Expression Profile of Malignant and Nonmalignant Lesions of Esophagus and Stomach: Differential Activity of Functional Modules Related to Inflammation and Lipid Metabolism
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Abstract

Adenocarcinomas of stomach and esophagus are frequently associated with preceding inflammatory alterations of the normal mucosa. Whereas intestinal metaplasia of the gastric mucosa is associated with higher risk of malignization, Barrett’s disease is a risk factor for adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. Barrett’s disease is characterized by the substitution of the squamous mucosa of the esophagus by a columnar tissue classified histopathologically as intestinal metaplasia. Using cDNA microarrays, we determined the expression profile of normal gastric and esophageal mucosa as well as intestinal metaplasia and adenocarcinomas from both organs. Data were explored to define functional alterations related to the transformation from squamous to columnar epithelium and the malignant transformation from intestinal metaplasia to adenocarcinomas. Based on their expression profile, adenocarcinomas of the esophagus showed stronger correlation with intestinal metaplasia of the stomach than with Barrett’s mucosa. Second, we identified two functional modules, lipid metabolism and cytokine, as being altered with higher statistical significance. Whereas the lipid metabolism module is active in samples representing intestinal metaplasia and inactive in adenocarcinomas, the cytokine module is inactive in samples representing normal esophagus and esophagitis. Using the concept of relevance networks, we determined the changes in linear correlation of genes pertaining to these two functional modules. Exploitation of the data presented herein will help in the precise molecular characterization of adenocarcinoma from the distal esophagus, avoiding the topographical and descriptive classification that is currently adopted, and help with the proper management of patients with Barrett’s disease. (Cancer Res 2005; 65(16): 7127-36)

Introduction

Although alterations in tumor suppressors and oncogenes underlie the cell-autonomous defects that are characteristic of cancer, cross-talk between normal and neoplastic cells is increasingly recognized to influence various stages of carcinogenesis (1). Immune cells constitute a prominent component of the stroma and a functional link between chronic inflammation and cancer has also long been suspected for the development of many human tumors, including those affecting the liver, esophagus, stomach, large intestine, and urinary bladder (2). Unresolved inflammation elicits cell turnover in an effort to restore tissue homeostasis, which, together with carcinogen or phagocyte-induced DNA damage, can eventually culminate in cellular transformation. In this process, deregulated cytokine production and aberrant cytokine signaling can lead to altered cell growth, differentiation, and apoptosis (1, 3).

Adenocarcinomas of the distal esophagus and gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) have increased in Western countries over the last two decades. They are normally detected at an advanced stage and the patients have a correspondingly poor prognosis (4). Chronic symptomatic gastroesophageal reflux and Barrett’s esophagus are generally regarded to be important risk factors (5). In Barrett’s esophagus, squamous epithelium damaged by reflux esophagitis is replaced by a metaplastic intestinal-type epithelium that is predisposed to malignancy (6). It is estimated that Barrett’s esophagus is found in 10% to 16% of patients undergoing endoscopy for symptoms of gastroesophageal reflux disease and 1% to 3% of unselected patient populations undergoing endoscopy (7, 8). It carries a 30- to 125-fold increased risk for developing adenocarcinoma, with best estimates of cancer incidence of ~0.5% to 1.0% per year (9), highlighting the need for a clearer understanding of Barrett’s metaplasia and the factors involved in tumor progression.

Epidemiologic data have shown a strong association between chronic inflammation with metaplasia, such as Barrett’s esophagus and Helicobacter pylori–associated atrophic gastritis, and subsequent progression to neoplasia (10–12). The severe and prolonged reflux of gastric (acid, pepsin, and mucous) and duodenal (bile salts, trypsin, cholesterol, and lipase) contents can cause injury of the esophageal mucosa, initiating chronic inflammation and esophagitis. Inflammation in Barrett’s tissue can lead to an increase in oxidative stress, and if the level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) exceeds the antioxidant and DNA repair capacity of the tissue, the resultant oxidative stress could lead to promutagenic
DNA damage, including DNA adducts, strand breaks, and other lesions (13).

Gastric adenocarcinoma is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in the world (14). Epidemiologic studies have associated *H. pylori* infection with peptic ulcers, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma of the stomach, gastric atrophy, and distal gastric adenocarcinoma (15). The pathway from gastritis to gastric atrophy, dysplasia, and carcinoma is thought to be a multistep process probably triggered by free radicals within the gastric epithelium and increased exposure to luminal carcinogens (12). However, the risk for gastric cancer seems to be related to other genetic elements, including the *cag* pathogenicity island, the *VAC1* gene, and the *BABA2* gene; to the inflammatory responses governed by host genetics; and to specific interactions between host and microbial determinants (15).

A promising approach to exploit data generated by microarray is the idea that alterations in gene expression might manifest at the level of biological pathways or coregulated gene sets that share a common function rather than individual genes (16–19). A straightforward strategy for identifying the expression level of a set of genes corresponding to a specific pathway promises an integrated understanding of the process being studied, although the regulatory mechanisms of a cell are far from transparent in these data (19). Here, we describe the expression profile of normal and diseased tissues from the stomach and the esophagus and the identification of genes with altered expression in the different tissues under investigation and determine their interactions in biological processes.

### Materials and Methods

**Patients and tissue samples.** Patients were recruited at Hospital do Câncer A.C. Camargo (São Paulo, Brazil) during a 4-year period (2001-2004). All patients signed a preinformed consent and the study was approved by our institutional review board. Tissue samples were snap frozen in liquid nitrogen (when obtained by surgery) or collected in RNAlater (Ambion, Austin, TX). At the time of RNA extraction, diagnosis was confirmed by H&E staining. Frozen samples were hand dissected for removal of infiltrating inflammatory cells and enriched to have only intestinal metaplasia or Barrett’s mucosa or, in the case of adenocarcinomas, at least 70% of tumor cells. A total of 71 samples were analyzed: 39 esophagus and GEJ samples [9 normal esophageal mucosa, 6 esophagitis mucosa, 10 Barrett’s mucosa (4 for the long type and 6 for the short type), 5 adenocarcinomas of the esophagus, and 9 adenocarcinomas of the GEJ] and 32 stomach samples (6 normal body and antrum mucosa, 5 normal cardiac mucosa, 9 intestinal metaplasia mucosa, 7 samples of intestinal-type adenocarcinoma, and 5 samples of diffuse-type carcinoma). Detailed descriptions of tumor samples are presented on Tables 1 and 2. Esophagitis were obtained from patients with history of reflux and defined based on histopathology. For Barrett’s samples, diagnosis required evidence of intestinal metaplasia together with

### Table 1. Description of samples representing tumors from distal esophagus and adenocarcinomas of the GEJ

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>WHO Grade</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>Infiltration</th>
<th>Lymph node</th>
<th>TNM Stage</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Associated Barrett’s Metaplasia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GH852</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Fat tissue</td>
<td>12/38</td>
<td>T3N2M0</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH857</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Muscularis propria</td>
<td>1/25</td>
<td>T3N2M0</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH861</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Adventitia</td>
<td>6/29</td>
<td>T3N2M1b</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH865</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Fat tissue</td>
<td>30/43</td>
<td>T3N2M1b</td>
<td>IVB</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GH871</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>Submucosa</td>
<td>1/4</td>
<td>T3N2M0</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GF44</td>
<td>Pylorocardiac carcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Muscularis propria</td>
<td>3/11</td>
<td>T2N2M0</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC01</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated Middle-distal esophagus and GEJ</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Muscularis propria</td>
<td>10/24</td>
<td>T2N2M2</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BIO4653B</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC03</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Poorly differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>Adventitia</td>
<td>5/35</td>
<td>T2N2M0</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC05</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Muscularis propria</td>
<td>0/46</td>
<td>T2N2M0</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC07</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>Fat tissue</td>
<td>0/82</td>
<td>T2N2M0</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC09</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Well differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Muscularis propria</td>
<td>0/25</td>
<td>T2N2M0</td>
<td>IIIB</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH01</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Adventitia</td>
<td>3/17</td>
<td>T2N2M0</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CP03</td>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>Moderately differentiated GEJ</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** TNM, tumor-node-metastasis; NA, not applicable. We used the TNM classification for esophageal carcinomas.
tongues or segments of red columnar–appearing epithelium extending upwards from the GEJ. To define a lesion as adenocarcinomas of the esophagus, the bulk of the lesion was above the GEJ with associated Barrett’s mucosa in the nonneoplastic tissue. Adenocarcinoma of the GEJ was defined as a tumor involving esophagus and GEJ without Barrett’s mucosa. For the gastric adenocarcinoma samples, the Lauren’s classification was used (20).

Extraction, amplification, and labeling of the antisense RNAs. Total RNA was extracted using TRizol (Life Technologies, Inc., Grand Island, NY) and amplified by a T7-based protocol (21). As reference RNA, we used a pool of total RNA from disease-free fragment of esophageal mucosa. For replica hybridizations with dye swap, amplified RNA (3–8 μg) was added to synthetic antisense RNAs corresponding to internal controls and labeled with either Cy3-dTCP and Cy5-dCTP (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ) using random primer and SuperScript II (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). The quality of dye incorporation was determined as described elsewhere (22).

Hybridization and scanning of cDNA microarray. Glass arrays containing 4,800 cDNA sequences were prepared in our laboratory with the aid of the Flexys robot (Genomic Solutions, Cambridgeshire, United Kingdom; ref. 23). Prehybridization, hybridization, and washing were done as described previously (21) and slides were scanned on a laser scanner (ScanArray Express, Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences, Boston, MA). Data were extracted with ScanArray Express software using the histogram method.

Statistical analysis. For data analysis, we used R, an open source interpreted computer language for statistical computation and graphics and for tools from the Bioconductor project. After image acquisition and quantification, spots with signal lower or equal to background were excluded from the analysis. Background-subtracted spot intensities were normalized by loess using span equal to 0.4 and degree equal to 2.

The identification of differentially expressed genes, a nonparametric test (Mann-Whitney) was applied to determine the normalized by loess using span equal to 0.4 and degree equal to 2. excluded from the analysis. Background-subtracted spot intensities were quantified, spots with signal lower or equal to background were included in the analysis. For the identification of differentially expressed genes, we applied the concept of relevance networks. We made comprehensive pair-wise comparisons of genes within each functional module and determined their correlation coefficients. We then constructed a matrix in a heat map representation where green represents correlation of −1, red represents correlation of +1, and black indicates lack of correlation. To determine the statistical significance of the observed changes in correlation coefficients, when two distinct classes of samples were compared, we first applied the Fisher’s z transformation, where the correlation distance r is transformed into a new variable denoted as z (24) and the hypothesis test on the correlation is then applied with the new z variable.

Results

Identification of differentially expressed genes. To identify the genes with differential expression among tissues representing the various pathologies, we did pair-wise comparisons and selected the 50 genes with lowest P-value for each comparison leading to a nonredundant set of 958 genes. Based on the expression profile of these genes, samples were hierarchically clustered (Fig. 1A). Two main branches representing squamous and columnar tissues were obtained. The branch containing columnar tissues could be further divided into two groups, representing malignant and nonmalignant samples. The list of the 958 genes represented in Fig. 1A can be obtained as Supplementary Data.

Our data show that, at least at the molecular level, adenocarcinoma of the GEJ and adenocarcinoma of the esophagus have similar expression profile, with samples dispersed within the branch representing adenocarcinomas in the hierarchical clustering presented in Fig. 1A.

Based on the observed distinction between squamous and columnar tissues, we then identified the genes whose altered expression could best distinguish between these two groups of samples. Among the 30 genes with lowest P-values for this comparison (Fig. 1B), we identified a group of genes whose expression pattern are well-established markers for either squamous (KLK4, PPI, and KLF13) or columnar (TM4SF3, MUC13, CITED1, and CHK) tissues.
Considering the controversy regarding the nomenclature and classification of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus, we determined the linear correlation between adenocarcinomas of the esophagus with either intestinal metaplasia of the stomach or Barrett’s mucosa. We observed a stronger correlation between adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and intestinal metaplasia of the stomach ($R^2 = 0.9618$) than with Barrett’s mucosa ($R^2 = 0.9477$), with $P < 0.0001$ (figure available as Supplementary Data).

Analyses of functional modules. To analyze the impact of altered gene expression in functional modules, we first grouped the genes present in our array according to Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes and 17 modules were represented and listed as Supplementary Data. Two modules showed alterations with higher statistical significance: the process of lipid metabolism (the glycerolipid metabolism module) and the cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction module (figures available as Supplementary Data).

The glycerolipid metabolism module was active in 6 of 10 (60%) Barrett’s mucosa samples and 5 of 9 (55.5%) stomach intestinal metaplasia samples. It was inactive in 7 of 14 (50%) samples of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus and GEJ and 3 of 7 (43%) intestinal type of gastric adenocarcinoma samples. The data describing the scores for each gene in each functional module as well as the status of each functional module in each sample group can be obtained at the Web site with Supplementary Data.

We used the nonsupervised K-means algorithm to group samples according to the expression profile of the genes belonging to either module. For both modules, glycerolipid (Fig. 2) or cytokine (figure available as Supplementary Data), there were a near-perfect separation of samples when we used $K = 3$, with one cluster representing normal squamous tissues (blue bar), one cluster representing nonmalignant columnar tissues (brown bar), and one cluster representing adenocarcinomas of the stomach and esophagus (red bar). In each cluster, samples and genes were ordered according to their hierarchical distance as indicated by the dendrograms.

Identifying genes responsible for activation/inactivation of functional modules. As described by Segal et al. (19), only a subset of genes belonging to a given functional module may contribute to its activation or inactivation. Hence, the identification of active/inactive status of a given module was based on the fraction of genes with high score and low $P$ as described in Materials and Methods. For the cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction module, these genes were IL1R2, CCL20, CCL18, INHBA, IL4R, and IFNAR2 (Fig. 3A), and for the glycerolipid metabolism module, they were AKR1B10, ALDH3A2, ADH1B, CDS1, and DGKQ (Fig. 3B).

The expression of IL1R2 and IFNAR2 showed a diminished expression for normal esophageal and esophagitis tissue when compared with intestinal metaplasia of the stomach and Barrett’s disease ($P < 0.0001$, for both genes, Mann-Whitney). Likewise, adenocarcinomas of stomach or esophagus, including those from...
GEJ, showed lower expression of IL1R2 and IFNAR2 when compared with tissues representing intestinal metaplasia ($P < 0.0001$). CCL20, CCL18, and IL4R genes showed lower expression in normal esophageal and esophagitis when compared with tissues representing intestinal metaplasia ($P = 0.001, 0.002$, and $0.007$, respectively). The INHBA gene showed a distinct profile with overexpression only for adenocarcinomas tissues ($P < 0.0001$, compared with intestinal metaplasia tissues; Fig. 3A).

The genes responsible for activation of the glycerolipid metabolism module (Fig. 3B) showed lower expression in samples representing adenocarcinomas of esophagus and GEJ or intestinal-type gastric adenocarcinoma when compared with intestinal metaplasia tissues ($P < 0.0001$ for AKR1B10, ALDH3A2, ADH1B, and CDS1 and $P = 0.001$ for DGKQ).

Relevance networks within the cytokine and glycerolipid modules. Finally, we determined the linear correlation for all pairs of genes within the cytokine and glycerolipid modules and statistical significance of the change in the linear correlation when samples representing intestinal metaplasia were compared with adenocarcinomas. In Fig. 4, we represent in a heat map, the linear correlation for genes belonging to the glycerolipid module for Barrett’s mucosa (left) and for adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and GEJ (right). By following a given line, one can identify all genes that have a positive correlation (red squares) or negative correlation (green squares) with that particular gene. In Fig. 5, the heat maps represent the statistical significance of changes in correlation for the glycerolipid module when intestinal metaplasia and adenocarcinoma of the stomach of the intestinal type (right) or Barrett’s disease and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and GEJ (left) are compared. The figure representing these changes for the cytokine module as well as the raw data for linear correlation for all pairs of genes for each condition and the $P$s for the represented comparisons can be obtained at the Web site as Supplementary Data.

To illustrate how the data represented in Fig. 5 could be used to generate data-oriented hypothesis, we represented in Fig. 6 the changes in linear correlation of two pairs of genes pertaining to the glycerolipid metabolism when intestinal metaplasia and adenocarcinomas of the stomach ($CDS1 \times PLD2$; Fig. 6A) or Barrett’s mucosa and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus or GEJ ($CPT1A \times GCN5L2$; Fig. 6B) were compared.

Discussion

Intestinal metaplasia of the stomach or the distal esophagus, named Barrett’s disease, are both considered as lesions with higher risk of malignization to adenocarcinoma and also both arise as a consequence of inflammatory stimuli (10–12). From a collection of 4,595 cDNA sequences representing full-length human genes, we selected a nonredundant set of 958 genes representing those with lowest $P$s for pair-wise comparisons. Based on the expression profiles of these genes, it was possible to discriminate two main groups of samples representing squamous and columnar tissues. Samples representing normal esophageal mucosa and esophagitis showing very similar molecular profiles were all grouped in a single branch (Fig. 1A). Recently, we described molecular signatures for gastric samples (25), and no distinction between normal gastric mucosa and gastritis also could be observed, suggesting that, apart from the presence of inflammatory cells that are removed before RNA extraction, no major changes in gene expression are triggered by the inflammatory stimuli also in the esophagus mucosa.

Classification of adenocarcinoma of the GEJ is controversial, with some authors classifying them as gastric tumors, others as esophageal tumors, and yet a third group that suggests a separate classification based on the location of the main portion of the lesion (26). Because the outcome for adenocarcinoma of the GEJ does not seem to be related to the affected area (27), we would like to suggest that the critical issue is to define its origin, which could help in defining better strategies for follow-up of patients with Barrett’s disease, diagnosis, and treatment. As shown in the far right branch of Fig. 1A, all but one adenocarcinoma of the GEJ cluster together with adenocarcinomas of the stomach, mainly of the intestinal type. In contrast, adenocarcinomas classified as from the esophagus (those with the majority of the tumor mass above the GEJ and with Barrett’s mucosa at its upper margin) were dispersed among the malignant diseases, indicating the absence of a common expression profile. Considering the fact that, as in the classification proposed by Rudiger et al. (27), the vast majority of adenocarcinomas affecting the distal esophagus also affect the GEJ and that, rarely, one can detect tumor-free Barrett’s mucosa between the GEJ and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus, it is tempting to speculate that adenocarcinomas of

![Image](image-url.com)
the esophagus could originate at the cardia invading the esophagus from below, taking advantage of the rich lymphatic drainage that goes from the cardia upwards. This hypothesis is further supported by data demonstrating a stronger correlation between adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and intestinal metaplasia of the stomach than with Barrett’s mucosa \((P < 0.0001; \text{figure available as Supplementary Data})\).

Considering the transition from squamous to columnar tissue, it would be helpful to determine the molecular changes that are associated with this event. As depicted in Fig. 1B, squamous and columnar tissues could be precisely grouped based on their differential expression profile. Among the genes, \(LAD1\), \(KRT4\), and \(PPL\) showed increased expression in squamous tissues. The protein ladinin, encoded by the gene \(LAD1\), is a component of

Figure 3. Identification of individual genes contributing to alterations of functional modules and their expression levels in samples of stomach and esophagus. A, expression profile of the six genes with highest score and lower \(P\)s for the cytokine module along all sample groups. B, expression profile of the five genes with highest score and lower \(P\)s for the glycerolipid metabolism module along all sample groups. Statistical significance for the observed differences was determined by Mann-Whitney.
the basement membranes and may function in contributing to the stability of the association of the epithelial layers with the underlying mesenchyme (28). Cytokeratin 4, encoded by the gene KRT4, is expressed by the suprabasal layer of nonkeratinized squamous epithelia, such as esophagus (29). Finally, periplakin, encoded by the gene PPL, is a component of the desmosomes of keratinocytes (30).

In contrast, the gene MUC13 was shown to be expressed at highest levels in columnar epithelia, predominantly in the gastrointestinal track with the protein localized at the apical

Figure 4. Heat map of relevance networks for genes pertaining to glycerolipid metabolism. The linear correlation of all pairs of genes pertaining to the functional module representing glycerolipid metabolism was determined for Barrett’s mucosa (left) and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and GEJ (right). Red squares, pairs of genes with positive correlation; green squares, pairs of genes with negative correlation; brighter colors, stronger correlations.

Figure 5. Heat map of relevance networks for genes with statistically significant changes in correlation when nonmalignant and malignant samples were compared. The changes in linear correlation for genes pertaining to glycerolipid metabolism were determined as described in Materials and Methods. Comparison for intestinal metaplasia of the stomach and gastric adenocarcinomas of the intestinal type is on the left and Barrett’s mucosa and adenocarcinomas of the esophagus and GEJ on the right. Black squares, changes with lowest significance; red squares, changes with highest significance.
membrane of columnar cells (31). Hence, the changes in the expression pattern of the remaining genes listed in Fig. 1B deserve further investigation and might help in the understanding of the changes related to the appearance of Barrett’s mucosa.

Recently, Segal et al. (19) described a method of global analysis of gene expression that allows for construction of a map representing the status of functional modules based on existing biological knowledge. Functional modules are represented by gene sets whose functions are related and their status as active or inactive is determined by the expression of that particular gene set.

Using gene sets from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes, we defined 17 functional modules represented in our array, and based on the expression profile of 71 samples tested here, we constructed heat maps representing the functional modules as being active or inactive in each individual sample as well as in sample groups. Two modules showed changes with higher statistical significance: the glycerolipid metabolism module and the cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction module.

Confirming the significance of changes in the expression of genes pertaining to these two modules, we obtained a near-perfect separation of squamous, nonmalignant columnar and malignant columnar tissues when we applied a nonsupervised algorithm (K-means, with K = 3) for clustering samples based on the expression profile of these genes (Fig. 2; Supplementary Data). The genes that gave significance to the changes observed in these two modules are listed as Supplementary Data and their expression levels in the various pathologies are presented in Fig. 3A and B.

It has to be mentioned that activation of cell cycle and integrin-mediated cell adhesion modules was observed in adenocarcinomas of the GEJ and diffuse type of gastric adenocarcinoma, respectively. The hallmark of diffuse-type gastric carcinoma is the lack of glandular organization with spreading of tumor cells through the parenchyma (32–34), implying the need for extracellular matrix destruction. We (25) and others (35) showed that diffuse-type adenocarcinomas of the stomach have an increased expression of matrix metalloprotease-2 as well as other tissue remodeling proteins.

There are several possible mechanisms by which chronic inflammation may lead to metaplasia and neoplastic change in epithelial cells (36). ROS have been identified at high levels in ulcerated gastroesophageal (36) and H. pylori gastritis mucosa (12). When ROS are generated in inflamed tissues, they lead to damage of macromolecules, such as DNA, proteins, and lipids, and this effect is exacerbated in rapidly dividing cells as, for example, during tissue repair (37). Moreover, ROS attack to lipids induces lipid peroxidation and subsequent alteration of mitochondrial respiratory chain. This event in turn reinforces ROS production and the generation of aldehyde 4-hydroxy-2-nonenal, which is highly reactive and stimulates additional ROS formation and DNA damage. Hence, the regulation of lipid peroxidation needs to be tightly regulated and that involves the participation of prooxidative and reductive enzymes (38). Thus, it is not unexpected that the glycerolipid metabolism is active in both intestinal metaplasia and Barrett’s mucosa with higher expression of AKR1B10, ALDH3A2, and ADH1B compared with adenocarcinomas of both stomach and esophagus. Whereas the first two enzymes play a key role in detoxification of reactive aldehydes generated by food digestion (39) or during alcohol metabolism and lipid peroxidation (40), ADH1B is necessary for the metabolism of ethanol, retinol, and products of lipid peroxidation (41).

For the genes belonging to the module representing cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, we observed high levels of mRNA in samples representing intestinal metaplasia and Barrett’s mucosa with the exception of INHBA, which was overexpressed only in samples representing adenocarcinomas. This gene was previously reported to be overexpressed in pancreatic tumors (42) and plays a key role during development (43).

IL-1 is a proinflammatory cytokine whose expression level is associated with the risk of adenocarcinomas of the stomach. A polymorphism in the IL1B gene or in the IL1RN gene that encodes the IL-1 receptor antagonist was associated with higher IL-B
secretion leading to hypochloridria, increased gastric secretion, atrophic gastritis, and gastric adenocarcinomas. IL-1 binds to two types of receptors on the cell membrane, the type I (IL-1R1) and type II (IL-1R2) receptors. The IL-1R2 is a natural antagonist of IL-1 because it competes with IL-1R1 for the ligand but does not trigger a functional signaling. On binding of IL-1, the IL-1R2 interacts with IL1RaCP subtracting the coreceptor molecule from the signaling complex triggered by IL-1R1 (44). Hence, the overexpression of IL-1R2 observed in samples of intestinal metaplasia seems to be a defense mechanism to compensate the increased expression of IL-1 triggered by the inflammatory stimuli; conversely, the diminished expression of IL-1R2 observed in samples representing adenocarcinomas would represent a failure in this protective mechanism, allowing for the deleterious effects of higher IL-1.

Finally, we applied the concept of relevance networks to determine statistically significant alterations in the linear correlation of genes pertaining to the two functional modules when tissue samples with intestinal metaplasia and adenocarcinomas were compared. Associations weaker than threshold strength were flagged in the heat map leading networks of highly correlated genes. From data presented on Fig. 4 (and data presented as Supplementary Data), one can now select a small set of genes that are linked above threshold and define new hypotheses for the malignant transformation of intestinal metaplasia to adenocarcinomas.

In the examples presented in Fig. 6a and b, two pairs of genes belonging to the representing glycerolipid metabolism functional module are depicted. As shown by Obici et al. (45), CPT1 is a key enzyme in controlling lipid oxidation, which in turn signals nutrient availability to the hypothalamus. On the other hand, it has been shown that GCN5L2 have histone acetyltransferase activity (46). Considering the fact that cancer cells have augmented energy consumption, reduced CPT1 activity could be compensated by increased transcriptional activity favored by higher levels of GCN5L2. This kind of analysis was proven useful to identify genes that change their expression behavior when distinct biological conditions are compared regardless of the statistical differences in their level of expression. More importantly, it allows for the discovery of gene sets that might function in an orchestrated manner that would not be revealed otherwise. These changes in correlation when associated to a given biological process, such as resistance to chemotherapy (47, 48) or oncogenic transformation, as in our case, could bring new insights to the mechanism of malignant transformation of intestinal metaplasia into adenocarcinomas.

Nevertheless, specific hypotheses linking genes to a specific biological phenomenon still suffer from the lack of biological information and precise annotation of metabolic pathways compared with the amount of information regarding gene expression, and overfitting cannot be ruled out without performing the necessary specific biological experiments.
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