Cyclooxygenase-2 Functions as a Downstream Mediator of Lysophosphatidic Acid to Promote Aggressive Behavior in Ovarian Carcinoma Cells
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Abstract

Elevated levels of the bioactive lipid lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) are detectable in the majority of patients with both early- and late-stage ovarian cancer, suggesting that LPA promotes early events in ovarian carcinoma dissemination. LPA contributes to the development, progression, and metastasis of ovarian cancer in part by inducing the expression of genes that contribute to proliferation, survival, or invasion, including cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2). We have previously shown that LPA promotes proMMP-2 activation and MMP-2–dependent migration and invasion in ovarian cancer cells. The purpose of the current study was to determine whether the effect of LPA on acquisition of the metastatic phenotype in ovarian cancer cells is mediated via a COX-2–dependent mechanism. Immunohistochemical analysis of 173 ovarian tumors showed strong COX-2 immunoreactivity in 63% of tumor specimens, including 50% of borderline tumors. LPA increased COX-2 protein expression in a time- and concentration-dependent manner in two of three immortalized borderline ovarian epithelial cells as well as in four of six ovarian cancer cell lines. This was accomplished by both activation of the Edg/LPA receptor and LPA-mediated transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor, which increased COX-2 expression via the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. COX-2 also played a role in LPA-induced invasion and migration, as treatment with the COX-2 specific inhibitor NS-398 reduced LPA-induced proMMP-2 protein expression and activation and blocked MMP-dependent motility and invasive activity. These data show that COX-2 functions as a downstream mediator of LPA to potentiate aggressive cellular behavior. (Cancer Res 2005; 65(6): 2234–42)

Introduction

Ovarian cancer is the leading cause of death from gynecologic disease in the United States. Each year, ~24,000 women are newly diagnosed and 14,000 women will die from ovarian cancer. Most women are diagnosed at stage III or IV after the ovarian tumor has spread throughout the abdominal cavity, necessitating an understanding of the mechanisms supporting ovarian cancer invasion and metastasis (1). Lysophosphatidic acid (LPA) contributes to the development, progression, and metastasis of ovarian cancer and is increased in both the plasma and ascites of ovarian cancer patients, reaching concentrations of 80 μmol/L (2–4). Ovarian tumor cells also produce LPA, thereby maintaining an LPA-rich microenvironment (2, 5–8). Elevated LPA levels are detectable in 98% of patients with ovarian cancer, including 90% of patients with stage I disease, suggesting that LPA promotes early events in ovarian carcinoma dissemination. This is supported by studies demonstrating that treatment of ovarian tumor cells with LPA in vitro results in an enhanced metastatic phenotype, characterized by increased proteolytic activity, stimulation of motility, and more aggressive invasive behavior (9, 10). LPA also induces the expression of additional genes that contribute to proliferation, survival, or metastasis, including c-myc, vascular endothelial growth factor, interleukin-8, and cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2; refs. 5, 9–14).

The main function of COX-2 is to catalyze the rate-limiting step in prostaglandin synthesis from arachidonic acid, generating prostaglandin H2 that is subsequently converted to prostaglandin E2 and other prostaglandins (15). Although not constitutively expressed, COX-2 expression is induced by growth factors, cytokines, and tumor promoters and is inducible in most cells and tissues (16–18). COX-2 contributes to tumorigenesis by changing the levels of pro- and antiapoptotic factors to inhibit apoptosis, by increasing growth factor expression to promote angiogenesis, and by increasing matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) expression to enhance invasiveness (18). Data supporting a role for COX-2 in ovarian physiology and pathobiology are complex, with opposing reports of COX-2 prevalence in normal human ovarian tissue (19–22). COX-2 expression has only been observed in the corpus luteum during menstruation (20), but COX-2 inhibition delays and blocks ovulation in humans (23, 24) and mice genetically deficient in COX-2 also fail to ovulate (25), suggesting COX-2 activity is essential for normal ovarian function. COX-2 induction is thought to be necessary for the rupture of the preovulatory follicle and subsequent release of oocytes during ovulation. It has been speculated that COX-2 or prostaglandins may increase collagenase and proteolytic activity and decrease synthesis of basement membrane components in ovarian granulosal and surface epithelial cells, permitting ovulation (26, 27). In addition, COX-2 expression has been reported in benign, borderline, and malignant ovarian tumors (19, 21, 22, 28, 29) wherein it is associated with chemotherapy resistance and a poor survival rate (30). Ascites from ovarian cancer patients contain elevated levels of prostaglandin E2 compared with nonmalignant ascites or ascites from other carcinomas (19), further supporting a role for COX-2.
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in ovarian pathobiology. A specific role for COX-2 in regulating ovarian cancer metastasis has not been reported, although it was recently shown that COX-2 staining is significantly higher in metastatic ovarian tumors (22).

The current study was undertaken to test the hypothesis that LPA promotes the metastatic phenotype of ovarian cancer cells via a COX-2–dependent mechanism. Evaluation of human ovarian tumors showed positive COX-2 immunoreactivity in 98% of cases, with 70% displaying moderate to high-level expression, including 50% of borderline ovarian tumors. Treatment of ovarian tumor cells with LPA in vitro induced COX-2 protein expression in a time- and concentration-dependent manner, whereas COX-1 expression was not affected. In addition to signaling via Edg/LPA receptors, LPA-induced transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) increased COX-2 expression via the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. Inhibition of COX-2 activity decreased proMMP-2 expression and LPA-induced proMMP-2 activation and reduced MMP-dependent motility and invasion. These data show that COX-2 functions as a downstream mediator of LPA to potentiate aggressive cellular behavior in ovarian carcinoma cells.

Materials and Methods

Materials. LPA [1-octadecyl-2-hydroxy-sn-glycerol-3-phosphate (sodium salt)] was purchased from Avanti (Alabaster, AL) in solution in chloroform. The chloroform was allowed to evaporate at room temperature and the LPA was reconstituted in PBS (Cellgro, Mediatech, Herndon, VA) at a concentration of 2 mmol/L. COX-2 inhibitor, NS-398, was purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI) and suspended in DMSO at a concentration of 50 mmol/L. Pertussis toxin was purchased from Biomol (Plymouth Meeting, PA) and was reconstituted in sterile water at a concentration of 100 μg/mL. AG1478 was purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, CA) and suspended in DMSO at a concentration of 10 mmol/L. PD580509 was purchased from Calbiochem and suspended in DMSO at a concentration of 50 mmol/L. COX-2 and COX-1 monoclonal antibodies as well as COX-2 (ovine) and COX-1 (ovine) electrophoresis standards were purchased from Cayman Chemical. EGFR antibody and a Phospho-EGFR Receptor Antibody Sampler Kit were purchased from Cell Signaling (Beverly, MA) and a mixture of the four phosphoEGFR antibodies (specific to residues Tyr845, Tyr992, Tyr1045, and Tyr1068) was used for detection of activated EGFR. Total extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 antibodies were purchased from Cayman Chemical (Ann Arbor, MI) and was reconstituted in sterile water at a concentration of 2 mmol/L. Tyr845, Tyr992, Tyr1045, and Tyr1068 was used for detection of activated EGFR. Total extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA). Peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin G was purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling. Matrigel was purchased from Becton Dickinson (San Jose, CA). Falcon HTS Fluoroblok Insert Systems (8 μm pore size) were purchased from Becton Dickinson. Calcein acetoxyethyl ester was purchased from Molecular Probes (Eugene, OR). A Quantikine Human/Mouse MMP-2 (total) Immuonassay kit was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN).

Immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemical analysis was done retrospectively on tumor tissue microarrays prepared by the Pathology Core Facility of the Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center at Northwestern University assembled from tissue originally taken for routine diagnostic purposes. The microarray tissue specimens included human ovarian carcinomas (77 serous, 45 endometroid, 9 mucinous, and 18 clear cell types). Samples were cut 3 to 4 μm thick and deparaffinized. The sections were dehydrated through a series of graded alcohols and then cleared in chloroform. The chloroform was allowed to evaporate at room temperature and the LPA solution was added. The gel was washed for 2 hours in 2.5% Triton X-100, incubated at 37°C for 10 minutes, and stained with Coomassie blue. Enzyme activity was indicated by zones of gelatin clearance in the gel.

Gelatin Zymography. DOV13 cells were serum starved overnight and pretreated with NS-398 (50-100 μmol/L) or DMSO vehicle control for 2 to 3 hours before incubation with 30 μmol/L LPA for 24 additional hours. Conditioned media (25 μL) was electrophoresed under nonreducing conditions on a 9% SDS-polyacrylamide gel containing 0.1% gelatin. The gel was washed for 2 hours in 2.5% Triton X-100, incubated at 37°C in 20 mmol/L Tris (pH 8.3), 10 mmol/L CaCl2, 1 μmol/L ZnCl2 for 65 to 72 hours, and stained with Coomassie blue. Enzyme activity was indicated by zones of gelatin clearance in the gel.

Matrix Metalloproteinase–2 ELISA. DOV13 cells were serum starved overnight, and pretreated with NS-398 (50-100 μmol/L) or DMSO vehicle control for 2 to 3 hours before incubation with 30 μmol/L LPA for 24 additional hours. Conditioned media was then analyzed with the Quantikine human/mouse MMP-2 (total) immunoassay kit (R&D Systems).
COX-2 staining was observed in the stromal compartment. Strong significant differences in high (3+ or 2+) COX-2 expression between expression was also elevated in borderline ovarian tumors (50%) tumors displayed high COX-2 expression (Fig. 1).

In vitro Wound Scratch Assay. DOV13 cells were plated in eight-well plates, cultured to confluence, and serum starved overnight. Two scratch wounds were made in each well using a micropipette tip. The cells were then treated with NS-398 and LPA as indicated. DMSO concentrations remained constant within each experiment. Two points were randomly selected, marked for each scratch, and photographed using a digital camera at 0, 24, and 48 hours. Five relative measurements were taken for each of the four points for each experimental condition using the MetaMorph Imaging System (Universal Imaging Corporation, Downingtown, PA). These resulting five measurements for each point were averaged and then normalized based on the initial measurement for that point at 0 hour. The four normalized values were then averaged for each experimental condition. The data include results from three separate assays.

Matrigel Invasion Assay. Matrigel (50 µL of 0.1 mg/mL) was added to each chamber of the Falcon HTS Fluoroblok Insert System and left to dry overnight. DOV13 cells were serum starved overnight in serum-free MEM, trypsinized, and resuspended in phenol red–free medium at a concentration of 500,000 cells/mL in the presence of NS-398 or DMSO as indicated. Cells (250,000 cells in 500 µL) were then added to the top chamber of the HTS Fluoroblok Insert System with serum-free, phenol red–free MEM (500 µL) in the bottom chamber. After 1 hour, LPA (30 µmol/L) was added and chambers were incubated for 48 hours before labeling with calcein acetoxymethyl ester (100 µL, final concentration 5 µg/mL) for 30 minutes at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Relative invasion was quantified by analysis of the fluorescent signal for the bottom chamber using a Wallac 1420 Victor2 multilabel plate reader (Perkin-Elmer, Shelton, CT). Assays were done in triplicate and analyzed relative to blank wells containing only medium.

Results

Analysis of Cyclooxygenase-2 Expression in Human Ovarian Cells and Tissues. Samples from 173 patients were examined for COX-2, COX-1, and cytokeratin-7 immunoreactivity. Of these samples, 77 (45%) were serous carcinoma, 45 (26%) were endometroid carcinoma, 18 (10%) were clear cell carcinomas, 9 (5%) were mucinous carcinomas, and 24 (14%) were borderline tumors. The vast majority of ovarian tumors (98%) displayed positive COX-2 immunoreactivity. COX-2 expression was high (3+ or 2+) in 63% of patients compared with 39% with high COX-1 staining (Table 1). A representative example of a serous ovarian tumor with intense COX-2 immunoreactivity is shown relative to COX-1 and keratin 7 in Fig. 1A-D. Of note, 8 of 9 (89%) mucinous tumors displayed high COX-2 expression (Fig. 1E-H). COX-2 expression was also elevated in borderline ovarian tumors (50%) relative to 29% displaying high COX-1 staining (Fig. 1I-L; Table 1). Significant differences in high (3+ or 2+) COX-2 expression between borderline and other tumors were not observed. No significant COX-2 staining was observed in the stromal compartment. Strong COX-2 positivity (3+ or 2+) was not differentially distributed according to Fédération Internationale des Gynécologues et Obstétristes stage or histotype.

LPA levels are often elevated in both the plasma and ascites of ovarian cancer patients wherein it has been reported to contribute to ovarian cancer development, progression, and metastasis (2–4). As plasma and ascites LPA levels were not available from the patient population above, the effect of LPA on COX-2 expression was examined in several ovarian cell lines, including immortalized borderline ovarian carcinoma cells (HuOSBT-1.5, HuOSBT-2.2, and HuOSBT-3.3) and several malignant ovarian carcinoma cell lines (OVCA 429, OVCA 433, CaOV-3, OVCAR3, SKOV3, and DOV13). With the exception of the CaOV-3 cells, all ovarian carcinoma cell lines are known to be isolated from the ascites of distinct patients. Cells were treated with 30 µmol/L LPA for 3 hours and the basal and induced levels of COX-2 protein were analyzed by Western blotting relative to a COX-2 standard (Fig. 2). In borderline ovarian carcinoma cells, HuOSBT-1.5 and HuOSBT-3.3 cells expressed low basal levels of COX-2 that were greatly increased with LPA treatment, whereas HuOSBT-2.2 cells expressed high basal levels of COX-2 that were unchanged with the addition of LPA. Differential responses were observed in the six malignant ovarian carcinoma cell lines, with four of the six cell lines responding to LPA treatment by induction of COX-2 (Fig. 2; OVCA429, OVCA433, CaOV-3, and DOV13). Neither SKOV-3 nor OVCAR3 cells expressed detectable constitutive or LPA-inducible COX-2. As shown in a representative example using DOV13 cells, induction of COX-2 was time dependent, reaching a maximum at 2 to 4 hours following LPA treatment (Fig. 3A). Induction was observed at low LPA concentrations (10 µmol/L) and was positively regulated by increasing LPA concentration (Fig. 3B). No constitutive or LPA-inducible COX-1 expression was observed in the DOV13 cells under these conditions (Fig. 3C).

LPA transduces signals via the endothelial differentiation gene Edg/LPA subfamily of G protein–coupled receptors leading to changes in adenylate cyclase activity, activation of the Ras-Raf-Erk pathway, and stimulation of phospholipases C and D (5, 14). With the exception of OVCAR3 and SKOV3, expression of Edg/LPA receptor family members has not been characterized in these cell lines. Treatment of cells with LPA in the presence of pertussis toxin decreased COX-2 induction (Fig. 4A, top, lane 3), implicating LPA-dependent signaling through Gi protein–coupled receptors in this process (3). Activation of EGFR family members has also been reported to induce COX-2 expression (34, 35). Further, recent studies have implicated LPA in EGFR transactivation via both pertussis toxin–sensitive and –insensitive pathways (36–40). Treatment of DOV13 cells with LPA under serum-free conditions induced phosphorylation of EGFR (Fig. 4A, middle), showing that LPA can transactivate EGFR in DOV13 cells. LPA-mediated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histotype</th>
<th>COX-2</th>
<th></th>
<th>COX-1</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3+</td>
<td>2+</td>
<td>1+</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous (77)</td>
<td>30 (39%)</td>
<td>25 (32%)</td>
<td>19 (25%)</td>
<td>3 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometroid (45)</td>
<td>25 (56%)</td>
<td>11 (24%)</td>
<td>9 (20%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear Cell (18)</td>
<td>7 (39%)</td>
<td>3 (17%)</td>
<td>7 (39%)</td>
<td>1 (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous (9)</td>
<td>5 (56%)</td>
<td>3 (33%)</td>
<td>3 (11%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borderline (24)</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>6 (25%)</td>
<td>12 (50%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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transactivation of EGFR was pertussis toxin insensitive (Fig. 4A, middle, lane 3). Cotreatment with LPA and the EGFR-specific tyrosine kinase inhibitor AG1478 also abrogated the ability of LPA to induce COX-2 expression (Fig. 4A, top, lane 4). Similar results were obtained following inhibition of the Ras/mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway using the mitogen-activated protein/extracellular signal-regulated kinase kinase inhibitor PD98059 (Fig. 4B). Combined treatment of DOV13 cells with LPA, pertussis

**Figure 1.** Immunohistochemical expression of COX-1, COX-2, and cytokeratin-7 in ovarian tumor samples. Samples were stained with antibodies to COX-2 (1:200; A, E, I), COX-1 (1:50; B, F, J), cytokeratin-7 (CK7, 1:200; C, G, K) or H&E (H + E; D, H, L) as detailed in Materials and Methods. A-D, serous carcinoma; E-H, mucinous carcinoma; I-L, borderline tumor.

**Figure 2.** Effect of LPA on COX-2 expression in ovarian cells. Cells were cultured as indicated in Materials and Methods, serum starved overnight, and cultured in the presence or absence of 30 μmol/L LPA for 3 hours. Cell lysates [50 μg for all cell lines except OVCAR3 (30 μg)] were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and probed with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000 dilution), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-2 standard (50 ng) was included as a control.
COX-1 standard (50 ng) was included as a control. Immunoblotted with anti-COX-1 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-2 standard (50 ng) was included as a control. B, DOV13 cells were cultured for 3 hours in the presence of increasing concentrations of LPA as indicated. Lysates (80 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-2 standard (50 ng) was included as a control (not shown). C, DOV13 cells were cultured for 3 hours in the presence of increasing concentrations of LPA, as indicated. Lysates (65 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-1 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-1 standard (50 ng) was included as a control.

Functional Effect of Cyclooxygenase-2 Inhibition on Lysophosphatidic Acid–Induced Aggressive Behavior. Separate studies have implicated either LPA or COX-2 in expression and changes in proMMP-2 processing were evaluated via gelatin zymography. As previously reported using LPA concentrations as low as 2.5 to 5 μM/L (9), LPA stimulates activation of proMMP-2, as indicated by the appearance of a lower molecular weight band representative of the activated form of the enzyme (Fig. 5, lane 2, arrow). Treatment with NS-398 blocked the ability of LPA to induce proMMP-2 activation in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 5A, lanes 3–5), implicating COX-2 as a mediator of LPA-induced MMP-2 activation. At higher concentrations (100 μM/L), down-regulation of proMMP-2 protein expression was also observed (Fig. 5, lane 5) although no effect on cell viability was evident (data not shown). This was confirmed by an MMP-2 ELISA, showing a decrease in total MMP-2 (pro and active) expression in the presence of NS-398 (Fig. 5B, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005), indicating that COX-2 modulates pericellular proteolytic potential via regulation of both proMMP-2 expression and activation. No change in either membrane type 1 MMP (MMP-14) or tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 was observed (data not shown).

LPA has been previously reported to potentiate the motility and invasiveness of ovarian cancer cells (9, 44, 45). Similarly, COX-2 activity is necessary for enhanced migration and

Figure 3. LPA induces COX-2 expression in a time- and concentration-dependent manner. A, DOV13 cells were cultured in the presence of 30 μM/L LPA for time points indicated. Lysates (65 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-2 standard (30 ng) was included as a control. B, DOV13 cells were cultured for 3 hours in the presence of increasing concentrations of LPA as indicated. Lysates (80 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-2 standard (50 ng) was included as a control (not shown). C, DOV13 cells were cultured for 3 hours in the presence of increasing concentrations of LPA, as indicated. Lysates (65 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-1 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-1 standard (50 ng) was included as a control.

Figure 4. LPA induces COX-2 expression via Edg/LPA receptor and EGFR transactivation. A, DOV13 cells were pretreated with pertussis toxin (PTX, 100 ng/mL) for 2 hours before addition of LPA (30 μM/L) for 3 hours. Lysates (50 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000), anti-phosphoEGFR mixture (1:1,000) or anti-total EGFR (1:1,000) as indicated, followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. OVC433 cells treated with EGF (20 ng/mL) served as a positive control for EGFR phosphorylation (middle). B, DOV13 cells were pretreated with the mitogen-activated protein/extracellular signal-regulated kinase inhibitor PD98059 (50 μM/L) before the addition of LPA (30 μM/L) for 3 hours. Lysates (75 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000), anti-phosphoEGFR mixture (1:1,000) or anti-total EGFR (1:1,000) as indicated, followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. OVC433 cells treated with EGF (20 ng/mL) served as a positive control for EGFR phosphorylation (middle). C, DOV13 cells were treated with EGF (30 ng/mL) and/or LPA (30 μM/L) for 4 hours. Lysates (70 μg) were electrophoresed on an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel, electroblotted to PVDF membrane, and immunoblotted with anti-COX-2 (1:1,000), followed by peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibody (1:5,000) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection. A COX-2 standard (50 ng) was included as a control (not shown). The blot was stripped and reprobed with anti-total extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK 1/2; 1:2,000) to verify equal loading.
Covarian cancer cell invasive activity (Fig. 6) COX-2 activity abrogated the stimulatory effect of LPA on spreading of cancer cells and endothelial cells (46–49), and overexpression of COX-2 enhances invasiveness of colon carcinoma cells (41). To determine whether the LPA-induced increase in motility and invasiveness in ovarian cancer cells requires COX-2 activity, an artificial wound was created in confluent cultures of DOV13 cells and the effect of COX-2 inhibition on LPA-stimulated wound closure was evaluated. Inhibition of COX-2 activity blocked LPA-stimulated wound closure in a dose-dependent manner at both 24 and 48 hours (Fig. 6A, B, P < 0.05). We have previously reported that LPA stimulates the MMP-dependent invasive activity of DOV13 cells (9). To evaluate the requirement for COX-2 activity in this process, cells were seeded into HTS Fluoroblok inserts overlaid with Matrigel and incubated for 48 hours before labeling followed by quantification of the invasive cells. Inhibition of COX-2 activity abrogated the stimulatory effect of LPA on ovarian cancer cell invasive activity (Fig. 6C, P < 0.005).

Discussion

In ovarian cancer patients, LPA concentrations are elevated in the ascites and range from 1 to 80 μmol/L, providing an LPA-rich microenvironment for ovarian tumors (2, 4–8). LPA promotes the proliferation, survival, and metastasis of ovarian cancer by inducing the expression of key regulatory genes (5, 11–13). Proteinase regulation is also modulated by LPA in ovarian cancer cells, leading to LPA-dependent changes in motility and invasive behavior (9, 8). The current data show that LPA also induces COX-2 expression in premalignant and malignant ovarian epithelium, indicating a role for COX-2 as a downstream mediator of LPA. This is supported by analysis of human ovarian tumors, the majority of which exhibit strong COX-2 immunoreactivity (refs. 19, 21, 28, 29, 50 and current study).

Whereas data regarding COX-2 expression in the normal ovary suggest a functional link to ovulation (19–21, 25), COX-2 expression has been observed in benign, borderline, and malignant ovarian tumors (19, 21, 22, 28, 29). Our data are in agreement with the published results. Whereas the majority of studies report lack of correlation between COX-2 immunoreactivity and tumor stage, grade, or histologic type, COX-2 positivity has been proposed as an independent prognostic indicator (19, 29, 30). Although our data also show a lack of correlation between COX-2 immunoreactivity and tumor stage, it is interesting to note that eight of nine cases with a mucinous histotype were strongly COX-2 positive (2+ or 3+), as previously reported for two mucinous ovarian tumors (30).

The current data support a role for LPA in the induction of COX-2 expression in ovarian carcinoma cells and tumors. This is consistent with the observation that expression of both LPA and COX-2 is detectable in ovarian cancer patients with early-stage disease (3, 19, 29, 30, 51). The magnitude of LPA-induced COX-2 expression varied among the immortalized borderline and malignant ovarian carcinoma cell lines. These cell lines are derived from distinct patients, accounting for their varying physiologic characteristics, and likely differentially express members of the Edg/LPA receptor family (8). COX-2 induction was blocked by pertussis toxin, implicating LPA signaling through Gai protein–coupled receptors in the Edg/LPA receptor family (5). Our data also further support a mechanism in which LPA transactivates EGFR and show that EGFR tyrosine kinase activity is also necessary for maximal LPA-induced COX-2 expression. EGFR family members (EGFR, ErbB2, and ErbB3) are frequently overexpressed in ovarian tumors (50) and EGFR overexpression is associated with a more invasive and malignant phenotype in ovarian cancer cells (52–54). In addition, EGFR signaling mediates COX-2 induction in other cancer cell lines (35, 55–57). Crosstalk between EGFR and Gαi protein–coupled receptors can promote EGFR transactivation in the absence of EGF (58). LPA has been previously reported to transactivate EGFR in several different cells types, including head and neck squamous carcinoma cell lines (37), PC12 cells (38), keratinocytes (59), COS-7 cells (59), and Rat-1 fibroblasts (40). In addition, ErbB-2 was recently reported to associate with a specific sequence in the COX-2 promoter to increase COX-2 gene expression (60). Together these data support a mechanism wherein both LPA-induced transactivation of EGFR and activation of the Edg/LPA receptor result in up-regulated COX-2 expression in ovarian tumors. It should be noted that in two recent studies, COX-2 immunoreactivity did not correlate with EGFR expression in ovarian tumors (29, 50). However, the presence of LPA in the ascites or serum of these patients may lead to amplification of EGFR signaling without altering EGFR expression status. Analysis of a potential relationship between COX-2 expression and current study). Whereas data regarding COX-2 expression in the normal ovary suggest a functional link to ovulation (19–21, 25), COX-2 expression has been observed in benign, borderline, and malignant ovarian tumors (19, 21, 22, 28, 29). Our data are in agreement with the published results. Whereas the majority of studies report lack of correlation between COX-2 immunoreactivity and tumor stage, grade, or histologic type, COX-2 positivity has been proposed as an independent prognostic indicator (19, 29, 30). Although our data also show a lack of correlation between COX-2 immunoreactivity and tumor stage, it is interesting to note that eight of nine cases with a mucinous histotype were strongly COX-2 positive (2+ or 3+), as previously reported for two mucinous ovarian tumors (30).

Figure 5. COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 decreases LPA-induced proMMP-2 activation and proMMP-2 expression. A, DOV13 cells were pretreated with NS-398 as indicated for 3 hours before culture in the presence of LPA (30 μmol/L) for 24 hours. Conditioned media was analyzed by gelatinzymography. Arrow, migration position of active MMP-2. B, conditioned media was also analyzed using ELISA to detect total MMP-2 expression. The data include results from four separate experiments (#, P < 0.005; *, P < 0.05).
with COX-2 inhibitors such as NS-398 may block these pathways through COX-2-dependent and independent mechanisms (61–63). The current data show that inhibition of COX-2 activity decreases proMMP-2 expression and LPA-induced proMMP-2 activation and subsequently inhibits LPA-induced motility and invasive activity. In support of this observation, COX-2-overexpressing colon carcinoma cells exhibit enhanced proMMP-2 activation and invasiveness that is blocked by treatment with a COX inhibitor (41) and COX-2 inhibition in lung and prostate cancer cells leads to decreased MMP-2 expression (42, 43). Currently very little is known about the mechanisms by which COX-2 or prostaglandins increase MMP activity and cell invasiveness, but it has been previously shown that the COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 decreases the transcription of MMP-2, reducing both its expression and activity (43). Our data showing that NS-398 treatment decreases expression of proMMP-2 suggest that MMP-2 activity is decreased in a similar manner in ovarian carcinoma. A slight increase in COX-2 protein levels was observed following long-term treatment with high concentrations of NS-398 (data not shown), however, LPA-induced COX-2 expression clearly predominates in this system. Further, the decrease in pro- and active MMP-2 following NS-398 treatment for 24 hours indicates that COX-2 activity remains inhibited by NS-398 at this time point. Altered COX-2 protein in response to NS-398 has been observed in colorectal cancer cell lines at 72 and 96 hours (64, 65) and in pancreatic cancer cell lines at 48 hours (66).

Many reports examining the clinical benefits of COX-2 inhibitors and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in ovarian cancer have addressed the role of these compounds in chemoprevention (67–70), but their therapeutic efficacy at modulating progression is yet to be determined. It is possible that COX-2 inhibitors may have a detrimental effect when administered with other chemotherapeutic agents, as shown in an in vitro study showing reduced apoptotic effects of paclitaxel on two ovarian cancer cell lines cotreated with NS-398 (71). However, COX-2 inhibitor therapy has been promising in the treatment of other cancers and recent data suggest that celecoxib may actually improve the preoperative response to paclitaxel and carboplatin in patients with non–small cell lung cancer (72). Another recent study suggests that rofecoxib, a specific COX-2 inhibitor, may negatively regulate angiogenesis in human colorectal cancer liver metastases (73). At this time, many clinical trials investigating the efficacy of celecoxib in breast, cervical, pancreatic, non–small-cell lung, colon, and prostate cancer are under way (www.cancer.gov). Based on the results of the current study and other published data, it is reasonable to speculate that COX-2 inhibitor therapy may also prove efficacious for ovarian cancer patients. However, many questions regarding the therapeutic use of COX-2 inhibitors remain, such as the stage(s) of tumor development when treatment will be most effective and the combination of therapies that can be administered with COX-2 inhibitors for the greatest benefit. COX-2 overexpression has been observed in ovaries experiencing early preneoplastic changes, leading to speculation that COX-2 may mimic ovulation by promoting the loss of the basement membrane of the ovarian surface epithelium, increasing the risk of ovarian tumorigenicity (70, 74); therefore, COX-2

**Figure 6.** COX-2 inhibitor NS-398 decreases LPA-induced motility and invasion. A and B, scratch wounds were introduced into confluent cultures of DOV13 cells as indicated in Materials and Methods before treatment with NS-398 in the presence or absence of LPA, as indicated. At preselected points, cultures were photographed using a digital camera and the relative scratch width determined using the MetaMorph Imaging System. The data include results from three separate assays. Representative images are shown for the 48-hour time point in A and quantitative data are shown for the 24-hour time point in B (*, P < 0.05). C, DOV13 cells were added to the Matrigel-coated top chamber of the HTS Fluoroblok Insert System and preincubated for 1 hour in the presence or absence of NS-398 as indicated. LPA (30 μmol/L) was added and cells were allowed to invade for 48 hours before staining with calcein acetoxymethyl ester and quantitation of fluorescence as indicated in Materials and Methods. Data represent the average of three separate experiments (**, P < 0.005).
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