Abstract

Withaferin A (WA) is derived from the medicinal plant *Withania somnifera*, which has been safely used for centuries in Indian Ayurvedic medicine for treatment of different ailments. We now show, for the first time, that WA exhibits significant activity against human breast cancer cells in culture and in vivo. The WA treatment decreased viability of MCF-7 (estrogen-responsive) and MDA-MB-231 (estrogen-independent) human breast cancer cells in a concentration-dependent manner. The WA-mediated suppression of breast cancer cell viability correlated with apoptosis induction characterized by DNA condensation, cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation, and cleavage of poly-(ADP-ribose)-polymerase. On the other hand, a spontaneously immortalized normal mammary epithelial cell line (MCF-10A) was relatively more resistant to WA-induced apoptosis compared with breast cancer cells. The WA-mediated apoptosis was accompanied by induction of Bim-s and Bim-L in MCF-7 cells and induction of Bim-s and Bim-EL isoforms in MDA-MB-231 cells. The cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation resulting from WA exposure was significantly attenuated by knockdown of protein levels of Bim and its transcriptional regulator FOXO3a in both cell lines. Moreover, FOXO3a knockdown conferred marked protection against WA-mediated induction of Bim-s expression. The growth of MDA-MB-231 cells implanted in female nude mice was significantly retarded by 5 weekly i.p. injections of 4 mg WA/kg body weight. The tumors from WA-treated mice exhibited reduced cell proliferation and increased apoptosis compared with tumors from control mice. These results point toward an important role of FOXO3a and Bim in regulation of WA-mediated apoptosis in human breast cancer cells. [Cancer Res 2008;68(18):7661–9]

Introduction

Breast cancer continues to be a leading cause of cancer-related deaths in women worldwide despite significant advances in screening techniques leading to early detection of the disease (1). The known risk factors for breast cancer include family history, Li-Fraumeni syndrome, atypical hyperplasia of the breast, late age at first full-term pregnancy, early menarche, and late menopause (2–4). Because some of these risk factors are not easily modifiable (e.g., genetic predisposition), other strategies for reduction of the breast cancer risk must be considered. Although selective estrogen receptor (ER) modulators (e.g., tamoxifen) seem promising for prevention of breast cancer, this strategy is largely ineffective against ER-negative breast cancers (5, 6). Moreover, selective ER modulators have serious side effects including increased risk of uterine cancer, thromboembolism, cataracts, and perimenopausal symptoms (5, 6). Therefore, novel agents for prevention and treatment of human breast cancers, especially hormone-independent breast cancers, are highly desirable. Natural products have received increasing attention in recent years for the discovery of novel cancer preventive and therapeutic agents (7).

Withaferin A (WA) is a bioactive compound derived from the medicinal plant *Withania somnifera* (commonly known as ashwagandha or Indian winter cherry), which has been safely used for centuries in the Indian Ayurvedic medicine practice for the treatment of various ailments (8–13). Ashwagandha is also recommended as a tonic for overall well-being (13) and the extract of *Withania somnifera* L. is available over the counter in the United States as a dietary supplement. The known pharmacologic effects of *Withania somnifera* extract include modulation of immune function (8), cardioprotection from ischemia and reperfusion injury (9), protection of 6-hydroxydopamine–induced Parkinsonism in rats (10), antibacterial effects (11), and anti-inflammatory effects (12). Crude ethanol extract of *Withania somnifera* suppressed lipopolysaccharide-induced production of inflammatory cytokines, including tumor necrosis factor-α, interleukin-1β, and interleukin-12 in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (14). Extract of *Withania somnifera* as well as WA potently inhibited nuclear factor-κB activation (14–16). The WA was shown to be a radiosensitizer and suppressor of mouse Ehrlich ascites carcinoma growth (17–20). More recent studies have shown that WA suppresses growth of human cancer cells by causing apoptosis (21–23), but the mechanism of proapoptotic response to WA is poorly understood. Suppression of angiogenesis, alteration of cytoskeletal architecture, and inhibition of proteasomal activity by WA has also been documented (21, 24, 25).

The present study was undertaken to determine efficacy of WA against human breast cancer cells. We show that WA exhibits significant growth inhibitory effect against MCF-7 (estrogen-responsive) and MDA-MB-231 (estrogen-independent) human breast cancer cell lines in association with apoptosis induction. The WA-mediated apoptosis in breast cancer cells is regulated by FOXO3a and its transcriptional target Bim. In addition, WA administration significantly retards growth of MDA-MB-231 cells in vivo, which correlates with reduced cell proliferation and increased apoptosis in the tumor mass. The results of the present study merit clinical investigation to determine efficacy of WA against human breast cancers.
Materials and Methods

Reagents. The WA was purchased from ChromaDex, DMSO, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), and cremophor-EL were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The cell culture medium, antibiotic mixture, and fetal bovine serum were purchased from Invitrogen or Mediatech. The antibodies against Bax, Bak, Bcl-xL, McI-1, poly-(ADP-ribose)-polymerase (PARP), and Bim were from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; the antiactin antibody was from Sigma; the antibodies against Bcl-2 and proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) were from DakoCytomation; and anti-FOXO3a antibody was from Upstate Biotechnology. The ApopTag Plus Peroxidase Detection Kit was from Chemicon International-Millipore.

Cell culture and cell viability assay. The MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, and MCF-10A cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection and maintained as described by us previously (26). The MDA-MB-231 cell line stably expressing firefly luciferase (MDA-MB-231-luc-D3H1) was purchased from Caliper Life Sciences and maintained as recommended by the provider. Each cell line was maintained at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 and 95% air. The effect of WA treatment on viability of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells was determined by trypan blue dye exclusion assay as described by us previously (27).

Determination of apoptosis. The proapoptotic effect of WA was assessed by fluorescence microscopy after staining the cells with DAPI and quantification of cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation. The DAPI assay was performed essentially as described by us previously (27). Quantification of cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation was performed using a kit from Roche Applied Science according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Immunoblotting. Desired cells (1 × 10^6) were seeded in 100-mm culture dishes, allowed to attach by overnight incubation, and treated with DMSO (control) or 2.5 and 5.0 μmol/L WA for specified time periods. The cell lysate was prepared as described by us previously (28). The cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation at 14,000 rpm for 30 min. The lysate proteins were resolved by 10% or 12.5% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. The membrane was incubated with TBS containing 0.05% Tween 20 and 5% (w/v) nonfat dry milk. The membrane was then treated with the desired primary antibody for 1 h at room temperature or overnight at 4°C. After treatment with the appropriate secondary antibody, the immunoreactive bands were visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence method. The blots were stripped and reprobed with antiactin antibody to normalize for differences in protein loading. Change in the level of desired protein was determined by densitometric scanning of the immunoreactive band and corrected for actin loading control. Immunoblotting for each protein was performed at least twice using independently prepared lysates to ensure reproducibility of the results.

RNA interference. A control nonspecific siRNA (UUCUCCGAACGUGUCACGU-UCAG UdTdT) was purchased from Qiagen. The Bim- and FOXO3a-targeted siRNAs were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (29). The sequences of the Bim- and FOXO3a-targeted siRNAs are not revealed by the manufacturer. The cells were seeded in 6-well plates and transfected at 50% confluency with 200 nmol/L of control nonspecific siRNA, Bim-targeted siRNA, or FOXO3a-specific siRNA using OligofectAMINE according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were treated with DMSO (control) or 5 μmol/L WA for 24 h. The cells were then collected and processed for immunoblotting and analysis of cytoplasmic histone-associated DNA fragmentation.

Xenograft studies. Eight-week-old female nude (nu/nu) mice were purchased from Harlan Sprague-Dawley and acclimated for 1 wk before start of the experiment. For subcutaneous xenograft study, the mice were randomized into two groups of eight mice per group. The mice were injected i.p. with either vehicle (10% DMSO, 40% cremophor-EL, and 50% PBS) or vehicle containing 4 mg WA/kg body weight on Monday through Friday for 2.5 wk before the tumor cell injection to mimic a prevention protocol. Exponentially growing MDA-MB-231 cells were suspended in PBS and mixed in a 1:1 ratio with Matrigel. A 0.1-mL suspension containing 2.5 × 10^6 cells was injected s.c. on both left and right flank of each mouse above the hind limb. Tumor volume and body weights were recorded as described by us previously (30–32). For the orthotopic model, 8-wk-old female nude mice were randomized into two groups (n = 5) and treated i.p. with the vehicle or 4 mg WA/kg body weight on Monday through Friday for 2 wk as described above. Exponentially growing MDA-MB-231-luc-D3H1 cells (2.5 × 10^6) were injected into the mammary fat pad of each mouse. Tumor size was measured by bioluminescence imaging using a Xenogen IVIS 200 system (Caliper Life Sciences). For imaging, mice were anesthetized with 1% to 3% isoflurane and placed onto the warmed stage inside the light-tight camera box. The photons emitted by the bioluminescent tumor cells were detected by the IVIS camera system, integrated, and digitized. Regions of interest (ROI) from displayed images were identified around the tumor sites and quantified as total photon count/s using Living Image software (Caliper Life Sciences). A pseudocolor bioluminescent image from
buffered formalin, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4- to 5-μm thickness. Representative tumor sections from control and WA-treated mice were processed for H&E staining and immunohistochemical analysis of PCNA expression. Immunohistochemical analysis of PCNA expression was performed as described by us previously (32). At least three nonoverlapping representative images of each tissue were captured from each section using the camera attached to the microscope. The images were analyzed using Image ProPlus 5.0 software (Media Cybernetics) for quantitation of PCNA expression.

Detection of apoptotic bodies by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated dUTP nick-end labeling. The paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and then used to visualize apoptotic cells by terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated dUTP nick-end labeling (TUNEL) staining using the ApoTag Plus Peroxidase In Situ Apoptosis kit and following the manufacturer's protocol. Apoptotic bodies in the tumor sections were quantified by counting the number of TUNEL-positive cells in at least three randomly selected, nonoverlapping high-power (magnification, ×200) fields on each sample.

Statistical analysis. Statistical significance of difference in measured variables between control and WA-treated groups was determined by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett's test or t test. Difference was considered significant at a P value of <0.05.

Results

WA treatment decreased survival of cultured human breast cancer cells. We determined the effect of WA treatment (refer to Fig. 1A for chemical structure of WA) on viability of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells, which, respectively, are well-characterized representatives of estrogen-responsive and estrogen-independent human breast cancers. The MCF-7 cell line, which is ER positive, was isolated from pleural effusion of a stage IV invasive ductal carcinoma. The MCF-7 cells are aneuploid with high chromosomal instability and defective for the G1 and mitotic spindle checkpoint but express normal p53 (reviewed in ref. 33). The MDA-MB-231 cell line, which was derived from a stage IV invasive ductal carcinoma, is ER-negative, partially proficient for all cell cycle checkpoints, and expresses mutant p53 (33). Survival of MCF-7 (Fig. 1B) and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 1C) was decreased significantly after a 24-hour exposure to WA in a concentration-dependent manner with an IC_{50} of <2 μmol/L. These results indicated that WA suppressed survival of human breast cancer cells regardless of their estrogen responsiveness or p53 status.

WA treatment caused apoptosis in cultured human breast cancer cells. Antiproliferative effect of many naturally occurring cancer chemopreventive agents, including garlic-derived organosulfur compounds, cruciferous vegetable–derived isothiocyanates, and constituents of alternative and complementary medicine (e.g., honokiol and guggulsterone), is tightly linked to their ability to cause apoptosis (26, 27, 34, 35). We raised the question of whether the WA-mediated suppression of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell viability was due to apoptosis induction. We addressed this question by determining the effect of WA treatment on cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation, which is a well-accepted technique for quantitation of apoptosis. As can be seen in Fig. 2A, WA treatment increased cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation over DMSO-treated control in both cell lines in a concentration- and time-dependent manner. For example, the cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation resulting from a 24-, 36-, and 48-h exposure of MCF-7 cells to 2.5 μmol/L WA was increased by ~21-, 73-, and 64-fold, respectively, compared with DMSO-treated control (Fig. 2A). We confirmed proapoptotic effect of WA by DAPI assay, which is another widely used technique for

blue (least intense) to red (most intense) representing the spatial distribution of the detected photons emitted within the animal allowed localization and measurement of the tumor growth. Background photon flux was determined from an ROI of the same size placed in a nonluminescent area nearby and then subtracted from the measured luminescent signal intensity. All light measurements were performed under the same conditions.

H&E staining and immunohistochemical analysis of PCNA expression. A portion of the tumor tissue removed from the control and WA-treated mice (subcutaneous xenograft study) was fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin, dehydrated, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned at 4- to 5-μm thickness. Representative tumor sections from control and WA-treated mice were processed for H&E staining and immunohistochemical analysis of PCNA expression. Immunohistochemical analysis of PCNA expression was performed as described by us previously (32). At least three nonoverlapping representative images of each tissue were captured from each section using the camera attached to the microscope. The images were analyzed using Image ProPlus 5.0 software (Media Cybernetics) for quantitation of PCNA expression.
detection of apoptosis. The DAPI assay revealed abundance of cells with condensed and fragmented DNA in WA-treated MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cultures, which were rare in DMSO-treated controls (data not shown). Apoptotic cells with condensed and fragmented DNA (DAPI assay) were scored from control (DMSO-treated) and WA-treated (2.5 and 5 μmol/L WA) cultures of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. The percentage of apoptotic cells was increased by 25- and 30-fold upon a 24-hour treatment of MDA-MB-231 cells with 2.5 and 5 μmol/L WA, respectively, compared with DMSO-treated control. Consistent with these results, the WA treatment caused cleavage of PARP in MDA-MB-231 (Fig. 2B) and MCF-7 cells (data not shown). These results indicated that WA treatment caused apoptotic cell death in both MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines.

Next, we addressed the question of whether proapoptotic effect of WA was selective toward cancer cells using a nontumorigenic normal mammary epithelial cell line (MCF-10A). The MCF-10A cell line was isolated from fibrocystic breast disease and was spontaneously immortalized (33). The MCF-10A cells have intact cell cycle checkpoints and normal proliferation controls (33). As can be seen in Fig. 2C, the MCF-10A cell line was relatively more resistant to WA-mediated cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation compared with MDA-MB-231 or MCF-7 cells (Fig. 2A). For example, a statistically significant increase in cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation over DMSO-treated control in MCF-10A cells was observed at 10 μmol/L WA concentration (Fig. 2C), whereas lower concentrations of WA were proapoptotic in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2A). Collectively, these results indicated that the human breast cancer cells were relatively more sensitive to apoptosis induction by WA compared with a normal mammary epithelial cell line.

WA treatment altered levels of Bcl-2 family proteins in breast cancer cells. The Bcl-2 family proteins play critical roles in regulation of apoptosis by functioning as either promoters (e.g., Bax and Bak) or inhibitors (e.g., Bcl-2 and Bcl-xL) of the cell death (36, 37). To gain insight into the mechanism of

**Figure 3.** Immunoblotting for Bcl-2 family proteins using lysates from (A) MCF-7 cells and (B) MDA-MB-231 cells treated for 6, 12, or 24 h with DMSO (control) or the indicated concentrations of WA. The blots were stripped and reprobed with actin antibody to correct for differences in protein loading. Changes in protein levels relative to corresponding control are shown on top of the immunoreactive bands.
WA-mediated apoptosis, we determined its effect on expression of Bcl-2 family proteins by immunoblotting (Fig. 3). The WA treatment caused a modest increase in the protein levels of Bak in both MCF-7 (Fig. 3A) and MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 3B) and Bax in MCF-7 cells (up to 2.7-fold increase compared with DMSO-treated control). The WA-treated MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited modest induction of Bcl-2 protein levels at 6- to 12-hour time points, especially at 5 μmol/L concentration, followed by a decline in its expression 24-hour postexposure (~50–90% decrease relative to DMSO-treated control). Exposure of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells to WA also resulted in induction of Bcl-xL and Mcl-1 protein levels as well as Mcl-1 cleavage to a 32-kDa intermediate in both cell lines (Fig. 3A and B). However, the most conspicuous effect of WA treatment was induction of Bim isoforms in both cells. In MCF-7 cells, the WA treatment caused a dose-dependent and marked increase in the protein levels of short and long forms of Bim (Bim-s and Bim-L, respectively; Fig. 3A). The WA-treated MDA-MB-231 cells exhibited induction of protein levels of Bim-s as well as extra long form (Bim-EL) of the protein (Fig. 3B). Together, these results indicated that WA treatment caused an increase in the levels of both proapoptotic (Bak, Bax, and Bim) and antiapoptotic (Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, and Mcl-1) Bcl-2 family proteins in human breast cancer cells.

Bim knockdown conferred protection against WA-mediated apoptosis in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. Because WA treatment caused a marked increase in the protein levels of Bim isoforms in both MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells, we proceeded to determine their contribution to regulation of WA-induced apoptosis using siRNA technology. Transient transfection of MDA-MB-231 cells with a Bim-targeted siRNA resulted in >90% knockdown of Bim-EL protein (Fig. 4A, lane 2, inset) compared with cells transiently transfected with a control nonspecific siRNA (Fig. 4A, lane 1, inset). The Bim-L or Bim-s isoforms were undetectable because their constitutive expression is very low in control (untreated) MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 3B). Consistent with the results in untransfected MDA-MB-231 cells (Fig. 2A), a 24-hour exposure of nonspecific siRNA–transfected MDA-MB-231 cells to 5 μmol/L WA resulted in statistically significant increase in cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation compared with DMSO-treated control (Fig. 4A). On the other hand, the WA-mediated increase in cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation was not evident in MDA-MB-231 cells with knockdown of Bim-EL protein. Similarly, knockdown of Bim-EL
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Bim-targeted siRNA conferred partial yet significant protection against WA-mediated cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation compared with cells transfected with a control nonspecific siRNA (Fig. 4B). The protective effect of Bim-EL knockdown on WA-mediated apoptosis was relatively more pronounced in MDA-MB-231 cells (complete protection) than in the MCF-7 cell line (partial protection), which may be attributable to a greater knockdown of the Bim-EL in the former cell line compared with MCF-7 cells (compare lane 2 in the insets of Fig. 4A and B). Nonetheless, these results indicated that induction of Bim isoforms was a critical event in WA-mediated apoptosis in human breast cancer cells.

Studies have indicated that the expression of Bim is regulated by FOXO3a (also known as FKHR-L1) transcription factor (38). We designed experiments to test whether the WA-mediated induction of Bim in our model was regulated by FOXO3a. As can be seen in Fig. 4C, the protein level of FOXO3a was decreased by >90% upon transient transfection of MCF-7 cells with a FOXO3a-targeted siRNA compared with the cells transfected with a control nonspecific siRNA (Fig. 4C, compare lanes 1 and 2, inset). The FOXO3a protein depletion also conferred partial yet significant protection against WA-mediated cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation when compared with MCF-7 cells transfected with the control nonspecific siRNA (Fig. 4C). A similar protection against WA-mediated cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation by knockdown of FOXO3a protein level was also observed in the MDA-MB-231 cell line (data not shown). In addition, the WA-mediated induction of Bim-s in MCF-7 cells (Fig. 4D) and MDA-MB-231 cells (data not shown) was markedly abrogated by siRNA-based knockdown of FOXO3a protein level. These results indicated that the WA-mediated induction of Bim-s in breast cancer cells was FOXO3a dependent. Because FOXO3a knockdown did not have a noticeable effect on Bim-EL protein level (Fig. 4D, top band), it is reasonable to conclude that the expression of Bim-EL isoform is not regulated by FOXO3a at least in breast cancer cells.

WA administration retarded growth of MDA-MB-231 xenografts in female nude mice. To test in vivo significance of the cellular observations, we determined the effect of WA administration on MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth. The dose and route of WA administration was selected from a previous study documenting in vivo efficacy of WA against prostate cancer cells (22). As can be seen in Fig. 5A, the average body weights of the control and WA-treated mice did not differ significantly throughout the study. Moreover, the WA-treated mice otherwise seemed healthy and did not exhibit signs of distress such as impaired movement or posture, indigestion, and areas of redness or swelling. The average tumor volume in WA-treated mice was significantly lower compared with control mice on every week of tumor measurement starting with week 6 (Fig. 5A). For example, on week 10, the average tumor volume in control mice (1,029 ± 164 mm³) was ∼1.8-fold higher compared with WA-treated mice (P < 0.05). Consistent with tumor volume data, the average wet weight of the tumor was significantly lower in WA-treated mice compared with control mice (Fig. 5C). These results indicated that WA administration significantly inhibited MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth in female nude without causing weight loss. We also tested the efficacy of WA against MDA-MB-231-luc-D3H1 cells directly injected into the mammary fat pad of female nude mice. Bioluminescence images for
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representative mouse of control and WA-treated group at 12 weeks after beginning of the study are shown in Fig. 5D. The average bioluminescence signal strength obtained at 12 weeks after the start of the study for the WA-treated mice was markedly lower compared with that of control mice. Similar to the subcutaneous xenograft study (Fig. 5A), the average body weights of the control and WA-treated mice did not differ significantly in the orthotopic study (data not shown). Collectively, these results indicated that WA treatment inhibited growth of MDA-MB-231 cells s.c. and orthotopically implanted in female nude mice.

**WA administration decreased cell proliferation and increased apoptosis in tumors.** To test whether WA-mediated inhibition of MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth in vivo was associated with reduced cell proliferation and/or increased apoptosis, tumor tissues from control and WA-treated mice were processed for H&E staining, immunohistochemical analysis of PCNA expression, and TUNEL assay. Data from a representative mouse of each group are shown in Fig. 6A. The H&E staining revealed a relatively higher nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio in the tumors from control mice compared with WA-treated mice. The WA-mediated reduction in cellular proliferation in vivo in the tumor was confirmed by immunohistochemical analysis for PCNA expression. The PCNA is a marker for cellular proliferation and is expressed in >90% of in situ and invasive breast carcinomas (39). The PCNA expression was ~50% lower in tumors from WA-treated mice compared with control tumors (Fig. 6B). The proapoptotic effect of WA treatment in vivo was visualized by TUNEL staining (Fig. 6A). The tumors from the WA-treated mice exhibited a significantly higher count of apoptotic bodies per high-power field compared with control tumors (Fig. 6C). Collectively, these results indicated that WA administration caused suppression of cellular proliferation and increased apoptosis in the tumor in vivo.

**Discussion**

The present study shows that WA treatment exhibits significant antiproliferative activity against MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells in culture and the MDA-MB-231 cells in vivo implanted in female nude mice. The WA-mediated suppression of breast cancer cell growth correlates with increased apoptosis both in cultured breast cancer cells and in the tumor tissues harvested from mice. Because WA treatment suppresses growth and causes apoptosis in both MCF-7 (estrogen responsive) and MDA-MB-231 cells (estrogen independent), it is reasonable to conclude that the above cellular responses to WA are not influenced by the estrogen receptors.
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**Figure 6.** A, histologic analysis of MDA-MB-231 tumors from control and WA-treated mice (subcutaneous xenograft study) for H&E staining, PCNA expression, and TUNEL-positive apoptotic bodies. Representative H&E, PCNA, and TUNEL staining in tumor sections of a control and a WA-treated mouse are shown. B, quantitation of PCNA expression in tumors from control and WA-treated mice. C, quantitation of apoptotic bodies per high-power field in tumors from control and WA-treated mice. At least three randomly selected fields on each slide from tumors of five individual mice of control and WA-treated groups were scored for PCNA expression and TUNEL-positive cells. Columns, mean (n = 5); bars, SE. *, P < 0.05, significantly different compared with control by t test.
responsiveness. Similarly, the p53 protein, which plays an important role in apoptotic response to various stimuli (40), is not necessary for WA-mediated apoptosis because this agent is able to cause cell death in wild-type p53-expressing MCF-7 cells as well as in MDA-MB-231 cells containing mutant p53. Nonetheless, it is possible that proapoptotic response to WA is intensified by the presence of wild-type p53 because the MCF-7 cell line seems relatively more sensitive to WA-induced apoptosis compared with the MDA-MB-231 cell line based on quantitation of cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation (Fig. 2A). However, further studies are needed to systematically explore this possibility. The present study also indicates that a spontaneously immortalized and nontumorigenic normal mammary epithelial cell line (MCF-10A) is relatively more resistant to WA-induced apoptosis compared with MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. These results are significant because selectivity toward cancer cells is a highly desirable feature of potential cancer chemopreventive and therapeutic agents.

We raised the question of whether WA treatment caused growth arrest independent of apoptosis in breast cancer cells. We have addressed this question by determining the effect of WA treatment on cell cycle distribution by flow cytometry using MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cells.1 We found that WA exposure caused a dose-dependent G2-M phase cell cycle arrest as early as 8 hours after treatment in both cell lines.1 Although significant increase in subdiploid fraction (a measure of apoptotic cells) was not observed drug-free medium for 24 hours. 1 At the same time, a 24-hour WA-treated cells (2 mol/L for 24 hours) even after their culture in drug-free medium for 24 hours. 1 At the same time, a 24-hour culture of WA-treated cells in drug-free medium also resulted in an increase in subdiploid fraction. Based on these results, we conclude that a fraction of G2-M arrested cells in WA-treated cell cultures are probably driven to apoptotic cell death.

The present study reveals that the WA-mediated apoptosis in MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells correlates with an increase in expression of both proapoptotic and antiapoptotic Bcl-2 family proteins (Fig. 3). The most noticeable effect is evident on protein levels of Bim isoforms in both cell lines, although with different specificity. Bim is a BH-3 only member of the Bcl-2 family that is transcriptionally up-regulated in cells undergoing apoptosis (41–43). Three alternative splice variants of Bim have been described (44). The short form of Bim (Bim-s) potently induces apoptosis, whereas the apoptotic activity of the Bim-L and Bim-EL is suppressed by binding to the dynein motor complex (45). The Bim-L and Bim-EL bind to dynein light chain through a short peptide motif (DKSTQTP) that is absent in Bim-s (45). The WA treatment causes induction of Bim-L and Bim-s isoforms in MCF-7 cells and induction of Bim-EL and Bim-s variants in the MDA-MB-231 cell line. The WA-mediated induction of Bim-s is relatively more pronounced in the MCF-7 cell line than in MDA-MB-231, which could also account for relatively greater sensitivity of the former cell line to apoptosis induction by WA compared with the MDA-MB-231 cell line. The WA-mediated apoptosis in both cell lines is significantly attenuated by knockdown of Bim protein (Fig. 4A and B). The partial protection conferred by transient transfection of Bim siRNA in MCF-7 cells against WA-induced cytoplasmic histone–associated DNA fragmentation may be due to incomplete knockdown of the protein. The proapoptotic activity of Bim is regulated at multiple levels including transcriptional and posttranslational modifications (46). For example, FOXO3a has been implicated in transcriptional regulation of Bim (38). We also found that the WA-mediated induction of Bim-s, but not Bim-EL, is regulated by FOXO3a in our model because knockdown of this protein not only abrogates WA-mediated induction of Bim-s (Fig. 4D) but also protects against apoptosis (Fig. 4C). Based on these results, it is reasonable to conclude that the FOXO3a-Bim pathway plays an important role in regulation of WA-induced apoptosis.

Because preclinical in vivo efficacy testing of potential cancer therapeutic/preventive agents is a key step in their clinical development, we determined the effect of WA administration on growth of MDA-MB-231 cells s.c. and orthotopically implanted in female nude mice. We found that i.p. administration of WA delays growth of MDA-MB-231 xenografts in both models. Inhibition of PC-3 prostate cancer cell growth in male nude mice by WA treatment has also been observed (22). Consistent with the results of cellular studies, the WA-mediated suppression of MDA-MB-231 xenograft growth correlates with reduced cellular proliferation, as shown by H&E staining and PCNA expression, and increased apoptosis as revealed by TUNEL assay. Thus, apoptosis induction is a critical mechanism in WA-mediated suppression of MDA-MB-231 cell growth in vivo.

In conclusion, the results of the present study show that WA treatment suppresses survival of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells in culture and retards growth of MDA-MB-231 xenografts in vivo in association with reduced cellular proliferation and increased apoptosis. The WA-mediated apoptosis in both cell lines is mediated, at least in part, by FOXO3a and Bim.
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