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Abstract

ErbB oncogenes drive the progression of several human cancers. Our study shows that in human carcinoma (A431) and glioma (U373) cells, the oncogenic forms of epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; including EGFRvIII) trigger the up-regulation of tissue factor (TF), the transmembrane protein responsible for initiating blood coagulation and signaling through interaction with coagulation factor VIIa. We show that A431 cancer cells in culture exhibit a uniform TF expression profile; however, these same cells in vivo exhibit a heterogeneous TF expression and show signs of E-cadherin inactivation, which is coupled with multilineage (epithelial and mesenchymal) differentiation. Blockade of E-cadherin in vitro, leads to the acquisition of spindle morphology and de novo expression of vimentin, features consistent with epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition. These changes were associated with an increase in EGFR-dependent TF expression, and with enhanced stimulation of vascular endothelial growth factor production, particularly following cancer cell treatment with coagulation factor VIIa. In vivo, cells undergoing epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition exhibited an increased metastatic potential. Furthermore, injections of the TF-blocking antibody (CNTO 859) delayed the initiation of A431 tumors in immunodeficient mice, and reduced tumor growth, vascularization, and vascular endothelial growth factor expression. Collectively, our data suggest that TF is regulated by both oncogenic and differentiation pathways, and that it functions in tumor initiation, tumor growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Thus, TF could serve as a therapeutic target in EGFR-dependent malignancies. [Cancer Res 2008;68(24):10068–76]

Introduction

The epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) family plays a key role in normal development and oncogenesis (1). Thus, activation, overexpression, amplification, or mutations of various members of this family (especially EGFR/ErbB1/HER1, and ErbB2/HER-2/neu) are associated with several types of human malignancies (1) including breast, head and neck, non–small cell lung, prostate cancer (2), and malignant glioma (3). In the latter case, both EGFR gene amplification and expression of the activated mutant known as EGFRvIII are responsible for constitutive activation and alteration of EGFR signaling (3). EGFR and ErbB2 oncogenes contribute to tumor progression by altering the intrinsic (e.g., mitogenic, invasive) and angiogenic properties of cancer cells, such as up-regulating vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) expression (4–6). The role of the resulting vascular changes is highlighted by perpetual angiogenic remodeling of tumor blood vessels and vascular-dependent tumor growth—now an attractive therapeutic target (7, 8), perivascular nesting of cancer stem cells (9), activation of the coagulation system (cancer coagulopathy; ref. 10), and metastasis. The latter process is often associated with both procoagulant events and proinvasive cellular changes described as epithelial-to-mesenchymal transitions (EMT; ref. 11). This term refers to the morphologic and functional changes of epithelial cancer cells whereby they transiently acquire markers of mesenchymal differentiation (e.g., vimentin), lose some of their epithelial features (e.g., E-cadherin), and assume a spindle shape and highly motile/invasive characteristics (11). EMT is believed to be controlled by interactions between oncogenic and growth factor pathways [e.g., ras and transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β)/SMAD4, WNT, Hedgehog, Notch, Snail, and Twist; ref. 12] and contributes to the more aggressive and metastatic behavior of several cancer cell types (11, 13), and to the cancer cell “stemness” (14).

One poorly understood class of events that occurs at the tumor-vascular boundary is the up-regulation of tissue factor (TF) by both cancer cells and the vascular endothelium (15, 16). TF is a transmembrane protein that interacts with coagulation factor VIIa (FVIIa), whereby it initiates blood coagulation (17). This interaction also triggers intracellular signals, which are primarily mediated by G protein–coupled protease-activated receptors (PAR; ref. 18) in concert with adhesion molecules and several other factors (19). Interestingly, TF expression by cancer cells has been linked to several aspects of tumor progression, including coagulopathy (10), angiogenesis (10), invasion (20), and metastasis (20–22). In addition, TF up-regulation by numerous types of cancer cells has been directly related to loss of tumor suppressor genes (e.g., p53 or PTEN; refs. 6, 23) and activation of oncogenes (e.g., mutant K-ras; ref. 6).

Here, we show that EGFR and EGFRvIII oncogenes drive a robust, constitutive and uniform up-regulation of TF in tumor cells derived from human squamous (epithelial) cell carcinoma and malignant glioma, respectively. We present evidence that the chronic effect of oncogenic EGFR on epithelial cancer cells is modulated by their multilineage differentiation in vivo, whereby they undergo loss of E-cadherin function and acquire a heterogeneous expression of vimentin and TF. Furthermore, blockade of E-cadherin in cultured cancer cells similarly leads to the expression...
of vimentin and changes in cell shape reminiscent of EMT. This transition resulted in increased TF expression and gave rise to cells with a highly metastatic phenotype. Such cells were also hypersensitive to FVIIa stimulation (TF-dependent signaling), as measured by an increased VEGF production. Finally, inhibition of TF in vivo led to reduced VEGF production, lower vascular density and delayed growth of tumors in immunodeficient mice. Thus, we propose that oncogene (EGFR)–driven expression of TF is modulated by EMT-like changes and that these events participate in tumor initiation, growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Hence, targeting TF and/or TF-stimulating influences may have therapeutic value at least in certain tumor contexts.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and treatments. Human malignant glioma cell lines U373 and U373vIII were maintained in DMEM (HyClone) supplemented with 10% tetracycline-free fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen), 200 μg/mL of hygromycin (Roche), and 50 μg/mL of geneticin (Life Technologies/Invitrogen). A431 human squamous cell carcinoma line (American Type Culture Collection) was cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5% fetal bovine serum. Cells sensitive to FVIIa stimulation (TF-dependent signaling), as measured by an increased VEGF production. Finally, inhibition of TF in vivo led to reduced VEGF production, lower vascular density and delayed growth of tumors in immunodeficient mice. Thus, we propose that oncogene (EGFR)–driven expression of TF is modulated by EMT-like changes and that these events participate in tumor initiation, growth, angiogenesis, and metastasis. Hence, targeting TF and/or TF-stimulating influences may have therapeutic value at least in certain tumor contexts.

Studies were conducted with TF-pGL2 construct containing 1.2 kb of the human TF 5′-untranslated region (24), or pGL2 control vector using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) along with the LacZ-pcDNA3.1 vector, as a control for transfection efficiency. Cells were treated for 24 h posttransfection with 50 ng of TGFα or 20 μmol/L of AG1478, as indicated. Promoter activity was determined using the luciferase assay system (Promega) and TD20/20 luminometer (Turner Designs; ref. 6).

TF promoter assays. Cells were transiently transfected with hTF-pGL2 and U373 malignant glioma cells, its variant engineered to constitutively express TF protein, transcript, and promoter activity (U373vIII) produce copious amounts of TF transcript and protein, both of which can be suppressed with pharmacologic inhibitors (Sigma-Aldrich). CNTO 859 antibody (Centocor, Inc.), which reacts with human, but not with mouse TF, was given via i.p. injections at 200 μg/mouse. Mice inoculated with 105 A431 cells on day 0 were treated for 7 consecutive days (1–7 days postinjection) with CNTO 859. Alternatively, 16 × 106 A431 cells were mixed with 500 μg of CNTO 859 prior to injection followed with weekly CNTO 859 injections (200 μg). Animal studies were conducted according to protocols approved by the Institutional Animal Care Committees at McMaster and McGill Universities and in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council of Animal Care.

Experimental metastasis. A single cell suspension (2 × 105 in 0.2 mL PBS) of A431 cells or SHE78-7–treated (2 × 106 g) A431 cells were injected into the warmed lateral tail veins of YFP/SCID mice. Mice were sacrificed 6 weeks after injection, lungs were excised, and nodules were counted.

Data analysis. All experiments were conducted at least twice with similar results, although in most instances, the data represent a much larger number of independent repeats. All data points were generated from at least triplicate measurements in each experiment. The results of representative assays were expressed as mean ± SD. Animal experiments included at least five mice per group. Whenever appropriate, a Student’s t test was used and P < 0.05 was used as the threshold of statistical significance.

Results

Oncogenic EGFR up-regulates TF in human cancer cells. TF up-regulation has been directly related to several transforming events in cancer (6). In order to assess this relationship more fully, we analyzed U373 malignant glioma cells, its variant engineered to express EGFRvIII (U373vIII), and A431 squamous cell carcinoma cells, which endogenously express oncogenic EGFR. Both U373vIII and A431 cells depend on oncogenic EGFR for growth as tumors in SCID mice (4).

In our hands, U373 cells are indolent and express extremely low levels of TF. In contrast, their EGFRvIII-expressing isogenic counterparts (U373vIII) produce copious amounts of TF transcript and protein, both of which can be suppressed with pharmacologic inhibitors of EGFR (Fig. 1A; data not shown). A431 cells constitutively express TF protein, transcript, and promoter activity (Fig. 1B; data not shown) and can be further stimulated to increase TF expression by treatment with TGFα, an EGFR agonist (Fig. 1B and C). Conversely, TF levels are decreased in these cells upon

Unpublished data.
incubation with AG1478, a small molecule EGFR inhibitor (Fig. 1B and C). The stimulating effect of EGFR on TF expression in A431 cells could be obliterated by treatment with CAPE, the small molecule inhibitor that blocks nuclear translocation of nuclear factor κB (NF-κB), but not (minimally) by Y27632 an inhibitor of Rho kinase (Fig. 1C), or (somewhat surprisingly; ref. 17) by agents targeting MEK, ERK, or p38 (data not shown). Collectively, these results suggest that oncogenic/mutant EGFR consistently up-regulates TF in different types of cancer cells, with at least some contribution of NF-κB-mediated signaling.

Modulation of EGFR-dependent TF up-regulation by the tumor microenvironment. Although the constitutive activation of EGFR leads to a relatively uniform TF expression in cultured A431 cells, tumors composed of the same cells exhibit a considerable diversity in TF levels (Fig. 2A–C). Thus, A431 xenografts stain heterogeneously for human TF antigen, with areas of intense positivity interspersed between regions with minimal, or absent TF staining (Fig. 2B). Moreover, flow cytometry analysis of A431 cells recovered from entire individual tumors reveals a much broader diversity of TF levels (multiple peaks in high and low expression ranges), than is observed in cultured A431 cells (Fig. 2C). Although a degree of heterogeneity may exist within cultured A431 cell populations (25), these results suggest that host factors and/or the tumor microenvironment may modulate oncogene-driven TF expression within the tumor.

The in vivo diversification of TF levels is not unique to A431 cells, as we have observed similar changes in the case of glioma and colorectal carcinoma xenografts, even with cells of clonal origin (ref. 6; data not shown). However, it is also possible that the prominent negative peak in the A431 tumor profile could originate from...
from host (mouse) cells that might be present in the tumor digests and fail to react with anti-human TF antibody. In order to address this, we routinely gated tumor-derived cells according to the forward and side scatter characteristics of cultured A431 cells, and performed additional staining of A431 tumors. For instance, A431 cells were injected s.c. into YFP/SCID mice harboring a constitutively expressed YFP transgene (5). The established tumors were stained for human nuclear antigen (human tumor cell marker) and/or YFP/GFP (host cell marker). As shown in Fig. 3A, nearly the entire A431 tumor mass is composed of cells positive for human nuclear antigen (A431) with only minimal infiltration (<10%) of host-derived, YFP-positive stroma. Therefore, we surmised that heterogeneous expression of TF in vivo is due to the modulation of the EGFR-TF pathway by the tumor microenvironment.

**Multilineage differentiation of cancer cells induced by host microenvironment.** We observed that heterogeneous TF expression in A431 tumors was coupled with differential cancer cell morphology. For instance, in certain tumor regions these cells expressed features suggestive of advanced (even terminal) epithelial differentiation, such as cuboid shape, clear cytoplasm, expression of cytokeratin and even formation of keratin pearls (Fig. 3A and B). Elsewhere in the tumor cytokeratin was absent and cells exhibited spindle morphology and mesenchymal markers, such as human vimentin (Fig. 3A and B). Western blotting analysis confirmed the simultaneous expression of antithetical human differentiation markers, such as cytokeratin and vimentin in tumors originating from A431 cells in which neither of these markers is expressed in vitro (Fig. 3C). Although A431 tumors are aggressive and ultimately lethal, these findings may suggest that upon contact with the host microenvironment the tumor initiating (stem) A431 cells (25) undergo a multilineage differentiation, which is coupled with the heterogeneous expression of TF.

It is noteworthy that the overall levels of TF in A431 tumors were much lower than those in cultured A431 cells, in spite of the unremarkable changes in expression of the EGFR oncogene (Fig. 3C). Interestingly, cultured A431 cells express ample amounts of E-cadherin, a marker of epithelial differentiation, whereas in tumors, there is a considerable down-regulation of E-cadherin expression. Moreover, in addition to the 120 kDa band typical of intact and functional E-cadherin, tumor lysates also contain a large proportion of an 80 kDa species usually attributed to proteolytic cleavage (26) and loss of adhesive function of this molecule. This is particularly interesting as E-cadherin is a negative regulator of EGFR signaling (27), as well as tumor invasion and metastasis. Furthermore, E-cadherin is often down-regulated or inactivated during the related process of EMT in epithelial cancers (11).
However, whether EMT regulates TF expression in EGFR-driven tumors has not been investigated.

Modulation of EGFR-dependent TF expression by E-cadherin–regulated EMT. In order to explore whether the loss of E-cadherin activity could explain changes in TF expression among A431 tumor cells in vivo, we used an E-cadherin neutralizing antibody (SHE78-7; ref. 27) to specifically inhibit this molecule on the surface of cultured A431 cells and prevent their homotypic interaction. Remarkably, this treatment led to a robust up-regulation of TF expression and increased shedding of TF-containing microvesicles to the A431-conditioned medium (Fig. 4A and B). Interestingly, A431 cells treated with this reagent alone became only moderately more procoagulant in the TF-dependent coagulation assay (TF-PCA), as did cells incubated with H2O2, a known trigger of TF decryption (28). However, treatment with both agents resulted in 5-fold to 10-fold greater levels of TF-PCA than in the case of control cells (Fig. 4C). Collectively, these results suggest that blockade of E-cadherin results in considerable TF up-regulation, albeit in a predominantly coagulation-deficient (encrypted) form (29).

Although the effects of SHE78-7 antibody on TF levels are intriguing, it is unclear whether these effects are accompanied by EMT-like processes and whether they are EGFR-dependent (27) or EGFR-independent in nature. A431 cells cultured in the presence of SHE78-7 undergo a profound change in cell shape, assume mesenchymal (spindle) morphology, and express vimentin (Fig. 4D), hallmarks of EMT. As expected, these changes are accompanied by an increase in TF immunostaining (Fig. 4D). Importantly, this induction of TF in the presence of SHE78-7 was still dependent on EGFR activation, as it was totally obliterated by the EGFR inhibitor AG1478. In contrast, SHE78-7–induced expression of vimentin persisted in the presence of AG1478. Taken together, these findings suggest that E-cadherin suppresses/modulates the stimulating effects of EGFR on TF expression, and this can be alleviated by EMT-like changes.

The functional significance of TF expression for EGFR-driven tumorigenesis. Our observations suggest that oncogenic EGFR drives the expression of TF in A431 tumors; however, in vivo this influence becomes restricted to a subset of cancer cells. This restricted TF expression is likely due to several factors including the onset of multilineage differentiation, perturbations in E-cadherin signaling, and possibly other events. Because these changes lower the overall TF levels in tumors (versus cultured cells) the question arises as to whether this receptor still affects tumor formation. To address this, we injected various numbers of cancer cells into immunodeficient (SCID) mice and subjected them to treatment with the TF-blocking antibody CNTO 859, the antitumor effects of which have already been described (25, 30). Despite the restricted and heterogeneous TF expression in A431 tumors, this treatment resulted in striking antitumor effects (Fig. 5). Thus, the s.c. injection of threshold tumorigenic numbers...
of A431 cells (10^5) followed by only 1 week of CNTO 859 administration (10 mg/kg) led to a near-complete suppression of tumor initiation, an effect that lasted up to 5 weeks posttreatment termination, at which point, control tumors had already reached critical sizes (Fig. 5A). This experimental design is often used to detect the presence of tumor-initiating (stem) cells (31), and in our case, it may suggest that TF neutralization interferes with their tumor-triggering activity in the A431 population, as has recently been postulated (25).

Interestingly, the requirement for TF is not limited to circumstances involving tumor initiation and latency. For instance, when an excess of A431 cells (16 x 10^6) was inoculated into SCID mice, tumors emerged rapidly in both control and CNTO 859–treated animals. However, tumor growth rate was markedly lower in mice receiving the antibody treatments (Fig. 5B). We reasoned that this may be due to interference with TF-dependent regulation of tumor angiogenesis (6), including production of VEGF (32). Indeed, both microvascular density and the expression of VEGF, as determined by staining for CD105/endoglin and VEGF antigens, respectively, were visibly reduced in A431 tumors exposed to CNTO 859 relative to their vehicle-treated controls (Fig. 5C and D).

**EMT sensitizes tumor cells to TF-dependent induction of VEGF expression and promotes metastasis.** Our data suggest that a subset of cancer cells expressing TF may disproportionately contribute to the aggressiveness and VEGF expression of A431 tumors. Such an effect would likely occur upon contact with FVIIa, the key TF ligand and inducer of TF signaling (18, 29). However, in our hands, A431 cells produced significant levels of VEGF irrespective of FVIIa addition (Fig. 6A). We reasoned that these conditions might not accurately capture the effects of FVIIa in vivo, where TF expression and signaling could be affected...
Discussion

The effect of oncogenic changes on TF expression adds a new dimension to the linkage between genetic tumor progression, cancer coagulopathy, and angiogenesis (6, 23, 33, 34). However, it does not fully explain the mechanisms of TF regulation by cancer cells and stroma (refs. 15, 16, 51). In this regard, our study contributes several novel findings. We have documented the effect of two different oncogenic alterations affecting EGFR (EGFRvIII mutation and EGFR up-regulation/amplification) on the increased expression of TF in two different types of cancer cells, glioma and epithelial carcinoma. In the latter case, this effect seems to be dependent on intact NFκB signaling. However, it remains to be established whether other known regulatory elements involved in TF gene transcription including AP-1, SP1, Egr-1, and NEAT (35, 36) participate in TF up-regulation downstream of EGFR oncogenes.

The recent study by Rong and colleagues described loss of PTEN tumor suppressor gene as a key event driving TF up-regulation in hypoxic glioma/glioblastoma cells (23, 36). Neither U373 glioma cells, nor their aggressive U373vIII counterparts, expressed PTEN (data not shown), although they profoundly differ in their TF levels, as a result of changes in EGFRvIII expression. Therefore, we postulate that this frequently activated oncogene (37) may represent another critical event in TF regulation in human glioblastoma, a disease known for procoagulant sequelae (23).

The influence of oncogenic pathways on various genes is often expected to be constitutive. However, we observed that in epithelial cells, the EGFR-driven up-regulation of TF is modulated by the residual cancer cell differentiation program and EMT-like events, dependent on E-cadherin ligation. This extends our earlier studies on the regulation of TF by cell adhesion and cell shape (38). These findings are also consistent with the emerging role of E-cadherin in regulating the function of various receptor tyrosine kinases, including their oncogenic effects on cell growth and invasion (39). In this regard, a number of EGFR regulatory targets are known to be affected by E-cadherin ligation/status including the expression of p27/Kip1 cell cycle inhibitor (27), MUC5AC

by proteolysis and disruption of E-cadherin signaling (and EMT-like events). Interestingly, disruption of E-cadherin by treatment with SHE78-7 antibody led to a marked up-regulation of VEGF production. This effect was significantly enhanced in the presence of the recombinant FVIIa. This event is attributable to TF signaling due to the specificity of FVIIa binding and the fact that cell lines deficient for TF do not respond to this treatment (ref. 19; data not shown).

Furthermore, we were able to show that inhibition of E-cadherin and induction of EMT generates A431 cells with a high metastatic potential. Indeed, cells pretreated with the E-cadherin-neutralizing antibody, SHE78-7, prior to tail vein injection gave rise to a significantly higher number of lung nodules than control (untreated) cells. Collectively, our results imply that oncogenic EGFR triggers the up-regulation of TF in cancer cells and that this effect can be modulated by influences, such as E-cadherin function, differentiation and EMT. Although such changes restrict TF expression to a particular cell subset, these cells may play an especially important role in tumor initiation, growth, angiogenesis, metastasis, and/or expression of VEGF, as summarized in Fig. 6B.
mucin (40), vimentin, MMP-2, uPA, and several other genes (41). In this manner, E-cadherin may affect cellular behavior and gene expression patterns, notably though several signaling mechanisms that include both the canonical β-catenin/Wnt signaling (42), as well as changes in the activity of RhoA GTPases (43) and other pathways (44). In our hands, pharmacologic inhibition of Rho kinase (Y27632) had a minimal effect on EGFR-driven expression of TF in A431 cells. However, this treatment was performed on cells that retained functional E-cadherin, and therefore, the exact role of these intersecting pathways in TF regulation remains to be investigated. Our results do not preclude the importance of RhoA, MEK/Erk, and other pathways in TF regulation by other types of cancer cells.

We report here that E-cadherin disengagement stimulates production of VEGF. Moreover, under these conditions, cancer cells become hypersensitive to further increases in VEGF production under the influence of the TF/VIIa pathway. This may suggest that cancer cells that have retained their E-cadherin function may be protected, at least to some degree, from proangiogenic effects of the extrinsic coagulation cascade. Conversely, loss of E-cadherin or EMT may render certain cancer cell subsets (e.g., metastatic and/or cancer stem cells) highly responsive to TF/VIIa-mediated angiogenic switching. Such a notion is consistent with our results suggesting that E-cadherin inhibition in A431 cells generates cells with a greater metastatic capacity than those cells with functioning E-cadherin molecules. Furthermore, blocking TF activity in vivo resulted in a down-regulation of VEGF expression, diminished vasularity, and retarded tumor growth. It is noteworthy that treatment of cultured A431 cells with the anti–E-cadherin neutralizing antibody (SHE78-7) leads to an overexpression of TF, although mostly in the encrypted state. Our observation that even under such conditions, FVIIa stimulation leads to a marked increase in VEGF levels, but not in TF-PCA, is consistent with studies that suggest a role of encrypted TF in intracellular signaling via VIIa/TF/PAR-2 cascade (29). It is plausible that expression of genes aside from VEGF may be similarly affected by the interplay between EGFR, E-cadherin, and TF in vivo.

The absolute level of TF expression is often viewed as proportional to the role (contribution) of this receptor in tumor progression (45). However, our experiments suggest a different interpretation and highlight the significance of TF expression by certain subpopulations of cancer cells rather than global levels of this molecule. For instance, the TF decrease in A431 tumors occurs as cancer cells diversify into low and high expressors, the latter of which may become "diluted" in the population. In this regard, our previous study suggests that small subsets of cancer cells expressing markers of cancer-initiating (stem) cells, such as CD133, may also possess an increased expression of TF (25). In this setting, TF could serve as an organizer of pericellular clotting, leading to the formation of a provisional fibrin matrix and to the accumulation/release of growth-regulating influences from platelets and plasma (e.g., growth factors, chemokines, and thrombin), all of which could serve as a provisional "cancer stem cell niche" (25). There is mounting evidence that the formation of such a niche involves elements of angiogenesis, including up-regulation of VEGF (9, 46, 47).

We speculated that obliteration of TF on the surface of cancer stem cells could diminish their tumor-initiating properties (25). Indeed, in the present study, we provide evidence that tumor initiation (take) rate of A431 cells is lowered under conditions in which tumor cell–associated human TF (but not host/mouse TF) is selectively targeted with the CNTO 859 antibody. This is in spite of the global decrease of TF expression in A431 tumors (versus cultured cells).

In A431 tumors, we observed evidence of multilineage differentiation marked by the emergence of terminally differentiated epidermoid cell subsets expressing cytokeratin (or keratin pearls) and largely devoid of specific TF staining. These cells were interspersed with less differentiated cells, including those with mesenchymal characteristics (vimentin expression) and high levels of TF. This could be a functional equivalent of the recently described motile cancer stem cells (48) which harbor features of EMT (14). It is presently unclear what drives the differentiation process of A431 cells that occurs only in vivo and accompanies aggressive tumorogenesis. Although we have been able to recapitulate the generation of vimentin-positive aspects of these tumors in vitro (by blocking E-cadherin), the trigger of terminal epithelial differentiation in vivo remains unclear and this process (marked by cytokeratin expression) does not normally occur in cultured A431 cells. Nonetheless, our data suggest that tumor aggressiveness is clearly influenced by a minority of cancer cells expressing TF. We postulate that TF up-regulation associated with the EMT-like phenotype may represent a feature of tumor-initiating cells (13, 14, 48) and a potentially more informative biomarker than global TF expression itself.

It is presently unclear whether TF has any role in inducing EMT, or is simply a part of a related phenotype. Nonetheless, our experiments with CNTO 598 may suggest that events in which low numbers of (single) cancer cells participate in the formation of overt outgrowths (e.g., during tumor initiation or dissemination) could be more susceptible to TF inhibition than the growth of more established tumors. This may explain why experimental studies on TF-involvement metastasis (events dependent on single/few cancer cells) are largely congruent (21, 22, 49), whereas discordant results have been obtained with experimental "primary" tumor models in which large numbers (millions) of cancer cells are used to trigger tumor formation (22, 32, 50).

In summary, we report a novel linkage between the expression of oncogenic EGFR, E-cadherin, and TF and suggest that TF may play a cell subset–dependent role in cancer. Therefore, we postulate that targeting TF may have therapeutic (e.g., antiangiogenic, antimetastatic) consequences even in tumors in which TF is expressed by only a fraction of tumor (initiating) cells.
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