Sphingosine-1-Phosphate Protects Proliferating Endothelial Cells from Ceramide-Induced Apoptosis but not from DNA Damage–Induced Mitotic Death
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Abstract

Because of the central role of the endothelium in tissue homeostasis, protecting the vasculature from radiation-induced death is a major concern in tissue radioprotection. Premitotic apoptosis and mitotic death are two prevalent cell death pathways induced by ionizing radiation. Endothelial cells undergo apoptosis after radiation through generation of the sphingolipid ceramide. However, if mitotic death is known as the established radiation-induced death pathway for cycling eukaryotic cells, direct involvement of mitotic death in proliferating endothelial radiosensitivity has not been clearly shown. In this study, we proved that proliferating human microvascular endothelial cells (HMEC-1) undergo two waves of death after exposure to 15 Gy radiation: an early premitotic apoptosis dependent on ceramide generation and a delayed DNA damage–induced mitotic death. The fact that sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), a ceramide antagonist, protects HMEC-1 only from membrane-dependent apoptosis but not from DNA damage–induced mitotic death proves the independence of the two pathways. Furthermore, adding nocodazole, a mitotic inhibitor, to S1P affected both cell death mechanisms and fully prevented radiation-induced death. If our results fit with the standard model in which S1P signaling inhibits ceramide–mediated apoptosis induced by antitumor treatments, such as radiotherapy, they exclude, for the first time, a significant role of S1P-induced molecular survival pathway against mitotic death. Discrimination between ceramide–mediated apoptosis and DNA damage–induced mitotic death may give the opportunity to define a new class of radioprotectors for normal tissues in which quiescent endothelium represents the most sensitive target, while excluding malignant tumor containing proproliferating angiogenic endothelial cells that are sensitive to mitotic death. [Cancer Res 2007;67(4):1803–11]

Introduction

For decades, DNA damage was considered as the principal cause of cell death induced by ionizing radiation. DNA double-strand breaks, which generate chromosomal aberrations, induce mitotic death (e.g., clonogenic or reproductive death). Mitotic death is a slow process occurring after a variable number of cell cycles (1), characterized by anaphase bridges, exclusion of micronuclei from the nucleus (2), cell enlargement (3), and generation of polyploid cells (4). Besides mitotic death, DNA damage is also involved in radiation-induced apoptosis by initiating signalization pathways that lead to the subsequent induction of a wide range of genes, such as ATM (5) or p53 (6). New developments showed that other cell compartments are also involved in radiosensitivity. Indeed, cell membrane also represents a major target in radiation-induced apoptosis (7). Activation of the acid sphingomyelinase enzyme pool on the outer cell membrane layer potentiates sphingomyelin hydrolysis to ceramide, inducing membrane rearrangement, raft formation, and apoptotic signal transduction (8).

By supplying nutrients and oxygen, the endothelium network maintains tissue homeostasis. Vasculature represents a highly differentiated tissue where endothelial cells are quiescent in most of the normal physiologic conditions, except during tissue repair. Endothelium dysfunctions, such as loss of nonproliferating status during tumor angiogenesis, are involved in severe pathologies (9). Because of these physiologic outputs, understanding the death mechanism of the endothelial cell has a genuine relevance. In vitro radiosensitivity of endothelial cell has been essentially studied by clonogenic assays (10, 11). If clonogenic assay measures the capacity of the irradiated cell to divide into colony, correlation between clonogenic assay and mortality is partial. Indeed, clonogenic assay is related as much to survival and proliferation as to death, without discriminating the different types of death. New developments in radiobiology, such as generation of transgenic murine models, allowed to better define factors involved in endothelial cell radiosensitivity. In vitro (12) and in vivo (13) studies showed the crucial roles of acid sphingomyelinase enzyme activation and a rapid ceramide generation in radiation-induced endothelial cell death. Ionizing radiation acts directly on bovine aortic endothelial cell membrane preparations devoid of nuclei, proving that ceramide generation after irradiation is independent of DNA damage and cell cycle regulation induced by DNA double-strand breaks (14). Furthermore, invalidation of acid sphingomyelinase (asmase) gene in mice inhibited the radiation-induced endothelial cell apoptosis (15), which leads to tissue response as injury to the central nervous system (16), gastrointestinal syndrome (13), or blood-brain barrier disruption (17). Microvascular apoptosis has also been characterized by tumor response to high-dose radiotherapy. A 15 Gy irradiation of fibrosarcoma or melanoma tumor cells transplanted in mice rapidly induced a massive endothelial cell apoptosis via acid sphingomyelinase activation, which led to tumor regression (18). These results showed the importance of the acid sphingomyelinase and ceramide pair in endothelial cell apoptosis in normal or tumor tissue.
integrity after ionizing radiation, as well as the critical role of endothelial cell in maintenance of normal or tumor integrity. Pharmacologic alteration of the ceramide metabolic pathway should modulate endothelial cell death and tissue response.

Sphingosine-1-phosphate (SIP), a ceramide metabolite, is a bioactive sphingolipid that has been characterized as a potent signal transduction–inducing molecule that exerts diverse biological responses, such as cellular differentiation, hypertrophy, proliferation, migration, and cell survival. SIP protection mechanisms seem to occur when apoptosis is dependent on ceramide generation (21). Indeed, SIP was shown to protect human umbilical vein endothelial cell (HUVEC) from C2-ceramide–mediated apoptosis (22). In vivo of SIP in protecting proliferating endothelial cells will be more cell models toward a large spectrum of stresses, the involvement of endothelial cell. Because of its radioprotective effects in several tissues, such as cellular differentiation, hypertrophy, proliferation, migration, and cell survival (20), SIP protection mechanisms seem to occur when apoptosis is dependent on ceramide generation (21).

If previous studies showed that quiescent endothelial cells, found in normal tissue, died by ceramide-mediated apoptosis in oocytes (23, 24), Ceramide/SIP balance has the capacity to modulate cell apoptosis and tissue radiosensitivity. However, no study has validated the potential protecting effect of SIP on endothelial cells after high-dose radiation.

DNA Damage Assessment
Detection of phosphorylated histone H2AX. For the detection of DNA double-strand breaks after irradiation, staining for phosphorylated H2AX (γH2AX) was conducted as described previously (30). Cells were trypsinized, washed with PBS, and fixed in 70% ethanol overnight at −20°C. Cells were rehydrated for 10 min in PBS/4% FCS/0.1% Triton X-100, and resuspended in 200 μL of mouse mAb against γH2AX (clone JBW301; Euromedex, Mundolsheim, France). Acquisitions were done on a FACSCalibur flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). Analyses of flow cytometry data were conducted using CellQuest software.

Cytogenetic analyses. Twenty-two hours 30 min after 15 Gy irradiation, colchicine (0.1 μg/mL; Sigma-Aldrich) was added for 1 h 30 min before collecting the cells. Then, cultures were trypsinized and suspended in hypotonic solution (0.075 mol/L KCl), incubated for 20 min at 37°C, and fixed in 3:1 methanol/acetic acid. Slides were processed according to the fluorochrome plus Giemsa method by Perry and Wolff (31) to score mitotic index (percentage of metaphase), chromosomal aberrations, and number of cell divisions done posttreatment. Cell culture duration postirradiation was determined to score chromosomal aberrations exclusively from the first division. Telomeres were detected by a (C3T2A)3PNA-Cy3 probe (Perceptive Biosystem, Boston, MA), whereas centromeres were detected by a Pan-centromere probe (CamBio, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Hybridized metaphases were examined with a charge coupled device camera (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) coupled to a Zeiss Axioplan microscope and were processed with the ISIS software (MetaSystems, Altlussheim, Germany). At least 100 metaphases were examined for each sample. We scored chromosome rearrangements, such as dicentrics or multicuticentrics, rings, and excessacentrics (i.e., we did not score theacentric generated with one dicentric chromosome). To determine the number of breaks per metaphase, the number of breaks per type of aberration was assigned as follows: one dicentric (two breaks), one tricentric (four breaks), one quadricentric (six breaks), one pentacentric (eight breaks), one ring (two breaks), and one minute or one acentric (one break).
Detection of micronuclei. Floating and adherent cells were pooled 48 h after 15 Gy irradiation, washed with PBS, spread on slides with a Cytospin (Thermo Electron Corp., Waltham, MA) at 800 rpm for 2 min, fixed in paraformaldehyde (0.5%) for 30 min, and permeabilized with Triton X-100 (0.1% in PBS) for 10 min. Slides were washed twice with PBS, incubated in 5 μg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) and 1 mg/mL RNase (Qiagen) for 1 h at 37°C in the dark, and rinsed in 10 mmol/L Tris. Cells were visualized with a ×400 lens on a fluorescent microscope (Axiovert 200-M; Carl Zeiss, Gottingen, Germany).

Statistical Analysis
All values were reported as mean ± SD or SE as indicated. Data were analyzed using the Student’s t test or the Mann-Whitney test (SIGMASTAT software, Jandel Scientific, Erkrath, Germany). Differences were considered as significant at \( P < 0.05 \) unless indicated otherwise.

Results
Irradiation induces endothelial cell apoptosis in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Exposure of subconfluent HMEC-1 to X-rays results in a dose- and time-dependent decrease in the number of adherent cells and increase in the number of floating cells. Twenty-four hours after radiation, 38.3 ± 4.9%, 48.6 ± 12.2%, and 75.2 ± 4.9% less adherent cells were quantified, respectively, in 5, 15, or 30 Gy irradiated cells compared with unirradiated cells. Floating cells in the culture medium increased with dose. Compared with control, 2-, 4.5-, and 6.5-fold higher floating cells were quantified in 5, 15, or 30 Gy conditions, respectively (Fig. 1A). The single dose of 15 Gy is chosen for the rest of the study because of the physiologic relevance of endothelial cell apoptosis after irradiation at high dose (13). The use of the apoptotic mitochondrial marker Apo2.7 confirms the induction of apoptotic cells after radiation (23.8% apoptotic cells 24 h after 15 Gy versus 8.4% for control; Fig. 1B). Furthermore, the fact that 90.2% of the floating cells are Apo2.7 positive validated our cell counting assay as an apoptotic assay (Fig. 1B). Similar to the dose-dependent quantification of endothelial cell death, we follow the generation of apoptotic HMEC-1 cells as a function of time. After a single 15 Gy irradiation...
irradiation, two waves of floating cells were observed: the first one reached a plateau at 25% of apoptotic cells 6 h postradiation until 24 h; the second one started 24 h postradiation increasing to 66 ± 20.2% of floating cells at 72 h (Fig. 1C) and reaching a peak of >86% at 92 h (data not shown).

**Inhibition of ceramide pathway by S1P or desipramine blocks early apoptosis but not late death.** To confirm that radiation-induced apoptosis in HMEC-1 cells is mediated by acid sphingomyelinase activation–induced ceramide generation, as shown in endothelial cells in vivo in the central nervous system (16) or in gastrointestinal syndrome (13), experiments were carried out using the acid sphingomyelinase inhibitor desipramine. Apoptotic counting assay done 24 h postirradiation shows that 50 µmol/L desipramine pretreatment significantly decreased apoptosis by 52.4%, compared with the 15 Gy control (P = 0.003), to background level of nonirradiated cells (Fig. 2A). Nevertheless, desipramine treatment does not inhibit the late apoptosis observed at 72 h during the second wave of death (39.4 ± 1.4% of apoptosis for control and 42.5 ± 1.4% for desipramine-treated cells; P > 0.1). Besides the acid sphingomyelinase pathway, de novo biosynthesis by ceramide synthase represents another major ceramide generation pathway activated in endothelial cells after radiation (26, 32).

To evaluate the specificity of the acid sphingomyelinase pathway, the ceramide synthase inhibitor fumonisin B1 was used. We observed no difference between radiation-induced apoptosis of 1 µmol/L fumonisin B1–treated HMEC-1 and untreated cells, 24 and

![Figure 2. Inhibition of ceramide pathway by S1P blocks early apoptosis but not late death. A, comparison of apoptotic cell counting assay of HMEC-1 24 h after exposure to 15 Gy radiation treated either with 50 µmol/L desipramine (filled columns), 1 µmol/L fumonisin B1 treatment (shaded columns), or control (empty columns). Columns, mean from two independent experiments carried out in triplicate; bars, SD (P < 0.005). B, apoptotic cell counting of 1 µmol/L S1P-pretreated (filled columns) or vehicle-pretreated (empty columns) HMEC-1 incubated for 6, 24, and 72 h after exposure to 15 Gy radiation. Columns, mean from three independent experiments; bars, SD (P < 0.05). C and D, determination of apoptotic cell death done by flow cytometry using the apoptotic marker Apo2.7 of 15 Gy irradiated HMEC-1 incubated 24 h (C) or 72 h (D). Values are mean ± SE from three independent experiments done in duplicate (P < 0.001). Original FACS data from one of three experiments.](https://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/full/67/4/1806/F2)
72 h after 15 Gy irradiation (Fig. 2A), proving the major role of the acid sphingomyelinase and ceramide pair in HMEC-1 early radiosensitivity.

Because of the ceramide/S1P rheostat model, we investigated if S1P could protect HMEC-1 from radiation-induced apoptosis mediated by ceramide generation. Two hours of pretreatment with 1 μmol/L S1P lowered the number of apoptotic cells by 2-fold, 24 h after 15 Gy, nearly to the background level (30.5 ± 5.2% of apoptosis for control and 15.3 ± 3.4% for S1P-treated cells, \( P = 0.013 \); Fig. 2B). Apo2.7 staining (Fig. 2C) and terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated nick end labeling (TUNEL) assay (data not shown) confirmed S1P radioprotection, which pointed out a significant 33.3% and 21.2% decrease in apoptotic HMEC-1 cell percentage in 15 Gy irradiated condition pretreated with 1 μmol/L S1P compared with the 15 Gy control, respectively. The specificity of the S1P radioprotection was determined by dihydro-S1P, a sphingolipid related to S1P. Indeed, 2 h of 1 μmol/L dihydro-S1P pretreatment does not inhibit the HMEC-1 apoptosis rate 24 h after 15 Gy (data not shown).

Although S1P inhibits radiation-induced apoptosis in HMEC-1 cells 24 h after radiation, protection by S1P is not effective in the late death wave. Indeed, no statistical difference in floating cell counting assay was found 72 h after 15 Gy irradiation between the S1P-treated and untreated conditions (36.9 ± 12.8% for S1P-treated group versus 42.7 ± 8.5% for vehicle-treated group; \( P > 0.5 \); Fig. 2B). Results are confirmed by Apo2.7 staining (Fig. 2D). After exposure to 15 Gy radiation, we observed percentages of death of 43.9% for control and 42.5% for S1P-pretreated cells.

**S1P does not protect HMEC-1 from DNA damage and mitotic catastrophe.** The fact that desipramine or S1P has no action on late death shows that the second wave of death is independent of acid sphingomyelinase activation–induced ceramide generation and membrane signaling. DNA damage–induced cell death is also a major cell death pathway after X-ray radiation (33). Cells containing γH2AX foci (each focus represents a single DNA double-strand break) were quantified by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis as a function of time, irradiation, and S1P treatment. Maximum γH2AX-positive cell ratio was observed within the window between 30 min and 1 h after exposure to 15 Gy radiation (38.2 ± 6.7% in sham condition versus 74.3 ± 11.2% in S1P condition 30 min post irradiation, \( P > 0.5 \); Fig. 3A). Furthermore, no difference in the mean of fluorescence intensity, representing the number of foci per cell, was observed at the different time points of the experiment (data not shown). Similar kinetic profiles of DNA double-strand break induction and their repair, represented by the increase and the decrease of γH2AX-positive cells, respectively, were observed in HMEC-1 cells treated or not by S1P (Fig. 3A).

Irradiation-induced DNA double-strand breaks leads to chromosomal rearrangements, mitotic catastrophe, and death. Radiation-induced chromosomal damage, quantified by the number of chromosomal breaks per metaphase, was studied 24 h after exposure to radiation. Because of the high level of cell death and low level of metaphases observed after exposure to 15 Gy radiation, chromosomal breaks per metaphase were analyzed in HMEC-1 cells irradiated at 0, 2, and 5 Gy. First, we observed that the percentage of breaks per metaphase increased in a dose-dependent manner, independent of treatment with S1P or its vehicle (0 Gy, \( P = 0.5 \); 2 Gy, \( P > 0.3 \); 5 Gy, \( P > 0.5 \); Fig. 3B). Furthermore, no difference in quality of breaks, such as multcentric,acentric, and minute chromosomal damages, was observed in irradiated condition with or without S1P incubation (data not shown). Experiments carried out at 10 and 15 Gy irradiation showed the same rate and types of breaks per metaphase tendencies when HMEC-1 cells were treated with S1P or its vehicle (data not shown; metaphase number is too small for statistical distribution).

Severe chromosomal damage fails to produce correct chromosomal segregation after mitosis, which results in micronuclei exclusion and leads to mitotic death (1). To assess the mechanism...
of death during the second-wave postirradiation, micronuclei formation was quantified 48 h after exposure to 15 Gy radiation. A 3.3-fold increase of cells with one or more micronuclei was observed after radiation compared with nonirradiated cells, proving that the late death represents cells that are dying by mitotic death (Fig. 3C). Furthermore, pretreatment with S1P does not inhibit the amount of cells with one or more micronuclei after irradiation [S1P + 15 Gy treatment (28.8 ± 2.1%) versus 15 Gy treatment (28.7 ± 2.7%); \( P > 0.9 \); Fig. 3C].

S1P does not modulate cell cycle inhibition involved in mitotic death. Mitotic death is considered to be a slow process occurring after a variable number of cell cycles (34). To confirm the nonprotective effect of S1P during the mitotic wave, proliferation and regulation of cell cycle were studied after radiation. First, we ensured that S1P radioprotection into the first wave of apoptotic death is not due to an upsurge of endothelial cell proliferation using \(^{3}H\)thymidine incorporation assay. In nonirradiated HMEC-1 cells, 24 h after S1P treatment, proliferation increased by 1.2-fold compared with untreated cells, confirming the proangiogenic action of S1P (Fig. 4A; ref. 35). However, after 15 Gy irradiation, cell proliferation decreased by 7.3- and 8.9-fold for sham- and S1P-treated cells, respectively, but no difference between vehicle or S1P-treated cell proliferation was observed (\( P > 0.8 \); Fig. 4A). Results were confirmed by analysis of mitotic index. Twenty-four hours after a dose range of 2 to 15 Gy, HMEC-1 mitotic index decreased in a dose-dependent manner. No statistical difference between S1P-treated cell mitotic index and control was observed (for 15 Gy, \( P > 0.7 \); Fig. 4B).

Cell cycle distribution 24 h after 15 Gy irradiation was examined by propidium iodide incorporation (Fig. 4C). Unirradiated cultures maintained a typical cell cycle distribution of asynchronous populations (G1, 41 ± 6.8% for control and 43.4 ± 4.6% for S1P; S, 15.8 ± 8% for control and 18.4 ± 6.1% for S1P; G2-M, 23.1 ± 11.2% for control and 19.6 ± 4.8% for S1P). As already been shown, irradiation-induced G2-M arrest was observed in HMEC-1 since 6 h postirradiation. Moreover, S1P does not modulate the efficiency or the kinetic of the G2-M cell cycle control after irradiation. Twenty-four hours after exposure to 15 Gy radiation, 48.2 ± 8.2% of the control cells were arrested in G2-M versus 50 ± 5.5% for S1P-treated cells (Fig. 4C; \( P > 0.6 \)).

Combination of S1P and nocodazole treatments highly protects proliferating endothelial cell by inhibiting ceramide apoptotic pathway and mitotic death, respectively. Because cell cycle has to be processed to develop mitotic death, we used nocodazole, which inhibits microtubule formation, to block or delay mitotic death. Forty-eight hours after 15 Gy irradiation, 30.6% decreased cell death was observed in nocodazole-treated HMEC-1 compared with control cells (Fig. 5A). S1P treatment showed no significant difference between S1P or sham-treated irradiated cells, thus confirming data shown in Fig. 2B and \( D \) (\( P > 0.2 \); Fig. 5A).

To further validate that HMEC-1 radiation-induced death involves two different mechanisms (e.g., ceramide-mediated apoptosis and DNA damage–mediated mitotic death), HMEC-1 were treated with S1P before irradiation and with nocodazole after irradiation. Enhancements of radioprotection by 2- and 1.5-fold were observed when 15 Gy irradiated HMEC-1 cells were treated by S1P + nocodazole (\( P \leq 0.001 \)) and by nocodazole alone (\( P \leq 0.001 \); Fig. 5A), respectively.

Because of their involvement in mitotic death, micronuclei incidence was determined in irradiated HMEC-1 to validate the protection by dual S1P + nocodazole treatments (Fig. 5B). First, as expected, treatment by S1P did not modify the incidence of micronuclei in irradiated HMEC-1 (\( P > 0.9 \); Fig. 5B). Moreover, cell population irradiated and treated with nocodazole contains 40.5% fewer cells with micronuclei compared with control (\( P = 0.004 \); Fig. 5B). Better radioprotection was observed when cells were treated with S1P and nocodazole together. In this condition, incidence of cells with micronuclei decreased to 37.5% compared with untreated cells (\( P \leq 0.001 \); Fig. 5B).

**Figure 4.** S1P does not modify cell cycle inhibition involved in mitotic catastrophe. A, S1P-treated (filled columns) and vehicle-treated (empty columns) HMEC-1 proliferation 24 h after 15 Gy irradiation done using \(^{3}H\)thymidine incorporation. Columns, mean from five independent experiments; bars, SD. B, mitotic index of S1P pretreatment (filled columns) or sham control cells (empty columns) HMEC-1 after 0, 2, 5, 10, and 15 Gy irradiation. Columns, mean from three independent experiments with 1,000 nuclei per experiment; bars, SD. C, cell cycle analysis using Flow Jo software after propidium iodide staining. Columns, mean from four independent experiments done in duplicate.
The effect of endothelial cell radiosensitivity in tissue damage and tumor regression to high-dose radiation has been previously described (13, 18, 36). Confluent endothelial cells have been shown to die after radiation through generation of proapoptotic factor ceramide (14). However, if mitotic death represents the established radiation-induced death pathway for most dividing eukaryotic cells, involvement of mitotic death in proliferating endothelial cell radiosensitivity has not been distinctly shown. In this study, we prove that proliferating endothelial cells undergo mitotic death if ceramide-mediated death is inhibited by S1P (Fig. 6).

Apoptotic cell count, Apo2.7 staining, and TUNEL assay of irradiated HMEC-1 cells showed that HMEC-1 radiosensitivity comprises two waves of death. The first wave of death, between 0 and 24 h postirradiation, depended on acid sphingomyelinase activation and ceramide generation because desipramine treatment was able to inhibit this early endothelial death. The second wave of death occurring 24 h after radiation was insensitive to desipramine treatment and thus independent of acid sphingomyelinase/ceramide apoptotic pathway (Fig. 6). Chromosomal aberration studies and micronuclei assays correlated the second wave of death with DNA damage generation and mitotic death, the definitive involvement of which was proven by inhibition of late cell death by nocodazole, a mitosis inhibitor.

DNA damage triggers molecular pathways controlled by key molecular node, especially ceramide synthase and p53. In bovine aortic endothelial cells, fumonisin B1 blocked X-ray-induced death through inhibition of ceramide synthase, making it an attractive target to explain our late cell death phenomenon (32). In our endothelial cell model, maximum tolerated dose of fumonisin B1 was not able to inhibit the second wave of death, meaning that ceramide synthase activation does not seem to be involved in radiation-induced HMEC-1 mitotic death. The major discrepancy between Liao’s study and ours is the proliferation status of the endothelial cells. X-ray experiments in bovine aortic endothelial cells have been realized using quiescent endothelial cells, excluding the mitotic death analysis, whereas our experiments allowed use of proliferating HMEC-1 cells to study the involvement of mitotic death and its molecular factors in endothelial cell radiosensitivity.

HMEC-1 cells are immortalized with SV40 large T-antigen, which inactivates p53 and might modify DNA damage–induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest. However, previous results have shown that acid sphingomyelinase- and ceramide-mediated apoptosis induced in microvascular endothelium was independent of p53 status. At the difference of invalidation of asmase gene, disruption of p53 in mice neither modified high-dose radiation–induced endothelial cell apoptosis inside the lamina propria and around the crypt nor inhibited small intestine necrosis or animal death timing (13). Our first wave of death in HMEC-1 is dependent on acid sphingomyelinase activation and ceramide generation, in which SV40 is not known to be interfering with. Mitotic death occurs after cell cycle G2 arrest. If p53 is known to regulate cell cycle after ionizing radiation, authors hypothesize that ceramide synthase activation might be involved in radiation-induced endothelial cell death.

Figure 5. Arrest of ceramide pathway by S1P and mitotic catastrophe by nocodazole inhibit radiation-induced endothelial cell death. A, 15 Gy irradiated HMEC-1 treated 2 h before irradiation with 1 μMol/L S1P and 24 h postradiation with 0.1 μg/mL nocodazole, and stained 48 h postradiation with apoptotic marker Apo2.7. Columns, mean from four independent experiments done in duplicate or triplicate; bars, SE. B, micronuclei formation after 48 h of 15 Gy irradiated HMEC-1 treated 2 h before irradiation with 1 μMol/L S1P and 24 h postradiation with 0.1 μg/mL nocodazole. Columns, mean from four independent experiments with 200 nuclei per experiment; bars, SD.

Figure 6. Schematic sequence of molecular events leading to ceramide-mediated premitotic apoptosis and DNA damage–induced mitotic death.
radiation, especially by inducing G1 arrest through increase of p21 expression, different studies also showed that X-ray–induced apoptosis occurring after G2 arrest might be independent of p53 (37–39). We ultimately prove the relevance of the two waves of death by confirming data obtained in the SV40-immortalized endothelial cell, in human primary macrovascular endothelial cells. Indeed, S1P is able to protect human primary macrovascular endothelial cells from the first wave of radiation toxicity at 24 h but not from the second wave of death observed at 72 h (data not shown), as shown in HMEC-1. Further studies will help to better understand the molecular pathways involved in the second wave of death.

Pretreatment of HMEC-1 with a therapeutic relevant dose of S1P protects against early apoptosis, which is dependent on ceramide generation induced by radiation exposure, but not the late death, which is dependent on DNA damage. Moreover, S1P action in irradiated HMEC-1 cells seems specific, because other sphingolipids, such as dihydro-SIP, do not enhance radioprotection (data not shown). A key function of S1P is to mediate vascular growth by enhancing endothelial cell proliferation. This proliferative action of S1P could explain its radioprotective activity. This survival mechanism has already been embodied by lovastatin, a lipid-lowering molecule, which inhibits HUVEC radiosensitivity at late time point after radiation, partially by an increase of endothelial cell proliferation (40). Our results using [3H]thymidine incorporation or mitotic index analysis showed that S1P does not protect microvascular endothelial cell by an upsurge of proliferation (Fig. 4A and B). Apo2.7 apoptotic staining definitely proves direct inhibition of ceramide-mediated apoptosis by S1P treatment (Fig. 2C). Our results fit with the main dogma of the “ceramide/S1P hypothesis,” which determines the death and survival status of cells exposed to lethal stress (41). Moreover, our study is the first one to show the pharmacologic input of S1P in modulation of microvascular endothelial cell apoptosis after exposure to a high dose of ionizing radiation.

To understand the absence of S1P protection toward mitotic death, we wondered if S1P interfered with DNA damage–controlled pathway. We first studied the rate of loss of γH2AX, a phosphorylated histone localized in a DNA repair foci (42). The fact that S1P– or vehicle-treated cells throughout expressed a similar percentage of cells with foci and a similar average number of foci per cell (mean value) proves that S1P pretreatment does not affect the rate of DNA double-strand breaks induced by ionizing radiation and does not confer an enhancement of repair machinery. Because insufficiently repaired or misrepaired double-strand breaks might lead to chromosome breaks, deletions, and translocations (43), we also analyzed the percentage of breaks per metaphase with respect to the ionizing radiation dose. S1P pretreatment did not modulate the rate of breaks by metaphase (quantitative analysis) or the types of breaks, such as ring,acentric, and multicentric (qualitative analysis), induced by increasing dose of ionizing radiation (Fig. 3B; and data not shown).

Chromosomal abnormalities, appearance of micronuclei, and cell cycle modulation, which we all validated in our endothelial cell model, are the main characteristic events of mitotic death (1). Furthermore, mitotic death requires a transient G2-M arrest and also takes a longer incubation period (>24 h) until execution of cell death compared with premiotic apoptosis (39). As previously shown by Khodarev et al. (11), we also observed by propidium iodide staining the strong G2-M arrest and the dead cells in sub-G1 phase 48 h postradiation, characteristic of the mitotic death. Mitotic death was thought to be exhibited mainly by non-hematopoietic cell lineages, although the involvement of mitotic death in proliferating endothelial cell radiosensitivity has not been clearly shown, except when endothelial cells are irradiated after angiotatin pretreatment (10).

Modulating the early ceramide-dependent death by S1P and the late DNA damage–dependent death by nocodazole affected proliferating endothelial cell death after radiation and showed the independence of the two waves of death. Compared with sham-irradiated cells, S1P pretreatment does not reduce the amount of cells with micronuclei, a mitotic death marker, explaining the high level of mitotic death observed 48 h postradiation in both conditions. Differences in late death and micronuclei rates (Fig. 5A and B, respectively) between nocodazole-treated and S1P + nocodazole–treated irradiated cells are explained by the fact that S1P and nocodazole treatment gives an additive protection, whereas nocodazole treatment prevents or delays endothelial cell only from late death. Other studies already showed that S1P protects cells from genotoxic agents (21, 23, 24, 44). However, none of these studies were able to discriminate protection due to inhibition of ceramide-mediated apoptosis from the one dependent on DNA damage radiosensitivity. Our extensive study on proliferating endothelial cell death after radiation represents the first study to exclude S1P radioprotection from the process involving interaction with DNA repair machinery or cell cycle modulation.

As recently pointed out (45), S1P metabolism has taken center stage in cancer development and treatment. High expression of sphingosine kinase 1, an enzyme transforming sphingosine to S1P, in tumor cell was correlated with low patient survival (46). Treatment of nude mice by sphingosine kinase 1 inhibitors reduced gastric and mammary adenocarcinoma tumor growth (47). Neutralization by mAb, the pathophysiologic S1P secreted by cancer cells and platelets in the serum delayed the growth of the tumor by preventing angiogenesis (48). Thus, chronic secretion of S1P appears to play a major role in tumorigenesis by protecting tumor cells and by enhancing microvascularization induced by other key proangiogenic growth factors [vascular endothelial growth factor and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF); ref. 45]. These promitotic sides of S1P are counterbalanced by the fact that S1P radioprotection, at a therapeutic, single, relevant low dose, is specific on ceramide-dependent endothelial cell apoptosis. This offers a promising approach for pharmacologically improving the radioprotection of normal tissues. Indeed, adult normal tissue vasculature is quiescent (49) because of the equilibrium between angiogenic promoters and inhibitors (9). Because of this nonproliferative status of endothelial cells, DNA damage–induced mitotic death cannot be the main observable type of death, explaining why endothelial cells died exclusively by the acid sphingomyelinase/ceramide–mediated apoptosis: acid sphingomyelinase knockout mice have an endothelium resistant to ionizing radiation in brain, lung, and intestine tissues compared with wild-type mice or knockout mice for DNA damage–sensing factors, such as DNA-PK, ATM, or p53 (13, 16, 26). Like bFGF injection, S1P treatment should modulate ceramide in endothelial cells in vivo and protect normal tissues from radiation-induced toxicity. However, pathologic endothelial cells have the capacity to divide (9). This proliferating status opens the door to mitotic death, which S1P is not able to block. All of these important biological issues warrant more intensive future in vivo investigation. Mitotic death induced by radiotherapies and most of the chemotherapies are developed to target essentially cells with high proliferating.
capacity. Our data prove that S1P radioprotection is only due to inhibition of acid sphingomyelinase/ceramide-mediated apoptosis. In this circumstance, S1P protection will not be ubiquitous: Therefore, selective protection by S1P of early apoptosis but not mitotic death may give the opportunity to define a selective radioprotector for normal tissues, in which quiescent endothelial cells represent the most sensitive target, but not tumor containing endothelial cells with high proliferating characteristics, which will be sensitive to mitotic death.
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