Identification and Characterization of Ovarian Cancer-Initiating Cells from Primary Human Tumors
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Abstract

The objective of this study was to identify and characterize a self-renewing subpopulation of human ovarian tumor cells (ovarian cancer-initiating cells, OCICs) fully capable of serial propagation of their original tumor phenotype in animals. Ovarian serous adenocarcinomas were disaggregated and subjected to growth conditions selective for self-renewing, nonadherent spheroids previously shown to derive from tissue stem cells. To affirm the existence of OCICs, xenografting of as few as 100 dissociated spheroid cells allowed full recapitulation of the original tumor (grade 2/grade 3 serous adenocarcinoma), whereas >10^5 unselected cells remained nontumorigenic. Stemness properties of OCICs (under stem cell–selective conditions) were further established by cell proliferation assays and reverse transcription–PCR, demonstrating enhanced chemoresistance to the ovarian cancer chemotherapeutics cisplatin or paclitaxel and up-regulation of stem cell markers (BMI-1, stem cell factor, Notch-1, Nanog, nestin, ABCG2, and Oct-4) compared with parental tumor cells or OCICs under differentiating conditions. To identify an OCIC cell surface phenotype, spheroid immunostaining showed significant up-regulation of the hyaluronate receptor CD44 and stem cell factor receptor CD117 (c-kit), a well-known proto-oncoprotein. Similar to sphere-forming OCICs, injection of only 10^4 CD44^+CD117^+ cells could also serially propagate their original tumors, whereas 10^5 CD44^+CD117^+ cells remained nontumorigenic. Based on these findings, we assert that epithelial ovarian cancers derive from a subpopulation of CD44^+CD117^+ cells, thus representing a possible therapeutic target for this devastating disease. [Cancer Res 2008;68(11):4311–20]

Introduction

Ovarian cancer, the most lethal malignancy of the female reproductive system, results annually in over 14,000 U.S. and 114,000 worldwide deaths (1). Greater than 90% of ovarian cancers arise from the surface epithelium (2), and tumorigenesis has been associated with ovulation-associated wound repair and/or inflammation, possibly leading to abnormal stem cell expansion (2, 3). Formation of ascites, a pathologic accumulation of peritoneal fluid containing inflammatory and disseminated tumor cells, is common in advanced disease (4). Whereas standard therapy, cytoreductive surgery followed by platinum/taxane, results in complete response in 70% of patients (5), most will relapse within 18 months with chemoresistant disease (5). Thus, improved targeted therapies and chemosensitization strategies are essential for reducing the mortality of this devastating malignancy.

One emerging model for the development of drug-resistant tumors invokes a pool of self-renewing malignant progenitors known as cancer-initiating cells (CICs). In that scenario, CICs generate a caricature (i.e., an abnormal organ) of the tissue from which they derive, with a hierarchy of cell types at distinct stages of differentiation (6). Consequently, they relapse after remission is likely due to failure to eradicate CICs, which, despite bulk tumor shrinkage, can subsequently reproduce the entire malignant phenotype (7). Indeed, normal stem cells (from which CICs may originate; ref. 8) possess several characteristics that might confer chemoresistance (expression of membrane efflux transporters, enhanced DNA repair, low mitotic index; refs. 7, 8).

CICs were first identified in acute myeloid leukemia as possessing the cell surface antigenic phenotype CD34^+CD38^− and the capacity to reproduce the complete leukemic hierarchy upon xenografting (9). Similar to the hematopoietic system, epithelial linings of most tissue surfaces undergo continuous turnover and are organized according to a stem cell hierarchy (6, 10). In 2003, CD34^+CD44^+ cells were isolated from human breast tumors that could serially propagate in animals and recapitulate their original phenotype (11). CICs have since been identified for numerous other epithelial malignancies (melanoma, lung, head/neck, pancreas, prostate, and colon cancers; refs. 12–17). A consensus of five defining criteria has been established to affirm the existence of CICs: (a) self-renewal, (b) restriction to a small minority of the total tumor population, (c) reproducible tumor phenotype, (d) multipotent differentiation into nontumorigenic cells, and (e) expression of distinctive cell surface markers, permitting consistent isolation (6, 18).

In ovarian cancer, Bapat and colleagues (19) isolated two clones from patient ascites that could organize anchorage-independent, spherical structures (spheroids) in culture, similar to those naturally found in ascites (20). These clones were capable of forming xenografts in nude mice, with a histopathology similar to parental human tumors, serial propagation in animals (19), and expressed the stem cell factor receptor CD117 (c-kit), a well-known proto-oncoprotein (21). Another ovarian cancer study identified a subpopulation of dye-excluding side population–cultured murine...
cells, representing membrane transporter-expressing putative stem cells, that were highly tumorigenic in mice compared with dye-staining (i.e., nonfluorescing) cells (22). To satisfy the CIC criterion of distinctive cell surface markers (6), expression of CD117 (similar to the ascites study) and hyaluronate receptor CD44 (also a marker for CICs from several other solid tumors; refs. 12, 13, 16, 17, 23) was shown in those murine cells. 

In the current study, using primary human ovarian tumors, we isolated and characterized ovarian CICs (OCICs) fully capable of reestablishing their original tumor hierarchy in vivo. OCICs organized self-renewing, anchorage-independent spheres and were reproducibly isolatable using antibodies against both CD44 and CD117. Moreover, OCICs were also capable of intraperitoneal tumorigenesis (demonstrating activity in their native microenvironment) and could serially propagate tumors in animals. Consequently, OCICs fulfill all currently accepted criteria for the existence of a subpopulation of tumor-initiating cells (6, 18), and their specific detection and targeting could be highly valuable for therapy of recurrent, chemoresistant disease.

Materials and Methods

Collection and culture of dissociated human tumor cells. All studies were approved by Institutional Review Boards of Indiana University and Ohio State University. Tumors were obtained at diagnostic radical surgeries of ovarian cancer patients; the five tumors used in this study (designated T1–T5) were categorized as malignant Feasdratation Internationale des Gynécologicistes et Obstetristes (FIGO) stage III serous adenocarcinomas. Fresh tumors were minced, suspended in DMEM/F12 medium (Invitrogen), and mixed with 300 units/mL of both collagenase (Invitrogen) and hyaluronidase (Calbiochem), followed by overnight incubation (37°C, 5% CO2). Enzymatically disaggregated suspensions were filtered (40-μm cell strainer) and washed twice with PBS, and RBCs were removed by Histopaque-1077 (Sigma). The resulting single tumor cells were placed under stem cell conditions (24) by resuspension in serum-free DMEM/F12 supplemented with 5 μg/mL insulin (Sigma), 20 ng/mL human recombinant epidermal growth factor (EGF; Invitrogen), 10 ng/mL basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF; Invitrogen), and 0.4% bovine serum albumin (BSA; Sigma), followed by culturing in Ultra Low Attachment plates (Corning) and subsequent organization into spheres.

Assessments of spheroid differentiation. To examine ovarian tumor-like epithelial differentiation of anchorage-independent cells, spheres were dissociated by trypsin and single cells were plated on collagen-coated dishes (Corning) under standard differentiating conditions [DMEM/F12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) without growth factors]. Nonviable (i.e., membrane-permeable) cells were excluded by DAPI staining. Subsequent organization into spheres and forming cell to cisplatin and paclitaxel under stem cell conditions, spheres were reseeded in 40 μL serum-free DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with growth factors. Cell morphology was assessed 11 d after plating using a Zeiss Axiovert 40 inverted microscope with Axio-Vision software (Carl Zeiss Microlmaging). Further (immunofluorescent) examination of differentiation into ovarian tumor epithelium used monoclonal antibodies against cytokeratin-7 (CK-7) or cancer antigen-125 (CA-125; 1:500 each; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), followed by incubation with a FITC-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG (against anti-CD44) and FITC-conjugated chicken anti-rat IgG (against anti-CD117; Santa Cruz).

To examine expression of other stem cell markers, adherent spheroids were grown on coverslips for 14 d and incubated with monoclonal anti-nectin or polyclonal anti-Nanog/anti-Oct-4 antibodies (Abcam; 1:150 dilution each), washed, and stained with FITC-labeled goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (1:400). Positive control cells were stained, in parallel, for each antibody, and negative controls were performed by substituting primary antibodies with mouse nonspecific IgG. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Fluorescence microscopy was performed (Nikon E800 fluorescent microscope fitted with FITC and PE filters), and images were acquired digitally using MagnaFire Software (Optromics) and processed in Adobe Photoshop.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis. For fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), small pieces of tumors (primary ovarian T3, xenograft T1, T2) were dissociated into single cells, washed, and RBCs removed (described above). Cells were suspended in 2% BSA/PBS and labeled with anti-CD44, anti-CD117, and (phycoerythrin-labeled and FITC-labeled) secondary antibodies. For FACS of xenograft tumors, possible contaminating mouse cells were eliminated by discarding H2K (mouse histocompatibility class I) cells (mouse anti-mouse H-2Kd monoclonal, Santa Cruz); nonviable (i.e., membrane-permeable) cells were excluded by DAPI staining. Isolation of CD44+, CD117+, or CD44+CD117+ cells was performed using a FACSaria flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed by WinMDI (Scripps Research Institute). For tumors T4 and T5, disaggregated tumor cells were first propagated as spheroids for 2 mo (for OCIC enrichment), dissociated, and subjected to FACS isolation of CD44+CD117+ cells for subsequent mouse engraftment. Cells were routinely sorted twice to assess purity (typically >99%).

In vivo xenograft experiments. All animal studies adhered to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Indiana University. To assess tumorigenicity of spheroids (as a mixture of CD44+, CD44+/CD117−, and CD44+/CD117− cells), dissociated spheroid or tumor cells were counted, resuspended in 40 μL 1:1 PBS/Matrigel (BD Biosciences), and injected into the left flanks of 5–6-week-old female nude athymic mice (BALB/c-nu/nu; Harlan). Engrafted mice were inspected biweekly for tumor appearance by visual observation and palpation, and tumor latencies (23) were then determined. Mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation at a tumor diameter of 1 cm or at 6 mo posttransplantation. Xenograft tumors were resected, fixed in 10% neutral, buffered formalin, and embedded in paraffin for sectioning (5 μm) on a rotary microtome, followed by slide
mounting, H&E staining, and histologic assessment by a pathologist for tumor type, grade, and stage. To determine xenograft recapitulation of the parental tumor phenotype, the same process was performed on human tumors. CA-125 immunodetection in xenograft tumors was performed using an ImmunoPure ABC Staining kit (Santa Cruz) and imaged (described above). Negative controls contained no primary antibody. To evaluate formation of ovarian tumors in their native environment, nude mice were injected i.p. with 5,000 spheroid-derived cells, monitored biweekly for weight loss and ascites formation, and euthanized upon excessive abdominal distention or palpable tumor growth.

Sequential tumorigenicity of putative OCICs was assessed using three convergent approaches. First, xenograft tumors were minced (1-mm pieces), implanted s.c. into a new host mouse, and allowed to grow to 1 cm (entire procedure repeated up to five consecutive times). Second, to determine reproducible OCIC isolation using the cell surface markers CD44 and CD117, FACS sorting and engraftment were performed (described above), and the resulting tumor was resorted for injection into another mouse (total of three serially injected animals). Third, graft tumors were digested and plated as single tumor cells (stem cell culture conditions). After reformation and dissociation of spheroids, ~100 single cells were reinjected into mice and, similar to the tumor mincing studies, the entire process was repeated five times. To eliminate tumor-infiltrating mouse cells before injection, anti-H2K cells were discarded by FACS (described above).

Results

Anchorage-independent, self-renewing sphere formation by a subpopulation of human ovarian tumor cells. Previous studies have shown normal and cancer stem cells to organize anchorage-independent, autonomous, three-dimensional spherical structures (spheroids; refs. 14, 19, 27–30). In ovarian cancer, similar structures are observed in patient ascites (20), which contain a small subpopulation of tumor-propagating cells capable of organizing spheroids (19). Based on that prior ascites study, we attempted to isolate a self-renewing stem cell population from solid ovarian tumors, using a method for anchorage-independent (i.e., stem cell-selective) culturing of breast CICs.

Figure 1. A subpopulation of human ovarian tumor cells form self-renewing, anchorage-independent spheroids under stem cell–selective conditions and are capable of epithelial differentiation. A, cell suspensions form small, nonadherent clusters 1 wk after plating (top left). Magnification, 100×. After ~10 passages, a minor (1%) fraction of spheres persist as larger, symmetric, prototypical spheroids (top right). Magnification, 100×. Typical spheroids contained ~100 viable cells and could be serially passaged for >6 mo (bottom left). Magnification, ×320. Under differentiating conditions for 11 to 14 d, dissociated sphere-forming cells adhere to plates and form symmetric holoclones (bottom right). Magnification, 100×. B, immunofluorescence of undifferentiated (top) or differentiated (bottom) spheroids or single cells under differentiating conditions, using antibodies against the stem cell markers Oct-4 (left), Nanog (center), and nestin (right). Nuclei were stained with DAPI. Magnification, 20×. C, under differentiating conditions, sphere-forming cells express the epithelial markers CK-7 and ovarian CA-125, as shown by fluorescence microscopy. Nuclei were stained with DAPI. D, as shown by RT-PCR, sphere-forming cells (OCICs), under stem cell–selective conditions, overexpress several stem cell marker genes compared with parental bulk tumor population cells (OC) and OCICs under differentiating conditions (Different.). Lanes 1 to 3 correspond to tumor T1 gene expression under the three conditions: lane 1, stem cell–selective (OCICs); lane 2, bulk tumor (OC); lane 3, differentiating (Different.). Lanes 4 to 6 similarly denote tumor T2 sphere-forming cell gene expression under the same conditions (β-actin used as a control).
In the context of ovarian cancer, researchers investigated the chemoresistance of cancer stem cells (CSCs) derived from human tumors. They observed that these cells are highly resistant to conventional chemotherapies, with a reported IC₅₀ of paclitaxel (Ptx) at 2 μM and cisplatin (CDDP) at 30 μM (Fig. 2A, white columns). Following treatment with cisplatin, paclitaxel, or a combination of both, cell survival was determined by MTT assays. Treatment with cisplatin and paclitaxel resulted in a significant reduction in cell survival compared to untreated control cells (Fig. 2B, white columns). These findings are consistent with previous studies indicating that CSCs are enriched for tissues stem cells in mammary and neural cultures and are resistant to chemotherapy treatment.

To further support possible differentiation of differentiating conditions, researchers examined stem-like cells in ovarian cancer chemoresistance (i.e., failure of spheroids to organize self-renewing structures). They found that a subpopulation of spheroids survived subsequent passages and continued to form tumors in athymic nude mice. This suggests that although these cells are chemoresistant, they may undergo differentiation under certain conditions. The authors propose that these findings could be important for developing targeted therapies and improving the chemotherapeutic outcomes for ovarian cancer patients.
grade 3), similar to the parental primary patient tumors (H&E-stained sections; Fig. 3B, top, left versus right); these also expressed CA-125, an ovarian adenocarcinoma marker (Fig. 3B, bottom left; ref. 40). In all cases, no architectural/cytologic differences were observed between primary and graft tumors. Based on this enhanced, reproducible tumorigenicity, we designated these sphere-forming cells “OCICs”, in accord with previously accepted terminology (41).

One reservation regarding studies of CICs is engraftment into nonnative microenvironments (39, 42). To establish that OCICs faithfully duplicate the well-established progression of ovarian cancer in its native setting, i.p. injection of T2 sphere-forming cells resulted in development of bloody ascites and peritoneal metastasis to the omentum, liver, colon, stomach, and kidney (Fig. 3A, bottom), and intraperitoneal tumor histology similar to both subcutaneous xenograft and primary patient tumors (Fig. 3B, bottom right). In comparison to OCICs, i.p. injection of up to $5 \times 10^5$ T2 unselected bulk tumor and differentiated cancer cells failed to produce tumors and bloody ascites (Table 1).

Another essential criterion for CICs is their ability to serially propagate tumors in consecutively engrafted animals (18). To examine this definitive stemness characteristic, serial engraftments of T1 and T2 xenografts were performed by s.c. transplantation of 1-mm tumor pieces into nude mice. Generally, tumors developed ~3 weeks after transplantation, with a total of five such successful serial transplantations (data not shown). Secondarily, dissociated cells from both T1 and T2 xenograft tumors could reform spheroids under stem cell–selective conditions. To eliminate any possible contamination by tumor-infiltrating mouse cells, dissociated

Figure 3. Robust in vivo propagation of human ovarian tumors (with reproducible histologic phenotypes) in nude mice by sphere-forming OCICs. A, xenograft tumor formed after injection of sphere-forming OCICs derived from patient tumors T1 and T2. Injection of ~100 OCICs per mouse from T1 (left top) or T2 (right top) dissociated spheroids generated tumors with 100% efficiency. I.p. injection of T2 OCICs gave rise to bloody ascites (left bottom) and peritoneal metastatic lesions (right bottom); black arrows denote metastases on the colon. B, representative H&E staining sections of T2 primary tumor (top left; magnification, 100×) and subcutaneous graft tumor from T2-derived spheroids (right top; magnification, 200×). Both tumors were classified as advanced grade (2/3) serous adenocarcinomas. Expression of the epithelial tumor marker CA125 in human xenograft tumor derived from T2 spheroids, as determined by immunohistochemistry; specific peroxidase staining is indicated by the brown color, and nuclei (blue) were counterstained with hematoxylin magnification at 100× (bottom left). H&E staining of intraperitoneal tumor derived from T2 spheroids (bottom right; magnification, 200×). C, representative double staining for CD44 and CD117 in T1 spheroids by immunofluorescence; similar results were obtained for tumors T2–T5. Immunofluorescence staining of anti-CD44 monoclonal antibodies (PE-conjugated secondary antibody, red) in ovarian tumor sphere (top left); immunofluorescence staining of anti-CD117 monoclonal antibodies (FITC-conjugated secondary antibody, green) in ovarian tumor sphere cells (top right); CD44+ sphere cells colocalize with CD117+ cells (orange overlay, bottom left) or overlaid and additionally stained with DAPI (blue; bottom right). Magnification, 200×.
xenograft cells were stained with an antibody against mouse-H2K and stained cells were discarded by FACS before culturing under stem cell conditions (Supplementary Fig. S1). Reinjection (s.c.) of 100 such secondary sphere-forming cells resulted in tumors, in two of two animals, with a latency slightly shorter than the parental patient tumor sphere-forming cells (78 and 83 days for T1, passage 2 xenografts; 65 and 80 days for T2, passage 2 xenografts). Also, a total of five such consecutive 100-cell engraftments were performed successfully (Table 1). Furthermore, tumors collected after i.p. injection and recultured under stem cell conditions were (similar to s.c. engraftment) also capable of serial transplantation, in three of three mice (Table 1), demonstrating reproducible tumor formation within their native abdominal environment. These results indicate that sphere-forming OCICs are at least 10^4 more malignanty potent than their parental tumor cells, demonstrating that a highly tumorigenic subpopulation of cells resides within ovarian neoplasms.

**Sphere-forming OCICs express cell surface proteins CD117 and CD44.** Previous studies of ovarian cancer tumor progenitors from patient ascites and mouse cultures showed expression of stem cell factor receptor CD117 (c-kit) and hyaluronate receptor CD44 (19, 22). Consequently, we examined expression of these proteins in our sphere-forming, highly tumorigenic OCICs, under stem cell–selective conditions. The vast majority of T1 to T5 (n = 5 for each tumor) spheroid cells stained for both CD44 and CD117 (representative T1 spheroids; Fig. 3C); in contrast, T1 and T2 patient parental tumors possessed a greatly reduced number of CD44^-stained and CD117^-stained cells, limited to a region of high cellular density (Supplementary Fig. S2). These results show both CD44 and CD117 to be candidate cell surface markers for ovarian tumor progenitors.

**CD44^+CD117^+ cells are highly tumorigenic and can serially propagate their original tumor phenotype.** Based on both our in vivo tumor experiments showing sphere-forming cells to be substantially more tumorigenic than bulk tumor cells and our spheroid immunofluorescence studies, we examined whether CD44 and CD117 could be used to isolate CICs from whole tumors. First, we FACS-purified cells singly positive and negative for each marker from first and second passage T1 and T2 xenografts. Those preliminary studies showed that xenograft-passaged CD44^+CD117^+ cells were 50-fold and 20-fold more tumorigenic than CD44^-/CD117^- cells, respectively, and could also form polyclonal, heterogeneous tumors (data not shown).

We next determined the tumorigenicity of CD44^+CD117^+ cells by FACS sorting a total of five human ovarian tumors, including two xenografts (derived from T1 and T2 sphere-forming cells), a patient primary tumor (T3, grade 2/grade 3 serous adenocarcinoma; Fig. 4A, top), and two patient tumors (T4, T5) propagated in culture as spheroids. As described above, RBCs were removed and contaminating mouse cells were eliminated during FACS. For the T1 xenograft, 0.14% of the sorted cells were CD44^+CD117^+ cells, similar to the T2 xenograft (0.16%) and T3 primary tumors (0.2%; Fig. 4A, top). For tumors T4 and T5, disaggregated tumor cells were first propagated for 2 months as spheroids and then dissociated and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/cell type</th>
<th>Cell dose*</th>
<th>Injection site</th>
<th>Tumor formation †</th>
<th>Latency (d) ‡</th>
<th>Serial transplantation rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>T1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere-forming</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>86, 102</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>74, 81</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>60, 77</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk tumor</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sphere-forming</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>73, 96</td>
<td>5/5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>65, 75</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>62, 69</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>I.p.</td>
<td>1/1</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3/3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk tumor</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>I.p.</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>I.p.</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>S.c.</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>I.p.</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>I.p.</td>
<td>0/1</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Number of cells per injection.
† Number of tumors formed per number of injection.
‡ The time from injection to the first appearance of a palpable tumor.
subjected to CD44+CD117− FACS. Of the T4 and T5 sphere-forming cells, 78.5% and 82.2%, respectively, coexpressed both markers (Fig. 4A, bottom left and center), consistent with all tumor (T1–T5)–derived spheroids (Fig. 3C, representative spheroids, bottom). The purity of all isolated cell populations was >99%, as assessed by post–sort flow cytometry (Fig. 4A, representative plot, bottom right). Purified CD44+CD117+ cells and CD44−CD117− cells from all five tumors were then injected s.c. into nude mice and tumor frequencies (defined in Table 1) determined over 6 months. Whereas 5 × 10^5 CD44−CD117− cells purified from T1 and T2 first passage xenografts were tumorigenic after >3 months, injection of as few as 100 CD44+CD117+ cells resulted in tumor formation, with shorter latencies (52–93 days; Table 2), similar to or less than latencies of our sphere-forming cells and CICs of other malignancies (11, 13, 16). For T3 primary tumor and sphere-forming cells derived from T4 and T5 primary tumors, only

Figure 4. Tumor-derived spheroids stably coexpress CD44 and CD117, and those markers can be used to isolate highly malignant progenitors from whole tumors that reproduce their original phenotype. A, isolation of CD44+CD117+ cells by FACS. Scatter plots represent typical examples of patterns of CD44+CD117+ expression in a panel of human ovarian tumors T1–T3 (top) or spheroids generated from tumors T4 and T5 (bottom left and center). FACS experiments were repeated in duplicate, and the purity of CD44+CD117+ population was >99%, as revealed by postresorting FACS analysis (bottom right). B, H&E staining of the T3 xenograft tumors (left) generated from CD44+CD117+ cells is histologically identical to the corresponding T3 patient primary tumor (right). Both tumors were classified as poorly differentiated (G3) serous adenocarcinoma; magnification, 100×. C, after 30 d in culture, CD44+CD117+–generated spheroids from the T3 primary tumor retained CD44+CD117+ (orange overlay) expression. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Magnification, 200×.
CD44+CD117+ cells were tumorigenic; no tumors resulted from injection of 10⁵ CD44+CD117+ cells (Table 2).

In addition to high tumorigenicity, xenograft tumors derived from sorted T1 to T3 CD44+CD117+ cells also histologically reproduced their original tumors (Fig. 4B), representative T3 xenograft-to-tumor comparison, left versus right), demonstrating the additional stemness characteristic of reproducible phenotypes (6, 11, 16, 18). Moreover, CD44+CD117+ cells from T1 and T2 graft tumors were serially transplantable in three consecutively injected mice (Table 2), with serial grafts having similar CD44+CD117+ percentages with mostly CD44+CD117+ cells (Supplementary Table S3), thus demonstrating multipotent differentiation of the doubly positive progenitors (8). In addition to the xenograft assays, sorted CD44+CD117+ cells from T3 primary tumor were likewise capable of forming spheroids, which, after 1 month, remained positive for both markers (Fig. 4C), establishing their ability to self-renew under stem cell–selective conditions.

### Discussion

In this report, we describe the isolation and characterization of a highly tumorigenic population of cells from human ovarian adenocarcinomas, which we have designated (in accord with previously accepted terminology; ref. 41) OCICs. Whereas others have obtained tumorigenic cells from ovarian cancer patient ascites and mouse cultures (19, 22), we believe this is the first described isolation of malignant progenitors from human ovarian primary tumor tissues. Over the past 5 years, several such CICs have been identified for other epithelial malignancies, including melanoma and cancers of the breast, head/neck, lung, pancreas, colon, and prostate (11–17). Five separate criteria have been established for CICs, including (a) self-renewal, (b) small minority of the total tumor population, (c) reproducible tumor phenotype, (d) multipotent differentiation into nontumorigenic cells, and (e) distinct cell surface antigenic phenotype, permitting consistent isolation (6, 18). Two recent commentaries regarding previously identified CICs, however, questioned their self-renewal and multipotency (39, 43), whereas another report suggested possible misinterpretations resulting from engraftment of hematologic CICs into nonnative (e.g., subcutaneous) growth environments (42).

Initially, to identify candidate OCICs, we cultured disaggregated tumor cells under stem cell–selective conditions. A small minority of cells could survive and form anchorage-independent clusters that subsequently coalesced into larger, self-renewing spheroids (14, 19, 27, 28) morphologically similar to spheroids isolated from patient ascites (20). Interestingly, while patient spheroids were found to bind hyaluronate, that binding was not inhibitable by anti-CD44 antibodies; however, CD44 expression was not examined in those spheroids (20). In addition to anchorage independence, our tumor-derived spheroids expressed numerous stem cell markers and were sustainable indefinitely under stem cell–selective conditions (thus fulfilling CIC criteria a and b). As xenografts, sphere-forming cells were >10⁴ more tumorigenic than unselected parental tumor cells, and in addition to cultivation from primary human tumors, serially tumorigenic, sphere-forming OCICs were re-isolatable from graft tumors, demonstrating self-renewal in vivo (criterion a). Moreover, OCIC injection resulted in graft tumors histologically identical (grade 2/grade 3 serous adenocarcinomas) to the original primary tumor (Fig. 3B), a characteristic of progenitors of other epithelial malignancies (11, 13, 16), fulfilling the established CIC requirement of reproducible tumor phenotypes (criterion c).

To address concerns regarding engraftment into nonnative microenvironments (42), we characterized disease progression after i.p. injection of OCICs. Introduction of OCICs into their normal peritoneal setting resulted in a pathology essentially identical to the human malignancy, with formation of bloody ascites and extensive peritoneal dissemination (Fig. 3A, bottom left and B, bottom right). While we acknowledge that the nude mouse remains a less than ideal host for such studies, we believe these results show typical malignancy progression of OCICs within their natural anatomic surrounding.

### Table 2. In vivo tumorigenicity of CD44+CD117+ ovarian tumor cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor/cell type</th>
<th>Cell doses and tumor formation and latency (d)</th>
<th>Serial transplantation rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 xenograft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 xenograft</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 primary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 spheroids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 spheroids</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>2/2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD44+CD117+</td>
<td>0/2</td>
<td>0/2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: All in vivo tumorigenicity experiments were done in the left flank of athymic mice by s.c. injection. Abbreviation: N/A, not applicable.
As mentioned above, another now-required characteristic of CICs is reproducible isolation using distinct cell surface antigens (criterion 5; refs. 6, 18). Previous studies of ovarian tumorigenic cells from ascites and mouse cultured ovarian cancer cells suggested those progenitors to express the hyaluronate acid receptor CD44 and the oncprotein c-kit (CD117; refs. 19, 22). Both CD44 and CD117 are overexpressed in advanced ovarian malignancies (44, 45), with cell surface CD44 believed to contribute to hyaluronate binding, to the abdominal mesothelial lining, by exfoliated tumor cells (i.e., peritoneal seeding; ref. 46). Indeed, based on its likely roles in cancer stemness and metastasis, CD44 is now emerging as a possible therapeutic target for highly aggressive malignancies, including ovarian cancer (47). Consequently, after demonstration of tumorigenicity of our sphere-forming OCICs, cultured spheroids were examined for expression of both markers, showing >80% of cells having coexpression (Fig. 4B; CIC criterion 3). Moreover, that doubly positive fraction remained fairly constant through two xenograft passages, with the vast remainder (>95%) consisting of nontumorigenic CD44+CD117− cells (Fig. 4A; Supplementary Table S3), demonstrating both reproducible isolation and a multipotent capacity to form heterogeneous tumors (fulfilling CIC criteria 4 and 5).

Whereas 90% of ovarian malignancies arise from the ovarian surface epithelium (OSE), primarily within inclusion cysts but also on the tissue exterior (48), at present, we can only speculate on the precise origin of OCICs. Three major scenarios have been put forth for sporadic ovarian carcinogenesis: (a) incessant ovulation hypothesis, in which repeated, uninterrupted follicular rupture and repair leads to proliferation-induced mutations during wound healing; (b) ovulation-induced inflammatory responses, similarly leading to enhanced proliferation/mutation; (c) up-regulated gonadotropin expression (as occurs after menopause), likewise leading to enhanced proliferation/mutation (48). It is now commonly accepted that these three scenarios are not mutually exclusive and ovarian tumor initiation likely results from a cumulative effect of each or all three (49); thus, OCICs could arise by any of these homeostatic disruptions of the OSE.

To further suggest an OSE origin for OCICs, we note that the OSE, embryonically derived from the coelomic lining, remains relatively less differentiated than other tissue epithelia (2, 48). Moreover, the OSE also retains a capacity to undergo epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition, believed to contribute to postovulatory repair (48). Consequently, unlike most solid tumors, ovarian cancers become increasingly epithelial during tumor progression, as reflected by acquisition of phenotypes of Müllerian duct–derived endometrium, oviduct, and endocervix (48). Based on such epithelial differentiation, a second hypothesis puts forth that ovarian cancer actually originates from these secondary Müllerian tissues (based on its histology and characteristic gene expression; refs. 50, 51); it would be interesting to examine those tissues for expression of OCIC markers. Similar to human patient ovarian tumor progression, we likewise observed epithelial differentiation of OCICs (evidenced by expression of CA-125, CK-7) both in vitro and in vivo (Figs. 1C and 3B). Recent reports of the efficacy of Müllerian inhibiting substance (a transforming growth factor-β family hormone mediating male developmental regression of female precursor organs) for growth inhibition of ovarian tumors and stem-like side population mouse cultured ovarian cancer cells (22, 52) further support an embryogenesis-like progression of this malignancy.

With specific regard to the ovarian carcinogenesis models of ovulation-mediated, inflammation-mediated, and gonadotropin-mediated transformation, an autocrine SCF/CD117 cascade has been hypothesized as contributory to OSE proliferation during early tumor initiation/progression (53), supporting a possible role in OSE transformation. Also consistent with a possible origin for OCICs, normal OSE cells have been shown to express both CD44 and CD117, both on the ovarian surface and in the epithelium surrounding inclusion cysts (53, 54).

Whereas advanced ovarian cancer is generally initially responsive to standard chemotherapies (cisplatin and paclitaxel), that response is almost inevitably followed by development of a drug-resistant phenotype (1, 4). One increasingly accepted hypothesis of chemoresistance postulates that standard therapies fail to target tumor progenitors, which are believed to express normal stem cell phenotypes, such as a low mitotic index, enhanced DNA repair, and expression of membrane efflux transporters (e.g., ABCG2; refs. 6–8). In accord with that hypothesis, we showed that OCICs, under stem cell–selective conditions, overexpress ABCG2 (Fig. 1D) and are more resistant to cisplatin and paclitaxel (Fig. 2), suggesting a possible role for these cells in ovarian cancer chemoresistance.

In summary, we have identified a subpopulation of highly neoplastic progenitors from solid human ovarian tumors. We strongly assert that these ovarian cancer-initiating cells fulfill all currently accepted requirements for solid tumor progenitors (6, 18). As our laboratory has previously investigated epigenetic markers of ovarian cancer (55), we are now initiating comprehensive studies of distinct chromatin and DNA methylation alterations in these tumor-propagating cells. Further characterization of such progenitors will likely lead to a greater understanding of early events leading to the genesis of this highly elusive disease, in addition to providing new therapeutic targets aimed at the cells directly responsible for its propagation.
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