Human prx1 Gene Is a Target of Nrf2 and Is Up-regulated by Hypoxia/Reoxygenation: Implication to Tumor Biology
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Abstract

Peroxiredoxin 1 (Prx1) has been found to be elevated in several human cancers. The cell survival–enhancing function of Prx1 is traditionally attributed to its reactive oxygen species–removing capacity, although the growth-promoting role of Prx1 independent of this antioxidant activity is increasingly gaining attention. Although much progress has been made in understanding the behavior of Prx1, little information is available on the mechanism responsible for the abnormal elevation of Prx1 level in cancer. We hypothesized that the hypoxic and unstable oxygen microenvironment of a tumor might be crucial for prx1 up-regulation. In this study, we cloned the human prx1 promoter and identified nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2)–related factor 2 (Nrf2) as a key transcription factor. Hypoxia/reoxygenation, an in vitro condition suited to mimic changes of oxygenation, increased Nrf2 nuclear localization and its binding to the electrophile-responsive elements located at the proximal (–536 to –528) and distal (–1429 to –1421) regions of the prx1 promoter. A significant reduction of both steady-state and hypoxia/reoxygenation–mediated prx1 gene expression was shown in Nrf2 knock-out cells. Our results indicated that decreased Kelch-like ECH-associated protein, Keap1, might be an important mechanism for the increased nuclear translocation and activation of Nrf2 in response to hypoxia/reoxygenation. A constitutive elevation of prx1 mRNA and protein was observed in Keap1 knock-out cells. The above information suggests that the Nrf2-Prx1 axis may be a fruitful target for intervention with respect to inhibiting the malignant progression and/or reducing the treatment resistance of cancer cells.

Introduction

Peroxiredoxins (Prx) are thiol-specific antioxidant proteins. They are found in mammals, yeast, and bacteria and are classified largely on the basis of having either one (1-Cys) or two (2-Cys) conserved cysteine residues (1). Prx1 is a major 2-Cys Prx family member. It contains a cysteine at the catalytic site (Cys52) and detoxifies peroxides at the expense of Cys52 oxidation through intermolecular disulfide formation with the other conserved Cys173 residue. The disulfide bond is reduced back to the active thiol form, Cys-SH, by various mechanisms (1, 2).

Despite the initial biochemical characterization of Prx1 as a peroxide-detoxifying enzyme, the physiologic significance of its peroxidase activity is unclear because Prx1 is highly susceptible to inactivation by oxidative stress. Overoxidation of the Cys-SH to Cys-sulfenic (–SO2H) or Cys-sulfonic acid (–SO3H) has been reported with various Prx family members during peroxide detoxification (3). When the catalytic Cys52-SH of Prx1 is overoxidized, the peroxidase activity is lost. Recent studies have suggested that overoxidation of the active site Cys in itself may be physiologically significant because it allows a mechanism of structural and functional switching of the 2-Cys Prx from a peroxidase enzyme to a molecular chaperone under stress conditions (4, 5). The wide range of effects attributed to Prx1 (6–9) may in part be explained by the physical interaction of Prx1 with growth regulatory proteins to modulate their activities (10–12).

Elevated expression of Prx1 has been observed in several human cancers (13–15), including lung cancer (16–18). We recently reported that Prx1 suppresses radiation-induced c-Jun-NH2-kinase (JNK) signaling and apoptosis in lung cancer cells (19). The peroxidase activity of Prx1, however, is not essential for inhibiting JNK activation. The latter effect is mediated through the association of Prx1 with the GST(ζ)/JNK complex, thereby preventing JNK release from the complex. The JNK inhibitory and antiapoptotic activities of Prx1 suggest that lung cancer with high Prx1 levels is likely to be resistant not only to radiation but also to multiple anticancer agents targeting JNK and the associated apoptotic pathways. Studies by Chen et al. (20) have recently shown the role of Prx1 in radioresistance using human lung cancer xenograft models. They observed a significant growth inhibition and radiosensitization, as well as reduced metastasis of lung cancer cells stably transfected with antisense Prx1. These studies suggest that Prx1, in addition to serving as a potential prognostic marker, may also serve as a therapeutic target and/or a target for inhibiting malignant tumor progression. Delineating the molecular basis of prx1 gene regulation is expected to provide valuable clues for the development of new intervention strategies. Although much effort has been devoted to the investigation of the various functions of Prx1, virtually nothing is known about the molecular basis of abnormal prx1 gene regulation in cancer cells.

Hypoxia is one of the key factors influencing tumor growth and progression (21, 22). Generally speaking, hypoxia is considered a global phenomenon and is defined as an overall reduction in oxygen availability or partial pressure below critical levels (23). Tissue oxygenation within a tumor, however, is highly unstable and heterogeneous as a consequence of the architectural and functional abnormalities of the vascular network (24). Blood flow fluctuations in the tumor microvasculature can lead to perfusion-limited hypoxia in the tumor parenchyma (25, 26). In terms of the ultrastructure, tumor vasculature has numerous “holes” or “openings”, widened interendothelial junctions, and a discontinuous or absent basement membrane (27, 28). Aberrant blood vessels can be shut down locally at any time; the same defects can cause a reversal of blood flow. In addition to the reopening of the temporarily closed or blocked vessels, dynamic changes of hypoxia/reoxygenation may also occur as a result of regional angiogenesis.
We hypothesized that the hypoxic and unstable oxygenation milieu of a tumor may trigger the transactivation of the prxl gene via redox-sensitive transcription factors and signaling molecules. In this study, we cloned the human prxl upstream region and identified the critical regulatory component of prxl gene expression. To mimic the dynamic changes of oxygenation, we treated A549 lung cancer cells to transient hypoxia followed by reoxygenation. We showed that prxl is a target gene of nuclear factor (erythroid-derived 2) (NF-E2)–related factor 2 (Nrf2) and is up-regulated by hypoxia/reoxygenation. We showed a specific binding of Nrf2 to the electrophile-responsive element (EpRE) or antioxidant-responsive element located at the proximal (−536 to −528) and distal (−1429 to −1421) regions of the prxl promoter. We found that both steady-state prxl and hypoxia/reoxygenation–induced prxl expression are severely compromised in mouse embryonic fibroblast (MEF) cells lacking Nrf2. Lastly, our results suggest that decreased Kelch-like ECH-associated protein (Keap1) might be an important mechanism for the increased nuclear translocation and activation of Nrf2 in response to hypoxia/reoxygenation.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. Human lung cancer A549 [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA] and 1170i (29) cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) bovine calf serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Human prostate cancer LNCaP (ATCC) cells were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum containing 2 mmol/L glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Wi-26 (ATCC) human embryonic epithelial cells, wild-type and Nrf2 knock-out MEF (30), and Keap1 knock-out MEF (30) cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. All cells were grown at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air.

Hypoxia treatment. Hypoxia treatment was done as reported previously (31). Briefly, the culture medium was replaced with deoxygenated RPMI 1640 before hypoxia treatment at 37°C in a hypoxic chamber (Forma Scientific, Marietta, OH). Deoxygenated medium was prepared before each experiment by equilibrating the medium with a hypoxic gas mixture containing 5% CO2, 85% N2, and 10% H2 at 37°C. The oxygen concentration in the hypoxic chamber and the exposure medium was maintained at <0.05% and monitored by using an oxygen indicator (Forma Scientific, Marietta, OH). Deoxygenated medium was prepared before each experiment by adding 100-fold excess of unlabeled oligonucleotides. The sequences of the PCR primer pairs used for the amplification of mouse prxl, keap1, and β-actin were forward 5′-ATGGTCCTCAGGAATGATAAAATAA-3′ and reverse 5′-TTGACTACCAATCAAATCC-3′; and forward 5′-GGAGAGTTAGCTGCTGAAGT-3′ and reverse 5′-GGAGAGTTAGCTGCTGAAGT-3′, respectively. The sequences of the PCR primer pairs used for the amplification of human prxl and β-actin were forward 5′-GGTCGACGAGTGATCTGGC-3′ and reverse 5′-GGTCGACGAGTGATCTGGC-3′, respectively. The PCR products were verified by DNA sequencing in both directions.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. Human lung cancer A549 [American Type Culture Collection (ATCC), Manassas, VA] and 1170i (29) cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) bovine calf serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Human prostate cancer LNCaP (ATCC) cells were grown in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum containing 2 mmol/L glutamine, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. Wi-26 (ATCC) human embryonic epithelial cells, wild-type and Nrf2 knock-out MEF (30), and Keap1 knock-out MEF (30) cells were maintained in DMEM with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum, 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 µg/ml streptomycin. All cells were grown at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air.

Hypoxia treatment. Hypoxia treatment was done as reported previously (31). Briefly, the culture medium was replaced with deoxygenated RPMI 1640 before hypoxia treatment at 37°C in a hypoxic chamber (Forma Scientific, Marietta, OH). Deoxygenated medium was prepared before each experiment by equilibrating the medium with a hypoxic gas mixture containing 5% CO2, 85% N2, and 10% H2 at 37°C. The oxygen concentration in the hypoxic chamber and the exposure medium was maintained at <0.05% and monitored by using an oxygen indicator (Forma Scientific). All experiments were done at 70-80% confluency, and the medium pH was maintained between 7.2 and 7.4 for the duration of hypoxia exposure.

Plasmid construction. A 2.100-bp fragment of the human prxl promoter was isolated via PCR using the 5′-atactgagcGAGGAGGGGCTGCG-3′ primer pairs and high-fidelity platinum Taq DNA polymerase with proofreading capability (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). A Xhol primer. The PCR product was digested with Xhel/Xhol, gel-isolated, and subcloned into the pGL3-Basic (Promega, Madison, WI) upstream of the luciferase reporter, and the construct was designated as pGL3-prxl1 (−2.100/+1; +1 meaning the transcription initiation site). A series of deletion constructs were generated using pGL3-prxl2 as a template. The information from various promoter analysis programs, including TransFac1, was taken into consideration when designing the deletion constructs. The sequences of the PCR primers were p1500 forward, 5′-atactgagcCGGCTGCGCTGCTG-3′; p1000 forward, 5′-atactgagcCCCCGCTGCTGCTG-3′; p700 forward, 5′-atactgagcGAATAATTTTATTGGAT-3′; p500 forward, 5′-atactgagcAGCTAAGAGCTTACTCC-3′; and p100 forward, 5′-atactgagcAGAGG- GAAAGCCGGCCGTC-3′. The same reverse primer, 5′-atactgagcGGAGAC- GGAGGCGTTGCG-3′, was used to generate the deletion constructs. The sequence accuracy of all constructs was confirmed by using an ABI 3700 capillary sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA).

Site-directed mutagenesis. Site-directed mutagenesis was done using the GeneTailer Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Invitrogen). To generate mtEpRE1 (TGAGATCAGCCGAAATAATTC-3′) and mtEpRE2 (TGCC- GCAGAGGCGTTGCG-3′), respectively. The sequences of the PCR primer pairs used for the amplification of mouse prxl and β-actin were forward 5′-ATGGTCCTCAGGAATGATAAAATAA-3′ and reverse 5′-TTGACTACCAATCAAATCC-3′; and forward 5′-GGAGAGTTAGCTGCTGAAGT-3′ and reverse 5′-GGAGAGTTAGCTGCTGAAGT-3′, respectively. The PCR products were digested with Xhel/Xhol, gel-isolated, and subcloned into the pGL3-Basic (Promega, Madison, WI) upstream of the luciferase reporter, and the construct was designated as pGL3-prxl2 (−2.100/+1; +1 meaning the transcription initiation site). A series of deletion constructs were generated using pGL3-prxl2 as a template. The information from various promoter analysis programs, including TransFac1, was taken into consideration when designing the deletion constructs. The sequences of the PCR primers were p1500 forward, 5′-atactgagcCGGCTGCGCTGCTG-3′; p1000 forward, 5′-atactgagcCCCCGCTGCTGCTG-3′; p700 forward, 5′-atactgagcGAATAATTTTATTGGAT-3′; p500 forward, 5′-atactgagcAGCTAAGAGCTTACTCC-3′; and p100 forward, 5′-atactgagcAGAGG-
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Chromatin immunoprecipitation assay. Chromatin immunoprecipitation assays were carried out following the previously described procedure with minor modifications (31). Briefly, formaldehyde was added directly to cells before or after hypoxia/reoxygenation. The crosslinking process was limited to <30 min because longer incubation with formaldehyde caused cells to aggregate and prevented the efficient sonication of the chromatin. After crosslinking, cells were lysed in buffer containing protease inhibitors. Nuclei were isolated, and chromatin was sheared to an average length of 250 to 500 bp using sonication. The sheared chromatin was precleared with salmon sperm DNA/protein A-agarose and precipitated with an antibody specific to Nrfl2 (2 μg/mL; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Rabbit immunoglobulin G was used as a control to monitor nonspecific interactions. Immune complexes were adsorbed onto salmon sperm DNA/protein A-agarose beads. After an extensive wash to reduce background, Ab/Nrfl2/DNA complexes were eluted. After precipitation, DNA was resuspended in water, and PCR was carried out to amplify the EpRE1- or EpRE2-containing regions in the prxl promoter gene. The following primer sequences were used to amplify the two EpRE regions: EpRE1, forward 5'-GAGAG-GATGGTCTGTAAGCTTGGT-3' and reverse 5'-CAGGTGTCGTGTAACTG-3'; EpRE2, forward 5'-TTGAGACGGAGTCTTGACTCG-3' and reverse 5'-GTAGGAACACCTTGGTGACCCGT-3'.

Western blot analysis. Cells were rinsed thrice with ice-cold PBS and lysed in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer [50 mmol/L Tris-Cl (pH 7.4), 1% NP40, 150 mmol/L NaCl, 1 mmol/L EDTA, 1 mmol/L phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 μg/mL each of aprotinin and leupeptin, and 1 mmol/L Na3VO4]. After centrifugation at 12,000 x g for 30 min, the supernatant was collected, and protein concentration was determined by the Lowry method (32). Equal amounts of protein were separated on 12% (for Prxl and Keap1) or 7.5% (for Nrfl2) SDS-PAGE gel and blotted onto nitrocellulose membranes. The blots were incubated with anti-Prxl (Lab Frontier, Seoul, Korea), anti-Nrfl2 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or anti-Keap1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibody. Antibody to β-actin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) or Lamin B (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) was used as a loading control. Immunoreactive bands were detected with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and enhanced chemiluminescence reagents (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). The experiments were repeated at least thrice.

Immunocytochemistry. Cells seeded on coverslips in six-well plates were allowed to grow for 24 h. After exposure to hypoxia or treatment with 20 μmol/L BHQ, the cells were washed with PBS, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at room temperature, permeabilized with 1% Triton X-100 for 10 min, and blocked with 1% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 15 min. The cells were then incubated with anti-Nrfl2 antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 45 min, followed by incubation with FITC-conjugated antirabbit secondary antibody (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) for 45 min. For filamentous actin staining, cells were incubated with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Molecular Probes) for 10 min. The coverslips were mounted on slides using Vectashield mounting medium containing 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Fluorescence images were visualized with the Nikon Eclipse E600 inverted microscope.

Statistical analysis. Statistical significance was examined using Student’s t test. The two-sample t test was used for two-group comparisons. Values were reported as means ± SD. P values <0.05 were considered significant and indicated by asterisks in the figures.

Results

Up-regulation of prxl expression by hypoxia/reoxygenation and multiple sequence alignment analysis of the prxl upstream sequences. To test whether a hypoxic and unstable oxygenation condition activates prxl gene expression, human lung cancer A549 cells were exposed to 4 h of hypoxia, followed by reoxygenation in a CO2 incubator. Reverse transcription-PCR analysis showed a significant up-regulation of prxl expression during reoxygenation (Fig. 1A). The accumulation of Prxl protein closely correlated with the mRNA level (Fig. 1B). Hypoxia treatment alone, however, did not up-regulate prxl gene expression even when the cells were treated with longer periods of hypoxia (Fig. 1C). The effect of an unstable oxygenation condition on prxl expression was not unique to A549 cells. Similar responses were observed in several other cancer cell models, including human lung cancer 1170i and prostate cancer LNCaP cells (data not shown). Increased prxl mRNA level was reproducibly observed in reoxygenated cells regardless of the prior duration of hypoxia. These results are consistent with the hypothesis that dynamic changes of oxygenation of a tumor trigger the transcriptional activation of prxl expression. The human prxl gene may not be a target of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α because hypoxia-inducible factor-1α binding to the hypoxia-responsive element is known to increase during continuous exposure to hypoxia and to decline immediately by oxygen replenishment (21).

Because no information is available on the transcriptional regulation of the human prxl gene, we carried out a computer-based sequence analysis of the mouse, rat, and human prxl upstream sequences. About 5 kb genomic sequences upstream of prxl were retrieved in Fasta format from the UCSC genome browser. Multiple sequence alignment analysis was done with a
locally installed Clustal X (33) program in combination with MEME (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation; ref. 34), and CONREAL (CONserved Regulatory Elements anchored Alignment; ref. 35) programs. The computer-based sequence analysis revealed that the 5′-2.1-kb region upstream of the human prx1 gene contains most of the sequence conservation across the three mammalian species (data not shown). No obvious hypoxia-inducible factor-1α-responsive element was found in these prx1 upstream regions.

Cloning of the human prx1 promoter and identification of two EpRE sites as critical cis-elements for prx1 up-regulation in response to hypoxia. The 5′-2.1-kb region upstream of the human prx1 gene was PCR cloned, and the luciferase reporter vector, pGL3-2100, was generated. Genomic DNA from the Wi-26 human embryonic epithelial cells was used to avoid possible mutations of the promoter region in cancer cells. A series of deletion vectors were constructed as described in Materials and Methods for the purpose of examining the transcriptional activation of prx1. The pGL3-2100 reporter vector was transfected into A549 cells, and the luciferase activities were analyzed at 0, 0.5, 2, 6, or 24 h during reoxygenation after 4 h of hypoxia treatment. The luciferase activity was highest at 6 h of reoxygenation (data not shown). This time point was chosen to study the promoter activities of the deletion constructs. The importance of the 5′-1,500 to −1,000 and −700 to −500 regions to hypoxia/reoxygenation-mediated prx1 activation became evident from the analysis. As shown in Fig. 2A, a significant reduction of the promoter activity was observed in cells transfected with pGL3-1000 and pGL3-500 when compared with the pGL3-2100 transfected cells.

An examination of these two regions with the use of various promoter analysis programs, including TransFac, indicated that both regions contain potential EpRE, also known as antioxidant-responsive element. The consensus sequence of EpRE, 5′-TGA-CN/NVGCC-3′, is a DNA-binding element of the transcription factor, NF-E2–related factor 2, or Nrf2 (36). The proximal EpRE site is at −536 to −528 (EpRE1), and the distal site is at −1,429 to −1,421 (EpRE2; Fig. 2B). The conventional EpRE displays sequence similarity to the activator protein 1 response element (5′-TGAC/GTCA-3′). One of the critical features of EpRE is the “GC” at the 3′ end of the EpRE core; this feature is met by prx1-EpRE1 and prx1-EpRE2. Suffice it to note that EpRE1 and EpRE2 possess one sequence mismatch in the fourth and third nucleotide, respectively. Previous studies have also reported a correlation between prx1 mRNA accumulation and activation of Nrf2 (37).

To verify the significance of EpRE1 and EpRE2, EpRE1 mutant (pGL3-mtEpRE1), EpRE2 mutant (pGL3-mtEpRE2), and the double mutant (pGL3-mt1mt2) reporter vectors were constructed from pGL3-p2100 (Fig. 2C). The mutations were designed to disrupt the Nrf2 recognition sequences of EpRE1 and EpRE2. When pGL3-mtEpRE1 was transfected, both steady-state and hypoxia/reoxygenation–responsive reporter activities were completely lost. When pGL3-mtEpRE2 was transfected, hypoxia/reoxygenation–responsive promoter activity was significantly reduced, although EpRE1 was intact, indicating that both EpRE1 and EpRE2 are required for hypoxia/reoxygenation–mediated activation of prx1. The fact that the steady-state promoter activity of pGL3-mtEpRE2 was comparable to that of pGL3-2100 suggests that EpRE1, but not EpRE2, may be critical for baseline prx1 expression.

EpRE-binding activity of Nrf2 is increased by hypoxia/reoxygenation. The EpRE-binding activities were examined during reoxygenation after 4 h of hypoxia treatment in A549 cells. As shown in Fig. 3A, increases of EpRE1- and EpRE2-binding activities were observed as early as 0.5 h during reoxygenation upon withdrawal from hypoxia. The EpRE-binding activities were specific because the DNA-binding complexes became undetectable when molar excess of the unlabeled competitor of the EpRE1- and EpRE2-containing probe was added. The addition of HSE, a classic stress-response element, did not inhibit EpRE-binding activities. The binding activity of EpRE1 declined with time, in contrast to the sustained activity of EpRE2. The reason for this difference is unclear, although a possible role of the adjacent sequences of the EpRE1- and EpRE2-containing probes and the profile of Nrf2 interaction with other nuclear factors may be responsible. When nuclear extracts of A549 cells were incubated with the Nrf2 antibody, the disappearance of the EpRE-binding complexes was clearly evident (Fig. 3B). To investigate the recruitment of Nrf2 to the EpRE sites of the human prx1 promoter in the natural chromatin milieu, we did chromatin immunoprecipitation assays on EpRE1 and EpRE2 with the Nrf2–specific antibody. To control for possible nonspecific interactions and DNA contaminations, samples precipitated with rabbit immunoglobulin G were included. As shown in Fig. 3C, our results validated an increased occupancy of Nrf2 in the EpRE1 and EpRE2 regions of the prx1 promoter in response to hypoxia/reoxygenation. The recruitment of Nrf2 to these EpRE sites was specific because no signal was detected in the immunoglobulin G control samples. We did not find an increase of Nrf2 recruitment to the nonspecific intervening region located between EpRE1 and EpRE2. The results obtained from DNA that was PCR amplified from chromatin extracts before immunoprecipitation (input) are shown for comparison.

Increased nuclear localization and transactivation of Nrf2 by hypoxia/reoxygenation. To test whether the prx1 promoter is functional with other Nrf2 inducers, pGL3-2100 promoter activities were examined in A549 cells treated with tBHQ. The latter is one of the classic activators of Nrf2. As shown in Fig. 4A, treatment with 20 μmol/L tBHQ also increased the prx1 promoter activity. Immunofluorescence studies confirmed the increased nuclear localization of Nrf2 in cells subjected to hypoxia/reoxygenation or treated with tBHQ (Fig. 4B). Nrf2 localization was examined by incubation with an anti-Nrf2 antibody; the location and integrity of the nucleus was probed by 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole staining of the same cells. Overlaying the Nrf2 and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole images (cyan color) confirms the nuclear localization of Nrf2. In control cells, low levels of Nrf2 expression were observed in the cytoplasm and the nucleus. The Nrf2/filamentous actin overlay (orange color) images display the cytoplasmic localization of Nrf2. Nuclear fractions were then prepared, and as shown in Fig. 4C, there was significantly increased Nrf2 accumulation in the nucleus as shown by Western blot analysis. It should be noted that the Nrf2 band seemed at ~100 kDa, although the expected MW is at 68 kDa. The occurrence of the higher molecular mass of Nrf2 in Western blot analysis has been described by others and is suggested to represent a Nrf2-actin complex (38).

An important role of Nrf2 in prx1 transactivation was also shown in Nrf2 knock-out cells. The accumulation of prx1 mRNA was significantly diminished in Nrf2 −/− cells when compared with Nrf2 +/+ MEF cells (Fig. 4D). However, neither baseline nor hypoxia/reoxygenation–responsive expression of prx1 mRNA was completely abolished in cells lacking Nrf2. It is conceivable that in addition to Nrf2, various regulatory factors might be involved in coordinating and relaying different cellular signals to the transcriptional machinery of the prx1 gene, as is true for other genes involved in cell growth and survival regulation.
Keap1, an Nrf2 suppressor, is reduced by hypoxia/reoxygenation. Keap1 is known to suppress the nuclear accumulation of Nrf2. Liberation of Nrf2 from Keap1 has been suggested to stabilize cytoplasmic Nrf2 and increase its nuclear translocation and transactivation (39). Our results showed that hypoxia/reoxygenation significantly reduced Keap1 protein level in A549 cells (Fig. 5A). A detailed time course experiment revealed that the decrease of Keap1 occurred as early as 0.5 h of reoxygenation, which was accompanied by the simultaneous increase of Nrf2 accumulation in the nuclear fractions. Keap1 down-regulation under hypoxia/reoxygenation conditions is not unique to A549 cells. Similar results were obtained in the 1170i and LNCaP cells. When A549 and 1170i cells were reoxygenated for a longer period of time, i.e., 6 or 24 h, an additional increase of Nrf2 was noted. This is likely due to the de novo synthesis of Nrf2. Nrf2 itself was shown to contain an EpRE-like element in its promoter (40). Reduced Keap1 protein, however, was not due to reduced mRNA. Comparable levels of keap1 mRNA were observed in control and hypoxic cells, suggesting an increased degradation of Keap1 during reoxygenation. Consistent with this interpretation, not only a constitutive elevation of Nrf2 protein (Fig. 5B) but also an increased accumulation of prx1 mRNA and protein (Fig. 5C) was found in Keap1-/- MEF cells.

**Discussion**

Although hypoxia is generally accepted as an important microenvironmental factor in influencing tumor progression and treatment response, it is usually regarded as a static global phenomenon. Consequently, much less attention is given to the
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**Figure 2.** Analysis of hypoxia/reoxygenation–responsive prx1 promoter activities. A, A549 cells were transfected with a series of prx1 promoter reporter vectors. The pRLTK vector containing the Renilla luciferase gene was cotransfected to normalize the transfection efficiency. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were exposed to hypoxia for 4 h, followed by reoxygenation for 6 h. The data are presented as the ratio of the normalized luciferase activities. The numbers on the promoter vectors represent the positions starting from the transcription initiation site of the human prx1 gene (+1). *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01, compared with control pGL3-2100 value. #, P < 0.05; ##, P < 0.01, compared with pGL3-2100 reporter activity after hypoxia/reoxygenation. B, EpRE1 and EpRE2 sequences present in the human prx1 promoter were compared with the conventional EpRE core sequences. The nucleotide sequence of EpRE1 or EpRE2 that differs from the core sequence is underlined. C, the pGL3-mtEpRE1, pGL3-mtEpRE2, and the double mutant, pGL3-mt1mt2, were transfected into A549 cells together with the pRLTK normalizing vector. Relative luciferase activities were analyzed as described in A. **, P < 0.01, compared with control pGL3-2100 value. ##, P < 0.01, compared with pGL3-2100 reporter activity after hypoxia/reoxygenation.
impact of the dynamic changes of tumor oxygenation in regulating the behavior of cancer cells. Our results showed that human prx1 is up-regulated by hypoxia/reoxygenation, an in vitro condition that is suited to mimic the hypoxic and unstable oxygenation milieu of a tumor. We concluded that Nrf2 is one of the key transcription factors for prx1 gene expression. Increased nuclear localization and transactivation of Nrf2 by hypoxia/reoxygenation was accompanied by a reduced level of Keap1 protein. Promoter cloning and characterization revealed two EpRE sites located at −536 to −528 (EpRE1) and −1,429 to −1,421 (EpRE2) as the Nrf2-binding sites responsible for both steady-state and hypoxia/reoxygenation-responsive regulation of prx1 expression.

Based on the above information, we propose that the elevated expression of Prx1 observed in various cancers (13–18) may be explained in part by the activation of Nrf2 in response to the hypoxic and unstable oxygenation microenvironment of a tumor. The subpopulation of cells with elevated Prx1 may thus acquire an aggressive survival advantage that contributes to the malignant progression of cancer. Considering that Nrf2 is a major transcription factor responsible for the activation of EpRE-mediated drug-metabolizing/detoxifying genes (41), the impact of Nrf2 stimulation may also extend to the emergence of treatment-resistant cancers. Studies have suggested that the association of Nrf2 with Keap1 in response to electrophiles promotes Nrf2 degradation and prevents it from translocating to the nucleus (42, 43). However, a number of EpRE-dependent genes, including prx1, are constitutively expressed by Nrf2. This implies the existence of activated Nrf2 in the nucleus; the assumption is consistent with our immunocytochemistry results showing the nuclear expression of Nrf2 in control cells. How nuclear Nrf2 escapes Keap1 repression and activates the steady-state expression of its target genes is still an unresolved question. The precise mechanism by which Keap1 sequesters Nrf2 in the cytoplasm without causing its degradation is another question that begs for an answer. Given the short half-life of Nrf2, the purpose of its sequestration is also puzzling. An alternative role of Keap1 might be as a negative feedback regulator in returning Nrf2, and thereby the expression of Nrf2 target genes, to steady-state level after its activation (44). Such an event would have entailed the repression of Nrf2 by Keap1 only after Nrf2 exerts its transcriptional activity in the nucleus.

Phosphorylation of Nrf2 by various kinases has been implicated in its stabilization and nuclear localization and transactivation (38, 45–47). For example, phosphorylation at Ser320 by PKC (46) may disrupt Nrf2-Keap1 interaction and Nrf2 degradation in response to hypoxia/reoxygenation. The phosphoinositide-3-kinase pathway has also been proposed to regulate Nrf2 through actin rearrangement in response to oxidative stress (38). Various mitogen-activated protein kinases may regulate Nrf2 either positively or negatively depending on the signaling context of a particular EpRE-containing gene (45). It seems that Nrf2 transactivation is dependent on a number of regulatory mechanisms acting in concert to increase or decrease the Nrf2 protein level and to promote or suppress its stabilization and accumulation in the nucleus. However, the exact target sites of phosphorylation and the underlying mechanisms regulating Nrf2 stability and function have yet to be delineated. Additionally, the interaction of Nrf2 with other nuclear factors or components of the general transcriptional machinery may also influence Nrf2 activity (48). These may explain the variable levels of Prx1 expression observed in cancer cells and tissues.

Keap1 binds to the Neh2 domain of Nrf2 through the double glycine repeat and the COOH-terminal region to assert its repressive activity on Nrf2 (39, 49). Recent studies suggest a possible role of genetic abnormalities in Keap1 in eliminating or reducing its association with Nrf2 as a way of increasing Nrf2 activity in lung cancer cells (49, 50). Padmanabhan et al. (49) found that the occurrence of glycine to cysteine mutations in the double glycine repeat and the COOH-terminal region to assert its repressive activity on Nrf2 (39, 49). Recent studies suggest a possible role of genetic abnormalities in Keap1 in eliminating or reducing its association with Nrf2 as a way of increasing Nrf2 activity in lung cancer cells (49, 50). Padmanabhan et al. (49) found that the occurrence of glycine to cysteine mutations in the double glycine repeat domain of Keap1 in one lung cancer tissue (G430C, G/G to T/G) and in two lung cancer cell lines (G364C, G/G to TT). These mutations were found to alter the local conformation of Keap1 in the DC domain (double glycine repeat and COOH-terminal region) and to result in a reduced affinity of Keap1 to Nrf2. Because the mutations in Keap1 lead to a reduction, but not a complete abolishment, of its interaction with Nrf2, it is possible that the structural defects of Keap1 may augment the functionality of Nrf2 and give rise to a higher expression of prx1 in response to an unstable oxygenation condition. Singh et al. (50) did a systematic analysis of the Keap1 genomic locus and sequencing of
Keap1 gene in 12 lung cancer cell lines and 54 tumor tissues. They showed that a mutation or an inactivation of Keap1 is a frequent event in lung cancer. Various mutations in Keap1 gene were found in 6 cell lines and 10 tumor tissues. Loss of heterozygosity was detected in the genomic locus of Keap1 (19q13.2) in 61% of the cell lines and 41% of the tumor samples that were examined. These findings led to a suggestion that the loss of function in Keap1 leads to a constitutive activation of Nrf2 in lung cancer.

These recent findings are consistent with our results in Keap1 /-/- MEF cells in which we found not only an elevation of Nrf2 activity, but also an increased accumulation of prx1 mRNA and protein. It is noteworthy, however, that not all cancer cell lines or tissues exhibit the genetic abnormalities in Keap1. Singh et al. (50) indeed noted an elevated Nrf2 activity in a number of tumor samples that contain a wild-type Keap1 gene, clearly suggesting that there are other mechanisms operating in these tissues. Our results offer a possible explanation that the decrease of Keap1 in an unstable oxygenation milieu of a tumor could serve as an important mechanism for the increased activation of Nrf2 in cells that contain a wild-type or a mutated but functional Keap1. The A549 cell line was found to possess a G-T transversion in the fourth exon of Keap1. This particular mutation, however, does not seem to affect the function of Keap1 in suppressing Nrf2 activation. Our results show that Keap1 suppresses an activation of Nrf2 in A549 cells as effectively as in other cell lines that were examined. Decrease of Keap1 by hypoxia/reoxygenation consistently released Nrf2 from Keap1 and enhanced a nuclear localization and activation of Nrf2 in several cell models including A549 (Figs. 4 and 5). The functional significance of various Keap1 mutations found in lung cancer cell lines and tissues warrants future investigation.

Hypoxia has been proposed to function as a microenvironmental pressure to select for a subset of cancer cells with an increased ability to survive and proliferate. The activation of Nrf2 and the up-regulation of prx1 expression by changes of oxygenation are likely to contribute to the malignant progression of cancer and to modify the efficacy of chemo- and radiotherapies. The information provided in the current study suggests that the Nrf2-Prx1 axis may serve as a fruitful target for cancer prognosis and therapy. Identifying the key regulatory components and understanding the molecular basis of prx1 gene regulation by Nrf2 are critical to the

Figure 4. Stabilization of Nrf2 in the nucleus by hypoxia/reoxygenation. A, pGL3-p2100 was transfected into A549 cells together with pRLTK. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated with 4 h hypoxia/6 h reoxygenation or 20 μM tBHQ for 3 h. Luciferase activities were analyzed in cell extracts. **, P < 0.01, compared with control pGL3-2100 value. B, A549 cells were treated with 4 h hypoxia/2 h reoxygenation or 20 μM tBHQ for 3 h. Immunocytochemistry was done using an anti-Nrf2 antibody and FITC-conjugated secondary antibody. The location of the nuclei was visualized using 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (blue) counterstaining. Filamentous actin was stained with rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin for cytoplasmic counterstaining. The 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole and filamentous actin images of the same field are shown separately or merged with the Nrf2 immunofluorescence images. C, equal amounts of nuclear protein (10 μg) from cells treated as described in B were subjected to Western blot analysis using an anti-Nrf2 antibody. Analysis of lamin B expression was done as a loading control for nuclear fraction. Representative results from three independent experiments are shown. D, Nrf2 +/- and Nrf2 +/- MEK cells were exposed to 4 h of hypoxia, followed by 0, 2, 6, or 24 h of reoxygenation. Total RNA was isolated, and reverse transcription-PCR analysis was done by using primer pairs specific for the mouse prx1 gene. β-actin gene was analyzed as a control. Representative results from three independent experiments are shown.
Human prx1 Gene Is Up-regulated by Hypoxia/Reoxygenation via Nrf2

Figure 5. Reduction of Keap1 protein in response to hypoxia/reoxygenation. A, A549, 1170i, and LNCaP cells were exposed to 4 h of hypoxia and reoxygenated for 0, 0.5, 2, 6, or 24 h. Nuclear and cytosolic protein extracts were prepared from each cell line and subjected to Western blot analysis with an anti-Nrf2 or an anti-Keap1 antibody, respectively. Lamin B is a loading control for nuclear fraction, and β-actin is a loading control for cytosolic fraction. Total RNA was isolated from and reverse transcription-PCR analysis using primer pairs specific to the human keap1 mRNA. β-actin gene was analyzed as a control. B, equal amounts of cytoplasmic and nuclear protein from Keap1 +/- and Keap1 +/- MEF cells were subjected to Western blot analysis using an anti-Nrf2 or anti-Keap1 antibody. Western blots of β-actin and lamin B were carried out as the loading control for cytoplasmic and nuclear protein, respectively. C, total protein extract from Keap1 +/- or +/- cells was subjected to reverse transcription-PCR analysis using primer pairs specific to the mouse prx1. Mouse β-actin gene was analyzed as a control.
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