 7.5), 5 mg/ml BSA, and 0.1% SDS, bound proteins were eluted by boiling in nonreducing or reducing SDS sample buffer and separated by SDS-PAGE.

**Analyses of PDGF β-Receptor Stimulatory Activity in Conditioned Media of B16/ctr, B16/PDGF-B, and B16/PDGF-D Cells.** Conditioned media were collected from subconfluent cultures of B16/ctr, B16/PDGF-B, and B16/PDGF-D cells, cultured for 72 h in serum-free medium, and concentrated five times by Centrifugus (Millipore). PDGF β-receptor phosphorylation induced by the conditioned media or by recombinant PDGF-BB in PAE/PDGFβR cells was analyzed as described previously (20).

**Characterization of In Vivo Growth and Analyses of PDGF β-Receptor Expression on B16 Cells In Vitro.** B16/ctr, B16/PDGF-B, or B16/PDGF-D cells (5 × 10⁴ cells/well) were plated in 24-well plates (Sarstedt) in DMEM in the absence or presence of 10% FCS. Media were changed three times per week. Every 3 days after seeding, triplicate wells of cells were trypsinized and counted using a Coulter particle counter. PDGF β-receptor expression and phosphorylation were analyzed as described previously (21).

**Tumor Formation Assay.** Animal experiments were approved by the local ethical committee and performed according to the United Kingdom Coordinating Committee on Cancer Research guidelines (36). All manipulations were performed in isoflurane gas anesthesia. Twelve 13-week-old C57Bl1/J mice received s.c. inoculation in the dorsal skinfold with 1 × 10⁶ B16/ctr (n = 10), B16/PDGF-B (n = 9), or B16/PDGF-D (n = 10) cells dissolved in 100 μl of PBS. C57Bl1/J mice expressing a PDGF β-receptor deficient in phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase recruitment (Y739F/Y750F mice; Ref. 37) received injection with 1 × 10⁶ B16/ctr (n = 10) or B16/PDGF-D (n = 10) cells dissolved in 100 μl of PBS. Tumor length and width were measured every 3 days using calipers, and tumor volume was calculated as m/6 × length × width³. At days 13 or 17 after inoculation, animals were sacrificed by i.p. injection of 90 mg/kg⁻¹ pentobarbitone, followed by perfusion through the left cardiac ventricle with 10 ml of PBS (pH 7.4), followed by perfusion fixation with 20 ml of 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. Tumors were subsequently removed surgically, weighed, and fixed in paraformaldehyde overnight. After embedding tumors in paraffin, sections were cut at 4 μm thickness on a Superfrost Plus slides (Histolab). For confocal microscopy, tumors were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, cryoprotected in 30% sucrose, frozen, and cut into sections 14 μm thick.

**Histochemistry, Immunohistochemistry, and Stereological Analyses of Tumor Vessels.** Overview histology and connective tissue content were visualized by Azan trichrome staining (Bio-Optica) of deparaffinized tissue sections. For immunohistochemistry, sections were deparaffinized and prefixed by boiling in 10 mM citrate buffer (pH 6.0) or in target retrieval solution (high pH; DAKO) for 2 × 7 min at 750 W in a microwave oven. Tissue peroxidase activity was quenched by incubation in 3% H₂O₂ in PBS for 10 min, followed by blocking in 20% serum of the secondary antibody species. Capillary blood vessel immunohistochemistry was performed with goat anti-mouse CD31/platelet/endothelial cell adhesion molecule 1 (PECAM-1) antibody (1 μg/ml sc-1506; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). PDGF β-receptor immunohistochemistry was performed with 958 rabbit anti-PDGF β-receptor antisera (1 μg/ml sc-432; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) using a procedure that has been validated by demonstrating the absence of staining in PDGF β-receptor knockout mice embryos.⁴ Omission of primary antibody was used as a negative control. α-Smooth muscle actin (ASMA) was detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated monoclonal 1A4 antibody (EPOS ASMA; Dako). For double staining of pericytes and endothelial cells, unconjugated 1A4 ASMA monoclonal antibodies (1 μg/ml; Dako) and goat antimonocie CD31/PECAM-1 antibodies (1 μg/ml sc-1506; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used consecutively. ASMA antibodies were detected with biotinylated rabbit antimonocle antibodies visualized by Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories). CD31 antibodies were detected with biotinylated rabbit antigoat antibodies visualized by Vectastain ABC kit (Vector Laboratories). Apoptotic cell and proliferative cell immunohistochemistry were performed with cleaved caspase-3 antibody (Cell Signalling Technology) and Ki67 antibody (MIB5; Immunotech). The fraction of cleaved caspase-3-positive cells or Ki67-positive cells was determined after analyzing 1000 cells on all tumors from each group. Positive reactions were developed using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories) as a peroxidase substrate and nitroblue tetrazolium/5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate (Roche) as an alkaline phosphate substrate. Sections were counterstained in Mayer’s hematoxylin, dehydrated, and coverslipped in Mountex resin (Histolab). Tumor cell density, determined on all tumors from each group, was quantified by counting the numbers of cells in three or four fields of vision (0.09 mm²) of viable tissue. Stereological quantification of capillary tumor blood vessels was performed after CD31 staining, as described previously (11, 38, 39). The number of pericyte nuclei associated with tumor vessels was counted after single staining with ASMA or PDGF β-receptor in 10 randomly selected fields of vision of 0.09 mm².

Analyses of pericyte numbers after double staining were performed by counting pericytes in whole tumor sections. All immunohistochemical analyses were performed on five tumors from each group (except for B16/PDGF-D tumors from mutant mice, for which four tumors were analyzed), after exclusion of the largest and smallest tumors from each group.

Confocal analyses was performed with rat anti-CD31/PECAM-1 (Phar-Mingen), rabbit anti-NG2 (Chemicon), FITC-conjugated mouse ASMA antibodies (Sigma), and secondary antibodies conjugated with the appropriate fluorochrome (Alexa 488, 568, or 633; Molecular Probes) for labeling of endothelial cells and vascular smooth muscle cells/pericytes. Mounted sections were analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscopy using a Leica TCS NT.

**Statistical Analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA. P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**PDGF β-Receptor Expression in B16 Tumors Is Restricted to Pericytes.** To validate the B16 tumor as a model to study potential effects of paracrine PDGF stimulation, tissue sections from B16 tumors were subjected to immunohistochemical analyses using antibodies recognizing PDGF β-receptor, ASMA, and CD31 (Fig. 1, A–C). As shown in Fig. 1, A and B, neovessels in B16 melanoma were associated with ASMA-positive mural cells and showed prominent perivascular PDGF β-receptor staining. In contrast to the endothelial cell labeling by CD-31/PECAM-1 immunohistochemistry (Fig. 1C), the vascular PDGF β-receptor staining was discontinuous and peripheral with endothelial cell bodies at the luminal side. The only PDGF β-receptor-positive cells seen in B16 tumors were closely associated with vessels, most likely representing pericytes and possibly perivascular fibroblasts. The presence of pericytes, giving rise to a partial pericyte coverage of tumor vessels, in B16 tumors was shown by confocal analyses of tumor sections stained with antibodies against CD31 and ASMA (Fig. 1D).

⁴ T. Sjöblom, unpublished observations.
Establishment of B16 Cell Lines Producing PDGF-BB or PDGF-DD. To study the effects of tumor-derived PDGF β-receptor agonists on the recruitment of pericytes to the tumor vessels, B16 melanoma cells were transfected with control plasmid or plasmids encoding full-length PDGF-B or PDGF-D. After selection, mass cultures derived from 20–30 clones were analyzed with regard to expression of PDGF-BB and PDGF-DD.

Expression of PDGFD mRNA in transfected cells was analyzed by reverse transcription-PCR. As shown in Fig. 2A, a PCR product of the expected size of 350 bp was detected in the positive control reaction and when cDNA from PDGFD-transfected cells was used. PDGFD expression was also confirmed by immunoblotting (Fig. 2B). Under reducing conditions, PDGF-D antibodies detected a component of M\(_r\) 55,000 in conditioned medium from PDGFD-transfected cells, which was absent in medium from control-transfected cells. Based on comparisons with similar analyses of recombinant full-length and cleaved PDGF-D, the M\(_r\) 55,000 protein is most likely the full-length uncleaved form of PDGF-DD (6).

PDGF-BB expression was analyzed by immunoprecipitation from metabolically labeled cell lysates and conditioned media, followed by SDS-PAGE. PDGF-BB antibodies precipitated components of M\(_r\) 50,000, M\(_r\) 40,000, M\(_r\) 35,000, and M\(_r\) 24,000 from the cell lysate and components of M\(_r\) 30,000 and M\(_r\) 24,000 from the conditioned medium of PDGFB-transfected cells, but not from control-transfected cells, as analyzed under nonreducing conditions (Fig. 2C, left panel). Components of similar size were also detected in precipitates from previously established PDGFB-transfected NIH3T3 cells, which were included as a positive control (data not shown). When analyzed under reducing conditions, the components specific for the PDGFB-transfected cells were converted to species of M\(_r\) 20,000, M\(_r\) 16,000, and M\(_r\) 12,000 in the lysate and M\(_r\) 16,000 in the conditioned medium (Fig. 2C, right panel), consistent with formation of processed PDGF-BB dimers in transfected B16 cells.

To further characterize the transfected cells, conditioned media were collected and analyzed with regard to content of PDGF β-receptor stimulatory activity. As shown in Fig. 2D, conditioned media from B16/PDGF-B cells, in contrast to B16/ctr and B16/PDGF-D cells, induced strong PDGF β-receptor phosphorylation.

We thus conclude, from the results in Fig. 2, that the B16/PDGF-B cells produce functional PDGF-BB and that the PDGF-DD produced by B16/PDGF-D cells is secreted as a latent product.
Paracrine PDGF-BB and PDGF-DD Stimulation of Pericytes Enhances Tumor Growth. Before analyses of the effects of PDGF transfection into B16 cells in vivo, the growth in vitro of PDGBF- and PDGF-D-transfected cells was analyzed. As shown in Fig. 3A, no difference in the in vitro growth rate among the three cell lines was detected in either the absence or presence of 10% FCS. Cultured B16 cells were also analyzed with regard to PDGF β-receptor expression. A low level of expression of PDGF β-receptors was detected on control cells and in transfected cells, which could be activated by the addition of a high concentration of exogenous ligand (Fig. 3B). The PDGF-transfected cells appeared to show an even lower expression than the control cells, possibly reflecting ligand-induced down-regulation of receptors. Binding studies with radioactively labeled PDGF indicated that receptor expression in control cells was <5% of the expression seen in PDGF-responsive human fibroblasts (data not shown).

The effects of paracrine PDGF-BB and PDGF-DD expression on B16 melanoma tumor growth were analyzed in two independent experiments by monitoring tumor growth rate in C57Bl6/J mice after s.c. injection of tumor cells. In the first experiment, tumors were allowed to grow 13 days after tumor cell injection (13-day study) and in the second experiment, animals were sacrificed 17 days (17-day study) after tumor cell injection (Fig. 4, A and B). In both experiments, the mean volumes of tumors derived from B16/PDGF-B cells were significantly increased as compared with tumors from control-transfected cells at the time of sacrifice (Fig. 4, A and B). Also, B16/PDGF-D tumors grew faster than control tumors and were significantly bigger than control tumors at day 17 (Fig. 4, A and B).

The very low levels of PDGF β-receptor expression on B16 melanoma cells and the lack of growth-stimulatory effects in vitro of PDGF transfections indicated that the significantly increased growth rate in vivo reflected a paracrine effect of the tumor-derived PDGF on host cells. To test this, B16/PDGF-D tumor formation was compared in wild-type mice and in mice in which wild-type β-receptor had been replaced by an attenuated PDGF β-receptor deficient in activation of phosphatidylinositol 3’-kinase (Y739F/Y750F mice). The PDGF-DD-producing cells were selected for this experiment because paracrine effects of PDGF-BB were predicted to be rescued by wild-type PDGF α-receptors. As shown in Fig. 4C, expression of the attenuated PDGF β-receptor significantly decreased the growth rate of the B16/PDGF-D tumors, without reducing the growth of tumors derived from control cells.

These experiments thus demonstrate that paracrine PDGF β-receptor stimulation of perivascular host cells is associated with increased tumor growth rate.

Paracrine PDGF-BB and PDGF-DD Effect on Tumor Growth Is Associated with Increased Pericyte Abundance in the Tumor Vasculature. The results described above prompted a more detailed characterization of the tumor vessels. Because we were able to demonstrate significant PDGF β-receptor labeling only on perivascular cells of the tumor, we focused our interest on tumor vessel pericytes and the possibility that these cells are the primary targets of the tumor-derived PDGFs. Previous studies have shown that PDGF-BB regulates pericyte recruitment during embryonic development (27), and we therefore first characterized the pericyte abundance and association with the tumor vessels in the B16 control and transfectant tumors.

Thick sections from B16/ctr, B16/PDGF-B, and B16/PDGF-D tumors were double stained with CD31 antibodies (as endothelial cell markers) and either NG2 or ASMA antibodies (as markers for pericytes) and analyzed by confocal laser scanning microscopy. NG2 and ASMA are both reported to stain tumor pericytes (30, 40–42), but some tumors have larger proportions of pericytes expressing NG2 than ASMA, suggesting that the expression of these two markers does not always overlap and that distinct populations or states of pericyte differentiation may coexist in the same tumor.5 In addition, ASMA, but not NG2, stains tumor stroma fibroblasts (Fig. 5D, arrowheads). As shown in Fig. 5, clear differences were observed between the different tumors with regard to pericyte abundance using both ASMA and NG2 as pericyte markers. B16/ctr cells gave rise to tumors in which the vessels were poorly covered by pericytes (Fig. 5, left panels). In contrast, both B16/PDGF-B and B16/PDGF-D tumors were characterized by a vasculature with much higher density of associated pericytes (Fig. 5, middle and right panels). The pericytes in B16/PDGF-B and B16/PDGF-D tumors showed a different association with the abluminal endothelial surface compared with the control tumors. In the controls, the pericytes were tightly associated, whereas in the PDGF-expressing tumors, most of the pericytes appeared partially detached from the endothelial surface. Occasionally, completely detached cells were seen, which were judged to be pericytes rather

5 A. Abramsson and C. Betsholtz, unpublished observations.
that the increase in tumor size, which is associated with the increased pericyte coverage, occurs through reduction in tumor cell apoptosis.

**Paracrine Stimulation of Tumor Pericytes Is Associated with a Decrease in Tumor Cell Apoptosis.** To investigate the consequences of the increased pericyte abundance on tumor cell apoptosis and proliferation, sections from B16/ctr, B16/PDGF-B, and B16/PDGF-D tumors from the 18-day study were stained with antibodies recognizing the apoptosis marker cleaved caspase-3 and the proliferation marker Ki67. These analyses revealed a significant reduction in tumor cell apoptosis but no changes in tumor cell proliferation in B16/PDGF-B and B16/PDGF-D tumors (Fig. 8, A and B). Finally, analysis of cell density revealed no differences among the three tumor groups (Fig. 8C). These data thus indicate that the increase in tumor size, which is associated with the increased pericyte coverage, occurs through reduction in tumor cell apoptosis.
DISCUSSION

In summary, our study demonstrates that PDGF-BB or PDGF-DD production by tumor cells leads to an increase in tumor vessel pericyte abundance and enhances tumor growth rate in the absence of changes in vessel density. The effects of PDGF-DD, which only binds PDGF \( \beta \)-receptor, were abolished in mice expressing an attenuated \( \beta \)-receptor, thus demonstrating that the effects of paracrine PDGF stimulation in this model occur through effects on host-derived PDGF \( \beta \)-receptor-positive cells.

PDGF-DD secreted from transfected B16 cells occurred as a species of \( M_r \) 55,000 under reducing conditions. According to previous analysis of full-length and processed PDGF-DD lacking the CUB domain, the \( M_r \) 55,000 form corresponds to the uncleaved form (6). The notion that PDGF-DD secreted by B16 cells occurs as a latent protein was also supported by the absence of PDGF receptor stimulating activity in the conditioned medium of PDGF-DD-transfected cells. However, the phenotypic consequences of PDGF-DD expression clearly indicate biological activity of the protein in the tumor

Fig. 5. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) production by B16 cells changes pericyte recruitment in tumor vessels. Confocal analysis of sections double stained for endothelial cells (CD31, red) and pericytes (\( \alpha \)-smooth muscle actin or NG2, green) in the vasculature of tumors grown from control-transfected B16 cells (B16/ctr) or cells transfected with PDGFB (B16/PDGF-B) or PDGFD (B16/PDGF-D). Representative fields at overview (A–C) and high magnification (D–I) illustrate the increased density of pericytes/vascular smooth muscle cells surrounding the vessels of PDGF-BB- and PDGF-DD-expressing tumors. Vascular smooth muscle cells in PDGF-BB- and PDGF-DD-expressing tumors cell are spreading or detached (E, F, H, and I, arrows) as compared with the control tumor (D and G). Note that \( \alpha \)-smooth muscle actin also stains fibroblast-like cells located within the tumor (D, arrowheads). Scale bars: A–C, 50 \( \mu \)m; D–I, 20 \( \mu \)m.
A possible explanation for this is that latent PDGF-DD in the tumors is cleaved by proteases present in the tumor environment. An alternative possibility could be that PDGF-DD, in its uncleaved form, exerts some biological effects through as yet unidentified receptors. However, because production of PDGF-DD in the mice expressing an attenuated PDGF-receptor failed to increase pericyte abundance or induce an increased tumor growth rate, the latter possibility remains less likely.

The effects of paracrine PDGF action have been investigated previously in other models. Expression of PDGF in WM9 melanoma cells or HaCaT cells leads to a stromal response (18, 19). Also, inhibition of PDGF-AA production in a desmoplastic breast cancer cell line reduced tumor fibrosis (43). Our investigations of the histology of the different B16 tumors did not reveal major changes in stromal content of tumors derived from control cells or from PDGF-producing cells. This might reflect intrinsic differences between the models studied, e.g., with regard to the production of factors cooperating with PDGF in recruitment of a fibroblast-rich stroma.

PDGF β-receptor activation has long been considered a candidate angiogenic stimulus in tumors based on the effects of PDGF in angiogenesis assays, e.g., rings of rat aorta, chick chorioallantoic membranes, and mouse corneal pocket assays (24, 25, 44). Several studies support the notion that PDGF receptor signaling in pericytes or endothelial cells also contributes to tumor angiogenesis (32–34). A recent study demonstrated that PDGF-BB expressed by tumor endothelial cells directly controls pericyte recruitment and that endothelial retention of PDGF-BB is required to keep the pericytes tightly vessel-associated (45). However, the consequences for vessel function and tumor growth of the different pericyte densities were not addressed. It is noteworthy that the PDGF-induced increase in pericyte abundance occurs in the absence of increased vessel density. In contrast, the PDGF-BB-producing tumors were characterized by a significantly decreased volumetric and surface vessel density at the late and early time point, respectively. This finding was not entirely unexpected because it has previously been demonstrated that a reduced tumor
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Fig. 6. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) production by B16 cells increases the pericyte abundance in tumors in a PDGF β-receptor-dependent manner. Pericyte quantification was performed in tumors from the 13- (A) and 17-day (B) studies after PDGF β-receptor (left panels) or α-smooth muscle actin (right panels) stainings. Pericyte nuclei around tumor vessels in wild-type mice (□) or Y739F/Y750F mice expressing an attenuated PDGF β-receptor (●) were counted in 10 randomly selected vision fields of 0.09 mm². Results are presented as mean ± SE. Analysis of statistical significance was performed by ANOVA; * P < 0.05.

Fig. 7. Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-BB or PDGF-DD production is not associated with increased vessel density. A and B, tumor blood vessel densities in tumors from the 13- and 17-day studies were characterized by stereological analysis after CD31 staining. C, sections from B16/ctr and B16/PDGF-B tumors from the 17-day study were also subjected to double staining with CD31 and α-smooth muscle actin antibodies, and the density of α-smooth muscle actin-positive cells associated with CD31-positive cells was quantified. All results are presented as mean ± SE. Analysis of statistical significance was performed by ANOVA; * P < 0.05.
vessel pericyte density is associated with increased vessel diameter and volumetric density (45). With regard to the effects of PDGF production during different phases of tumor growth, it can be noted that the effects of PDGF production were stronger at the later time point in this experimental system; e.g., the PDGF-DD-producing tumors showed significantly increased tumor growth and pericyte abundance only at the later time point (Figs. 4 and 6). The significance of these differences remains unclear but could possibly reflect a pericyte-mediated protection from regression of immature vessels that might occur to a larger extent during later phases of tumor growth. In the absence of increased vessel density in tumors derived from PDGF-transfected cells, the tumor-stromal growth-stimulatory effect of PDGF is best explained by functional rather than quantitative changes of the tumor vasculature. In a recent detailed characterization of tumor vessels in experimental tumors, different categories of tumor vessels were characterized (46). Nonperfused endothelial cell sprouts that lacked pericyte coverage were the least functional of these vessel categories. It is thus possible that the tumor growth-stimulatory effects of PDGF in the B16 model involve increased functionality of tumor vessels through a decrease in the fraction of nonperfused vessels. Alternatively, other aspects of the functionality of endothelial cells might be improved through maturation signals derived from pericytes. According to experimental therapy studies, the antiangiogenic effects of agents targeting endothelial cells are much more effective on immature vessels, which are characterized by low pericyte coverage (46, 47). These findings, together with the observations of the present study, suggest that a combination of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-targeting drugs with PDGF receptor inhibitors constitutes a rational combination for antiangiogenic tumor therapy. In support of this notion, therapeutic benefits were recently observed after combination of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor antagonists with STI571 in a genetic model of insulinoma, presumably through STI571-mediated targeting of PDGF receptors on pericytes (48, 49). In conclusion, our findings provide evidence for a role of tumor-derived PDGF-BB and PDGF-DD in pericyte recruitment to tumor vessels. Our data also suggest that increased pericyte density may improve tumor vessel functionality and enhance tumor growth rate. The therapeutic implications of these findings merit further investigations.
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