The c-Myc Oncogene Directly Induces the H19 Noncoding RNA by Allele-Specific Binding to Potentiate Tumorigenesis
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Abstract

The product of the MYC oncogene is widely deregulated in cancer and functions as a regulator of gene transcription. Despite an extensive profile of regulated genes, the transcriptional targets of c-Myc essential for transformation remain unclear. In this study, we show that c-Myc significantly induces the expression of the H19 noncoding RNA in diverse cell types, including breast epithelial, glioblastoma, and fibroblast cells. c-Myc binds to evolutionarily conserved E-boxes near the imprinting control region to facilitate histone acetylation and transcriptional initiation of the H19 promoter. In addition, c-Myc down-regulates the expression of insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2), the reciprocally imprinted gene at the H19/IGF2 locus. We show that c-Myc regulates these two genes independently and does not affect H19 imprinting. Indeed, allele-specific chromatin immunoprecipitation and expression analyses indicate that c-Myc binds and drives the expression of only the maternal H19 allele. The role of H19 in transformation is addressed using a knockdown approach and shows that down-regulation of H19 significantly decreases breast and lung cancer cell clonogenicity and anchorage-independent growth. In addition, c-Myc and H19 expression shows strong association in primary breast and lung carcinomas. This work indicates that c-Myc induction of the H19 gene product holds an important role in transformation. (Cancer Res 2006; 66(10): 5330-7)

Introduction

The transforming members of the Myc family (c-Myc, N-Myc, and L-Myc) show deregulated expression in a broad spectrum of cancers, including carcinomas of the lung, breast, and prostate as well as leukemias and lymphomas (1). c-Myc is a transcription factor that, with its obligate heterodimerization partner Max, binds to DNA sequence elements called E-boxes (2). c-Myc-Max can subsequently recruit histone acetyltransferase (HAT) activity (3), chromatin remodeling complexes (4), or promote RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) clearance (5) to allow for target gene transcription. c-Myc-Max can also repress gene transcription primarily by interfering with the assembly or function of the transcriptional complex (6–8). As a transcription factor, c-Myc regulates numerous gene targets that subsequently execute its many biological activities, including cell proliferation, transformation, angiogenesis, and apoptosis (9). Identifying these target genes is key in elucidating the role of this potent oncogene in transformation and has thus received much attention. Despite an extensive list of c-Myc-regulated genes, it remains unclear which cohort of target genes is responsible for the strong transforming activity of c-Myc (10, 11).

Recent analyses using advanced high-throughput chromatin immunoprecipitation technology has revealed the nature of the target genes whose promoter regulatory regions are bound by c-Myc (12–15). Remarkably, these analyses have indicated that c-Myc target genes include both coding and noncoding RNAs (ncRNA; ref. 16). Noncoding RNAs are transcripts expressed and processed in the nucleus in a manner similar to protein coding genes; however, ncRNAs lack a conserved open reading frame. Although elucidating the function of ncRNAs is in the early stages of investigation, evidence suggests that at least some may have roles in tumorigenesis (17). To determine the role of c-Myc-regulated ncRNAs in transformation, we investigated both the regulation and function of the large prototypic ncRNA, H19, as a downstream target of c-Myc.

H19 was first described as a tumor suppressor (18, 19), but more recent analysis shows that H19 expression is reactivated in breast (20), endometrial (21), lung (22), cervical (23), esophageal (24), and bladder (25) tumors. The H19/insulin-like growth factor 2 (IGF2) locus, containing both the H19 and IGF2 genes, is subject to genomic imprinting, which leads to differential allelic expression of H19 from the maternal allele and IGF2 from the paternal allele (26). This allele-specific expression is highly regulated by differential methylation of CpG dinucleotides that are usually concentrated in CpG islands, genomic elements that are often located close to promoter regions (27). As our data indicated that c-Myc can bind to intergenic regions containing CpG islands (13), we also explored the consequences of allele-specific CpG methylation on the transcriptional regulatory function of c-Myc at the H19/IGF2 locus.

In this study, we show that c-Myc induces the expression of the H19 ncRNA and binds directly to E-boxes close to the imprinting control region (ICR). Using allele-specific chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis, we show that c-Myc specifically binds and regulates the active maternal H19 allele and does not bind or affect the expression of the silenced paternal allele. In addition, c-Myc down-regulates transcription of the reciprocally imprinted gene IGF2. The significance of H19 up-regulation by c-Myc and the association of c-Myc and H19 transcript levels were assessed in primary and established tumor cells derived from breast and lung cancer patients.

Note: Supplementary data for this article are available at Cancer Research Online (http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/). Requests for reprints: Linda Z. Penn, Division of Cancer Genomics and Proteomics, Ontario Cancer Institute/Princess Margaret Hospital, 610 University Avenue, Toronto, Canada M5G 2M9. Phone: 416-946-2276; Fax: 416-946-2840; E-mail: lpenn@uhnres.utoronto.ca.
Materials and Methods

Cell lines. The immortal, nontransformed MCF10A breast cell line (gift from Dr. Mathiaswamy) was cultured as described (28). Cells were grown with 10% fetal bovine serum in α-MEM (gibloblastoma T98G), DMEM H21 (rat cardiomyocyte H9C2 and fibroblast RatMYCER™, McCoy’s breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB231, SKBR3, and colon carcinoma line HCT116), and RPMI 1640 (T47D breast cancer cell line and lung cancer cell lines A549, H460, and H520). Rat Myc null cells (HO15.19) were grown in DMEM H21 with 10% calf serum. Where indicated, 5 μmol/L 5-aza-deoxycytidine (AzaC; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) was added to cells every 24 hours. Trichostatin A (TSA; Calbiochem, La Jolla, CA) was used at 300 nmol/L.

Retroviral gene transfer. Ectopic human c-Myc was introduced by infection with ecotropic, replication-incompetent retrovirus, and expression was confirmed as described (29).

Isolation and analysis of RNA. Total RNA was isolated as previously described (30) and purified using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Inc., Chatsworth, CA). Five micrograms of total cellular RNA were reverse transcribed using Superscript II Reverse Transcription reagents and OligoD1 (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA). Northern blots were done as described (11).

Gene expression analysis in cancer cell lines. Semi quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) was carried out as described (13) with primers provided in Supplementary Table S1, and the conditions are available upon request. For the quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of the breast and lung cell lines, SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) were used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. A total of one thousandth of the cDNA reaction was used for each PCR triplicate. The results were normalized to the levels of the 36B4 transcript using the comparative Ct method. The allele-specific PCR employed the forward primers specific for the particular H19 allele, CGGCCTCTGAAATGGAATG (A) or CGGCCTCTGAAATGGAAC (B) and the reverse primer used was TCGTGGAGCCTTCTGGAATCTCCAG.

Selection of patients. The RNA expression profiles of a total of 186 breast cancer samples, representing 137 distinct tumors from the cohort described (31), were assayed using cDNA expression microarrays. Two samples lacked follow-up data and were excluded from further analysis. A total of 240 snap-frozen non-small cell lung (NSCLC) carcinoma samples were harvested from patients who have been treated primarily by surgical resection at the University Health Network from 1996 to 2000. Tissues were banked with informed consent, and the studies have been approved by the institutional Research Ethics Board.

Analysis of breast tumor expression data. Raw microarray images were quantitated with the GenePix (Axon, Union City, CA) software package with flagging of low-quality spots. Spot signal was calculated by subtracting the median background pixel intensity from the mean foreground pixel intensity. Ratios were transformed into log2 space, and missing values were imputed from spotwise duplicates where possible. Data were normalized by sequential print-tip loess smoothing (within foreground pixel intensity). Ratios were transformed into log 2 space, and missing values were imputed from spotwise duplicates where possible. Samples lacking H19 or TBP signal were removed from the data set. For each of the remaining samples, a normalization factor was calculated using the mean of the four housekeeping genes (β2M, ACTB, B2M, and BAT1) and used to remove nonbiological variability. For the patient, the normalization factors N(A) = 0.25 × [Y(M) + X(β2M) + X(BAT1)], and normalized expression values for gene M are Y(M) = X(M) − N(A), where the X(M) values correspond to unnormalized expression values. For each patient cohort, the normalized expression values were median centered to yield the final expression estimates: Y(M) = median(Y(M)). The two patient cohorts were then merged, and the overall data set of 240 distinct samples was dichotomized around the median H19 expression level. A t test with the assumption of unequal variances was used to test for differential c-Myc expression. Raw quantitative RT-PCR data are available online as Supplementary Table S3.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation was done as previously described (13) using the following antibodies: 2 μg Myc (Sc-764), 1 μg CTBP, 0.5 μg RNAPII (Sc-764), 1 μg Max, all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, CA), and 0.5 μg of Aeh3 or Aeh4 (Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY). Real-time PCR was done as described above using human genomic DNA as the standard and normalizing the specific antibody signal to the input signal. Primer sequences are provided in the Supplementary Table S1, and the conditions are available upon request. The same chromatin immunoprecipitation material was used for allele specific PCR with allele-specific primers GGCGCTACTTAATGTGATGATCAG or GGCGCTACTTAATCTGATGATCAC and the reverse primer used was TGCTTGGAGCCTTCTGGAATCTCCAG.

c-Myc Induces H19 Transcription to Drive Transformation


Results

c-Myc up-regulates H19 and down-regulates IGF2 transcripts. The H19/IGF2 locus is subject to genomic imprinting (Fig. 1A). Allele-specific methylation of CpG dinucleotides in the ICR leads to H19 expression from the maternal allele, whereas the reciprocally imprinted IGF2 gene is expressed from the paternal allele (26). The ICR on the maternal allele is unmethylated and bound by CTCF, a zinc-finger protein that acts as a boundary between the enhancers located 3’ of H19 and the promoters of IGF2. The paternal allele is methylated at the ICR, preventing
CTCF binding, allowing the enhancers to potentiate IGF2 transcription (26).

To evaluate whether c-Myc regulates H19 expression, we introduced ectopic c-Myc into several cell types, including MCF10A immortalized nontransformed mammary epithelial cells and T98G glioblastoma cells (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Cells with ectopic c-Myc expression showed 7- to 10-fold up-regulation of H19 ncRNA expression as assessed by real-time quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 1B), semiquantitative RT-PCR, and Northern blotting (Supplementary Fig. S2B). This up-regulation was also evident in diploid fibroblasts WI38 (data not shown) and a medulloblastoma cell line UW228 (see Fig. 3B). The activation of the constitutively expressed c-Myc/estrogen receptor regulatory region (MycERTAM) chimera by 4-hydroxytamoxifen also resulted in elevated H19 expression in Rat-1 fibroblasts (Supplementary Fig. S2C).

Given the strong up-regulation of H19, we assessed the expression of IGF2 in response to exogenous c-Myc expression. Interestingly, c-Myc down-regulated IGF2 in several cell systems, such as MCF10A and WI38 (Fig. 1C; data not shown). However, in T98G cells, which have low to undetectable levels of IGF2, down-regulation of IGF2 was not consistently detectable (data not shown). This prompted further evaluation in rat cardiomyocytes that have high basal levels of IGF2 transcripts. c-Myc robustly repressed the levels of IGF2 in H9C2 cardiomyocytes as shown by Northern blot (Supplementary Fig. S2D). Thus, c-Myc strongly up-regulates H19 and down-regulates IGF2 transcript levels in several cell types.

c-Myc directly binds to the regulatory regions of H19 and IGF2. To determine whether c-Myc directly regulates H19, we assessed in vivo genomic DNA binding of c-Myc to the regulatory region of H19 using chromatin immunoprecipitation, focusing on the evolutionary conserved E-boxes situated 1.5 and 3.1 kb upstream from the transcription start site. c-Myc immunoprecipitates were highly enriched in these DNA fragments compared with control serum immunoprecipitates (Fig. 2A, primer sets 1 and 3). The ICR region containing the second cluster of three DNase hypersensitive sites essential for CTCF binding showed weaker binding of c-Myc (Fig. 2A, primer set 2). Interestingly, the abovementioned E-boxes are 650 and 360 bp away from the second hypersensitive site cluster within the ICR, and there are also numerous noncanonical E-boxes interspersed between the

Figure 1. Ectopic Myc induces the expression of H19 and represses IGF2 expression. A, schematic of the H19/IGF2 locus, where active promoters are denoted with arrows and the imprinting control region (gray circles) is shown bound by CTCF or methylated (CH3). B, H19 ncRNA expression in MCF10A and T98G cells with (+) and without (−) ectopic Myc as assessed by quantitative RT-PCR. 36B4 ribosomal protein mRNA was used as a normalization control. C, IGF2 mRNA levels in MCF10A cells. Quantitative RT-PCR was conducted in triplicates twice. Columns, mean; bars, SD. *, P < 0.05, statistical significance as assessed using a paired t test.

Figure 2. Myc binds to the promoter regions of H19 and IGF2 to regulate gene transcription. A, Myc binding at the regulatory region of the H19 and IGF2 genes, as well as the CAD (positive control) and chromosome 21 E-box (negative control) was assessed by chromatin immunoprecipitation using Myc-specific antibody (Myc) or preimmune serum (Control) in MCF10A cells expressing ectopic Myc. Primers are indicated by arrows. DNase-hypersensitive sites (gray circles) comprise the ICR. Relative binding was normalized to the input signal and expressed as 0.001% of input. Quantitative PCR was conducted in triplicates twice. Columns, mean; bars, SD. *, P < 0.05, statistical significance as assessed using a paired t test. B, the transcriptional components bound at the H19 promoter were assessed by chromatin immunoprecipitation using primers to the proximal E-box (equivalent to primer set 3 in A). Rat Myc-null cells that were reconstituted with Myc (Myc) or control green fluorescent protein vector (Vector) were assayed using indicated antibodies. Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments were repeated at least twice with representative gels shown.
hypersensitive sites. Therefore, from the binding data alone it remained unclear whether Myc would regulate the promoter of H19 or the ICR and imprinting. This issue is addressed through functional analysis below. We have also investigated c-Myc binding to the IGF2 gene using a scanning chromatin immunoprecipitation approach. IGF2 is expressed from the promoter P4 in MCF10A cells (data not shown); however, c-Myc binding was weak or undetectable not only at the P4 promoter but also at several other sites, including the differentially methylated region 1 and the coding region in the exon 9 (Fig. 2A, primer set 4; data not shown). Only the E-box 5’ from coding exon 7 showed binding of c-Myc (Fig. 2A, primer set 5). The positive control CAD promoter was highly enriched in c-Myc immunoprecipitates, whereas the negative control E-box at chromosome 21 showed no significant enrichment (Fig. 2A). Thus, c-Myc binds to the regulatory regions of H19 and IGF2 in vivo.

c-Myc recruits HATs to the H19 promoter. To investigate evolutionary conservation and further assess the mechanism of H19 transcriptional regulation by c-Myc, we compared rat fibroblasts that are devoid of Myc expression with those that have been reconstituted with ectopic c-Myc for promoter occupancy by chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis. In the absence of c-Myc, Max is bound to the H19 promoter proximal E-box, a feature previously described as a hallmark of c-Myc-regulated genes (Fig. 2B, top, lane 7; ref. 13). Reintroducing c-Myc into these cells resulted in binding of c-Myc, the recruitment of RNAPII (Fig. 2B, top, lanes 1 and 3) and an increase in the acetylation of histone H3 (AcH3) and H4 (AcH4) at the H19 E-box (Fig. 2B, bottom, lanes 1 and 2). The role of histone acetylation in c-Myc-mediated transcriptional induction of H19 was further supported by evaluating the effect of the histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor TSA. Treatment with TSA resulted in increased expression of H19 in myc−/− cells as detected by semiquantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 3A, lanes 1-4). Curiously, the level of H19 expression achieved by TSA treatment was higher in myc−/− cells than in c-Myc-expressing cells (Fig. 3A, lanes 3 and 4). Thus, histone acetylation activity is recruited by c-Myc to the H19 promoter, leading to its activation.

c-Myc does not affect imprinting of the H19/IGF2 locus. Because the paternal allele of H19 is usually silent due to ICR methylation (see Fig. 1A), the effect of DNA methylation on c-Myc regulation of H19 and IGF2 was further investigated. H19 expression was evaluated in myc−/− cells and c-Myc reconstituted cells in the presence and absence of DNA methyltransferase inhibitor AzaC. Exposure to AzaC did not result in H19 up-regulation in myc−/− cells (Fig. 3A, compare lanes 1, 5, and 7), whereas blocking DNA methylation increased H19 expression in c-Myc-reconstituted cells (Fig. 3A, compare lane 2 with lanes 6 and 8). By removing DNA methylation (AzaC) and then increasing histone acetylation (TSA), H19 expression was potentiated in myc−/− cells (Fig. 3A, compare lanes 1, 3, and 9), and no further induction by c-Myc was evident under these conditions (Fig. 3A, lanes 9 and 10). Blocking DNA methylation also did not affect IGF2 basal gene expression (Fig. 3A, compare lanes 1, 5, and 7) but further potentiated c-Myc repression of this target gene (Fig. 3A, compare lanes 2, 6, and 8). c-Myc repression of IGF2 remains intact despite treatment with both TSA and AzaC (Fig. 3A, lanes 9 and 10). These data suggest that c-Myc regulation of the H19/IGF2 locus does not involve DNA methylation or imprinting. Moreover, loss of methylation alone is insufficient to alter basal gene expression but can cooperate with c-Myc to potentiate the regulation of these target genes.

c-Myc up-regulates only one allele of H19. Because the H19/IGF2 locus is subject to genomic imprinting, we had a unique opportunity to investigate the transcriptional regulatory effect of c-Myc on the allelic expression of H19. Multiple cell lines were first tested for polymorphisms in the H19 locus (data not shown), and the allelic-specific primers A and B (AluI polymorphism) were then designed, differing by a single nucleotide. The primers were tested on the homozygous MCF7 and MCF10A cells to show allelic specificity (Fig. 3B, lanes 8 and 9). In MCF10A cells, ectopic expression of c-Myc induced expression of H19 from the single allele B (Fig. 3B, lanes 1 and 2). c-Myc induction of both alleles of H19 was evident in the presence of AzaC, showing that in the absence of DNA methylation, c-Myc can access and activate both alleles of H19 (Fig. 3B, lanes 3 and 4). Similarly, ectopic expression of increasing amounts of c-Myc activates only the single allele A of H19 in a dose-dependent manner in Uw228 medulloblastoma cells (Fig. 3B, lanes 5-7). Therefore, c-Myc induces H19 expression by a mechanism that is restricted to only one allele of H19.
c-Myc binds to the promoter of one H19 allele. To further evaluate the molecular mechanism of c-Myc induced allele-specific expression of H19, we queried whether c-Myc binds to only one or both alleles of H19 in vivo. Allele-specific chromatin immunoprecipitation primers were tested on control DNA from homozygous HCT116 and D341 cell lines to ensure that only one allele is recognized using this approach (Fig. 3C, lanes 1 and 5). Both c-Myc and CTCF are bound to allele B but not allele A in MCF10A cells (Fig. 3C, compare lanes 1-3 and lanes 2-3). The weaker signal for c-Myc binding could be due to the allele-specific primers being situated 0.4 kb away from the E-box. The bound allele was designated as allele B (Fig. 3B) because it has been reported that CTCF binds to the maternally derived, expressed allele of H19 (26). Indeed, allele B of H19 is bound by c-Myc and CTCF (Fig. 3C, lanes 1 and 2), whereas allele A is not bound by either c-Myc or CTCF, nor it is sensitive to induced expression in response to ectopic c-Myc in MCF10A cells. These allelic binding results were also confirmed by methyl-specific PCR (data not shown). Thus, c-Myc and CTCF bind to the maternally derived, expressed allele of H19.

H19 knockdown inhibits tumorigenic properties of breast and lung cancer cells. To assess the role of H19 in transformation, we evaluated the expression and functional significance of H19 in cells derived from breast and lung carcinomas. H19 has recently been reported to be elevated in a high proportion of these tumor types (20, 22). H19 expression was evident in the SKBR3 and T47D breast cancer cell lines and the lung adenocarcinoma A549 cells, whereas expression was undetectable in MDA-MB231 breast cancer cell line (Fig. 4A). Stable siRNA-mediated knockdown of H19 resulted in significant decrease in both clonogenicity, as assessed by the efficacy of colony formation on solid support (Fig. 4B), and anchorage-independent growth, as assessed by colony formation in soft agar (Fig. 4C). The inhibition of both clonogenicity-and anchorage-independent growth in response to H19 knockdown was significant in all cell lines with H19 expression (SKBR3, T47D, and A549), and insignificant in cells with undetectable basal H19 expression, such as MDA-MB231 cells or H460 and H520 lung carcinoma cell lines (Fig. 4B and C; data not shown). A lack of biological effect of H19 siRNA in MDA-MB231, H460, and H520 serves as an important specificity control. Three of five siRNAs designed worked well to down-regulate H19 expression; however, only one was specific (data not shown). Another siRNA we identified as a nonspecific H19 siRNA was previously used to transiently down-regulate H19 (35). Thus, H19 contributes to the clonogenic and anchorage-independent growth properties in breast and lung cancer cells with reactivated H19 expression.

H19 regulation of IGF2. As H19 has been reported to repress the levels of IGF2, we also monitored the effect of H19 knockdown on IGF2 levels. No significant and consistent increase in IGF2 expression upon H19 repression was noted in any of the breast cancer cells (Fig. 4D) or MCF10A cells expressing ectopic c-Myc and elevated H19 (data not shown). Only the A549 cells with H19 knockdown displayed increased IGF2 transcript expression (Fig. 4D).

c-Myc binds to the H19 promoter in SKBR3 but not MDA-MB231 cells. To further evaluate the mechanism of c-Myc induction of H19 transcription, we queried whether c-Myc was bound to the H19 E-box (−1.5 kb) in cells with (SKBR3) and without (MDA-MB231) basal H19 expression. Quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis showed that c-Myc, CTCF, RNAPII, and AcH4 were present at the H19 promoter in SKBR3 but not MDA-MB231 cells (Fig. 5A). However, in MDA-MB231 cells, binding of c-Myc and RNAPII was not evident, whereas the binding of CTCF was reduced, and AcH4 was unaffected (Fig. 5A). The positive control E-box (CAD) bound c-Myc and RNAPII in both cell lines, whereas the negative control E-box on chromosome 21 had no significant binding of any of the factors (Fig. 5B and C). Therefore, c-Myc binds to the promoter region of H19 in SKBR3 cells and contributes to the up-regulation of H19.

High expression levels of H19 correlate with elevated levels of c-Myc mRNA in breast and lung cancer patients. To determine if c-Myc regulation of H19 is a feature of primary human
tumors, we obtained expression estimates for both genes from a large microarray study of 137 node-negative breast cancer cases. Following data normalization and centering, patients were dichotomized into two equal-sized groups based on H19 expression levels (Fig. 6). Using a two-tailed heteroscedastic t test, we show that c-Myc expression was significantly higher ($P = 0.009$) in breast tumors with high H19 levels than those showing lower H19 expression. To show that this is a feature of other tumor types, we characterized the relationship between H19 and c-Myc expression in lung cancers using quantitative RT-PCR in a panel of 240 NSCLCs. Following normalization to a battery of four housekeeping genes, we again dichotomized patients into two equal-sized groups based on H19 expression levels (Fig. 6). Using a two-tailed heteroscedastic t test, we again found that c-Myc expression was significantly higher ($P = 0.002$) in tumors with elevated H19 levels than those showing lower H19 expression. To ensure that our results are not artifacts of the statistical analysis, we verified the results using a two-log threshold with the hypergeometric test and again found statistical significance ($P = 0.01$). Both boxplot and spikeplot representations of the data are available as Supplementary Figs. S3 and S4. These analyses show positive correlation of high H19 levels with elevated c-Myc mRNA levels. Selected clinical information is available in Supplementary Tables S4 and S5.

**Discussion**

Based on allelic expression and genomic binding studies, we show that c-Myc directly binds to the $H19$ promoter and highly up-regulates the transcription of the maternal $H19$ allele by recruiting HAT activity. We further show that $H19$ knockdown, in a panel of breast and lung cancer cell lines, results in the reduction of their tumorigenic phenotype as shown by foci formation and anchorage-independent growth assays. Indeed, a strong association between c-Myc and $H19$ transcript levels was evident in both primary breast and lung cancer patient material. Taken together, these results indicate that Myc up-regulation of $H19$ strongly contributes to the tumorigenic phenotype of breast and lung cancer cells.

Our study shows that c-Myc binds to the conserved E-boxes at the $H19$ promoter close to the ICR and up-regulates the expression of this ncRNA in MCF10A breast epithelial and established rat fibroblast cell lines. The physical boundary between the ICR and the promoter of $H19$ remains undefined; thus, we investigated the mechanism of regulation and show that Myc does not affect ICR
function or imprinting of this locus, as H19 remains expressed from one allele only. In a parallel study, Lee et al. also found that c-Myc up-regulates H19 in mouse liver in vitro. However, their data indicate the strongest binding of Myc at an enhancer downstream of the H19 promoter in hepatocytes. We did not see significant binding of Myc to this region in MCF10A cells expressing ectopic Myc (data not shown). The distinct binding sites and possibly induction mechanisms used by Myc in human and rat transformed cells compared with murine nontransformed hepatocytes is intriguing and likely is a consequence of cell type differences. Importantly, only the maternal allele of H19 was regulated by Myc in both studies.

Our results show that c-Myc binds and regulates the maternal H19 allele strongly supporting the observation that the c-Myc/Max complex is unable to bind methylated E-boxes in vitro. While this article was in preparation, N-Myc was also reported to selectively bind to unmethylated E-boxes. This suggests that E-box methylation is a determinant of c-Myc and N-Myc as regulators of gene transcription. This is further supported by our results showing that the silenced paternal allele did not display binding or regulation by Myc in MCF10A cells, whereas removal of DNA methylation leads to induction of the paternal allele by c-Myc. These data suggest that changes in the DNA methylation patterns, which are common in cancer, could alter transcriptional profiles and thus modulate the biological function of Myc.

Our results indicating that c-Myc binds and activates H19 in an allele-specific manner suggests that additional transcription factors, as well as Myc, may regulate transcription with allelic preference. Recent analyses have shown that allelic variation in gene expression is common even in the nonimprinted genes in mammalian genomes and may affect 20% to 50% of human genes. We also show that the main function of Myc at the H19 promoter is to recruit HAT activity and RNAPII for transcriptional initiation. In cells lacking Myc, basal H19 expression was induced only in response to HDAC inhibition but not to the inhibition of DNA methylation. Similarly, the silencing of p16INK4A can also occur in the absence of DNA methylation through histone modifications. Therefore, Myc binds the ICR of H19 in an allele-specific manner to induce transcription through the recruitment of HAT activity.

Furthermore c-Myc down-regulates IGF2 transcripts in cell lines with detectable IGF2 mRNA. Mechanistically, our data indicate that Myc binding is restricted to the maternal allele ICR and is unlikely to have an effect on IGF2 expression from the paternal allele. Although it has been reported that H19 can repress IGF2 expression, we did not consistently observe this cross-regulation in the cell systems studied, suggesting this potential regulatory mechanism may be a cell-dependent and/or tumor type–dependent phenomenon. Surprisingly, our data indicate that Myc binds the E-box in the first intron of IGF2 to repress transcription. Most Myc repressed genes analyzed to date are regulated through initiator or proximal promoter regions. It remains to be determined whether E-box-dependent Myc repression is evident and unique to IGF2 or functional at multiple loci. Moreover, it remains unclear whether this potentially novel mechanism is best captured through genomic analysis in vivo and not detectable by conventional transient indicator gene analysis methods. Biologically, gene expression analysis of a series of neuroblastoma cell lines indicated that high N-Myc levels correlate with low IGF2 levels. However, colon carcinomas display high levels of Myc and loss of IGF2 imprinting (expressed from both alleles) that is sometimes accompanied by elevated levels of IGF2. This implies that the repression of IGF2 is cell specific or is lost in colon cancer cells. At this time, the significance of IGF2 down-regulation by Myc remains unclear. As a mitogen in many cell types, IGF2 is part of a signaling cascade that is able to induce Myc and Myc repression of IGF2 could function as part of negative feedback loop to control mitogen stimulation. A similar function has been proposed for Myc repression of the platelet-derived growth factor-β receptor.

The physiologic role of H19 ncRNA seems to be restricted to the time of embryonic expression, and its pathologic role has only recently been investigated. The introduction of H19 into some cell lines resulted in anchorage-independent growth suppression, thus earning H19 tumor suppressor designation. Recently, however, H19 expression has been shown to be reactivated in a variety of tumors. We have thus identified c-Myc as part of negative feedback loop to control mitogen stimulation. The analysis of H19 and c-Myc expression in primary breast and lung tumor samples indicated that high H19 levels are strongly associated with high Myc transcript levels. This strong association in primary tissue, in combination with the essential role of H19 in transformation, suggests that Myc-induced H19 expression contributes to both tumor etiology and Myc’s function as an oncogene.

The function of H19 remains enigmatic. Although knockout H19 mice seem grossly normal, we and others show that H19 plays a role in the tumorigenic phenotype. The mechanism of H19 action is thought to be at the level of translational regulation. In addition, thiorodoxin, a modulator of signal transduction and potentiator of tumorigenesis, was recently identified as translationally up-regulated by H19. Further studies will undoubtedly elucidate other H19-regulated molecules and the role of H19 in both physiologic and pathologic settings. As more transcriptional regulators of H19 are determined, H19 regulation and function will also be better understood. Although this work was in progress, E2F1 was shown to regulate H19, which suggests that Myc and E2F1 can exert a positive combinatorial control over H19 transcription. It is not-
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