Autoantibody Cancer Biomarker: Extracellular Protein Kinase A
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Abstract

In cancer cells, cyclic AMP–dependent protein kinase (PKA) is secreted into the conditioned medium. This PKA, designated as extracellular protein kinase A (ECPKA), is markedly up-regulated in the sera of patients with cancer. The currently available tumor markers are based on the antigen determination method and lack specificity and sensitivity. Here, we present an ECPKA autoantibody detection method for a universal biomarker that detects cancer of various cell types. We tested sera from 295 patients with cancers of various cell types, 155 normal controls, and 55 patients without cancer. The specificity and sensitivity of this autoantibody enzyme immunoassay method were compared with the conventional antigen determination method by receiver-operating characteristic plots. In the sera, the presence of autoantibody directed against ECPKA was highly correlated with cancer. High anti-ECPKA autoantibody titers (frequency, 90%; mean titer, 3.0) were found in the sera of patients with various cancers, whereas low or negative titers (frequency, 12%; mean titer, 1.0) were found in the control group. The receiver-operating characteristic plot showed that autoantibody enzyme immunoassay exhibited 90% sensitivity and 88% specificity, whereas the enzymatic assay exhibited 83% sensitivity and 80% specificity. These results show that the autoantibody method distinguished between patients with cancer and controls better than the antigen method could. Our results show that autoantibody ECPKA is a universal serum biomarker for cancers of various cell types. (Cancer Res 2006; 66(18): 8971–4)

Introduction

Early detection and diagnosis are of utmost importance in cancer management. Serum biomarker measurement in body fluid immunoassays has been the most widely used approach, generally of such established tumor-associated markers as CEA, AFP, hCG, PSA, and CA125. The lack of tumor specificity of these markers, however, precludes their general use in cancer screening and diagnosis (1–5). The limitations of the presently available serum tumor markers, based on the antigen determination method, indicate the need for other means of screening (1–5).

In normal mammalian cells, cyclic AMP–dependent protein kinase (PKA) is present strictly intracellularly (6). Intriguingly, however, cancer cells of various types excrete PKA into the conditioned medium (7, 8). This PKA, designated as extracellular PKA (ECPKA) was found to be markedly up-regulated in the serum of patients with cancer (7, 8), and surgical removal of tumors led to a decrease in ECPKA levels in patients (9). Two types of PKA exist, designated type I (PKA-I) and type II (PKA-II; ref. 6); they are distinguished by different regulatory (R) subunits (RI and RII), and they contain a common catalytic (C) subunit (10). Importantly, the ratios of PKA-I to PKA-II change dramatically during cell development, differentiation, and transformation (11). In cancer cells, ECPKA expression was modulated by changing the ratios of the intracellular PKA-I to PKA-II (7), and down-regulation of ECPKA was shown by a decrease in PKA-I and by a mutant Co lacking the NH2-terminal myristyl group (7). These results indicate that ECPKA might be a cancer antigen. There is increasing evidence that patients with cancer produce autoantibodies against antigens in their tumors (12–15), suggesting that such autoantibodies could have diagnostic/prognostic value. We speculated that ECPKA excretion might elicit the induction of serum autoantibodies and that the presence of such autoantibodies could serve for cancer detection. For this, we developed a novel enzyme immunoassay (EIA) that measures the anti-IgG autoantibody for ECPKA.

Materials and Methods

We tested 295 serum samples from patients with cancer. The cancers included various cell types: breast (n = 24), cervical (n = 13), colon (n = 40), lung (n = 6), ovarian (n = 20), prostate (n = 35), pancreatic (n = 6), renal cell (n = 60), and rectal (n = 14) carcinomas, melanomas (n = 50), and other carcinomas (n = 19), including bladder, esophageal, gastric, hepatocellular, and small bowel; and sarcoma, thymoma, liposarcoma, and leiomyosarcoma. As controls, we used normal sera from a blood bank (n = 155) and sera from patients with diseases other than cancer (n = 55; systemic lupus erythematosus, 20; Carney complex, 25; other diseases, 10). The sera used for this autoantibody EIA assay were from existing individual Institutional Review Board–approved, consented samples, and were therefore not drawn from patients for the specific studies presented here. The EIA autoantibody assay was not done to distinguish the stage and site of malignancy but was done in the sera of patients with a wide range of active malignancies. For comparison, the same samples were also analyzed with the conventional PKA assay (antigen determination).

The serum samples were aliquoted (5 μL volume) and kept frozen at ~80°C until use. The serum samples were thawed once only before use, and these diluted serum samples were never used twice. The autoantibody levels were stable over 6 months.

EIA method. Anti-ECPKA IgG autoantibodies were measured by solid phase EIA. The plates were coated with 100 μL of diluted antigen (2 μg/mL concentration in PBS) of the purified recombinant human PKA Co subunit (7), and were incubated overnight at room temperature. The plates were then washed once with washing buffer [20 mmol/L Hepes, 0.9% NaCl, 30 mmol/L sucrose, 0.1% bovine serum albumin, BSA (pH 7.0)], blocked for 2 hours at room temperature with 100 μL of Blockase (Serotec),7 and washed
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recovered protein in 1 minute at 37°C on an ELISA reader (microplate reader benchmark; Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA).

Our speculation that ECPKA excretion might elicit the autoimmune response (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Piscataway, NJ).

Comparison between ECPKA autoantibody EIA and ECPKA enzymatic assay. We compared the ECPKA EIA with an ECPKA enzymatic assay that measures antigen (7). The ECPKA enzymatic assay exhibited a significant overlap between patients with cancer (n = 66) and normal controls (n = 66) in frequency and mean values (patients: frequency, 83%; mean value, 130 mU/mL; normal controls: frequency, 20%; mean value, 60 mU/mL), indicating a lack of sensitivity and specificity (Fig. 2A). A comparison of individual anti-ECPKA autoantibody titers obtained by EIA with those measured by PKA enzymatic assay showed no correlation between the two assays (Fig. 2B).

The sensitivity and specificity of the autoantibody EIA (Fig. 1) and those of the ECPKA assay (Fig. 2) were evaluated using ROC (16) plots (Fig. 3). At this point of interaction, the cutoff value for anti-ECPKA autoantibody titer was 1.3, exhibiting the highest sensitivity whereas maintaining a high specificity. The autoantibody EIA showed 90% sensitivity (95% confidence interval, 0.80-0.92) and specificity.
88% specificity (95% confidence interval, 0.90-0.95) in discriminating between patients with cancer and the control group (Fig. 3). The enzymatic assay exhibited 83% sensitivity and 80% specificity, with an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.86938 (compared with the autoantibody EIA AUC of 0.93675; Fig. 3). These results show that the ECPKA autoantibody EIA did better than the enzymatic assay in distinguishing between patients with cancer and controls.

Immunologic identification of ECPKA autoantibody. We examined the immunologic identification of ECPKA autoantibody present in the sera of patients with cancer (Fig. 4). Randomly selected patients’ sera exhibited immuno-cross-reactivity toward the purified PKA Cα protein (40 kDa; Fig. 4, strips 5-9), whereas no such immuno-cross-reactivity for Cα protein was observed in normal sera (Fig. 4, strips 10-14). Importantly, the immuno-cross-reactivity for Cα of ECPKA autoantibody in patients’sera was comparable to those of polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies raised against the Cα protein (Fig. 4, compare strips 1-4 with strips 5-9).

Autoantibody EIA method to detect other cancer antigens. To examine whether the autoantibody detection method of the present study can be extended to other cancer antigens (extracellularly secreted) that produce autoantibodies, an experiment was done using HCT-15 (a multidrug-resistant human colon carcinoma grown in nude mice; National Cancer Institute, Frederick, MD) tumor extracts as a cancer antigen source. These results plotted on a ROC curve showed that the autoantibody EIA had 92% sensitivity (95% confidence interval, 0.80-0.95) and 84% specificity (95% confidence interval, 0.78-0.90) in discriminating between patients with cancer and controls. The observed titers of autoantibodies for cancer antigens in sera from patients with cancer were shown with different types of cancer. The results show that it is possible to use the autoantibody detection method described here for ECPKA to detect other cancer antigens that produce autoantibodies against the antigens.

Discussion
Cancer diagnosis is a serious step in cancer management. Therefore, we vigorously validated the method and carefully evaluated the data (Fig. 1). We measured the level of autoantibodies generated against ECPKA, a cancer antigen recently identified (7, 8), from the growth of various tumors by using serum samples and found that the accuracy and sensitivity of the present method exceeds that of the conventional assays. Our purpose was not to distinguish the stage and site of malignancy. The autoantibody assay was done on sera from active malignancies at various stages and the same samples were assayed with the conventional PKA enzymatic assay (antigen assay) for comparison. The results showed that the autoantibody-EIA assay exhibited better sensitivity and specificity than did the conventional antigen assay.

The ECPKA autoantibody present in patient’s sera was immunologically cross-reactive with the purified preparation of PKA catalytic (Cα) subunit and the cross-reactivity was comparable to that of the polyclonal and monoclonal antibodies raised against the Cα protein (Fig. 4), demonstrating the specificity of the sera autoantibody against ECPKA and the immunologic identity of ECPKA with the Cα subunit of PKA.

The anti-ECPKA autoantibody was elevated almost regardless of the site or cell types of the malignancy; that is, anti-ECPKA

Figure 2. A, ECPKA enzymatic activity in sera from patients with cancer and from the control group. Values obtained from patients with cancer and from the control group were (patients with cancer: frequency, 83%; mean value, 130 mU/mL; controls: frequency, 21%; mean value, 60 mU/mL). Values >75 mU/mL (above the broken line) were considered positive. B, correlation between anti-ECPKA autoantibody titer and ECPKA activity in sera from patients with cancer and controls. A comparison of individual anti-ECPKA antibody titers and ECPKA enzymatic activities in sera from patients with cancer and controls exhibited coefficients of 0.003 for patients with cancer and 0.001 for controls; these are statistically insignificant.

Figure 3. ROC plots of ECPKA autoantibody EIA and ECPKA enzymatic assay in patients with cancer and control sera. The sensitivity and specificity of the ECPKA autoantibody EIA (from the data of Fig. 1) and those of ECPKA enzymatic assay (Fig. 2A) are presented in black and red ROC curves, respectively. The autoantibody EIA had a sensitivity of 90% and specificity of 87% (AUC, 0.93675), and the enzymatic assay showed a sensitivity of 83% and specificity of 80% (AUC, 0.86938).
autoantibody is a measure of malignant transformation in all cells, not specific to one type of cancer. Unlike tests such as CEA, which measures less well-defined antigens and whose serum levels tend to be inconsistent but elevated late in the disease (3), the anti-ECPKA autoantibody test measures the autoantibody of a well-defined cancer antigen, ECPKA, whose serum levels are specifically up-regulated in the sera of patients with cancer and are regulated by the changes in the intracellular levels of PKA-I (7).

In the present study, as negative controls of the ECPKA autoantibody test, we chose sera from patients with Carney complex (spotty skin pigmentation that can accompany multiple endocrine neoplasia; ref. 18) and sera from patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (an autoimmune disease; ref. 17). The absence or low level of PKA-I is closely causatively associated with both of these diseases (17, 18). Our results show that both sera from patients with Carney complex and lupus erythematosus exhibited ECPKA autoantibody titers as low as that of normal controls (Fig. 1), supporting the specificity of the ECPKA autoantibody test for cancer diagnosis.

Among the most important criteria for cancer markers is the ability to distinguish cancer from inflammatory diseases and diseases other than cancer. Because known cancer markers all depend on the measurement of cancer antigens, distinguishing between inflammation and cancer is difficult using these cancer markers. In the present study, we have presented a novel biomarker, autoantibody ECPKA, that could make it possible to distinguish between cancer, inflammation, and diseases other than cancer. We have shown here that the ECPKA autoantibody titers were not elevated in a limited number of patients with pancreatitis, angina, or hypertension. In a recent report (19), autoantibodies against peptides derived from prostate cancer tissue were used for a screening test for prostate cancer. The peptide autoantibodies did better than did the PSA (antigen test) in distinguishing between the group with prostate cancer and the control group, demonstrating the importance of prostate signature autoantibodies for the early detection of prostate cancer.

Importantly, the ECPKA autoantibody detection could provide the retrospective analysis and follow-up of disease extension. In our preliminary studies, the first line serum samples of stage I melanoma, those bled through during 10 years (1985-1996), exhibited the mean ECPKA autoantibody titer of 3.0 (see Results). These data also suggest the possibility of the early diagnosis of ECPKA autoantibody.

A test based on the demonstration of autoantibodies to tumor antigen in the sera of patients, as described here, could be of great importance for early diagnosis. The prolonged time course of carcinogenesis (20) opens the possibility that a very small tumor or a subtle biochemical change in the cell produces a detectable level of antistimulant autoantibodies in response to chemical or viral carcinogen. This could happen well before the released tumor antigen reaches a detectable level. Our results suggest that the autoantibody detection rather than antigen detection would serve for early diagnosis.

We have not tested the ECPKA autoantibody EIA for cancer screening; this requires extension and confirmation in multi-institutional based screening cohorts. It will be important to evaluate whether the ECPKA autoantibody EIA is specifically associated with cancer but not with benign malignancies, inflammations, and other diseases. Although the technique is simple and promising, its ultimate performance in multi-institutional studies must yet be determined.
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