Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Cooperates with Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 to Induce Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Cancer Cells via Up-regulation of TWIST Gene Expression
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Abstract

Aberrant epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling is a major cause of tumor progression and metastasis; the underlying mechanisms, however, are not well understood. In particular, it remains elusive whether deregulated EGFR pathway is involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT), an early event that occurs during metastasis of cancers of an epithelial origin. Here, we show that EGF induces TWIST-expressing cancer cells to undergo a transition from the epithelial to the spindle-like mesenchymal morphology. EGF reduced E-cadherin expression and increased that of mesenchymal proteins. In search of a downstream mediator that may account for EGF-induced EMT, we focused on transcription repressors of E-cadherin, TWIST, SLUG, and Snail and found that cancer cells express high levels of TWIST and that EGF enhances its expression. EGF significantly increases TWIST transcripts and protein in EGFR-expressing lines. Forced expression of EGFR reactivates TWIST expression in EGFR-null cells. TWIST expression is suppressed by EGFR and Janus-activated kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) inhibitors, but not significantly by those targeting phosphoinositide-3 kinase and MEK/ERK. Furthermore, constitutively active STAT3 significantly activates the TWIST promoter, whereas the JAK/STAT3 inhibitor and dominant-negative STAT3 suppressed TWIST promoter. Deletion/mutation studies further show that a 26-bp promoter region contains putative STAT3 elements required for the EGF-responsiveness of the TWIST promoter. Chromatin immuno-precipitation assays further show that EGF induces binding of nuclear STAT3 to the TWIST promoter. Immunohistochemical analysis of 130 primary breast carcinomas indicates positive correlations between non-nuclear EGFR and TWIST and between phosphorylated STAT3 and TWIST. Together, we report here that EGF/EGFR signaling pathways induce cancer cell EMT via STAT3-mediated TWIST gene expression. [Cancer Res 2007;67(19):9066–76]
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Introduction

Accumulating evidences suggest a role of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in tumor metastasis (1–5). It has been reported that EGFR activates its downstream modules protein kinase C-δ, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), and phospholipase C-γ, extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK), and phospholipase C-γ and facilitates migration of EGFR-overexpressing cancer cells (6, 7). It has also been shown that EGFR increases production of matrix metalloproteinase-9, possibly via phosphoinositide-3 kinase, leading to cell migration (8, 9). However, the involvement of EGFR in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT; an early step during tumor metastasis) remains elusive. Nevertheless, chronic EGF treatment has been shown to lead to down-regulation of E-cadherin expression and loss of cell-cell adhesion junction (10). Down-regulation of E-cadherin is considered as a critical step and an indicator for EMT, and the down-regulation of which can be achieved by transcriptional suppression mediated by transcription factors TWIST, SLUG, and Snail (11–14).

TWIST is a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) transcription factor that has been known to be essential for proper gastrulation mesoderm formation, and neural crest migration (15). Intriguingly, increased TWIST expression is detected in metastatic breast cancer cells and is required for EMT and breast cancer metastasis (11). Role of TWIST in EMT has also been reported in other cancer types, including those of prostate (16) and uterus (17). High TWIST expression further correlates with tumor invasion and metastasis in breast carcinomas (11), esophageal squamous cell carcinomas (18), and gliomas (19). A recent study reported that STAT3 knockdown of mouse 4T1 mammary tumor cells led to altered expression of several genes, including those of activated src, phosphorylated Akt, c-Myc, and TWIST, but not p53 nor total src (20). How mouse STAT3 knockdown led to reduced phosphorylation of src and Akt remains unknown. Whereas c-Myc is a known STAT3 target gene, mouse Twist has yet been shown to be a direct transcriptional target of STAT3. STAT-binding sites are not found in the mouse twist gene promoter, suggesting its expression reduction by STAT3 small interfering RNA was likely due to indirect effects. As for the human TWIST gene, its transcriptional regulation is yet investigated.

Given the association between EGFR overexpression and high metastatic potential, we speculate that the EGFR/EGFR pathway promotes EMT via activation of EMT mediators, such as TWIST, SLUG, and Snail. Our initial examination of a breast cancer cell line, MDA-MB–468, indicated that TWIST is expressed at a higher level
EGFR with STAT3 Induces EMT in Cancer Cells

Experimental Procedures

Cell lines and cell culture. A431 human epidermoid carcinoma cells, MDA-MB-468 human breast carcinoma cells, and EGFR-null Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells and Madin-Darby canine kidney (MDCK) epithelial cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. CHO-NEO, CHO-EGFR, and CHO-EGFR-NLS stable cells were derived from the parental CHO cells as previously described (24). HEK293 cells are stable EGFR transfectants of Swiss 3T3 cells, and NR-6 cell line is an EGFR-null Swiss 3T3 variant. All cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, except that CHO-NEO, CHO-EGFR, and CHO-EGFR-NLS stable lines were supplemented with 1 mg/mL G418 additionally. PANC28 human pancreatic cancer cell line was a kind gift from Dr. Paul Chiao at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center.

Chemicals, plasmids, and mammalian transfection. PD188780, AG490, AG1478, and the PI3K inhibitor LY294002 were obtained from Calbiochem Corp. The human genomic TWIST plasmid was a kind gift from Dr. David James (University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA). Dominant-negative STAT3-expression vector, pcDNA3-1-EGFR, was a kind gift from Dr. James David (University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA). A dominant-negative STAT3 vector (pCAGGS-STAT3F), which carries the mutation Y705F and constitutively active STAT3-expression plasmid (pRC-STAT3-c-Flag), was kindly provided by Dr. Keping Xie (M. D. Anderson Cancer Center). Control small interfering RNA and STAT3 small interfering RNA were obtained from Millipore/Pharmacon.

Reverse transcription-PCR. In these studies, cancer cells of exponential growth were starved for serum for 24 h and stimulated with EGF (100 ng/mL) for 0, 1, and 2 h. Cells were harvested, and total RNA was extracted using the SV total RNA isolation system (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions (27, 28). Extracted total RNA was then subjected to reverse transcription using reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) to generate cDNA. Extracted total RNA was used to generate other TWIST promoter segments. For phTWIST-120 and phTWIST-94 constructs, forward primers were used in PCR. For phTWIST-Luc, luciferase activity was measured using Dual Luciferase kit (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions (27, 28).

Construction of the human TWIST promoter-driven luciferase reporter constructs. The human genomic TWIST plasmid was cotransfected as a control for transfection efficiency. After transfection and experiment treatments, cells were lysed and luciferase activity was measured using Dual Luciferase kit (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions (27, 28). Western blot analyses. Western blot analyses were done as previously described (24, 26). The antibodies used for these studies included rabbit polyclonal EGFR, TWIST, and STAT3 antibodies and goat polyclonal Snail antibody, which were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotech. Rabbit polyclonal phosphorylated STAT3 (p-STAT3; Y705), phosphorylated EGFR (Y1045), and Akt/phosphorylated Akt antibodies were from Cell Signaling. Additional antibodies used for Western blot were mouse monoclonal β-actin and α-tubulin (Sigma), E-cadherin (BD Pharmigen), ERK/phosphorylated ERK (Upstate), fibronectin (NeoMarkers), and vimentin (NeoMarkers) antibodies.

Mammalian transfection and luciferase assay. Cells were transfected using the cationic liposome method using SN as described previously (25) or Fugene HD (Roche). pRL-TK (Promega) was cotransfected as a control for transfection efficiency. After transfection and experiment treatments, cells were lysed and luciferase activity was measured using Dual Luciferase kit (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions (27, 28).

Biotinylated oligonucleotide precipitation assays/oligo pull-down assay. These studies were done as described previously (24, 29). The nucleotide sequences of biotinylated oligonucleotides corresponding to TWIST-120, TWIST-120/MA, and TWIST-120/MB are 5′-AAACCTTTCATATAACATGAGTCTG-3′, 5′-AAACTTTCATATAACATGAGTCTG-3′, respectively. A functional STAT3-binding site [acute-phase response element (APRE)], known to bind to STAT3/APR factor (APRE), was used as the positive control for STAT3 binding and was designated as STAT3-BS. The sequence for STAT3-BS is 5′-GATCCCTTCTGGAAAATGAGTCTG-3′. After annealing complementary strands (1 μg), binding reactions were carried out at 4°C for 16 h to include 6.5 μg nuclear extracts isolated from the control
and EGF-stimulated MDA-MB-468 cells. Protein-bound probe was precipitated using ImmunoPure streptavidin-agarose beads (Pierce), washed, and subjected to detection of p-STAT3 and EGFR via Western blot analyses as described earlier.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay.** This procedure was done as described previously (24, 32). In brief, MDA-MB-468 cells were serum-starved overnight and treated without and with EGF (100 ng/mL) for 30 min. The cells were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde at room temperature for 10 min, and the reaction was stopped by the addition of glycine to a final concentration of 0.125 mol/L. After washing twice with ice-cold PBS, the cells were scraped off the plate, centrifuged, and resuspended in 400 µL of cell lysis buffer [5 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 85 mmol/L KCl, 0.5% NP40, 1 µg/mL aprotinin, and 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)]. After incubation on ice for 10 min, the cells were dounced to isolate nuclei, and the nuclei were collected, resuspended in 200 µL nuclei lysis buffer 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.1), 10 mmol/L EDTA, 1% SDS, 1 µg/mL aprotinin, and 1 mmol/L PMSF, and sonicated 4 pulses for 10 s each. After centrifugation, the supernatant was diluted 1:10 with immunoprecipitation dilution buffer [0.01% SDS, 1.1% Triton X-100, 1.2 mmol/L EDTA, 16.7 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0), 167 mmol/L NaCl, 1 µg/mL aprotinin, and 1 mmol/L PMSF]. The cell lysates were precleared with normal IgG and protein A-agarose beads and subjected to immunoprecipitation with mouse monoclonal anti-EGFR antibody (Ab-13; NeoMarkers), polyclonal anti-STAT3 antibody (C-20; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), or normal IgG at 4˚C overnight. The resulting immunoprecipitated complexes were collected by adding salmon sperm DNA/protein A-agarose (Upstate) for 15 min at 4˚C. Immunoprecipitates were washed twice with dialysis buffer [2 mmol/L EDTA, 50 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)] and four times with immunoprecipitation wash buffer [0.5 mol/L LiCl, 1% NP40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 100 mmol/L Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)]; the DNA/protein complex was eluted twice using elution buffer (50 mmol/L NaHCO₃, 1% SDS) and treated with RNase A for 30 min at 37˚C. The protein/DNA cross-links were reversed by heating at 65˚C for 16 h, and then the DNA were extracted using QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen). For PCR, 3 µL from a 40-µL DNA preparation was used for amplifications. Specific sequences of the human TWIST promoter in the immunoprecipitates were detected by PCR with primers forward 5'-AGTCTCCCTCGACCCTCCTCTG-3' and reverse 5'-CTTCCGGACGCCGAAATTGTTG-3'. The resulting PCR product spans the -364 to -33 region of the putative STAT3-binding sites. The c-fos promoter has been previously shown to bind to nuclear EGFR and STAT3 and thus also was amplified as positive controls (24).

**Immunohistochemical analyses, histologic scoring, and statistical analyses.** The cohort of primary breast carcinoma specimens, previously stained for EGFR and iNOS, was consisted of 130 cases (24, 26). Immunohistochemical staining was done as previously described (24, 26). In this study, rabbit polyclonal TWIST and p-STAT3 (Y705) antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotech and Cell Signaling, respectively, were used. The immunoreactivity for TWIST was semiquantitatively scored using a well-established system in which H score was generated by incorporating both the percentage of positive tumor cells and the intensity of staining (33). All slides were independently viewed and scored by two pathologists (W.X. and Y.W.). Slides in which there was a scoring discrepancy of >10% were reevaluated and reconciled on a two-headed microscope. Statistica 6.0 (Statsoft) and regression analysis were used to analyze the correlation.

**Results**

**EGF exposure induces transition of the epithelial to the mesenchymal-like phenotype in cultured breast cancer cells.** Cancer cells of epithelial origin undergo EMT as an early event that leads to local invasion and metastasis to distant sites. Despite accumulating studies showing a positive link between high EGFR expression and cancer invasion/metastasis, the specific involvement of EGFR in EMT remains elusive. We, therefore, aimed to examine whether EGF stimulation induces EMT in cancers of the breast and pancreas, two epithelial cancers that can invade and metastasize. This is also important as the survival rate for metastatic breast cancer was reported to be only 9.1% to 14.7% between 1991 and 1995 (34). As indicated in Fig. I A, the human breast carcinoma MDA-MB-468 cells maintained in a minimal amount (0.5%) of FCS (a) retained the epithelial morphology, whereas those supplemented with EGF (b) and TGF-α (c) displayed mesenchymal-like morphology after 5-day treatments. Approximately, 500 cells were examined for each treatment, and three independent experiments were carried out. Similar observations were found in human pancreatic cancer cells PANC28 and A431 human epidermoid carcinoma cells (data not shown). Both cell lines express EGFR (Fig. 2). We next examined whether E-cadherin repressors, TWIST, SLUG, and Snail may play a role in EGF-induced EMT in MDA-MB468 cells. Using reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), we found that MDA-MB-468 cells express detectable levels of TWIST and Snail transcripts, but not that of SLUG (Fig. 1B). Western blot analyses further showed that these cells contained high levels of TWIST protein, but not Snail, and that EGF enhanced TWIST protein expression (Fig. 1C). In fact, Snail expression was very low, and the signals became visible only after prolonged autoradiography. Together, these results suggest that EGFR ligands EGF and TGF-α induce EMT-like morphologic changes in human cancer cells, and among the three transcriptional repressors for E-cadherin, TWIST is the only one that expresses at a detectable level and also responds to EGF stimulation.

**EGF induces expression of TWIST and mesenchymal markers, as well as reduction of an epithelial marker.** TWIST, a bHLH transcription factor and repressor of E-cadherin expression, is frequently detected in metastatic cancer cells and is required for EMT and breast cancer metastasis (11, 16, 18). To date, human TWIST gene regulation remains largely unknown. To determine whether EGF/EGFR promotes EMT via TWIST, we first examined the effect of EGF treatment on the human TWIST gene transcription in EGFR-expressing MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 2A) and human epidermoid carcinoma A431 cells (Fig. 2B). After serum starvation for 24 h, EGF significantly increased TWIST gene transcripts at 2 h, as indicated by RT-PCR (left) and quantitative real-time PCR (right). Expression of GAPDH served as a loading control. Consistently, EGF stimulation increased the levels of TWIST protein in a panel of EGFR-expressing cancer cells, including MDA-MB-468, A431, and PANC28 (Fig. 2C, left). EGFR in the PANC28 cells seem to undergo down-regulation after EGF treatment as expected. In contrast, EGFR-overexpressing MDA-MB-468 and A431 cells contain sustained levels of EGFR. This observation is consistent with the notion that overexpression of EGFR undergoes faster recycling and impairs its down-regulation due to limiting levels of regulatory molecules that mediate rapid endocytosis and lysosomal targeting EGFR (35, 36). Interestingly, EGFR-null CHO-NEO and NR-6 cells do not contain detectable levels of TWIST, and forced EGFR expression in these cells induced TWIST expression (Fig. 2C, middle and right).
CHO-EGFR and Her5 are stable lines derived from parental CHO and NR-6 cells, respectively, to express high levels of EGFR, as reported previously (37).

In addition to EGF, other EGFR ligands, HB-EGF and TGF-α, similarly activated the human TWIST gene expression in MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 2, D, left), which is consistent with their implication in tumor invasion (38). Consistent with the phenotypic observation in Fig. 1, chronic EGF exposure reduced expression of E-cadherin, an epithelial marker, and increased levels of vimentin and fibronectin, mesenchymal markers (Fig. 2D, right). At day 5 post-EGF stimulation, both EGFR-expressing MDA-MB-468 and A431 cells expressed significantly more TWIST compared with the untreated controls. Taken together, these data indicate that EGF/ TGF-α stimulation and EGFR expression are important for the human TWIST gene expression and that EGF induced gene expression changes typically found in cancer cells undergoing EMT.

EGFR-induced TWIST expression involves STAT3. Because EGF/EGFR can activate many signaling modules to regulate gene expression, we then asked via which downstream pathways the human TWIST gene becomes activated. To address this, we pretreated MDA-MB-468 cells with inhibitors to EGFR (Iressa and AG1478), Janus-activated kinases (JAKs)/STATs (AG490), P38/ Akt (LY294002), and MEK/ERK (U0126) and examined TWIST’s response to EGF stimulation. The results (Fig. 3A) suggest that EGFR-induced expression requires EGFR and STAT3, but not those of P38/ Akt and MEK/ERK. Effectiveness of all inhibitors is indicated by sufficient suppression of target kinases. Consistently, time course studies in Fig. 3B and C showed a concurrent TWIST expression and STAT3 activation (Y705 phosphorylation) after EGF/TGF-α treatment in MDA-MB-468 and normal epithelial MDCK cells. These experiments were done thrice; band signals were quantified by NIH ImageJ software, and the correlation (R) and P values between p-STAT3 and TWIST were determined by regression analyses. These results suggest that EGFR up-regulates the human TWIST gene expression, which seems to require STAT3 activation.

EGFR and STAT3 activate the human TWIST gene promoter. STAT3 is a DNA-binding transcription factor that elicits its physiologic function by transcriptionally regulating gene expression after activation by cytokines and growth factors signal pathways (39, 40). STAT3 becomes phosphorylated at Y705, then activated by cell-surface EGFR, and has been found to be constitutively activated in many cancers and to involve in tumorigenesis and metastasis (41–43). Given the association of STAT3 with invasive phenotype, we further aimed to examine whether STAT3 is important in activating the human TWIST gene expression at the transcriptional level. To this end, we generated the human TWIST promoter-driven luciferase constructs that contain 824, 604, and 120 bp of the promoter and designated them as pTWIST-824, pTWIST-604, and pTWIST-120, respectively. Relative luciferase activity was derived from firefly luciferase activity after normalization against the activity of the transfection efficiency control Recilla luciferase. As indicated in Fig. 4A, all three EGFR ligands (EGF, TGF-α, and HB-EGF) significantly activated the human TWIST promoter. Interestingly, the pTWIST-120 construct showed similar extents of EGF responsiveness to the pTWIST-604 plasmid, indicating that the critical DNA elements are within the –120 bp region of the promoter. Using EGFR-null CHO and NR-6 cells, we found that reexpression of EGFR and expression of constitutive STAT3 (STAT3CA) activated the TWIST-120 promoter (Fig. 4B). Coexpression of EGFR and STAT3CA achieved the highest level of induction compared with single expression (Fig. 4B, left). In CHO-EGFR cells, STAT3CA significantly induced TWIST-120 promoter activation, whereas STAT3-DN reduced the promoter...
activity (Fig. 4B). Consistently, EGFR kinase inhibitors (Iressa and PD158780) and JAKs inhibitor (AG490) reduced the activity of the TWIST gene promoter. Furthermore, forced expression of dominant-negative STAT3 reduced TWIST expression (Fig. 4C, left). Consistently, STAT3 expression knockdown by STAT3 small interfering RNA, but not by the control small interfering RNA, reduced TWIST expression (Fig. 4E, right). Together, these data indicate an important role of STAT3 in EGFR-mediated TWIST gene expression.

As we recently found that nuclear EGFR contains transcriptional activity and activates expression of cyclin D1, iNOS, and B-Myb (24, 28, 32), we then examine the involvement of nuclear EGFR in EGF-induced TWIST gene activation. Using isogenic lines CHO-NEO, CHO-EGFR, CHO-EGFR-NLS (CHO with the nuclear entry-defective EGFR), we found that EGFR-NLS mutant displayed a constitutive TWIST promoter activity but failed to respond to EGF (Fig. 4D). Although the EGF responsiveness of EGFR-NLS/CHO seems to favor that nuclear localization of EGFR might be involved in the STAT-mediated TWIST up-regulation. This notion is not supported by the fact that EGFR-NLS can constitutively activate TWIST promoter (Fig. 4D). Thus, further investigation is required for a role of direct nuclear EGFR in the TWIST up-regulation.

**Figure 2.** EGF stimulation induces expression of TWIST and mesenchymal markers, as well as reduction of an epithelial marker. A and B, EGF increases TWIST transcription in EGF-expressing cancer cells. MDA-MB-468 (human breast carcinoma cells in A) and A431 (human epidermoid carcinoma cells in B) were serum-starved for 24 h, exposed to EGF (100 ng/mL) for 0, 1, and 2 h, and harvested. Total RNA was isolated and subjected to RT-PCR (left) and quantitative real-time PCR (right). Expression of GAPDH serves as a loading control. C, EGF stimulation induces expression of TWIST. All cells were serum-starved for 24 h and exposed to EGF (100 ng/mL) for 6 h, and total lysates were extracted. Expressions of TWIST, EGFR, and β-actin were examined using Western blot analyses. Left, EGF stimulation increased TWIST expression in EGF-expressing cancer cells. A panel of EGF-expressing cancer cell lines were used in these studies including MDA-MB-468 (human breast carcinoma), A431 (human epidermoid carcinoma), and PANC28 (human pancreatic cancer). Middle and right, forced EGFR expression in EGF-null cells induce TWIST reexpression. CHO-NEO (middle) and NR-6 (rat fibroblasts; right) are EGFR-null, whereas CHO-EGFR and Her5 are stable lines which express EGFR. As expected, EGFR in the PANC28 cells seem to undergo down-regulation after EGF treatment, whereas those in EGFR-overexpressing MDA-MB-468 and A431 cells displayed reduced degradation (36). D, EGF ligands HB-EGF and TGF-α activate TWIST gene expression (left). MDA-MB-468 cells were treated without or with HB-EGF (100 ng/mL) and TGF-α (100 ng/mL) for 6 h and harvested; total cell lysates were extracted and subjected to Western blot analyses. Right, Prolonged EGF treatment reduced expression of E-cadherin and increased that of vimentin, fibronectin, and TWIST. MDA-MB-468 and A431 cells were treated without or with EGF (100 ng/mL) for 5 d and harvested; total cell lysates were extracted and subjected to Western blot analyses for expression of TWIST, the epithelial marker E-cadherin and mesenchymal markers, vimentin and fibronectin.
Identification of STAT3-targeted region within the human TWIST promoter. We found, thus far, that STAT3 is involved in EGFR-induced TWIST gene activation and that a 120-promoter region may be important in this involvement (Fig. 4). Next, we asked whether the TWIST gene promoter contains STAT3-binding sites. As illustrated in Fig. 5A, the proximal region (−120 bp) of the human TWIST promoter contains two putative STAT3-binding sites. A TATAA box is located at −32 to −28 bp, relative to the transcription start site. To analyze the functionality of the putative STAT3-binding sites A (−116 to −107) and B (−103 to −96), we did site-directed mutagenesis to generate the phTWIST-120/MA mutant with nucleotide substitutions (underlined) at −116 to −104 bp region and the phTWIST-120/MB mutant with nucleotide changes (underlined) at nt −99 to −96. Additionally, the pTWIST-94 was generated to remove the putative STAT3-binding sites and thus only contains the minimal promoter up to −94 bp. Using these reporters, we found that mutation at either putative STAT3-binding site, A or B, reduced but did not abolish the ability of the human TWIST promoter to respond to EGF (Fig. 5B, left). Deletion of the STAT3-binding sites reduced its basal level of promoter activity and rendered EGF/irresponsiveness of the TWIST promoter, as indicated by the failure of the phTWIST-94 construct to respond to EGF/TGF-α (Fig. 5B, right).

We further examined the ability of p-STAT3 to bind to the putative STAT3-binding site within the human TWIST promoter using the biotinylated oligonucleotide precipitation/oligo pull-down assay. As indicated in Fig. 5C, EGF activated the binding of p-STAT3 to the consensus STAT3-binding site (STAT3-BS/APRE; refs. 30, 31), the putative STAT3-binding site in the TWIST promoter.
the TWIST-120/MA and TWIST-120/MB oligonucleotides. Consistent with low EGF responsiveness of the TWIST-120/MB promoter (Fig. 5B, left), the TWIST-120/MB fragment was found to contain lowest binding affinity to p-STAT3 (Fig. 5C). We did not detect binding of nuclear EGFR to any biotinylated oligonucleotides that we tested. Furthermore, we examined whether nuclear STAT3 binds to the TWIST gene promoter using the in vivo protein-DNA binding chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay, and the results are shown in Fig. 5D. Upon EGF stimulation, nuclear STAT3 binds to the human TWIST gene promoter. In contrast, nuclear EGFR did not associate with the TWIST promoter at a detectable level, consistent with the lack of EGFR binding observed in Fig. 5C. As expected, both nuclear EGFR and STAT3 bind to the c-fos promoter in an EGF-dependent fashion, as we previously reported.

**Figure 4.** EGFR and STAT3 activate the human TWIST gene promoter. The human TWIST promoter-driven luciferase constructs were engineered to contain 824, 604, and 120 bp of the promoter and designated as phTWIST-824, phTWIST-604, and phTWIST-120, respectively. All transfection and determination of luciferase activity were carried out as previously described (24). Relative luciferase activity was derived from firefly luciferase activity after normalization against the activity of the transfection efficiency control, Recilla luciferase. All data represent the mean and SD from at least three independent experiments. A, EGFR activation by various ligands induces the TWIST gene promoter. MDA-MB-468 cells in six-well culture plates were transfected with phTWIST-824, phTWIST-604, and phTWIST-120. Recilla luciferase construct was cotransfected as transfection controls. After 24 h, transfected cells were serum-starved for 20 h and stimulated with 100 ng/mL EGF, TGF-α, and HB-EGF for 4 h. Harvested cells were lysed and subjected to luciferase assay. B, expression of EGFR and constitutive STAT3 (STAT3CA) activate the TWIST promoter. EGFR-null CHO-NEO cells (left) were cotransfected with phTWIST-120 and expression plasmids, pEGFR, pSTAT3CA, or combination. EGFR-negative NR-6 cells (middle), rat fibroblasts, were cotransfected with pEGFR and pSTAT3CA. After 48 h, transfected cells were lysed and luciferase activities determined. Right, CHO-EGFR cells were cotransfected with phTWIST-120 and indicated plasmids (pSTAT3CA and pSTAT3-DN). At 48 h, serum-starved cells were stimulated with EGF (100 ng/mL) for 4 h. Additionally, aliquots of CHO-EGFR cells were transfected with phTWIST-120, serum-starved, and pretreated with EGFR inhibitors (Iressa, 5 μmol/L; PD158780/PD, 10 μmol/L) and Jak/STAT3 inhibitor (AG490, 10 μmol/L) before 4 h of EGF stimulation. Control phTWIST-120 transfected cells were treated with EGF (Mock) for 4 h before analysis for luciferase activity. C, forced expression of dominant-negative STAT3 (STAT3-DN) and STAT3 small interfering RNA reduced TWIST expression. Left, MDA-MB-468 cells were transfected with control and STAT3-DN vectors. 48 h later, harvested and subjected to Western blot analysis for TWIST and α-tubulin expression. Right, Forced expression of STAT3 small interfering RNA reduced TWIST expression. MDA-MB-468 cells were transfected with control small interfering RNA (nonspecific (N.S.), small interfering RNA, and STAT3 small interfering RNA). After 48 h, transfected cells were harvested and subjected to Western blot analysis for STAT3, TWIST, and β-actin expression. D, involvement of nuclear EGFR in EGF-responsiveness of the human TWIST gene promoter. CHO-NEO, CHO-EGFR, CHO-EGFR-NLS cells were transfected with phTWIST-120, serum-starved at 24 h posttransfection, and treated with 100 ng/mL EGF and TGF-α for 4 h. Harvested cells were lysed and subjected to luciferase assay.
The IgG was used in immunoprecipitation as negative controls and did not yield any band signals, indicating the assay specificity. Input chromatins were also used in these assays to indicate that equal amounts of cell lysates were used from the /C0 EGFand+/EGFtreatment groups. In summary, we identified and functionally characterized the STAT3-targeted region within the human TWIST promoter.

Positive correlations between EGFR/p-STAT3 and TWIST in a cohort of primary breast carcinomas.

We revealed using cultured cells that EGFR activates the human TWIST gene expression via activation of STAT3. Next, we aimed to examine whether such regulation also exists in the primary tumor specimens from cancer patients. To this end, we analyzed TWIST expression via immunohistochemical staining analysis in a cohort of primary breast carcinomas that have been previously immuno-stained for EGFR and p-STAT3 (24, 26). Two pathologists independently viewed and scored all slides. All statistical analyses were done using Statistica 6.0 software. Regression analysis indicated a positive correlation between non-nuclear EGFR and TWIST (P = 0.01). Levels of nuclear EGFR do not significantly correlate with those of TWIST (P = 0.6), which is in agreement with the findings in Fig. 5C and D. To further investigate whether p-STAT3 correlates with TWIST expression, we immunostained the same cohort of tumors for p-STAT3 and analyzed the correlation between p-STAT3 and TWIST. We found that levels of TWIST correlate significantly with those of p-STAT3 (R = 0.28, P = 0.0013; Fig. 6C, left). In agreement with the role of EGFR as an upstream activator of STAT3, we found a significant, positive correlation between non-nuclear EGFR and p-STAT3 (R = 0.35, P = 0.00003; Fig. 6C, right). Furthermore, Fig. 6D shows two representative tumors in which the upper case contains high EGFR/p-STAT3/TWIST and the lower tumor contains low EGFR/p-STAT3/TWIST.
Together, we reported here that levels of EGFR and p-STAT3 correlate positively with those of the TWIST gene in breast carcinomas.

Discussion

Metastasis is a major obstacle for cancer therapy and is a primary cause of mortality in many cancers, including that of the breast. Understanding the biology of cancer cells with high metastatic potential is, therefore, important in identifying tumors that are likely to undergo metastasis and in improving current anticancer therapy. It has been shown that cancers with the deregulated EGFR pathway possess a high likelihood for local invasion and subsequent metastasis. The specific involvement of EGFR in EMT, an event that takes place during the early stage of tumor invasion, intravasation, and subsequent metastasis to the distant organ sites, remains elusive. The current study was thus undertaken to examine the role of aberrant EGFR pathway in EMT. Here, we report that cancer cells with high EGFR expression/activity undergo EGF/TGF-α–induced EMT, and this phenotypic transition involves EGFR-mediated activation of STAT3 and subsequent STAT3-activated TWIST gene expression.

TWIST is a bHLH transcription factor that has been known as an essential player for proper gastrulation mesoderm formation and neural crest migration (15). More recently, TWIST has been found to express at high levels in a number of human tumors, including those of the breast, prostate, esophagus, lung, uterus, skin, liver, and brain (16–19, 44–46). Importantly, increased TWIST expression is associated with breast cancer metastasis to the lung and increased EMT and intravasation (11). Correlative studies further indicate an association of high TWIST expression with invasion and therapeutic response in other cancer types (17–19, 44, 47–49). Despite frequent reports of TWIST overexpression in human cancers, transcriptional regulation of the human TWIST genes is undertaken to examine the role of aberrant EGFR pathway in EMT. Here, we report that cancer cells with high EGFR expression/activity undergo EGF/TGF-α–induced EMT, and this phenotypic transition involves EGFR-mediated activation of STAT3 and subsequent STAT3-activated TWIST gene expression.

**Figure 6.** Positive correlations between non-nuclear EGFR/p-STAT3 and TWIST in a cohort of primary breast carcinomas. The cohort of primary breast carcinoma specimens, previously stained for EGFR (26), was analyzed for p-STAT3 (Y705) and TWIST expression via immunochemical staining analysis. All slides were independently viewed and scored by two pathologists. When the scoring discrepancy is >10%, slides were reevaluated and reconciled by two pathologists on a two-headed microscope. All statistical analyses were done using Statistica 6.0 software. **A,** positive correlation between non-nuclear EGFR and TWIST. Levels of TWIST were correlated with those of non-nuclear EGFR ($P = 0.01$). Regression analysis was done in these analyses. **B,** lack of correlation between levels of nuclear EGFR and TWIST ($P = 0.6$). Regression analysis was similarly done as in A. **C,** expression of p-STAT3 correlates with those of TWIST and non-nuclear EGFR. Regression analysis was done to determine $R$ and $P$ values. **D,** representative tumors immunostained for EGFR, p-STAT3, and TWIST. Top, tumor was stained strongly for EGFR (left), p-STAT3 (middle), and TWIST (right). Bottom, tumor with negative/low expression for all three proteins.
largely unknown. Moreover, regulation of the mouse Twist genes has been investigated to involve tumor necrosis factor-α/nuclear factor-κB (NF-κB; ref. 50) and Wnt1/TCF/β-catenin pathways (51). However, the NF-κB and TCF/β-catenin response elements found in the mouse Twist gene promoters are not present in that of the human TWIST gene. A recent study reported that STAT3 knockdown of mouse 4T1 mammary tumor cells led to altered expression of several genes, including Twist (20). Twist has yet been shown to be a direct transcriptional target of STAT3. We did an extensive search, using TF Search and TESS transcription factor search sites, for the STAT-binding sites within the mouse twist gene promoter and did not find a putative site, suggesting its expression reduction by STAT3 small interfering RNA was likely due to indirect effects. The current study showed that EGFR activated STAT3 sites in the human TWIST promoter and regulates its transcription. Because mouse TWIST promoter does not contain STAT consensus site, this raises an interesting question that the two species may use STAT to regulate TWIST expression through different molecular mechanisms.

The current report strongly supports the notion that EGFR and STAT3 oncoproteins interplay and regulate expression of a series of genes that are involved in aggressive cancer biology. Such regulation, however, seems to be highly complex and not yet fully understood. In the case of TWIST, this study indicates that STAT3 plays a direct transcriptional role by binding to the TWIST promoter and that EGFR seems to be an upstream regulator that phosphorylates and activates STAT3. Although the responsiveness of EGFR of EGFR-NLS/CHO seems to favor that nuclear localization of EGFR might be involved in the STAT3-mediated TWIST up-regulation. This notion is not supported by the fact that EGFR-NLS can constitutively activate the TWIST promoter. Furthermore, nuclear EGFR does not seem to interact directly with the TWIST promoter, as indicated by the ChiP and oligo pull-down assays. IHC studies showed no significant correlation between nuclear EGFR and TWIST. Together, these evidences suggest that a role of direct nuclear EGFR in the TWIST up-regulation is unlikely. For inOS, nuclear EGFR and STAT3 behave as transcriptional coregulators as the EGFR/STAT3 complex associated with the inOS promoter (24). In the case of cyclin D1, nuclear EGFR seems to bind to the promoter independent of STAT3 (24). On the other hand, STAT3 can be activated by other upstream regulators, independent of EGFR/EGFR, and regulates expression of Myc (52) and p21/WAF1/CIP1 (53). Further investigations are indeed needed to further our understanding of EGFR-mediated and STAT-mediated gene regulation and its effect in the biology of cancer cells.

We report here that the human TWIST gene is directly up-regulated by the oncprotein STAT3 in cancer cells with high levels of EGFR. This correlation was also found in primary breast carcinomas. Given TWIST’s function in promoting EMT, EGFR cooperates with STAT3 to induce TWIST expression leading to EMT. In this context, we observed that chronic EGF/TGF-α exposure promotes EMT in breast and pancreatic cancer cells with high EGFR levels. This finding is supported by the observation that prolonged EGF stimulation leads to loss of E-cadherin and increased invasion in A431 human epidermoid carcinoma cells (10). Consistent with our findings, tumors with high EGFR and constitutively activated STAT3 contain high potentials to undergo metastasis (1–5). Stat3 controls cell movement in Zebrafish gastrulation via increasing Zinc transporter Liv1 expression (54, 55). In line with our observations, STAT3 knockdown in mouse breast cancer cell line led to reduced expression of Twist (20). Together, our results and those from other studies highlight an important role of EGFR and STAT3 in promoting cancer cell EMT via TWIST. Our findings provide important insights into the understanding of the malignant biology of tumors with deregulated EGFR and STAT3 pathways and establish new rationales for using anti-EGFR and anti-STAT3 strategies to target aggressive invasive tumors.

Acknowledgments
Received 2/12/2007; revised 6/12/2007; accepted 7/25/2007.

Grant support: NIH grants RO1 109311, P20 CA101936 (MDACC Pancreatic Cancer Specialized Programs of Research Excellence), P50 CA83699 (MDACC Ovarian Cancer Specialized Programs of Research Excellence), and P50 CA116199 (MDACC Breast Cancer Specialized Programs of Research Excellence), Department of Defense Center of Excellence W81XWH-06-2-0003, National Breast Cancer Foundation, Inc. (M.-C. Hung), Cancer Center support grant CA16672, NIH grant IK01-CA118423-01 (H.-W. Lo), American Cancer Society grant PF TBE-109873, Wendy Will Case Cancer Fund, Ela F. Pardee Foundation grant (H.-W. Lo), Department of Defense grant W81XWH-07-0390 (H.-W. Lo), and NIH Duke Comprehensive Cancer Center Core grant P30 CA142366.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

References
Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor Cooperates with Signal Transducer and Activator of Transcription 3 to Induce Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition in Cancer Cells via Up-regulation of \textit{TWIST} Gene Expression

Hui-Wen Lo, Sheng-Chieh Hsu, Weiya Xia, et al.