A Functional Role for KLF6-SV1 in Lung Adenocarcinoma Prognosis and Chemotherapy Response
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Abstract

Kruppel-like factor 6 (KLF6) is a tumor suppressor gene that is functionally inactivated in human cancer by loss of heterozygosity, somatic mutation, decreased expression, and increased alternative splicing into an oncogenic splice variant, KLF6-SV1. Here we show that increased expression of KLF6-SV1 is associated with decreased survival in patients with lung adenocarcinoma. In addition, KLF6-SV1 is a novel antiapoptotic protein in lung cancer cell lines, and targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 using siRNA induces apoptosis both alone and in combination with the chemotherapeutic drug cisplatin. Together, these findings highlight a critical role for KLF6-SV1 in lung cancer, and show a potential novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of lung cancer. [Cancer Res 2008;68(4):965–70]

Introduction

The American Cancer Society estimates 162,460 deaths from lung cancer in the United States for 2007, exceeding cancer mortality from colorectal, breast, prostate, and pancreatic cancer combined (1). Adenocarcinoma of the lung is the most common histologic type among all lung cancers diagnosed. In non–small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) the tumor-node-metastasis staging system guides treatment and predicts the chance of long-term survival. Patients with stage IA disease are treated with surgical resection resulting in 5-year survival of 70% (2). In stage IB, IIA, and IIB, surgery in combination with chemotherapy increases 5-year survival by 5% to 15% (3, 4). Whereas in stage IV, the 5-year survival is <1%, and chemotherapy and newer targeted molecular agents are used primarily for symptom reduction with a median increase in survival of at most 2 to 4 months (4).

The use of chemotherapy in the treatment of lung cancer is supported by evidence from dozens of randomized controlled trials. There is, however, significant variability in individual response to chemotherapy and the development of resistance after discontinuation of treatment. In fact, data from clinical trials of chemotherapy estimate that >50% of patients derive no benefit from chemotherapy and, thus, can be considered to have chemotherapy-resistant lung cancer (4). In addition to these patients, many others develop chemotherapy resistance during treatment. Therefore, understanding and better defining the molecular mechanisms underlying the development of treatment resistance would represent a major advance in our understanding of disease progression and treatment failure.

KLF6 (ZB/CPBP; GeneBank accession number AF001461) is a member of the Krüppel-like factor (KLF) family, a group of zinc finger transcription factors that are involved in differentiation and development. Their role in growth-related signal transduction pathways, cell proliferation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis originally drew attention to their potential role in tumor development and progression (5). The KLF6 gene was originally shown to be functionally inactivated by loss of heterozygosity (LOH) and somatic mutation in sporadic prostate adenocarcinomas (6). More recent reports have extended the range of human tumors and the mechanisms by which KLF6 can be inactivated to include deletion of the KLF6 locus and mutation in colorectal cancers (7), hepatocellular and gastric carcinomas (8, 9), LOH in ovarian carcinoma and glioblastoma (10, 11), decreased KLF6 expression in non–small cell lung cancer (12, 13), and hypermethylation of the promoter region in esophageal SCC cell lines and HCC patient samples (14). Most recently, three alternatively spliced KLF6 isoforms have been identified (15), and at least one of them, KLF6-SV1, has been shown to be biologically active, antagonizing the tumor suppressor function of KLF6 and promoting tumor growth (10, 16). Overexpression of KLF6-SV1 in ovarian and prostate cancer cell lines results in increased invasion, tumorigenicity, and dissemination (10, 17).

Although the exact mechanisms underlying the tumor suppressor roles of KLF6 are unknown, a number of highly relevant, overlapping pathways have been described as follows: transcription of p21 in a p53-independent manner (6), reduction of cyclin D1/cyclin-dependent kinase 4 complexes via interaction with cyclin D1 (16), inhibition of c-Jun proto-oncoprotein activities (18), decreased vascular endothelial growth factor expression (10), and induction of apoptosis (13). Given the evidence for a role for the KLF6 tumor suppressor gene in lung cancer (12, 13), we sought to define the role of the oncogenic splice variant, KLF6-SV1, in lung cancer development and progression. A role of KLF6 in NSCLC was first suggested by microarray studies (12). COPEB/KLF6 was decreased in malignant compared with benign lung tissue, but high KLF6 expression levels in tumor specimens were associated with advanced disease stages and contributed to a prognostic gene signature of poor survival (19, 20). This apparent paradox suggested to us the possibility that at least two KLF6 splice forms with different or opposing activities could be pertinent to lung cancer biology.

In the present report, we address the question whether KLF6-SV1 expression is deregulated in NSCLC and whether it contributes to tumor development and progression. We focused our studies specifically on adenocarcinoma, the most common NSCLC histology. We found that KLF6-SV1 was markedly overexpressed in primary human lung adenocarcinoma and was
associated with poor clinical outcomes. Targeted down-regulation of KLF6-SV1 using RNA interference (RNAi) in several lung adenocarcinoma cell lines induced marked apoptosis associated with induction of known proapoptotic and inhibition of anti-apoptotic regulators. In addition, overexpression of KLF6-SV1 abrogated the proapoptotic effects of chemotherapy on lung cancer cell lines. Finally, combination therapy using siRNA to KLF6-SV1 and cisplatin induced a marked increase in apoptosis compared with either agent alone. Combined, these data suggest an important role for KLF6-SV1 in lung cancer development and points to a novel role for KLF6-SV1 as an antiapoptotic regulator of cell death both alone and in combination with chemotherapy.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and cell line generation. All cell lines were obtained from the American Tissue Culture Collection. Retroviral infection with KLF6-SV1 was performed as previously described (10).5,6 Transient transfection of the American Tissue Culture Collection. Retroviral infection with KLF6-SV1 was performed as previously described (10).5,6 Transient transfection of

![Figure 1. Expression of KLF6-SV1 in normal tissues and lung cancer patient samples. A, qRT-pCR of a panel of normal tissues and 70 lung adenocarcinoma patient samples using KLF6-SV1 specific real-time primers (10). KLF6-SV1 expression is increased 7-fold in lung cancer specimens when compared with all normal tissue and normal lung specifically; **, P < 0.01. B, the relative amount of KLF6-SV1 to GAPDH expression was determined using qRT-PCR (10, 11) and treated it as a continuous variable in a Cox multivariate survival analysis.

Table 1. Prognostic significance of KLF6-SV1 as continuous variable in a multivariate Cox proportional hazards model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Univariate</th>
<th>Multivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Factor in the final model</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLF6-SV1</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factors not in the final model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nstage</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>0.0955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsize</td>
<td>0.3780</td>
<td>0.4286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT-GAPDH</td>
<td>0.9077</td>
<td>0.7915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>0.5312</td>
<td>0.9094</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Western blot analysis. Cell extracts for Western blotting were harvested in radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (standard protocols; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Tumor tissue extraction was harvested and purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Inc.).

5-endo-dUTP (Promega). Cells were then transfected with 200 pmol/L 24 h after either plating of the pBABE/SV1 stable cell lines or transfection with si-NTC or si-SV1 in all lung cancer cell lines studied.  

Patient samples. After receiving patient consent and project approval by the Institutional Review Board, tumor tissues and adjacent non-neoplastic lung tissues were collected immediately after resection between May 1991 and July 2000 (by General Thoracic Surgery at the University of Michigan Hospital), and a portion of each tumor and/or lung tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C. Patient medical records were reviewed to obtain tumor staging, pathology, and survival information, and all identifiers were removed to protect confidentiality. Tumor cellularity criteria for inclusion of each tissue sample into this study were reported previously (5). A total of 70 lung tumors and 4 uninvolved lung specimens were analyzed. RNA was isolated using Trisol reagent (Life Technologies) and purified using RNeasy columns (Qiagen, Inc.).
Analysis of proliferation. Proliferation was determined by estimating 
\(^{3}\)H\(^{\text{thymidine}}\) incorporation. A549 stable cell lines expressing pBABE and pBABE-SV1 were plated at a density of 50,000 cells per well in 12-well dishes. Forty-eight hours after plating, 1 \(\mu\)Ci/mL \(^{3}\)H\(^{\text{thymidine}}\) (Amersham) were added. After 2 h, cells were washed four times with ice-cold PBS and fixed in methanol for 30 min at 4\(^{\circ}\)C. After methanol removal and cell drying, cells were solubilized in 0.25% sodium hydroxide/0.25% SDS. After neutralization with hydrochloric acid, dpm were estimated by liquid scintillation counting.

RNA and quantitative real-time PCR analysis. A panel of normal tissues was obtained from Clontech; lung cancer patient samples were collected and extracted as described above. Cell line and tumor RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Mini and Midi kit (Qiagen). All RNA was treated with DNase (Qiagen). A total of 1 \(\mu\)g of RNA was reverse transcribed per reaction using first-strand complementary DNA synthesis with random primers (Promega). Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed using the PCR primers previously described (10) on an ABI PRISM 7900HT Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems). All experiments were done in triplicate and independently validated thrice. All values were normalized to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) levels. All primer sequences and methods for quantifying KLF6 alternative splicing were performed as previously described (10, 15).

FACS analysis. Cells were harvested from 12-well dishes and fixed in absolute ethanol (Sigma) for 24 h. On the day of analysis, cells were pelleted and the absolute ethanol was removed. Cells were then stained with a 1-mL solution containing propidium iodide, RNase A, and PBS. FACS analysis was performed on the FACSCalibur instrument (BD Biosciences).

Results

KLF6-SV1 expression is increased in lung cancer samples and correlates with poor survival. qRT-PCR analysis of a panel of normal tissues and 70 lung adenocarcinoma patient samples revealed a 7-fold increase in KLF6-SV1 expression in lung cancer samples when compared with a panel of normal tissue including four normal lung samples (Fig. 1A; refs. 10, 15, 17). Interestingly, wtKLF6 levels were decreased in lung cancer samples when compared with either all normal tissue or lung tissue specifically (data not shown). We next addressed the possibility that high KLF6-SV1 expression could be an adverse prognostic factor in this same cohort of patients with resected lung adenocarcinomas of mixed stages (IA–IIIB), for whom clinical follow-up for up to 10 years was available. Based on previously published studies in

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png)

**Figure 2.** Targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 results in a marked increase in spontaneous apoptosis via activation of the intrinsic and extrinsic cell death pathways. A, qRT-PCR and Western blot analysis of the A549 cell line transfected with a nontargeting control (si-NTC) and a siRNA specific to KLF6-SV1 (si-SV1) show significant down-regulation of KLF6-SV1 at both the mRNA and protein level at both 72 and 96 h; ***, \(P < 0.001\). B and C, FACS analysis of si-NTC– and si-SV1–transfected A549 cells show a marked up-regulation of Caspase 3 and 8 in si-SV1–transfected A549 cell lines. qRT-PCR and Western blot analysis of si-NTC– and si-SV1–transfected cells for NOXA and Bcl-2 shows marked up-regulation of the proapoptotic NOXA (***, \(P < 0.0001\)) with concomitant down-regulation of Bcl-2 (***, \(P < 0.0001\)).
other tumor types (10, 15, 17), we calculated the amount of KLF6-SV1 expression relative to the housekeeping gene GAPDH and treated it as a continuous variable in a Cox multivariate survival analysis, using a model that included tumor size and nodal stage, two clinical prognostic factors that are well-established in NSCLC. In a univariate analysis, KLF6-SV1 expression (\(P = 0.0091\)) and nodal stage (\(P = 0.0288\)) were associated with survival (Fig. 1B). A post hoc trichotomization of the sample set suggested a 6.5-year difference in median survival between patients in the lowest tertile of KLF-SV1 expression and in the highest. Together these data suggest that KLF6-SV1 is overexpressed in primary lung cancer when compared with normal lung tissue, and that increased KLF6-SV1 expression is associated with poor survival in lung adenocarcinoma patients.

**Targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 expression results in increased apoptosis in lung cancer cell lines.** To determine the biological and functional relevance of these findings, we next sought to directly target KLF6-SV1 using RNAi. Using chemically modified siRNA, we explored the biological effect of targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 on the behavior of three independent lung cancer cell lines. Transient transfection of KLF6-SV1 specific siRNA resulted in efficient and equal silencing of SV1 expression at both the RNA and protein level at either 72 or 96 h posttransfection in all three lung cancer cell lines tested with no effect on the expression of other KLF6 isoforms (1,2). Targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 resulted in a marked increase in spontaneous apoptosis as shown (Fig. 2B). The induction of apoptosis by targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 was shown in all three lung cancer cell lines tested (Fig. 2C).

Based on our previous findings (1,2), we analyzed the expression of specific proapoptotic and antiapoptotic genes at both the mRNA and protein level in one of the transfected cell lines with siRNA to SV1. Transfection of si-SV1 in A549 cells resulted in a significant increase in poly(ADP)ribose polymerase cleavage and increased expression of both active Caspase 3 and 8 (Fig. 2D). qRT-PCR for the proapoptotic NOXA and antiapoptotic Bcl-2 was then performed. NOXA mRNA expression was increased 6-fold in cell lines transfected with si-SV1 compared with control, whereas Bcl-2 expression was reduced 80% in this same experiment at both the mRNA and protein level (Fig. 2D). Together, these data suggest that targeted down-regulation of KLF6-SV1 using RNAi results in...
spontaneous apoptosis through activation of both the intrinsic and extrinsic signaling pathways in lung cancer cell lines.

Overexpression of KLF6-SV1 results in increased cellular proliferation and cell survival. To further characterize the role of KLF6-SV1 and to determine the biological relevance of these findings, we generated stable cell lines overexpressing KLF6-SV1 in the A549 lung cancer cell line. Retroviral infection of this cell line resulted in an 8-fold overexpression of KLF6-SV1 mRNA and protein when compared with pBABE-infected control cell lines (Fig. 3A). Overexpression of SV1 resulted in >2-fold increase in cellular proliferation when compared with control (Fig. 3B). Of significance, increased cellular proliferation was associated with increased expression of Bcl-2 with concomitant down-regulation in NOXA gene expression. Based on our previous findings that targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 results in a marked increase in apoptosis, we sought to determine if overexpression of SV1 abrogated the ability of the chemotherapeutic agent cisplatin to induce apoptosis. The IC50 for the apoptotic response of the three lung cancer cell lines was determined (data not shown), and cells were treated with that concentration of cisplatin for all subsequent experiments. As predicted, overexpression of SV1 resulted in a significant decrease in apoptosis in response to cisplatin treatment (Fig. 3D). Similar findings were shown in the H388 and H1944 cell line (data not shown). This change was associated with an abrogation of Bcl-2 down-regulation and NOXA up-regulation at the mRNA level (Fig. 3E).

Targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 increases lung cancer cell apoptosis in combination with cisplatin treatment. To further characterize the role of KLF6-SV1 in the regulation of cisplatin-mediated DNA damage and apoptosis, we measured the levels of KLF6-SV1 after treatment with cisplatin. Increasing doses of cisplatin resulted in up-regulation of KLF6-SV1 mRNA and protein in the chemotherapy-resistant adherent cells, whereas KLF6 levels remained unchanged (Fig. 4A and B). Based on these findings, we hypothesized that increased expression of KLF6-SV1 may be associated with cisplatin resistance. Combination therapy with siRNA specific to SV1 and cisplatin resulted in the increased activation of the intrinsic pathway of apoptosis (Fig. 4C). The increased apoptosis seen with the combination therapy was associated with a marked increase in NOXA expression at both
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the mRNA and protein level (Fig. 4D). Combined, these findings highlight a functional role for KLF6-SV1 in chemotherapy resistance and provide a potential biological basis for our finding that increased levels of KLF6-SV1 in lung adenocarcinomas is associated with poor survival.

Discussion
Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer-related death in the United States. Patients with early stage disease can be treated with surgery and have a 5-year survival exceeding 70%; however, the prognosis of patients with metastatic lung cancer remains dismal (4). A better understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying lung cancer progression will allow for both markers for risk prognostication to better guide treatment decisions and for the development of targeted therapies. Here, we show that an alternative splice variant of the KLF6 tumor suppressor gene, KLF6-SV1, is specifically up-regulated in lung adenocarcinoma, and that increased KLF6-SV1 expression is associated with poor survival. Targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 using RNAi resulted in a marked induction of apoptosis in several lung cancer cell lines. In addition, SV1 overexpression abrogated the proapoptotic effects of cisplatin, and combination treatment with si-SV1 and cisplatin resulted in a marked increase in apoptosis. Combined, these data suggest that KLF6-SV1 is an important regulator of lung cancer survival and tumorigenicity, and that targeted reduction of KLF6-SV1 may represent a novel therapeutic strategy for the treatment of lung cancer.
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