Serial Assessment of Human Tumor Burdens in Mice by the Analysis of Circulating DNA
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Abstract

Internal human xenografts provide valuable animal models to study the microenvironments and metastatic processes occurring in human cancers. However, the use of such models is hampered by the logistical difficulties of reproducibly and simply assessing tumor burden. We developed a high-sensitivity assay for quantifying human DNA in small volumes of mouse plasma, enabling in-life monitoring of systemic tumor burden. Growth kinetics analyses of various xenograft models showed the utility of circulating human DNA as a biomarker. We found that human DNA concentration reproducibly increased with disease progression and decreased after successful therapeutic intervention. A marked, transient spike in circulating human tumor DNA occurred immediately after cytotoxic therapy or surgery. This simple assay may find broad utility in target validation studies and preclinical drug development programs. [Cancer Res 2007;67(19):9364–70]

Introduction

Animal models have been essential to our understanding of human cancer. Subcutaneous tumors in mice are most often used to evaluate issues relating to tumorigenicity and therapy, as tumor growth can be easily measured in such systems by visual inspection. However, it is increasingly recognized that host stroma plays a major role in tumorigenesis and that the stromal factors in internal organs such as liver and lung are not the same as those in subcutaneous tissues (1–5). Cancer researchers are therefore gradually turning to human xenograft models in which tumors are formed internally, either through metastasis or implantation, to more accurately mimic human disease (6, 7).

The major experimental problem with internal tumors is their quantitative assessment. Accordingly, many sophisticated methods have been devised to follow such tumors in mice. Direct imaging methods, such as magnetic resonance imaging or computed tomography, are useful in this regard but they can only detect relatively large tumors and require expensive equipment (8). Imaging with fluorescent or luminescent markers provides much greater sensitivity but also requires genetic engineering of the cell lines to introduce markers (9). The introduction of such markers can itself alter the growth characteristics of tumors (10). Moreover, direct or indirect imaging methods require that animals be anesthetized and the imaging process takes a considerable amount of time.

Imaging methods are therefore not well-suited for situations in which multiple sequential measurements on many mice are desirable.

In light of these problems, several studies have evaluated biochemical measurements ex vivo for internal tumor assessment. For example, tumor cells engineered to secrete human chorionic gonadotrophin can be monitored through the evaluation of the urine (11). Like the imaging methods noted above, this approach requires genetic engineering of each cell line to be evaluated. A more generally applicable approach is to use human DNA as a marker of tumor burden. Indeed, the concentration of human DNA sequences can be quantitatively assessed by real-time PCR and this principle has been applied to measure human tumor cells in the solid organs of mice, rats, and chickens (12–15). There has also been one recent report of the application of this approach to circulating DNA in mice harboring human ovarian xenografts (16).

However, the DNA quantification method described in ref. 16 required the sacrifice of the mice to collect sufficient plasma for detection of human sequences. We have independently developed a facile approach that is more sensitive and allows repeated measurements from small amounts of plasma (<25 μL) collected from the tail veins of mice. As shown below, this technique can be effectively used to monitor the development of tumors in a variety of models and to track the efficacy of therapeutic measures.

Materials and Methods

Animals, cell lines, and reagents. All experimental procedures were in compliance with U.S. laws governing animal experimentation and were approved and overseen by the Johns Hopkins University Animal Care and Use Committee. Female athymic nude mice 3 to 8 weeks of age were purchased from Harlan. The HCT116 parental cell line and a derivative clone retaining only the mutant PIK3CA allele were derived as previously described (17). The thymidine kinase expressing osteosarcoma (143B-PML-BK-TK) and the LS 174T colorectal adenocarcinoma (ATCC no. CL-188) cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection. All cell lines were grown as monolayers in McCoy's 5A medium (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (HyClone) and 0.9% penicillin-streptomycin solution (Invitrogen) at 37°C and 5% CO2. Ganciclovir was purchased from Roche and used at 100 μg/kg delivered by i.p. injection.

Subcutaneous and metastatic tumor models. Suspensions of 5 × 106 HCT116 parental cells in 0.1 mL of culture media were injected s.c. into the flank of mice. Tumors were measured with an electronic digital caliper (AVID) at the time indicated in the text. Tumor volume was calculated as length (L) × width2 (W) × 0.5. Metastatic models were established by injection of one million tumor cells in 500 μL of 1 × PBS (HCT116) or 100 μL of McCoy's 5A medium (CI-188) via the tail vein.

Orthotopic and ectopic tumor models. Tumor fragments were prepared via s.c. injection of 1 × 105 HCT116 or 143B cells in the flank of athymic nude mice. After subcutaneous tumors reached a size of 500 to 1,000 mm3, the mice were euthanized and the tumors were removed and
placed in ice-cold 1× PBS (Invitrogen). For internal tumor implantation, athymic nude female mice were anesthetized with isoflurane (SurgiVet) and the abdomen was scrubbed for surgery. A small incision was made parallel to the linea alba and the cecum was exteriorized. A single fragment of tumor of ～1 mm³ in size was implanted on the serosal surface. An absorbable suture (3–0 Vicryl) was used to attach the tumor to the serosal wall without penetrating the inner layers of the intestine. The organ was returned to the abdominal cavity, and the abdominal wall was closed. For transplantation to hepatic sites, an incision was made in the ventral left upper abdominal quadrant. The left lobe of the liver was carefully exposed and a blunt needle was used to puncture the liver capsule. A single fragment of tumor of ～0.5 mm³ in size was then placed in the hole made by the needle. After hemostasis, the abdomen and skin were closed.

**Plasma preparation.** Whole venous blood was accessed by tail prick with a 26 1/2–gauge needle or scalpel blade and collected directly into a disposable EDTA-coated plastic capillary tube (Hematronics) or aspirated by pipette. Twenty-five microliters of whole blood was added to 200 µL of 1× PBS containing 4.5 mmol/L of EDTA (Invitrogen) in a 1.5 mL polypropylene tube (Corning Life Sciences) and subjected to centrifugation at 1,500 rpm for 10 min at room temperature. Plasma was aliquoted and frozen at −80°C. Circulating DNA as a Biomarker in Mouse Xenografts

**Human LINE quantification.** DNA was purified from 100 µL of the diluted plasma samples using the QIAamp DNA micro kit (Qiagen) as recommended by the manufacturer with 1 µL of carrier RNA per 100 µL of AL buffer, eluted in 40 µL of EB buffer, and stored at −80°C. PCR was done in 25 µL with the following components: 13.75 µL sterile tissue culture grade double-distilled water (Invitrogen), 2.5 µL of 10× PCR buffer, 2.5 µL of 10 mmol/L deoxynucleotide triphosphates (U.S. Biochemical) 1.5 µL of DMSO (Sigma), 0.5 µL of SYBR Green I solution (Invitrogen) diluted 1:1,000 in double-distilled water, 0.5 µL of 50 µmol/L forward primer FWD 5’TACACTCAAGCGGTCACTAC-3’ (Invitrogen; desalted, 25 nmol scale), 0.5 µL of 50 µmol/L reverse primer REV 5’-TCTGCTTTACGTTATG-TACC-3’ (Invitrogen; desalted, 25 nmol scale), 0.25 µL of Platinum taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen), and 3 µL of purified DNA. The 10× PCR buffer contained 670 mmol/L of Tris-HCl (pH 8.8), 166 mmol/L of MgCl₂, 166 mmol/L of (NH₄)₂SO₄, and 100 mmol/L of 2-mercaptoethanol (18). Purified DNA from plasma was used directly without further dilution. The reaction was monitored on an iCycler (Bio-Rad) with the following cycling conditions: (94°C, 2 min) × 1, (94°C, 10 s; 67°C, 15 s; 70°C, 15 s) × 3, (94°C, 10 s; 64°C, 15 s; 70°C, 15 s) × 3, (94°C, 10 s; 61°C, 15 s; 70°C, 15 s) × 3, (94°C, 10 s; 59°C, 15 s; 70°C, 15 s) × 3. The threshold cycle number was determined using Bio-Rad analysis software (version 3.0.6070) with the PCR baseline subtracted. Various dilutions of normal human DNA purified from lymphocytes were incorporated in each plate to serve as standards. The numbers of mice per group are noted in the figure legends and all experiments were repeated at least twice with similar results.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as mean ± SD. Student’s t test (unpaired) was used as indicated in the text to compare continuous variables. The statistical significance level was set at P < 0.05.

**Figure 1.** Human LINE-1 sequences and assay development. A, the hLINE-1 structure with nucleotide positions depicted. B, 81,587 hLINE-1 entries were retrieved from GenBank using accession no. M80343. Sequence positions of the canonical hLINE-1 were used to search these 81,587 family members and determine their copy number. This copy number map defined regions of high abundance and helped focus primer design. The optimized primer set spans nucleotide positions 2715 to 2796. C, correlation between threshold cycles and amount of human DNA added to a PCR reaction in a typical PLOT assay. Essentially identical results were obtained in >10 replicates of this experiment, with threshold cycles varying by <0.3 at any DNA concentration used.

**Table:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hLINE-1 Target Fragment (nt)</th>
<th>Total copy no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500–650</td>
<td>6,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000–1,150</td>
<td>4,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500–1,650</td>
<td>12,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000–2,150</td>
<td>12,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500–2,650</td>
<td>14,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000–3,150</td>
<td>15,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,500–3,650</td>
<td>17,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000–4,150</td>
<td>20,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,500–4,650</td>
<td>24,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000–5,150</td>
<td>30,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,500–5,650</td>
<td>36,996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**A**
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**B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>hLINE-1 Target Fragment (nt)</th>
<th>Total copy no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>500–650</td>
<td>6,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000–1,150</td>
<td>4,196</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500–1,650</td>
<td>12,272</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000–2,150</td>
<td>12,963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,500–2,650</td>
<td>14,110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000–3,150</td>
<td>15,426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,500–3,650</td>
<td>17,822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000–4,150</td>
<td>20,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,500–4,650</td>
<td>24,756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,000–5,150</td>
<td>30,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,500–5,650</td>
<td>36,996</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**C**

![Image C](image2.png)
Results

High-sensitivity detection of human DNA in mouse plasma.

To measure systemic xenograft burdens in various mouse models, we sought to develop a sensitive method for quantifying human DNA in small volumes of mouse plasma. The human long interspersed nuclear element-1 (hLINE-1) retrotranspon family members were ideal for this purpose because ~100,000 of these elements exist in the human genome and, as shown below, a subset of these copies can be distinguished from mouse orthologues (19). The prototypical human LINE-1 consists of a 5′ untranslated region (5′-UTR; nucleotide, 1–910), open reading frame (ORF) 1 (nucleotide, 911–1927), ORF2 (nucleotide, 1991–5818), and a 3′-UTR (nucleotide, 5819–6049) as depicted in Fig. 1A. Using the BLAST algorithm (20), we found 81,587 matches of the canonical hLINE-1 (GenBank accession no. M80343) sequence in the human genome. Because members of the hLINE-1 family are known to be truncated (21), we generated a copy number map that allowed us to exclude regions with low abundance from primer design (Fig. 1B). The nucleotide start and stop positions for each entry were used to determine the presence of hLINE-1 subfragments among family members. For example, we found that the 5′-UTR was frequently truncated such that nucleotide positions 500 to 650 were only found in 6,253 (7.7%) of the 81,587 matches. On the other hand, the 3′-end of ORF2 (nucleotide positions, 5500–5650) was found within 36,936 hLINE-1 elements, representing 45.3% of all entries. Because hLINE-1 family members are divergent at the nucleotide level, we used the BLAT algorithm (22) to confirm the number of exact matches in the human genome for each candidate primer (data not shown) before they were tested empirically.

Optimization of human LINE-1 primer specificity. In silico PCR (23) was used to eliminate candidate primer sets that were predicted to amplify mouse DNA, as sequences related to hLINE-1 are present in mice. The high sequence similarity of the mouse IgL gene (GenBank accession no. NG_004051) made this gene particularly difficult to exclude. As we expected that human DNA would represent only a tiny fraction of the total DNA present in the plasma of mice with tumors, it was critical to avoid any amplification of mouse DNA. We therefore focused on regions of hLINE-1 that were highly represented in the human genome but which were as unrelated as possible to those in mouse. Empirical testing of >30 primer combinations using human and mouse DNA templates eventually allowed us to identify a primer set (see Materials and Methods) that amplified abundant human sequences within ORF2 but did not coamplify mouse sequences. The amplicon generated from the optimal primer set contained nucleotide positions 2715 to 2796. Control experiments showed that there was a linear correlation between the amount of human DNA and the log of the threshold cycle number of real-time PCR data (Fig. 1C).

The resultant assay was termed plasma LINE-1 optimized threshold (PLOT). Mouse plasma did not inhibit this assay when
done as described in Materials and Methods, and the limit of detection was \( \sim 0.06 \) pg of human DNA/\( \mu \)L plasma or \( \sim 0.01 \) human cell genomes/\( \mu \)L of plasma. This limit was defined by PCR signals that arise at \( \sim 25 \) to 27 cycles in plasma from mice without tumors, presumably due to background human DNA contamination. These background levels were consistent with the effective laboratory background of human DNA as defined by forensic criteria to be 0.0174 pg/\( \mu \)L with a range between 0.0119 and 0.0549 pg/\( \mu \)L (24).

**Biological and technical issues.** Studies in humans have shown that tumor DNA is often present in the plasma of cancer patients, particularly those with metastatic disease (25, 26). In such patients, the tumor DNA is generally degraded and amplicons of small size (\( \sim 100 \) bases) are required for detection (27). We assumed that the plasma DNA from human xenografts would similarly be degraded. The primer set used for PLOT generated an 82 bp amplicon, allowing the detection of small circulating \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA molecules. In the metastatic models described below, we found that this 82 bp \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA fragment was \( \sim 8 \)- to 10-fold more highly represented in mouse plasma than a 150 bp fragment encompassing it, presumably due to degradation of the larger fragments in plasma. It is important to note that fragments of \( h\text{LINE-1} \) are ubiquitous wherever humans are found. In the course of method development, we found that the maximum sensitivity of the assay was affected by the scale and source of primers. We compared primers from various commercial suppliers and found that the desalted, 25 nmol scale synthesis from Invitrogen yielded the least amount of contamination. In addition, every new batch of primer, as well as every component of the PCR mix, was carefully screened to ensure that it was not contaminated with human DNA.

**PLOT in experimental models of malignancy.** To determine whether \( h\text{LINE-1} \) sequences could be detected in the plasma of mice bearing human tumor xenografts, we injected human colorectal cancer cells into the tail vein of athymic nude mice. The cell line chosen was an HCT116 derivative in which the wild-type \( PIK3CA \) allele was inactivated by targeted homologous integration. This cell line retained an endogenous mutant \( PIK3CA \) allele (arginine substituted for histidine at codon 1047) and metastasized widely when systemically injected into nude mice (17). The mice developed cachexia and metastatic deposits in a variety of target organs over 8 to 16 weeks (Fig. 2A). Ten mice were injected with tumor cells and serial blood samples of 25 \( \mu \)L were taken from the tail vein. From these samples, the plasma fraction was collected, DNA was purified and the \( h\text{LINE-1} \) content was measured by quantitative PCR (Fig. 2B). In tumor-bearing mice, \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA became detectable in plasma 23 days after i.v. injection of cells. This was weeks before any animal developed tumors (Fig. 2A) or developed any other signs of illness. The quantity of \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA increased with time and plateaued at \( \sim 60 \) days following injection. The assay also provided a large dynamic range, apparent in Fig. 2B, with plasma \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA increasing by >1,000-fold over the course of the experiment.

To determine if plasma DNA could be used to monitor tumor progression in other tumor models, three additional systems were studied. Two systems employed HCT116 tumor fragments which were implanted orthotopically onto the cecum (Fig. 3A) or directly into the liver (Fig. 3B). A third system involved the i.v. injection of a colorectal cancer line, CL-188 (Fig. 3C; ref. 28). In all three of these models, \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA became detectable in the plasma within 8 to 17 days following tumor initiation. As with the experiment depicted in Fig. 2, the concentrations of plasma \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA were very similar in mice sampled at identical time points.

Figure 3. Circulating \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA in various tumor models. The concentration of plasma \( h\text{LINE-1} \) DNA in individual athymic nude mice was determined at the indicated times after orthotopic transplantation of HCT116 tumor fragments to the cecum (A), direct transplantation of HCT116 tumor fragments to the liver (B), or i.v. injection of CL-188 colorectal adenocarcinoma cells (C). Three mice for each model are represented individually in A–C.
points. The data in Fig. 3 also illustrates that individual mice can be readily followed over time and that internal tumor progression in each mouse can be readily monitored in a noninvasive manner.

Concentration of plasma hLINE-1 DNA is related to tumor volume. To determine the relationship between tumor burden and plasma hLINE-1 DNA, we established subcutaneous tumors from HCT116 cells. Tumors became evident 5 to 7 days after injection and increased in size by ~5.5-fold between days 11 and 25, with growth slowing thereafter (Fig. 4A). Plasma hLINE-1 DNA became detectable 10 days after injection, increased ~5.8-fold between days 11 and 25, then plateaued (Fig. 4B). Note that tumor volume is only an approximate measure of viable tumor cells, as tumors contain necrotic regions, particularly as they reach large sizes, as well as nonneoplastic cells. Likewise, circulating DNA is only an approximate measure of tumor burden as it reflects dynamic states involving necrosis and apoptosis (29). Given these uncertainties, the data in Fig. 4 show a reasonable correlation between plasma hLINE-1 DNA and tumor bulk. Interestingly, plasma hLINE-1 DNA concentrations were considerably lower in mice with subcutaneous tumors compared with internal tumors (compare Fig. 4 with Figs. 2 and 3, noting the different scales for the Y-axis). This could be a result of the lack of invasiveness of subcutaneous compared with internal tumors. Alternatively, subcutaneous tumors may have fewer neoplastic cells per cross-sectional tumor burden than internal tumors, leading to less circulating tumor-specific DNA.

The subcutaneous tumor model also provided a means to determine the half-life of hLINE-1 DNA in plasma. Tumors were surgically removed at day 40, resulting in an 86% decrease in plasma hLINE-1 DNA 6 h later (Fig. 4B). By 29 h, plasma hLINE-1 DNA was no longer detectable over the background levels.

Plot1 as a biomarker for therapeutic response. The correlation between plasma hLINE-1 DNA and tumor burden and its rapid decline after tumor excision suggested that plasma hLINE-1 DNA might serve as a biomarker for nonsurgical forms of therapy. To test this possibility, we used an osteosarcoma cell line (OSTK) that had been modified to express thymidine kinase, thereby making it highly sensitive to ganciclovir. Tumor fragments from a subcutaneous human colon cancer cells (n = 4). B, the tumors were surgically excised 41 d (arrow) after implantation. Plasma was serially acquired from these mice and hLINE-1 DNA concentrations were measured at the indicated times. Points, means; bars, SD.

Figure 4. Circulating hLINE-1 DNA levels correlate with tumor burden. A, tumor volumes were measured in athymic nude mice bearing subcutaneous HCT116 human colon cancer cells (n = 4). B, the tumors were surgically excised 41 d (arrow) after implantation. Plasma was serially acquired from these mice and hLINE-1 DNA concentrations were measured at the indicated times. Points, means; bars, SD.

Discussion

These results show that circulating DNA can be used to monitor neoplastic development in mice bearing human xenograft tumors in a variety of organs. Additionally, they show that plasma hLINE-1 DNA is an excellent indicator of the response to therapy. In this regard, a decrease in plasma hLINE-1 DNA routinely occurred after treatment with chemotherapy or surgery. What was more surprising was the seemingly paradoxical increase in plasma hLINE-1 DNA that occurred immediately following chemotherapy treatment or surgery and before a decrease became apparent. Evidently, cytotoxic agents cause the release of a burst of tumor DNA into the circulation. Similarly, the tumor resection itself may have caused tumor cell death resulting in a release of tumor DNA into the circulation during the surgical procedure. Transient increases in tumor DNA in the circulation following therapy are not unprecedented. In humans, circulating EBV DNA seem to increase after surgical resection of nasopharyngeal carcinomas (30). While the current study was in progress, Kamat et al. reported that circulating tumor DNA increased 1 day following treatment of intraperitoneal ovarian tumors with docetaxel (16).

In aggregate, these studies suggest that the assay of circulating tumor-specific DNA will be generally useful for the study of human xenografts in cancer biology and drug discovery. The observations
made in this manuscript, if extendable to humans, also suggest that circulating tumor DNA may be a surrogate marker for tumor burden and can be used to rapidly predict the efficacy of cytotoxic anticancer agents. Recent reports from our group and others show that tumor-specific mutations can be detected and quantified in the circulation of patients with metastatic colorectal cancers and melanomas (27, 31). Future studies using circulating tumor-specific DNA from preclinical models and patients with cancer will further define the utility of these biomarkers in cancer research.
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