OX40 Agonist Therapy Enhances CD8 Infiltration and Decreases Immune Suppression in the Tumor
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Abstract

Acquisition of full T-cell effector function and memory differentiation requires appropriate costimulatory signals, including ligation of the costimulatory molecule OX40 (TNFRSF4, CD134). Tumors often grow despite the presence of tumor-specific T cells and establish an environment with weak costimulation and immune suppression. Administration of OX40 agonists has been shown to significantly increase the survival of tumor-bearing mice and was dependent on the presence of both CD4 and CD8 T cells during tumor-specific priming. To understand how OX40 agonists work in mice with established tumors, we developed a model to study changes in immune cell populations within the tumor environment. We show here that systemic administration of OX40 agonist antibodies increased the proportion of CD8 T cells at the tumor site in three different tumor models. The function of the CD8 T cells at the tumor site was also increased by administration of OX40 agonist antibody, and we observed an increase in the proportion of antigen-specific CD8 T cells within the tumor. Despite decreases in the proportion of regulatory cells at the tumor site, regulatory cell function in the spleen was unaffected by OX40 agonist antibody therapy. Interestingly, administration of OX40 agonist antibody caused significant changes in the tumor stroma, including decreased macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and decreased expression of transforming growth factor-β. Thus, therapies targeting OX40 dramatically changed the tumor environment by enhancing the infiltration and function of CD8 T cells combined with diminished suppressive influences within the tumor. [Cancer Res 2008;68(13):5206–15]

Introduction

The tumor necrosis factor receptor family member OX40 is expressed after activation of CD4 and CD8 T cells and has been shown to play critical roles in the differentiation and long-term survival of these cells (1–4). The ligand for OX40 (OX40L) can be found on antigen-presenting cells activated in the presence of strong adjuvant signals (5). T cells defective in expression of OX40 exhibited impaired generation of long-term memory when challenged with antigen in the presence of adjuvant (3, 6). In the absence of an adjuvant or danger signal, T-cell survival is extremely poor even in the presence of functional OX40 (1, 7). Provision of OX40 agonistic antibodies in the absence of a danger signal can replace the adjuvant effect, resulting in enhanced expansion of T cells and increased long-term memory T-cell populations (1). The majority of reports have identified important roles for OX40 in CD4 function (1–4), although agonistic antibodies to OX40 also have powerful effects on the proliferation, effector function, and long-term survival of CD8 T cells (8–11).

Growing tumors have been shown to serve as a continued source of antigen for T-cell priming in draining lymph nodes (12), and both circulating and tumor-infiltrating tumor antigen-specific T cells have been isolated from cancer patients (13, 14). Critical defects in these T cells, which prevent full effector function (15), can be overcome with *in vitro* restimulation (15) and *in vivo* vaccination (16). The development of long-term T-cell tolerance may be an important mechanism by which tumor cells evade immune surveillance. Whereas OX40L has been observed on antigen-presenting cells at sites of active autoimmune disease (17, 18), it has not been identified within the tumor environment. We and others have shown that systemic administration of agonistic antibodies to OX40 (αOX40) can replace the absence of OX40L within tumor-bearing hosts and leads to a significant increase in survival of tumor-bearing animals (19, 20).

T regulatory cells, defined by expression of FoxP3 and suppressive activity on naive T-cell proliferation, are of additional relevance in consideration of OX40 agonist therapy in established tumor models. The extent of T regulatory cell infiltration of tumor has been correlated with poor prognosis in cancer patients (21, 22). Depletion of T regulatory cells can significantly increase antitumor immune responses (23, 24), and inhibition of their function may have similar effects. Costimulation of TCR-stimulated T regulatory cells through CD28, GITR, or OX40 has been shown to inhibit their suppressive function (25–27). Therefore, αOX40 therapy may also inhibit the ability of T regulatory cells to suppress other T cells within the tumor environment.

For these reasons, we developed a model to examine the mechanism by which systemic αOX40 administration improves survival in mice with established tumors. In particular, we examined the role of tumor-infiltrating CD8 T cells, T regulatory cells and macrophages. We show here that a single administration of αOX40 to mice with established tumors resulted in a significant increase in CD8 T cells at the tumor site. Furthermore, these CD8 T cells were shown to exhibit increased effector function and an increased proportion of antigen-specific cells. Although we observed a significant decrease in the proportion of T regulatory cells in the tumor, the function of systemic T regulatory cells was not affected by *in vivo* administration of αOX40. We show that αOX40 induced changes in the tumor stroma; macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressors, and transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) expression were all decreased. These changes suggest that administration of OX40 agonists to mice with established tumor...
can override tumor-induced deficiencies of CD8 T-cell function. Ultimately, these changes within the tumor may allow for improved immune function and improved tumor immunotherapy.

Materials and Methods

Animals, cell lines, and in vivo antibodies. C57BL/6 (6–8 wk old) mice were obtained from Charles River Laboratories for use in these experiments. OT1 mice, with CD8 T cells specific for the SIINFEKL epitope of ovalbumin, have previously been described (28). OX40−/− C57BL/6 mice (29) were kindly provided by Dr. N. Killeen (University of California San Francisco). These experiments used the MCA205 H12 sarcoma, EMT6 mammary carcinoma, and CT26 colorectal carcinoma cell lines. Control Ratlg antibody was purchased from Sigma, whereas rat anti-OX40 antibody (OX86), CD4-depleting antibody, and CD8-depleting antibody were produced in the laboratory from hybridomas and affinity purified over protein G columns. All animal protocols were approved by the institution’s IACUC.

Tumor infiltrating cell harvest. C57BL/6 or BALB/c mice were injected s.c. on the right flank with 1 × 106 tumor cells, and tumors were allowed to develop a diameter of 5 to 7 mm. Mice were injected i.p. with 250 μg anti-OX40 or control Ratlg, and tumors were monitored for 7 d. At day 7 after treatment, mice were sacrificed and the tumor was removed. The tumor was dissected into −2 mm fragments followed by agitation in 1 mg/mL collagenase (Invitrogen), 100 μg/mL hyaluronidase (Sigma), and 20 mg/mL DNase (Sigma) in PBS for 1 to 2 h at room temperature. The digest was filtered through 100-μm nylon mesh to remove macroscopic debris, and the final cell preparation was separated by layering over Ficoll. Viable cells were counted and stained for flow cytometry. Control experiments established viable cell gating using 7AAD and confirmed that the cell preparation was >95% positive for the hematopoietic marker CD45.

Ovalbumin-expressing tumor cell lines. A lentiviral vector containing a transgene conferring surface-expressed ovalbumin was kindly provided by Dr. H.-M. Hu of the Robert W. Franz Cancer Center in the Earle A. Chiles Research Institute. Tumor cell lines were infected with lentivectoral with a multiplicity of infection of −10× and went through two rounds of fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) by surface staining with rabbit anti-ova (Sigma) followed by goat anti-rabbit PE (Jackson Immunoresearch). Sorted cultures were functionally assayed for the stable presence of ovalbumin by in vitro OT1 T-cell stimulation. To establish the more immunogenic MCA205 H12ova tumors, C57BL/6 mice had to be tolerized to in vivo of ovalbumin by a multiplicity of infection of −10× and went through two rounds of fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) by surface staining with rabbit anti-ova (Sigma) followed by goat anti-rabbit PE (Jackson Immunoresearch). Sorted cultures were functionally assayed for the stable presence of ovalbumin by in vitro OT1 T-cell stimulation. To establish the more immunogenic MCA205 H12ova tumors, C57BL/6 mice had to be tolerized to ovalbumin via two i.v. injections of 500 μg ovalbumin (Sigma) 7 and 2 d before challenge with MCA205 H12ova.

Adoptive transfer. For adoptive transfer of naive OT1 cells, spleen and lymph nodes were harvested from Thy1.1 OT1 mice and the percentage of CD8 T cells was calculated by FACS analysis. 2.5 × 105 CD8+ (OT1) cells were transferred i.v. into Thy1.1 Thy1.2- tumor-bearing animals. OT1 OX40−/− mice did not bear Thy1.1 congenic marker. Thus, in experiments involving these mice OT1 cells were identified by staining for CD8 along with the clonotypic Vα2 and Vß1 TCR.

FACS antibodies and staining. Phenotyping of tumor-infiltrating cells was performed using the following antibodies: CD8 PerCyc7, CD8 PECy7, CD4 APC, CD4 PacificBlue, CD4 PECy7, CD11b FITC, CD62L FITC, CD62L APCy7, CD25 APC, CD25 PE, Gr1 PE, Gr1 PECy7, IFNγ APC, TCRVβ2 FITC (all Ebiotics); TCRVβ5 PE (BD Bioscences); unlabeled Thy1.1 conjugated to PacificOrange in the laboratory using a PO-conjugation kit (Invitrogen); affinity-purified chicken anti-OX40 generated by Aves Labs, Inc.; and biotinylated (Pierce) for detection with streptavidin-PECy5 (Ebiotics). Intracellular staining for FoxP3 was performed using an Ebiotics FoxP3 staining kit. For intracellular cytokine staining, 1 × 106 tumor-infiltrating cells were stimulated with 1 μg/mL anti-CD3 for 6 h at 37°C in the presence of Golgiplug (BD Biosciences). Cells were surface stained, fixed with 1% parafomaldehyde, and then intracellular staining was performed with an anti-cytokine antibody. Stained cells were analyzed on a BD FACSCalibur for four-color staining or BD LSRII for eight-color staining.

T regulatory cell functional assays. Mice were injected i.p. with 250 μg αOX40 or control Ratlg, and spleens were harvested 7 d later. BRC were lysed, and splenocyte preparations were directly stained with CD4-FITC and CD25-PE. CD4 CD25− cells were FACS sorted into >98% pure populations and seeded in triplicate at 5 × 104 per well in a 96-well round-bottomed plate. For purification of tumor macrophages, MCA205 H12 tumor-bearing mice were treated with 250 μg control Ratlg or aOX40 i.p. and the tumor harvested after 7 d. Cell suspensions were prepared as above and stained with CD11b-FITC, Gr1-PE, and IA-PECy5. CD11b Gr1+ and CD11b Gr1− cells were FACS sorted to >95% purity and seeded in triplicate at 5 × 104 per well. Responder CD8 cells were prepared from naive spleens by negative selection using a MACS CD8-negative selection kit (Miltenyi) and an AutoMACS cell sorter to 80% to 90% purity. CD8+ cells were then CFSE labeled and washed thoroughly, and 5 × 104 per well were added to triplicate wells containing media (positive control), T regulatory cells, or macrophages. For preparation of accessory cells, splenocytes were stained with CD3-biotin (BD Biosciences), then washed, and bound with antibiodin beads (Miltenyi). CD3− accessory cells were negatively selected using an AutoMACS cell sorter to 95% to 98% purity, and 2 × 103 CD3+ accessory cells were added to all wells. Cells were treated with 1 μg/mL anti-CD3 and harvested after 96 h. Harvested wells were stained for CD8 and CFSE dilution in CD8 responder cells calculated by flow cytometry.

Tumor-infiltrating cell RNA analysis. Tumor-infiltrating cells were prepared as above. For bulk analysis, total RNA was prepared from the mixed population using a RNeasy kit (Qiagen). T regulatory cells and macrophages were purified by FACS tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) stained with CD4 PE Cy7 and CD25 PE or CD11b-FITC. Total RNA was prepared from the populations using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen). RNA (0.3 μg) was reverse transcribed into cDNA in a reaction containing 100 units MoMuLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) in reaction buffer plus 5 mmol/L DTT, 500 mmol/L deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate, and 50 ng random hexamer primers. This reaction volume (1 μL) was used in a PCR reaction using HotStarTaq Plus Mix (Qiagen). Primer sequences were as follows: TGFβ 1 CAAGGGCTACATTGCAACCTT, TGFβ 2 ATGGGCGATGGCCCTAA (30); GAPDH 5′ TTAGGACCCCTGGAACAGG, and GAPDH 3′ CTGACTCGTGGAGGCGATG. For comprehensive analyses of tumor-infiltrating CD8 T-cell gene expression, tumor-infiltrating CD8+ cells were purified to >98% purity by FACS and total RNA was prepared as above. Microarray assays and data analysis were performed in the Affymetrix Microarray Core of the Oregon Health and Science University Gene Microarray Shared Resource.

Results

Influence of systemic αOX40 therapy on the tumor immune environment. To understand the mechanism by which αOX40 therapy caused immune-mediated rejection of established tumors, we examined the phenotype and function of immune cells isolated directly from tumors in three different models. The sarcoma cell line MCA205 H12 was injected s.c. into the flank of C57BL/6 mice, whereas the mammary carcinoma line EMT6 and the colorectal carcinoma CT26 were injected s.c. into the flank of BALB/c mice. Once the tumor reached 5 to 7 mm in diameter at ~10 to 14 d postinoculation, mice were treated with a single i.p. 250 μg dose of control Ratlg or αOX40. At this time point, a single injection of αOX40 causes significant growth delay 7 d after treatment (Supplementary Fig. S1). Despite this effect, the αOX40 treated tumors resume growth resulting in no significant survival benefit of αOX40 treatment. To study the mechanism by which αOX40 influences tumor growth, 7 days after treatment, tumors were removed and subjected to triple-enzyme digest and tumor-infiltrating cells were isolated by density gradient centrifugation. Using multicolor flow cytometry, the phenotype of tumor-infiltrating immune cells was determined, applying the gating scheme shown in Fig. 1A.
We and others have previously shown that OX40 is expressed on recently activated CD4 and CD8 T cells, and αOX40 treatment leads to enhanced expansion and survival of antigen-activated CD4 and CD8 T cells (1–4, 8–11). After systemic αOX40 therapy, there was a dramatic increase in CD8 T cells at the tumor site in the MCA205 sarcoma, EMT6 breast carcinoma and CT26 colorectal carcinoma models (Fig. 1B). These changes were statistically significant and represented a 2-fold (19.38 ± 1.335:41.79 ± 2.098), 4.5-fold (3.104 ± 0.483:14.29 ± 2.363), and 2.5-fold (15.55 ± 2.285:38.26 ± 4.730) change in CD8 proportion in the tumor site for the MCA205, EMT6, and CT26 tumor models, respectively. It is notable that the most immunogenic tumor, EMT6, exhibited the greatest CD8 response to αOX40 therapy. The change in percentage of CD8 T cells also represented a significant increase in the number of CD8/mm³ of tumor (10,480 ± 1,746:21,280 ± 4,275; Supplementary Fig. S1).

Interestingly, systemic αOX40 therapy did not increase the proportion of CD4 T cells at the tumor site; the proportion present in CT26 tumors actually decreased (Fig. 1C). Because untreated tumors have a CD8 infiltrate, we sought to determine if endogenous OX40-OX40L interactions were necessary for development of the endogenous CD8 tumor infiltrate. There was no significant difference in the tumor CD8 infiltrate in untreated wild-type mice compared with OX40−/− mice (Fig. 1D). These data suggest that the growing tumor environment does not provide OX40-specific signals and supports the rationale for administration of OX40 agonists to tumor-bearing animals.

To understand whether the increase in infiltrating CD8 T cells brought about by systemic αOX40 therapy represent a simple increase in number or a functionally distinct population, we further characterized these cells. To assess their function, the
tumor-infiltrating cells were stimulated in vitro with αCD3 in the presence of secretion inhibitors and then stained for intracellular IFNγ. The tumor-infiltrating CD8 cells from all mice treated with control RatIg were relatively unresponsive; few cells produced IFNγ upon αCD3 stimulation (Fig. 2A). However, αOX40 significantly increased tumor-infiltrating CD8 T-cell production of IFNγ upon αCD3 stimulation in the sarcoma, breast carcinoma, and colorectal carcinoma models (Fig. 2A). These data suggest that the CD8 T cells within the tumor environment are functionally different after administration of αOX40. Of the many surface markers analyzed, only CD62L showed a significant change in expression (Fig. 2B). These data were confirmed by obtaining highly purified CD8 T cells from the tumor site by sorting and preparing RNA for microarray analysis. CD62L mRNA was down-regulated in the tumor-infiltrating CD8 T cells (MCA205 4.29-fold, CT26 1.32-fold). Infiltrating cells also showed decreased CCR7 expression (MCA205 3.03-fold, CT26 1.41-fold), which along with decreased CD62L suggests that tumor-infiltrating T cells tend to have an effector phenotype. Simultaneously, increases in CCR5 (MCA205 1.62-fold, CT26 1.41-fold) and CXCR6 (MCA205 1.52-fold, CT26 4.59-fold) mRNA were observed. Each of the phenotypes are suggestive of peripheral T cell trafficking to inflammatory sites (31–33) and suggest that systemic αOX40 therapy resulted in a qualitative change in the CD8 T cells and enabled them to infiltrate the tumor site.

To identify whether this αOX40-induced increase in tumor-infiltrating CD8 T cells was due to recruitment of antigen-specific T cells, we engineered MCA205 H12 cells to express the model antigen ovalbumin and tracked ovalbumin-specific OT1 cells in vivo. MCA205 H12ova tumors were established in Thy1.2+ mice that had been previously tolerized to ovalbumin. Naïve OT1 Thy1.1+ splenocytes were adoptively transferred to tumor-bearing mice that were treated with a single 250-μg dose of either control RatIg or αOX40. Treatment with αOX40 significantly increased the proportion of tumor-infiltrating Thy1.1+ OT1 T cells (Fig. 2C). To determine whether the increase in CD8 T cells at the tumor site was the result of direct αOX40 stimulation on CD8 cells or was indirect via stimulation of other αOX40-expressing populations, we examined the effects of αOX40 stimulation where the antigen-specific CD8 T cells were deficient in αOX40 expression. Naïve wild-type or αOX40−/− OT1 splenocytes were adoptively transferred to mice bearing established MCA205 H12ova tumors. Mice were then treated with a single 250-μg dose of control RatIg or αOX40. αOX40 treatment resulted in a significant increase in tumor-infiltrating Vα2+ Vβ5+ OT1 cells, but only when the adoptively transferred CD8 T cells expressed αOX40 (Fig. 2D). In these transfer experiments, the endogenous CD8 T-cell response within the tumor stimulated via αOX40 treatment was still intact (data not shown). These data strongly suggest that αOX40 expression on the antigen-specific CD8 T cells is required for the αOX40-mediated increase in CD8 T cells within the tumor environment.

To understand the mechanisms by which antigen-specific T cells accumulate in the tumors of treated animals, we adoptively transferred CFSE-labeled OT1 cells to tumor-bearing mice and analyzed the tumor, draining lymph node and non-draining lymph node over a time course. OT1 were first seen to expand in the draining lymph node, after which the cells accumulate at the tumor site (Supplementary Fig. S2). No expansion or accumulation is observed in the non-draining lymph node (Supplementary Fig. S2). Examining the CFSE profile of the OT1 cells shows a steady dilution of CFSE over time, indicative of rapid and continued division (Supplementary Fig. S2). Interestingly, whereas the

Figure 2. Functional analysis of tumor-infiltrating CD8 T cells. A, tumor-infiltrating cells from MCA205 H12, EMT6, or CT26 were isolated 7 d after in vivo treatment with control RatIg or αOX40, stimulated in vitro with αCD3 for 5 to 6 h in the presence of secretion inhibitors, and analyzed for IFNγ expression in CD8+ cells. B, CD62L expression on CD8+ T cells infiltrating the tumor 7 d after in vivo treatment with control RatIg or αOX40. C, antigen-specific T-cell accumulation at the tumor site. Mice bearing MCA205ova tumors were given 2.5 × 105 Thy1.1+ OT1 i.v., and treated with control RatIg or αOX40. At 7 d later, tumor-infiltrating cells were harvested and analyzed for the percentage of CD8+ cells that were Thy1.1+ OT1 cells. D, importance of OX40 expression on the ability of antigen-specific CD8 cells to infiltrate the tumors after systemic αOX40 therapy. MCA205 H12ova tumors were established in wild-type mice, given 2.5 × 105 OT1 or αOX40−/− OT1 cells i.v., and treated with control RatIg or αOX40. At 7 d after αOX40 treatment, tumor-infiltrating cells were harvested and analyzed for the percentage of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ cells that were Vα2+ Vβ5+ OT1 cells. Each icon represents one tumor-bearing mouse. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001.
draining lymph node contains cells in a range of divisions, the tumor contains only the leading edge of cell division. These data support the hypothesis that the tumor-infiltrating CD8 T cells are exclusively effector-phenotype cells that are generated in the draining lymph node and then traffic to the peripheral tumor site.

**Role of T regulatory cells in systemic αOX40 therapy.** Growing tumors reportedly attract a large population of T regulatory cells that express OX40, and treatment with αOX40 has been shown to suppress inducible T regulatory cell development and function *in vitro* (25–27). Thus, it is possible that systemic administration of αOX40 suppresses T regulatory cells resulting in enhanced CD8 T-cell effector function *in vivo*. Intracellular staining for FoxP3 showed that a large proportion of CD4+ cells within the tumor coexpress CD25 and FoxP3 (Fig. 3A). These CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ T regulatory cells were also OX40+*, which was confirmed by analyzing tumors grown in OX40−/− animals (Fig. 3A). The population of CD4+ cells that were CD25+FoxP3+ T regulatory cells in the tumor was significantly decreased after αOX40 treatment in MCA205 H12 and EMT6 tumors, but not in the CT26 tumors (Fig. 3B). However, in the MCA205 H12 tumor, this small change in percentage of T regulatory cells did not represent a significant change when analyzed as the number of

**Figure 3.** Influence of αOX40 treatment on T regulatory cells at the tumor site. *A*, representative staining for FoxP3 in MCA205 H12 tumor-infiltrating cells. Gated CD4+ cells stained with CD25 and isotype control or FoxP3 in control RatIg-treated or αOX40-treated mice. MCA205 H12 tumors were established in wild-type or OX40−/− animals and stained for surface OX40 and intracellular FoxP3 on gated CD4+ cells. *B*, graphs show the proportion of MCA205 H12, EMT6, or CT26 tumor-infiltrating CD4 T cells that were CD25+FoxP3+ 7 d after *in vivo* treatment with control RatIg or αOX40. Each symbol represents the data from one tumor-bearing animal. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001. C, CD4+CD25+ T cells were purified from spleens of C57BL/6 mice bearing MCA205 H12 tumors 7 d after treatment with control RatIg or αOX40. 5 × 10⁶ CD4+CD25+ T cells were combined with 5 × 10⁶ CFSE-labeled CD8+ cells from control spleens along with CD3-depleted spleen cells as accessory cells and 1 μg/mL αCD3. Histograms show CFSE dilution in representative samples 96 h after initiation of the culture, with the percentage divided, percentage divided 6+ times, and the MFI of CFSE indicated. MFI CFSE in CD8 from triplicate samples.
CD4+CD25+FoxP3+/mm3 of tumor (3,348 ± 1,376:2,292 ± 469; Supplementary Fig. S1). In view of the increase in CD8 T cells described in Fig. 1, it is notable that the ratio of CD8 T cells to T regulatory cells within the tumor was dramatically altered by αOX40 in each model, from 6.2:1 (F 1.615) to 13.3:1 (F 1.736) for MCA205, 4.2:1 (F 0.3824) to 21.7:1 (F 6.882) for EMT6, and 5.2:1 (F 0.5814) to 22.1:1 (F 3.774) for CT26. To determine whether αOX40 therapy decreased systemic T regulatory cell function in vivo, we purified CD4+CD25+ cells isolated from the spleens of mice 7 days after control RatIg or αOX40. CD8 T-cell proliferation was similarly inhibited by addition of CD4+CD25+ cells from control mice or αOX40-treated mice (Fig. 3C).

Influence of αOX40 therapy on macrophages within the tumor immune environment. Macrophages are a major cell population in tumors and have been associated with both protumor and antitumor activity. Staining MCA205 H12 tumors for CD11b identified a large population of infiltrating macrophages (Fig. 4A), which significantly decreased after systemic αOX40 treatment. The CD11b+ population within the tumor could be further subclassified by expression of the immature myeloid marker Gr1 and MHC class II into two distinct populations (Fig. 4B). The immature CD11b+Gr1hi cell population is phenotypically consistent with the myeloid-derived suppressor cell population (MDSC) that has been reported to inhibit T cell–mediated immune responses in vitro and in vivo (34, 35). Interestingly, systemic administration of αOX40 significantly decreased this CD11b+Gr1hi cell population in the tumor site (Fig. 4B). The frequency of both CD11b+ and CD11b+Gr1hi was inversely correlated with the percentage of CD8 T cells at the tumor site (Fig. 4C and D). Thus, αOX40 treatment significantly elevated the ratios of CD8 T cells to CD11b+ and CD11b+Gr1hi cells at the tumor site from 0.6:1 (± 0.08725) to 3.3:1 (± 0.6776) and 1.9:1 (± 0.1797) to 17.8:1 (± 3.923), respectively. The change in percentage of CD11b+ and CD11b+Gr1hi cells also represented a significant decrease in the number of these cells per cubic millimeter of tumor (CD11b+ 23,230 ± 3,565:12,320 ± 2,529; CD11b+Gr1hi 5,426 ± 1,171:1,919 ± 405.8; Supplementary Fig. S1).

Figure 4. Influence of αOX40 treatment on accumulation and maturation of macrophages at the tumor site. A, phenotypic analysis of tumor infiltrates 7 d after in vivo treatment with control RatIg or αOX40. Representative contour plots from control RatIg-treated and αOX40-treated tumors, and the effect of αOX40-treatment on the proportion of CD11b+ cells. B, gating on CD11b+ cells, representative contour plots show expression of Gr1 and IA in control RatIg-treated and αOX40-treated tumors and the effect of αOX40-treatment on the proportion of CD11b+Gr1hi cells. C and D, the data from multiple control (open circle) and αOX40 (filled circle)–treated animals showing within each tumor the percentage of tumor infiltrating cells that are CD8-positive compared with CD11b+ (C) and CD11b+Gr1hi (D). Each symbol represents the data from one tumor-bearing animal. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; †, P < 0.001.
MDSC share some phenotypic features with neutrophils, which have been shown to express OX40 and respond to OX40 ligation. We have been unable to detect the presence of OX40 on MDSC at the tumor site, suggesting that these are secondary effects of OX40 ligation on CD4 or CD8 T cells. To address this question, we analyzed the effect of depleting lymphocyte populations on tumor macrophages. We still observed a significant decrease in CD11b+Gr1hi cells in CD4-depleted mice given oOX40 (Fig. 5A). Depletion of endogenous CD8 cells significantly increased the proportion of immature CD11b+Gr1hi cells in the tumor (Fig. 5A). In the absence of CD8 T cells, the proportion of CD11b+Gr1hi cells remained high even after oOX40 treatment (Fig. 5A). Thus, it seems that CD8 T cells infiltrating the tumor influence the attraction and/or differentiation of tumor-associated macrophage populations.

**Inhibitory molecule expression in the tumor immune environment.** We hypothesized that the changes in T regulatory cells, macrophages, and CD8 T cells would further alter the tumor environment. TGFB is an important cytokine in the generation and function of both T regulatory cells and MDSC (36, 37), and inhibition of TGFB effects on CD8 T cells has been shown to enhance CD8 infiltration and effector function at the tumor site (38). To determine whether oOX40 altered TGFB expression at the tumor site, we isolated RNA from tumor infiltrating cells and performed reverse transcription–PCR (RT-PCR) for TGFB and the housekeeping gene GAPDH (Fig. 5B). TGFB was expressed by the tumor-infiltrating cells from control Ratlg-treated mice and was less detectable in oOX40-treated mice. Densitometry of the gels from Fig. 5C showed a significant decrease in the intensity of the TGFBh signal in tumor-infiltrating cells from oOX40-treated mice (Fig. 5B). Because TGFB expression has been described in both T regulatory cells and suppressive macrophages (36, 37), we purified CD4+CD25+ and CD11b+ cells from tumor-infiltrating cells by cell sorting. RT-PCR showed that both T regulatory cells and macrophages expressed TGFBh RNA and the TGFBh signal was not greatly altered in T regulatory cells or macrophages by systemic oOX40 therapy (Fig. 5C). These data suggest that the decrease in TGFBh RT-PCR signal in cells from tumors after oOX40 therapy may be due to the decrease in number of TGFBh-expressing cells (T regulatory cell and macrophage populations; Figs. 3 and 4), rather than reduced expression by individual cells.

**Inhibition of CD8 T-cell responses by macrophages.** A further mechanism by which macrophages have been shown to inhibit T-cell responses is through expression of arginase (39). The presence of arginase expressing macrophages has been described in tumors, and these cells have been shown to inhibit CD8 T-cell responses (40). We purified CD11b+Gr1hi and CD11b+Gr1lo cells from tumors by FACS and tested for arginase expression by Western blot and enzyme assay. In agreement with the results of Rodriguez and colleagues (40), predominant arginase protein expression and enzyme activity was found in the CD11b+Gr1hi mature macrophage population (data not shown).

To test the function of the different macrophage populations in tumors, we purified CD11b+Gr1hi and CD11b+Gr1lo cells from tumors by FACS sorting. These cells were tested for their ability to inhibit proliferation of CFSE-labeled CD8 T cells in vitro. Interestingly, whereas CD11b+Gr1lo cells purified from control tumors greatly inhibited CD8 T-cell proliferation, this same population purified from tumors in animals treated with oOX40 were much less inhibitory. The MDSC phenotype tumor CD11b+Gr1hi cells from either control-treated or oOX40-treated animals were not inhibitory in this in vitro assay. These data strongly suggest that mature macrophages in the tumor environment suppress CD8 T-cell responses. Systemic OX40 therapy changes the tumor environment and the function of the CD11b+Gr1lo cells to make a significantly less suppressive environment.

**Discussion**

The experiments reported here show that systemic administration of oOX40 results in profound changes in the immune status of...
the tumor environment. These changes included significant increases in the percentage of CD8 T cells at the tumor site (Fig. 1), with differentiation toward an effector phenotype and increases in the percentage of CD8 T cells at the tumor site, which required direct interaction of αOX40 upon the antigen-specific CD8 T cells (Fig. 2). While tumor-infiltrating T regulatory cells expressed OX40 and there was a decrease in the proportion of CD4 T cells that were regulatory phenotype after αOX40 therapy (Fig. 3), the function of T regulatory cells was not altered after systemic αOX40 therapy (Fig. 3). These data diminish the likelihood that αOX40 therapy could influence several of these factors and seemed to do so primarily via enhanced infiltration of tumors by tumor-specific CD8 T cells. The access of effector cells to the tumor is of critical importance to the success of immunotherapy for solid tumors. In contrast to models of metastasis, the presence of large numbers of activated tumor-specific effector CD8 T cells does not necessarily correlate with efficacy in solid primary tumors. In vivo experiments have shown limited tumor-specific trafficking of adoptively transferred tumor-specific T cells (45). Thus, modification of the tumor site to attract T cells can increase the efficacy of adoptive T-cell therapies (31), but such therapies require knowledge of or access to the tumor site. This issue underscores the importance of the finding that a systemically delivered αOX40 antibody caused an increase in tumor-specific CD8 T cells at the tumor site. That this effect occurred with endogenous effector cells is extremely valuable, because it does not require labor-intensive techniques to generate tumor-specific T cells or limit therapy to those patients or cancers with known MHC or antigen status. We show here very similar effects in models of sarcoma, mammary carcinoma, and colorectal carcinoma in two different strains of laboratory mice, suggesting broad applicability.

Previous work from our laboratory showed that infusion of αOX40 agonists into tumor-bearing hosts resulted in increased tumor-free survival in a variety of tumor models (19, 20, 46). The agonists were delivered early after tumor challenge, and the effects were lost if either CD4 or CD8 T cells were depleted during the priming or effector phases (20, 46). The experiments described here occur at times beyond early tumor priming, such that the tumor-bearing animals may already have developed functional effector T cells, albeit within a suppressive environment (47, 48). Thus, in these experiments, there was a lesser requirement for CD4 T cells in the response and more of a requirement to overcome CD8 tolerogenic mechanisms. Importantly, it has recently been shown that treatment with agonist antibodies to OX40 can overcome CD8 anergy (49). This may also distinguish our results from those of Song and colleagues, where agonistic OX40 antibodies increased peritoneal accumulation of antigen-specific CD8 T cells (11, 50), but the effectiveness was dependent on ligation of OX40 on CD4, not CD8 T cells (50).

In their experiments, CD4 depletion was performed before tumor challenge; thus, there was no CD4 help during initial CD8 priming, most likely resulting in defective CD8 immunity. In our model, where an initial endogenous immune response can develop in the presence of CD4 T cells, αOX40 activity may be more important to overcome subsequent CD8 anergy rather than de novo priming. The mechanism by which this occurs remains to be determined. Although we show that antigen-specific CD8 T cells must express OX40 to accumulate in the tumor after αOX40 therapy, they exist in a draining lymph node environment that is also responding to the tumor and the OX40 therapy. Thus, the
precise interaction between CD4+ and CD8 T cells in the established tumor remains to be determined. One possibility is simply improved survival of the OT1 cells. Alternatively, recent data from our laboratory show that OX40 ligation on CD8 T cells directly influences their maturation into full effector cells (51). Notably, we showed the diminished presence of macrophages at the tumor site, loss or maturation of the immature MDSC population, and decreased TGFβ1 mRNA. These changes fit very well with our data showing the time course of T-cell accumulation in the tumor and support the hypothesis that the initial actions of OX40 therapy is on T cells that have secondary consequences to the tumor environment. Thus, we propose that one mechanism by which OX40 ligation on CD8 T cells enhances immune clearance of established tumors is through these secondary changes that occur within the tumor environment, potentially providing a therapeutic window for effective antitumor immunity. In this way, decreased MDSC or environment, potentially providing a therapeutic window for effective antitumor immunity. In this way, decreased MDSC or decreased cells secreting TGFβ may feedback to decrease differentiation of T regulatory cells (27) or permit further accumulation of CD8 T cells (38).

However, a single administration of αOX40 may not be able to sustain this positive environment. In the absence of further adjuvant activity and in the face of continued tumor growth and secretion of factors, such as TGFβ, it is reasonable to expect reestablishment of suppressive macrophage and T regulatory cell dominance and subsequent CD8 T cell anergy or deletion. Further studies will examine the effect of repeated αOX40 dosing of mice with established tumors and the long-term consequences on the tumor immune environment. Nevertheless, systemic treatment with agonist OX40 antibodies provides critical signals for immune reactivation without prior knowledge of tumor specificity and an opportunity for therapeutic intervention.
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