SLITs Suppress Tumor Growth In vivo by Silencing Sdf1/Cxcr4 within Breast Epithelium
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Abstract

The genes encoding Slits and their Robo receptors are silenced in many types of cancer, including breast, suggesting a role for this signaling pathway in suppressing tumorigenesis. The molecular mechanism underlying these tumor-suppressive effects has not been delineated. Here, we show that loss of Slits, or their Robo1 receptor, in murine mammary gland or human breast carcinoma cells results in coordinate up-regulation of the Sdf1 and Cxcr4 signaling axis, specifically within mammary epithelium. This is accompanied by hyperplastic changes in cells and desmoplastic alterations in the surrounding stroma. A similar inverse correlation between Slit and Cxcr4 expression is identified in human breast tumor tissues. Furthermore, we show in a xenograft model that Slit overexpression down-regulates Cxcr4 and dominantly suppresses tumor growth. These studies classify Slits as negative regulators of Sdf1 and Cxcr4 and identify a molecular signature in hyperplastic breast lesions that signifies inappropriate up-regulation of key prometastatic genes. [Cancer Res 2008;68(19);7819–27]

Introduction

The multistep model for breast carcinogenesis postulates that invasive carcinoma arises by way of intermediate hyperplastic lesions that progress in severity through stages of atypia to in situ and finally invasive carcinoma. It is generally recognized that there are clinically significant differences between various hyperplastic lesions, with some containing cellular and molecular changes that confer higher risk of progression to invasive disease. Pathologists identify clinically relevant differences later in disease progression, but early breast lesions are not well defined and further subclassification of their tumor potential by morphologic criteria is likely to be impossible. Consequently, assessing the potential risks associated with premalignant breast disease will rely on refining our understanding of the molecular signatures that confer increased risk of progression from epithelial hyperplasia to invasive carcinoma.

Up-regulation of CXCR4 is an example of one molecular change in breast cancer cells that is associated with poor prognosis (1, 2). Its role in directing metastasizing breast cancer cells to target sites is well established (3). Little is known, however, about the role of CXCR4 during breast cancer progression, although it is up-regulated early during cellular transformation (1, 4), along with SDF1 (5), which is produced by cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) and is in the local environment (6, 7). Recent studies have identified roles for this signaling pathway in primary breast tumors (8, 9), and in this context, one possibility is that signaling through the CXC4/SDF1 axis drives proliferation, conferring selective advantage to cells as they transform into metastasizing carcinomas. Several mechanisms up-regulate CXCR4 during tumor metastasis (10–13), but there is little information about mechanisms regulating the SDF1/CXCR4 chemokine axis in organs at early stages of transformation.

SLITs (Slit1, Slit2, and Slit3) are a family of secreted proteins that mediate positional interactions between cells and their environment during development by signaling through ROBO receptors (Robo1, Robo2, Robo3, and Robo4; ref. 14). SLIT/ROBO signaling, however, is not restricted to development, and loss of these cues likely plays an important role during tumor progression. Slits and Robos are considered candidate tumor suppressor genes because their promoters are frequently hypermethylated in epithelial cancers (15–18). In ~50% of sampled human breast tumors, Slit2 or Slit3 gene expression is silenced (15, 19).

Cross-talk between SLIT/ROBO and CXC4/SDF1 signaling has been observed in several systems, with the regulatory effect occurring downstream of the receptors and involving modulation of intracellular signaling intermediates. In leukocytes and human breast cancer cell lines, SLIT impedes SDF1-induced chemotaxis (20, 21). In breast cancer cells, this deterring effect occurs via SLIT-mediated inhibition of SDF1-induced activation of signaling pathways involved in motility (21). Similarly, in the nervous system, a reciprocal regulation of SLIT-mediated axonal repulsion by SDF1 is exerted through modulation of cyclic nucleotide signaling intermediates (22). These studies show an intriguing interrelationship between these signaling axes but do not address the consequences of losing the function of one of these signaling systems, such as occurs in breast during tumor progression when Slit expression is silenced.

Here, we investigate the consequences of losing SLIT/ROBO1 signaling in murine mammary gland, human breast cancer cells, and human tumors. We identify Sdf1 and Cxcr4 as critical targets of SLIT/ROBO1 regulation. Exploiting the ability to transplant knockout mammary epithelium into host mammary fat pads, we determine the compartment, epithelial or stromal, in which SLIT/ROBO1 signaling occurs, and how loss of signaling in one location leads to alterations across the epithelial/stromal boundary. Finally, we explore the tumor-suppressive capabilities of Slits using a xenograft model of human breast cancer.
Materials and Methods

Clinical samples. Frozen or formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue specimens were collected at Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore, MD). All human tissue was collected using protocols approved by the Institutional Review Board. Informed consent was obtained from each individual who provided tissue linked with clinical data.

Animals. The study conformed to guidelines set by University of California at Santa Cruz animal care committee (Chancellor’s Animal Research Committee). Mouse Slit2, Slit3, and Robo1 nulls were generated at California at Santa Cruz animal care committee (Chancellor’s Animal Research Committee). Mouse Slit2, Slit3, and Robo1 nulls were generated (23). Mouse image clone 3385804 (American Type Culture Collection). (for riboprobes) contains 538-nucleotide fragment of the mouse Sdf1 transcription site followed by the luciferase gene (12). pCRII-SDF1/CXCR4(C0/C0) contains CXCR4 between 375 and 51 relative to the transcription site followed by the luciferase gene (12). pCRII-SDF1/CXCR4-C0 contains CXCR4 between 375 and 51 relative to the transcription site followed by the luciferase gene (12).

Cell lines, DNA constructs, and antibodies. MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. pGL-CXCR4(-375) contains CXCR4 between -375 and +51 relative to the transcription site followed by the luciferase gene (12). pCRII-SDF1 (for riboprobes) contains 538-nucleotide fragment of the mouse Sdf1 cDNA (27). Mouse image clone 3385804 (American Type Culture Collection). Small interfering RNA (siRNA) directed against Robo1 was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. pSecTagB-hSlit3-C-myc was from Dr. Roy Bicknell (University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom). The following antibodies were used: anti-CK14 (AF64, Covance), anti-SMA (1A4, Sigma), anti-Ki67 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-CXCR4 (Abcam), anti-SDF1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-SF1 (Chemicon), anti-SLIT2 (Chemicon), anti-HA (Dr. Doug Kellogg, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-Myc (9E10), anti-ROBO1 (Abcam), and anti-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Generation of stable cell lines. MDA-MB-231 cells were transfected with pSecTagB-Slit2-HA and pSecTagB-Slit3-Myc and selected in zeocin (Invitrogen). n = 3 lines were generated expressing SLIT2-HA and n = 2 lines expressing SLIT3-Myc.

Tumor generation. Stable cell lines (10^6 cells) were injected into precleared fat pads of athymic nude mice (24). Tissue fragments from the resulting outgrowths were contralaterally transplanted to generate knockout and wild-type tissue controls (25).

Implantation of Elvax beads. Elvax, an ethylene vinyl copolymer capable of sustained slow release of bioactive molecules, was prepared as described (26), with pellets containing 225 ng SDF1 and 0.45 mg bovine serum albumin (BSA) for control. Pellets were contralaterally implanted into the fat pad of wild-type CD1 mice (n = 3), and tissue was harvested after 6 d.

Cell lines, DNA constructs, and antibodies. MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS. pGL-CXCR4(-375) contains CXCR4 between -375 and +51 relative to the transcription site followed by the luciferase gene (12). pCRII-SDF1 (for riboprobes) contains 538-nucleotide fragment of the mouse Sdf1 cDNA (27). Mouse image clone 3385804 (American Type Culture Collection). Small interfering RNA (siRNA) directed against Robo1 was from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. pSecTagB-hSlit3-C-myc was from Dr. Roy Bicknell (University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom). The following antibodies were used: anti-CK14 (AF64, Covance), anti-SMA (1A4, Sigma), anti-Ki67 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-CXCR4 (Abcam), anti-SDF1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), anti-SLIT3 (Chemicon), anti-SLIT2 (Chemicon), anti-HA (Dr. Doug Kellogg, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA), anti-Myc (9E10), anti-ROBO1 (Abcam), and anti-extracellular signal-regulated kinase (Santa Cruz Biotechnology).

Figure 1. Loss of Slit2 and Slit3 expression in mammary epithelium leads to the formation of hyperplastic disorganized lesions. A, lack of SLIT in the epithelium leads to lesion formation. Immunostaining with anti-CK14 on longitudinal sections and cross-sections through +/- and Slit2/Slit3 mammary outgrowths. Arrows, ductal myoepithelial cell layer; arrowheads, CK14-positive cells abnormally located in the lumen. Red bar, condensed desmoplastic stroma. L, lumen. B, lack of SLIT leads to hyperplasia. Representative lesion with dashed line indicating epithelial/stromal interface. Arrowheads, Ki67+ cells. Columns, mean percentage [n = 3 animals at 12 wk of age, 15 fields of view/animal (5 x)]; bars, SD. ***, P < 0.0001, unpaired t test. C, lack of ROBO1 leads to a disorganized phenotype in three-dimensional culture. After transfection, MCF7 cells were grown in Matrigel. After 5 d, colonies were photographed (5 x) and percentage of disorganized structures was counted. Representative images of colonies are shown. Scale bar, 10 μm. Columns, mean percentage; bars, SD. ***, P < 0.0001, ANOVA. RNAi, RNA interference. D, lack of ROBO1 increases the cell proliferation index. Columns, mean percentage of Ki67+ cells; bars, SD. ***, P < 0.001, ANOVA.
Western blotting. Western blotting was performed using standard procedures (29). Band intensity was scanned using Typhoon 9410 imager and quantified using ImageQuant 5 software.

Quantitative real-time reverse transcription-PCR analysis. Real-time reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) analysis was done as previously described (30). Data were first analyzed using the Sequence Detector Software SDS 2.0 (Applied Biosystems). Results were calculated and normalized relative to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) control. All of the PCR assays were done in triplicate, and mean values are shown in figures.

In situ hybridization. In situ hybridization was carried out as described previously (23, 25).

Primary cell isolation. Primary mammary epithelial cells were prepared from mild collagenase and dispase digestion, as described (23). Cells were plated overnight and then trypsinized and placed onto Matrigel-coated coverslips.

Chemotaxis assay. Chemotaxis was examined as described before (29). Phase-contrast images were acquired at 0 and 60 min. The change in cell area in the directed quadrant was calculated using ImageJ.

Statistical analysis. We used factorial design ANOVA, unpaired t tests, or Mann-Whitney tests to analyze data as appropriate. Significant ANOVA values were subsequently subjected to post-test using the Tukey-Kramer or Mann-Whitney tests to analyze data as appropriate. Significant ANOVA

Results

Loss of Slit or Robo1 in mammary epithelium leads to the formation of hyperplastic, disorganized lesions. Given the expanding role of SLITs in epithelial biology, we hypothesized a tumor-suppressive function for Slits in breast. We previously showed that two Slit family members, Slit2 and Slit3, are expressed in murine mammary gland (23). The homozygous Slit2\(^{-/-}\) mutation causes perinatal lethality. Therefore, to investigate the consequence of its loss in mature mammary gland, we generated Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) outgrowths by contralateral transplantation of knockout and wild-type anlage into cleared fat pads of immuno-compromised mice (24).

We examined mature Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) mammary outgrowths for morphology. Compared with the open lumens and organized bilayers of ducts in control outgrowths, Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) ducts displayed striking abnormalities (Fig. 1A). The phenotype was 100% penetrant, with ~30% of ducts having lesions extending between 0.3 and 5.0 mm. We categorized the lesions as mild and severe. Mild lesions contained cells in the luminal space (10.1% ± SE 1.9; n = 621 ducts; 5 outgrowths), and many of these cells were peeled away from the myoepithelial layer, similar to an adhesive defect previously described in Ntn1\(^{-/-}\);Slit2\(^{-/-}\) glands (23). In severe lesions (17.8% ± SE 8.1; n = 621 ducts; 5 outgrowths), ductal lumens were occluded with a disorganized mass of cells (Fig. 1A). These excess cells suggested disrupted growth control due to either increased proliferation and/or decreased apoptosis. We labeled proliferating cells and observed a significant increase in the percentage of Ki67\(^{+}\) cells in Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\), compared with +/-, ducts (Fig. 1B). This increase is responsible for the excess cells
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**Figure 2.** Loss of Slit2 and Slit3 causes up-regulation of CXCR4 in mouse mammary gland and human MCF7 cells. A, in Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) outgrowths, CXCR4 protein expression is localized to epithelia, with desmoplastic stroma between lesions. Representative immunostaining with anti-CXCR4 on +/- and Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) mammary outgrowths. Arrowheads, positive epithelial cells. Red bar, condensed desmoplastic stroma. Scale bar, 20 µm. CXCR4 immunostaining was scored according to positivity and staining intensity and plotted on a vertical scatter plot. Red bars, average score. Significantly more CXCR4 staining is seen in Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) outgrowths, ***, P < 0.0001; Mann-Whitney. B, Cxcr4 mRNA is specifically present in the epithelium of Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) outgrowths. In situ hybridization on +/- and Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\) outgrowths using antisense probes reveals Cxcr4 mRNA in Slit2\(^{-/-}\);Slit3\(^{-/-}\), but not +/-, cells. Arrowheads, positive epithelial cells. Sense probes show little or no background staining. Scale bar, 20 µm. L, lumen. C, loss of SLIT/ROBO signaling in MCF7 cells leads to up-regulation of Cxcr4 gene expression. Cells were treated with control or Robo1 siRNA and then cotransfected with pGL-CXCR4(-375), which contains the Cxcr4 promoter region coupled to the F-luciferase gene and pRL-TK (R-luciferase). Cells were lysed after 36 h and luciferase activity was measured in triplicate. Activities were normalized for transfection efficiency. Columns, mean relative luciferase activity; bars, SE; **, P = 0.0095; Mann-Whitney test. D, loss of SLIT/ROBO signaling in MCF7 cells leads to increased levels of CXCR4 protein. Representative immunoblots (n = 4). Numbers, CXCR4 band intensity.
because we evaluated apoptosis using activated caspase-3 staining and observed no difference (data not shown). Histopathologic analyses concurred with our observations that Slit2\textsuperscript{−/−};Slit3\textsuperscript{−/−} tissue contains hyperplasias. Condensed and desmoplastic stroma surrounding the lesions were also noted in the diagnosis (Fig. 1A), as was a large influx of immune infiltrates in the knockout, compared with wild-type, tissue.

ROBO1 is a SLIT receptor that could mediate the observed effects in the gland (23). Robo1\textsuperscript{−/−} animals are viable so we evaluated the loss-of-function phenotype using intact glands. Ducts in Robo1\textsuperscript{−/−} glands were hyperplastic and disorganized, displaying a phenotype that was indistinguishable from Slit2\textsuperscript{−/−};Slit3\textsuperscript{−/−} ductal lesions (Supplementary Fig. S1). As was the case for Slit2\textsuperscript{−/−};Slit3\textsuperscript{−/−} tissue, the penetrance of the phenotype was 100%, with ~30% of ducts displaying lesions that extended between 0.3 and 5.0 mm.

To investigate whether a similar phenotype occurred when SLIT/ROBO1 signaling was disrupted in human breast cells, we used the MCF7 line that retains several characteristics of differentiated mammary epithelium, including expression of Slit2, Slit3, and Robo1 (data not shown; ref. 31). Cells were treated with Robo1 siRNA to down-regulate SLIT/ROBO1 signaling (Supplementary Fig. S2) and then cultured in Matrigel. MCF7 cells formed smooth, nonpolarized colonies without central lumens. In contrast, the siRNA-treated colonies were large and organized, a phenotype that was rescued by reexpression of Robo1 (Fig. 1C).

Loss of Slit up-regulates Cxcr4 expression. We sought candidates whose misexpression in the absence of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling is responsible for the observed hyperplastic phenotype.

Figure 3. Loss of Slit expression in human tumors correlates with up-regulation of Cxcr4. A, box plots of data from the Richardson microarray data set were drawn using the Oncomine Cancer Profiling Database (32). Slit2 (P = 2.6E−10) and Slit3 (P = 7.1E−9) expression is significantly reduced in tumors, whereas Cxcr4 (P = 1.8E−5) expression is elevated. Normal, n = 7; tumor, n = 40; P values from t test. B, expression levels, by quantitative PCR, of Slit2, Slit3, and Cxcr4 were obtained from a panel of tumors, with values normalized against internal control GAPDH. The data were then normalized to values obtained from normal breast (n = 6). A value of 1 equals expression level of the gene in average normal breast. Seventeen of 25 tumor samples (68%) showed elevated Cxcr4 expression compared with normal breast. In these tumors, this elevation corresponded with significantly reduced expression of Slit2 or Slit3. Columns, mean relative expression; bars, SE. Slit2 versus Cxcr4: **, P < 0.001; Slit3 versus Cxcr4: ***, P < 0.001, ANOVA. C, Slit expression is decreased in tumors, whereas Cxcr4 levels increase. Normal breast, DCIS, and IDC tissue sections were immunostained with anti-SLIT2, anti-SLIT3, and anti-CXCR4. Representative images are shown. Scale bar, 100 \textmu m. D, immunostained sections were scored according to cell percentage positivity and staining intensity. Scores were plotted on a vertical scatter plot. Black bars, average score. Both Slit2 (*, P = 0.01, ANOVA) and Slit3 (**, P = 0.001, ANOVA) exhibit decreased expression in DCIS and IDC compared with normal breast. In contrast, CXCR4 is expressed at very low levels in normal breast, but its expression increases in DCIS and IDC (***, P = 0.0005, ANOVA).
One candidate is CXCR4 because it is expressed early during breast tumorigenesis (1, 4), and blocking its expression or function inhibits breast tumor growth (8, 9). Western blots of whole gland lysates showed elevated CXCR4 expression in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/−, compared with +/+ tissue (Supplementary Fig. S3). Immunohistochemistry revealed CXCR4 expression in a large fraction of cells in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− epithelium, with little or no expression in +/+ epithelium (Fig. 2A). We also observed condensed and desmoplastic stroma surrounding these CXCR4-positive lesions (Fig. 2A).

Because CXCR4 is regulated by transcriptional and posttranscriptional mechanisms, we performed in situ hybridization studies and observed Cxcr4 in Slit knockout, but not wild-type, epithelium (Fig. 2B). A transcriptional mechanism also occurred in Robo1 siRNA-treated MCF7 cells because we observed increased Cxcr4 expression results in coordinate up-regulation of SDF1 and the formation of desmoplastic stroma.

Figure 4. Loss of Slit expression results in coordinate up-regulation of SDF1 and the formation of desmoplastic stroma. A, Slit2−/−;Slit3−/−, but not +/+, cells respond to a point source of SDF1. Primary epithelial cells were prepared from outgrowths and placed in stable liquid gradients of SDF1 (29). Phase-contrast images were acquired at 0 and 60 min. Using ImageJ, the change in cell area in the source quadrant (arrow) was calculated. Columns, mean percentage change (n = 7); bars, SE. *, P = 0.0018, Mann-Whitney. B, SDF1 protein is present in the stroma surrounding Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− outgrowths. Representative immunostaining with anti-SDF1 on +/+ and Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− mammary outgrowths. Dotted lines, epithelial/stromal interface. Open arrowheads, positive staining in stroma; arrowheads, epithelial cells expressing SDF1. Scale bar, 20 μm. SDF1 immunostaining was scored according to positivity and intensity. Scores were plotted on a vertical scatter plot. Red bars, average score. Significantly more SDF1 staining is seen in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− outgrowths. *, P = 0.018, Mann-Whitney. C, Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− epithelial cells expressing SDF1. Scale bar, 20 μm. SDF1 immunostaining was scored according to positivity and intensity. Scores were plotted on a vertical scatter plot. Red bars, average score. Significantly more SDF1 staining is seen in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− outgrowths. *, P = 0.018, Mann-Whitney. D, SDF1 mRNA is specifically present in subpopulations of elongated stromal cells (open arrowheads) and epithelial cells (closed arrowheads) in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− outgrowths. In situ hybridization on +/+ and Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− tissues. Dotted lines, epithelial/stromal interface. Open arrowheads, positive staining in stroma; arrowheads, epithelial cells expressing SDF1. Scale bar, 20 μm.
reporter gene activity and increased levels of CXCR4 in treated, compared with control, cells (Fig. 2C and D). Together, our results show that SLIT/ROBO1 signaling negatively regulates Cxcr4 expression, with loss of this regulation leading to elevated levels of Cxcr4 in murine tissue and human breast cancer cells.

If Slits silence Cxcr4 in normal breast, we hypothesize that loss of Slits in tumors will correspond with elevated Cxcr4. To investigate, we analyzed microarray data sets from human breast tumor samples available at Oncomine.org (32) and found an inverse correlation between Slit and Cxcr4 expression (Fig. 3A). We confirmed this by performing quantitative RT-PCR on a panel of human tumors; in 68% of tumors with elevated Cxcr4 expression, Slit or Slit3 levels were significantly reduced compared with their expression in normal tissue (Fig. 3B). We further verified these observations at the protein level using immunohistochemistry on samples of normal breast, ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS), and infiltrating ductal carcinoma (IDC; Fig. 3C and D). Again, we found robust levels of SLIT2 and SLIT3 in normal tissue that significantly decreased with increasing tumor grade. In contrast, and as previously shown (1, 4), little or no CXCR4 was detectable in normal breast, but its expression significantly increased with higher tumor grade.

**Loss of Slit expression results in coordinate up-regulation of SDF1.** Although CXCR4 is up-regulated in the vast majority of sampled premalignant lesions, studies on human breast cancer cell lines have suggested that it is only active in metastatic cells (33). To evaluate CXCR4 activity in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− cells, we performed chemotaxis assays. Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− cells did not exhibit robust migration as expected of primary cells harvested from premalignant tissue but instead responded to SDF1 by reorganizing their cell membrane and sending membranous projections toward a point source (Fig. 4A). Wild-type cells were unresponsive to SDF1. This result suggested that CXCR4 expressed on Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− cells is active and reacts to its ligand.

This raised the question of whether SDF1 surrounded Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− lesions because recent studies have placed it in the tumor microenvironment (6, 7). We found abundant SDF1 expression in the epithelium and the surrounding stroma of knockout, but not wild-type, tissue (Fig. 4B). The presence of SDF1 is consistent with the histopathologic diagnosis that noted the infiltration of immune cells within desmoplastic stroma surrounding Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− lesions. Macrophages, which express CXCR4, represent a major component of immune infiltrates surrounding tumors and play a key role in promoting the angiogenic switch during malignant transition (34). To determine whether macrophages are attracted to SDF1, we implanted point sources of SDF1 into wild-type mammary glands (Fig. 4C, a). Significantly more macrophages (F4/80+) infiltrated into the tissue surrounding SDF1, compared with control, showing that SDF1 is a chemotactic agent for macrophages and suggesting a role in recruiting these immune cells to tumors (Fig. 4C, a).

![Figure 5](image-url).

*Figure 5.* Coordinate up-regulation of CXCR4 and SDF1 is due to lack of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling within mammary epithelia. A, to examine Robo1 gene expression, we took advantage of the lacZ gene under control of the endogenous Robo1 promoter in +/- tissue. a, longitudinal sections of Robo1+/− ducts stained for α-galactosidase activity. b, longitudinal section of +/- duct immunostained with anti-ROBO1. Open arrows, positive stromal staining; arrowheads, positive epithelial cells. Scale bar, 20 μm. L, lumen. B, transplanted Robo1−/− mammary outgrowths show severe ductal defects similar to those observed in Slit2−/−;Slit3−/− outgrowths. Scale bar, 20 μm. C, CXCR4 protein is specifically expressed in epithelium of Robo1−/− outgrowths. Representative immunostaining with anti-CXCR4 on +/+ stroma/+/+ epithelia and +/+ stroma/Robo1−/− epithelia. Arrows, positive cells. Scale bar, 20 μm. CXCR4 immunostaining was scored and plotted on a vertical scatter plot. Red bars, average score. Significantly more CXCR4 staining is seen in Robo1−/− outgrowths. ***, P < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test. D, SDF1 is present in the stroma surrounding Robo1−/− epithelial outgrowths (a; open arrowheads) and in a subpopulation of epithelial cells (arrowheads). Representative immunostaining with anti-SDF1 on +/+ stroma/+/+ epithelia and +/+ stroma/Robo1−/− epithelia. Scale bar, 20 μm. SDF1 immunostaining was scored and plotted on a vertical scatter plot. Red bars, average score. Significantly more SDF1 staining is seen in Robo1−/− outgrowths. ***, P < 0.0001, Mann-Whitney test. E, SDF1 mRNA is present in subpopulations of stromal fibroblasts (b; open arrowheads) and epithelial cells (arrowheads) in Robo1−/− outgrowths. In situ hybridization on +/+ stroma/+/+ epithelia and +/+ stroma/Robo1−/− epithelia outgrowths using antisense probes reveals SDF1 mRNA in +/+ stroma/Robo1−/− epithelia but not +/+ stroma/+/+ epithelia cells. Sense probes show little or no background staining. Scale bar, 20 μm.
performed F4/80 immunohistochemistry on $Slit2^{-/-};Slit3^{-/-}$ and control tissue and found a significant increase in macrophages surrounding knockout tissue (Fig. 4C, b). We also evaluated the stromal expression of collagen, a major constituent of desmoplastic stroma (Fig. 4C, c). Stroma surrounding $Slit2^{-/-};Slit3^{-/-}$ epithelium contained significantly more condensed, collagenous stroma, compared with +/+ , consistent with the histopathologic analysis. To define the cellular source of SDF1, we performed in situ hybridization analyses and discovered Sdf1 in a fraction of epithelial cells and in a subset of elongated stromal cells that are likely to be fibroblasts based on their morphology (Fig. 4D). Thus, both CXC4 and SDF1 are initially up-regulated in the epithelium, as has been recently observed in a xenograft model of DCIS (5). A local source of SDF1 may function to transform myoepithelial cells into CAFs or to recruit CAFs from circulating cells (35).

Epithelial regulation of CXC4/SDF1 chemokine signaling axis. Together, the data show that loss of Slit expression leads to the coordinate up-regulation of Cxcr4 in epithelia and Sdf1 in both epithelia and stroma. This suggests that SLIT/ROBO1 signaling keeps SDF1/CXC4 expression in check, but the regulatory networks may be complicated. Slit genes are expressed in the epithelium, but they encode a secreted cue that may act on any cell type expressing ROBO1 receptors. During mammary development, ROBO1 is expressed on myoepithelial cells (23), but as the gland matures, we observed a switch in its expression to include a subpopulation of luminal cells (Fig. 5A). ROBO1 was also expressed on stromal fibroblasts (Fig. 5A). Conclusively, loss of Slit expression could regulate Sdf1 and Cxcr4 independently by disrupting ROBO1 signaling in both the stromal and epithelial compartments. Alternatively, loss of Slit/ROBO1 signaling in just one compartment could up-regulate Sdf1 and Cxcr4 in both compartments.

To investigate, we eliminated Slit/ROBO1 signaling selectively in the epithelial compartment by transplanting $Robo1^{-/-}$ epithelium into wild-type stroma. In these chimeric glands, we observed disorganized, hyperplastic epithelial lesions (Fig. 5B), which were similar in phenotype, penetrance (100%), and expressivity (19.64% ± SE; 9.77; n = 669 ducts; 6 outgrowths) to those seen in $Slit2^{-/-};Slit3^{-/-}$ transplants (Fig. 1A). We evaluated the chemokine axis and again found up-regulation of CXC4 in $Robo1^{-/-}$ epithelium (Fig. 5C), and coordinate up-regulation of SDF1 in the surrounding +/+ stroma (Fig. 5D, a), which was desmoplastic and contained immune infiltrates similar to stroma surrounding $Slit2^{-/-};Slit3^{-/-}$ tissue (data not shown). These data show that loss of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling in the epithelium alone, up-regulates SDF1 and CXC4. This leads to phenotypic changes similar to those occurring in $Slit2^{-/-};Slit3^{-/-}$ transplants in which SLIT/ROBO1 signaling is disrupted in both compartments. To define the source of SDF1 in the transplanted tissue, we performed in situ hybridization studies and found Sdf1 mRNA in cell subpopulations in the epithelium and stroma (Fig. 5D, b), suggesting that loss of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling in breast epithelia at early stages of transformation both generates a local source of Sdf1 and up-regulates Cxcr4. We therefore conclude that loss of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling in the epithelium, alone, is sufficient to drive the observed morphologic and molecular changes, resulting in hyperplastic lesions, surrounded by desmoplastic stroma.

SLITs suppress CXC4 expression and inhibit tumor growth. Given that SLITs exert this regulatory function by inhibiting the expression of Sdf1 and Cxcr4 within the mammary epithelium, we wondered whether overexpression of Slits in human breast carcinoma cells would suppress Cxcr4 expression and inhibit tumor growth. Previous studies have shown that the metastatic human cell line MDA-MB-231 expresses CXC4, but not SDF1 (36), and that inhibiting CXC4 expression or function in these cells blocks primary tumor growth (8, 9). Because MDA-MB-231 cells express ROBO1 and ROBO2 (21), signaling through these receptors could down-regulate CXC4 expression and suppress tumor formation. To investigate, we transiently expressed Myc-Slit2

\[ \text{Myc-Slit2} \]

\[ \text{expression in MDA-MB-231 cells blocks tumor growth by reducing CXC4 expression. A, Slit2-HA and Slit3-Myc stable cell lines express low levels of CXC4 compared with vector alone control lines. Stable Slit2-HA (n = 3) and Slit3-myc (n = 2) cell lines were generated by clonal selection. Stable cell line extracts were probed with anti-CXC4. Columns, mean CXC4 band intensity (n = 2 for each line); bars, SE. **, } \]
or Myc-Slit3 in MDA-MB-231 cells and documented decreased CXCR4 expression (Supplementary Fig. S4). Next, we generated stable cell lines expressing Slit2 \((n = 3)\) or Slit3 \((n = 2)\) and again found reduced CXCR4 levels (Fig. 6A). We also observed that Slit-expressing cells formed significantly fewer colonies, compared with control, when cultured in Matrigel (Supplementary Fig. S5). This suggested a general inhibition of cell growth, so we pursued the observation by establishing orthotopic xenograft tumors in immunocompromised hosts. We found that Slit-expressing cells formed significantly smaller tumors over time, with Slit3 producing the most dramatic effect (Fig. 6B). We confirmed sustained down-regulation of CXCR4 in Slit-expressing tumors after 28 days of in vivo incubation (Fig. 6C; Supplementary Fig. S6). Thus, expression of Slits in MDA-MB-231 cells both down-regulates CXCR4 and inhibits tumor growth. Together with the observation that targeting CXCR4 reduces tumor growth in numerous organs \((37, 38)\), our results suggest that SLITs suppress tumor growth by inhibiting the proliferative consequences of elevated CXCR4 expression.

**Discussion**

There is extensive literature on the molecular and genetic alterations that occur in invasive breast carcinoma and signify poor prognosis, but relatively little progress has been made in defining the genetic changes occurring in premalignant lesions. Here, we report that loss of Slit expression early during tumor progression up-regulates a key chemokine signaling axis and generates hyperplastic changes in the epithelium, along with desmoplastic changes in the stroma. Expression of CXCR4 was originally thought to occur late during tumor progression, generating cells that are ready to metastasize and home to organs expressing high levels of SDF1 \((3)\). This restricted view of CXCR4 function, however, has been called into question because 93% of studied cases of atypical ductal carcinoma display high levels of CXCR4 \((4)\), suggesting a role for CXCR4 in mediating earlier aspects of cellular transformation. Our data show that changes, loss and gain, in Slit expression function as a switch in the epithelium that up-regulate and down-regulate Cxcr4, leading to attendant changes in proliferation. We also show that loss of Slits results in the coordinate up-regulation of Sdf1 in both the epithelium and surrounding stroma and this is accompanied by changes in the local microenvironment consistent with transformation.

The importance of the tumor microenvironment is well established, but it is unclear how it is generated. Our studies show that loss of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling exclusively in the epithelia is established, but it is unclear how it is generated. Our studies show that changes, loss and gain, in Slit expression function as a switch in the epithelium that up-regulate and down-regulate Cxcr4, leading to attendant changes in proliferation. We also show that loss of Slits results in the coordinate up-regulation of Sdf1 in both the epithelium and surrounding stroma and this is accompanied by changes in the local microenvironment consistent with transformation.

Numerous studies show epigenetic inactivation of Slits in multiple types of cancer \((15, 16, 18, 19)\), and in breast, this loss of Slit also correlates with increasing tumor grade \((44)\). Our histopathologic analyses of Slit2 \(-/-\);Slit3 \(-/-\) and Robo1 \(-/-\) mammary epithelium revealed hyperplastic lesions with no nuclear atypia (Fig. 1), a type of lesion that can be found in hyperplastic lesions observed in Slit2 \(-/-\);Slit3 \(-/-\) tissue \((40)\). We have shown that loss of VHL leads to stabilization of Hif and subsequent up-regulation of both Cxcr4 and Sdf1 has been shown in renal cells where hypoxia-inducible factors 1 and 2 (Hif1 and Hif2) are targeted for degradation by von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) proteins \((11)\). It has been shown that loss of VHL leads to stabilization of Hifs and subsequent up-regulation of both Sdf1 and Cxcr4 due to the Hif response elements contained in their promoters \((41)\). Hifs are frequently up-regulated during breast transformation \((42)\) and can drive the inappropriate proliferation of cells even under conditions of normal oxygen \((43)\). Thus, Hifs or VHL proteins may be targeted by SLIT/ROBO1 signaling, and we are currently investigating their expression profiles in Slit2 \(-/-\);Slit3 \(-/-\) and Robo1 \(-/-\) glands.

Numerous studies show that identification of such lesions confers a 2-fold increase in relative risk of developing invasive breast cancer compared with women without proliferative disease. For patients diagnosed with lesions having the next stage of severity, hyperplasias with nuclear atypia, the relative risk of future invasive disease rises to ~5-fold and increases to 10-fold if there is also positive family history \((45, 46)\). These numbers show that, although most patients will not develop invasive disease, a fraction will. With medical advances enabling detection of breast lesions at earlier stages, it will be crucial to develop methods that distinguish between nascent disease and normal biology because current methods relying on interaction with the cancerous epithelium. Our data raise the possibility that up-regulation of epithelial SDF1, accompanying Slit loss, contributes to the recruitment and/or transformation of CAFs, and support the model that genetic changes in the tumor epithelium, alone, are sufficient to drive transformation of cells and the surrounding microenvironment \((7)\).

Our data also provide in vivo evidence that the SDF1/CXCR4 axis is fully functional within the epithelium during preinvasive stages of breast transformation and that it promotes cell survival and proliferation. We show that loss of SLIT/ROBO1 signaling results in the development of hyperplastic lesions (Fig. 1) with the coordinate up-regulation of both CXCR4 and SDF1 in the mammary epithelium (Figs. 2, 4, and 5). This type of autocrine stimulation of cell growth by SDF1/CXCR4 has been documented in human breast cancer cells on overexpression of SDF1 \((39)\) and was also observed in the MCF10DCIS.com cells, described above, in which intraepithelial SDF1/CXCR4 signaling gives way to signaling across the epithelial/stromal boundary as the tumor microenvironment becomes established \((5)\). Numerous pathways have been implicated in the mitogenic activity of SDF1/CXCR4 and may be responsible for the hyperplastic lesions observed in Slit2 \(-/-\);Slit3 \(-/-\) tissue \((40)\). We are currently investigating the pathways that drive proliferation because targeting these pathways could provide therapies that arrest cellular proliferation in early stages of transformation.

The molecular mechanism through which cells acquire SDF1 and CXCR4 expression during the evolution of tumors is unclear. At later stages of cellular transformation, CXCR4 expression is up-regulated by several mechanisms \((40)\). Our studies reveal a transcripational mechanism during early stages of transformation that occurs within breast epithelia (Figs. 2, 4, and 5). We show that SLITs signal through their ROBO1 receptor to negatively regulate Cxcr4 and Sdf1. Negative transcriptional regulation of both Cxcr4 and Sdf1 has been shown in renal cells where hypoxia-inducible factors 1 and 2 (Hif1 and Hif2) are targeted for degradation by von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) proteins \((11)\). It has been shown that loss of VHL leads to stabilization of Hifs and subsequent up-regulation of both Sdf1 and Cxcr4 due to the Hif response elements contained in their promoters \((41)\). Hifs are frequently up-regulated during breast transformation \((42)\) and can drive the inappropriate proliferation of cells even under conditions of normal oxygen \((43)\). Thus, Hifs or VHL proteins may be targeted by SLIT/ROBO1 signaling, and we are currently investigating their expression profiles in Slit2 \(-/-\);Slit3 \(-/-\) and Robo1 \(-/-\) glands.
morphologic criteria are insufficient. Improved understanding of molecular signatures within breast lesions holds the promise of identifying those at high risk so they receive appropriate treatment while also identifying the majority who are not at risk so their medical concerns are dispelled (47). The findings presented in this report identify the loss of Slt expression as a marker of early lesions that have the potential to progress to invasive disease due to up-regulation of metastasis markers SDF1/CXCR4. We propose that these molecular alterations define a specific subclass of breast lesions whose early detection could lead to treatment strategies that prevent development of invasive disease.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

14/6/2008; revised 6/30/2008; accepted 7/25/2008.

Grant support: American Cancer Society grant RSG0218801-MGO, California Breast Cancer Research Program grant 10P-0188, and National Cancer Institute grant R01CA128902 (L. Hinck) and Congressionally Directed Medical Research Program grant BC043200 and National Cancer Institute grant CA105490 (R.J. Cardiff).

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked advertisement in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the Swiss National Foundation, Biotechnology, Stem Cells South, and Euro-grant. The authors thank the following: the animal care staff for maintaining mice; T. Callahan for technical assistance; K. Green for the EGFR transgenic mouse; M. Kiran for the G-protein assays; C. Charalambous for the in situ hybridization; A. Hartl-Klein for the statistical analysis; and G. Davis for the human breast cancer samples.

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

References


34. Jeffrey SS, Pollack JR. SLITs Regulate CXCR4 to Suppress Breast Tumors
SLITs Suppress Tumor Growth \textit{In vivo} by Silencing \textit{Sdf1/Cxcr4} within Breast Epithelium

Rebecca Marlow, Phyllis Strickland, Ji Shin Lee, et al.


Updated version
Access the most recent version of this article at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/68/19/7819

Supplementary Material
Access the most recent supplemental material at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2008/09/29/68.19.7819.DC1

Cited articles
This article cites 45 articles, 17 of which you can access for free at:
http://cancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/68/19/7819.full.html#ref-list-1

Citing articles
This article has been cited by 13 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
/content/68/19/7819.full.html#related-urls

E-mail alerts
Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions
To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions
To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, contact the AACR Publications Department at permissions@aacr.org.