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Abstract

The cell cycle is tightly orchestrated during normal development. Embryonic stem (ES) cells have a unique cell cycle structure, in which the G1/S restriction is largely absent, enabling cells to rapidly move through the G1 phase and enter the S phase. This hastened cell cycle allows the early embryo to rapidly grow. Recent experiments suggest that small non-coding RNAs, the microRNAs (miRNAs), play a central role in achieving this unique cell cycle structure. The responsible miRNAs function by suppressing multiple inhibitors of the G1/S transition. Expression of these miRNAs drops dramatically as the ES cells differentiate and as the G1 phase extends. Some of the same miRNAs are overexpressed in cancers, in which they can promote tumor growth, suggesting common mechanisms of miRNA-regulated cell cycle control in ES cells and cancers. This review discusses these recent findings in the context of broader knowledge of cell cycle control in normal and abnormal development. [Cancer Res 2009;69(10):4093–6]

G1/S Transition in Somatic Cells

The G1 phase is a gap period between cytokinesis and DNA replication. During the G1 phase, a cell senses the presence of growth factors and nutrients in its environment, as well as evaluates the integrity of its genome. These roles are accomplished through a restriction or check point at the G1/S transition (1). After the restriction point, a cell can pass through the S phase and mitosis independent of mitogens. The G1 restriction point requires the sequential activation of the Cdk4/6 and the Cdk2 kinases, which are expressed throughout the cell cycle, but are only activated upon binding of their specific cyclins. During the early G1 phase, the mitogenic factors stimulate the expression of the D-type cyclins. The Cdk4/6-Cyclin D complex then phosphorylates proteins of the retinoblastoma (pRB) family. This event leads to a partial inhibition of RB and release of the E2F transcription factors, increasing the transcription of the E2F targets. Among the E2F targets, RB, there are the E-type cyclins, which activate Cdk2, further phosphorylating RB. This feed-forward loop fully releases E2F, leading to the transcription of genes required for progression through the S phase. In addition, the Cdk2-Cyclin E complex also phosphorylates several other targets important in the progression through the S phase (2, 3). Upstream inhibitors including members of the INK (p15, p16, and p18) and CIP families (p21, p27, and p57) modulate the activity of the Cdk-Cyclin complexes. Some of these inhibitors are induced upon stresses such as nucleotide depletion and DNA damage. For example, the DNA damage checkpoint pathway upregulates the expression of p21 through the posttranslational modification of p53, which arrests cells in the G1 phase until feedback from the DNA repair machinery promotes transition into the S phase (4). Differential expression of the cell cycle regulatory factors, including E2F, RB, Cdk, Cyclins, and Cdk inhibitors, shapes the G1/S transition kinetics in different cell types. Aberrations in the expression of these regulatory factors can lead to uncontrolled proliferation, the hallmark of cancer (5, 6).

miRNA Biogenesis and Function

miRNAs are a class of regulatory small RNAs important in a variety of developmental and physiological processes (7). These small RNAs (18–24 nucleotides in length) are broadly present in eukaryotic organisms and repress gene expression by destabilizing target mRNAs as well as inhibiting their translation. Mature miRNAs are generated through two sequential cleavages by RNase III enzymes (8). They are usually transcribed as a part of a long RNA transcript (pri-miRNA) by pol II. The first cleavage is conducted in the nucleus by the microprocessor complex (9, 10) consisting of the RNase III enzyme Drosha and its RNA-binding partner, DGC8R. The cleavage generates a short hairpin (pre-miRNA) of ~60–75 nucleotides. The pre-miRNA is then exported into the cytoplasm by Exportin 5 in a Ran-GTP-dependent manner. Another RNase III enzyme, Dicer, along with its partner, TRBP, conducts the second cleavage on the pre-miRNA to generate the mature miRNA duplex. The duplex enters a third protein complex called the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which produces and directs the mature miRNA to its targets. Mature miRNAs bind to the 3’UTR and coding regions of their target mRNAs by an imperfect Watson-Crick base pairing. In particular, miRNA targets are largely determined through base pairing between a small sequence of seven nucleotides (the seed sequence) at the 5’ end of the miRNA and a matching sequence in the mRNA. This small degree of required complementarity enables a great deal of flexibility. Accordingly, miRNAs are expected to regulate a third of all protein-coding genes in human cells (11). Therefore, it is not surprising that there exists a significant crosstalk between the miRNAs and the cell cycle regulatory factors, and that cancer cells often modify the miRNA-mediated regulation for their own proliferative advantage (12).

The Link between miRNAs and Cell Cycle Regulation in Embryonic Stem Cells

Embryonic stem (ES) cells have a very short G1 phase and lack a functional restriction or check point at the G1/S transition. In this respect, they are similar to many cancers (13). In mouse ES cells, the Cdk4/Cdk6-Cyclin D complex is not present (14), whereas the Cdk2-Cyclin E complex is constitutively active throughout the cell cycle (15). During differentiation, the restriction or check point pathway is established. Accordingly, Cdk4/Cdk6-Cyclin D and Cdk2-Cyclin E activity becomes cell cycle-regulated and responsive to external cues. These events lead to an elongated G1 phase and slower proliferation of the resulting somatic cells (16). A central role for
miRNAs in the ES cell cycle was initially suggested by the analysis of ES cell models involving the deletion of either Dicer (17) or Dgcr8 (18), two key components in the miRNA biogenesis pathway. The loss of either resulted in slower proliferation. Careful analysis of the proliferation phenotype in Dgcr8 knockout cells uncovered a relative accumulation of cells in the G1 phase of the cell cycle. This finding suggested that miRNAs play a role in promoting the G1/S transition in ES cells. However, to prove this hypothesis, it was essential to uncover the specific miRNAs responsible.

**Members of the miR-290 Cluster Regulate the G1/S Transition in Embryonic Stem Cells**

The reintroduction of wild-type Dgcr8 into Dgcr8 knockout ES cells rescued the proliferation and cell cycle defects, proving that these defects are not due to the secondary and irreversible cellular events. In addition, the reversibility suggested that it may be possible to rescue these defects by reintroducing individual miRNAs. To this end, a screening strategy was established by which chemically synthesized miRNA duplexes, called miRNA mimics, were individually transfected into the Dgcr8 knockout cells (19). The transfected cells were then evaluated for changes in their rate of cell proliferation. Using a colorimetric-based assay in 96-well plates, it was possible to expand this screen to hundreds of miRNA mimics. This unbiased screening approach identified multiple miRNAs that partially rescued the proliferation defect. Most of these miRNAs shared a common seed sequence, “AAGUGCU” (all sequences are listed in the 5’ to 3’ direction). These miRNAs include members of the miR-290 cluster (miR-291-3p, miR-294, and miR-295) and the miR-302 cluster, and those with the slightly different seed sequence, “AAAGUGC,” including miR-20, miR-93, and miR-106, belonging to the miR-17/20/106 family. Similar seed sequences suggest that similar sets of genes are regulated by these miRNAs; therefore, there is a high degree of redundancy among these miRNAs. All of these miRNAs are expressed in wild-type ES cells. The miR-290 cluster alone makes up greater than 70% of the total quantity of miRNAs in ES cells (20). Members of this cluster are cotranscribed as a single transcript (21), suggesting synergistic regulation by these miRNAs. Furthermore, expression of this cluster is rapidly downregulated upon differentiation, coincident with the elongation of the cell cycle. Members from the miR-17/20/106 family are analogously highly expressed in many cancers, promoting their growth (22).

Further characterization showed that miR-291-3p, miR-294, and miR-295 fully rescued the G1 accumulation phenotype, suggesting that they were acting to promote the G1/S transition (Fig. 1). To confirm this hypothesis, it was essential to identify the targets of these miRNAs. The previous work on the ES cell cycle had provided important hints as to what these targets may be (14–16). Specifically, the miRNAs are presumably acting through
Inhibitors of mammalian G1 cycle progression in breast cancer cell line (27) by mechanisms very similar to those of the miR-290 cluster in ES cells, reflecting potential roles for these or related miRNAs in regulating the cell cycle of organ resident stem/progenitor cells should be an exciting avenue of inquiry.

Another important question is how the expression of these miRNAs is regulated. What are the cis-elements (promoters and enhancers), the transcription factors, and the epigenetic regulators that sustain extremely high levels of expression of these miRNAs during early development and are then silenced in differentiated somatic cells? Recent studies have begun to gain insights into the transcriptional regulation of these miRNAs, at least in ES cells, by showing the binding of the pluripotency factors Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Tcf3 on the promoter of the miR-290 and miR-17-30-32 clusters (20, 31). Manipulating the expression or function of this large family of cell cycle promoting miRNAs may provide an important therapeutic avenue. For example, inhibiting their expression in cancer cells may suppress tumor growth in vivo. Alternatively, ectopic expression may be useful in the expansion of adult stem cells, which can then be harnessed to replace damaged tissues.

Future Directions

miRNAs have a broad impact on both the transcriptome and proteome of cells. The three targets p21, Rbl2, and Lats2 are certainly not the sole targets of the miR-290 cluster. Only systems approaches can generate a global image of the miRNA regulation. Indeed, our preliminary studies suggest that dozens of cell cycle-related genes are regulated by miR-294. It will be a challenge to study the relative contribution of each of the targets. However, dissection of such complex regulation is critical, as it is key to understanding the mechanisms governing fundamental cellular processes in early embryonic development.

Homologs of miR-291-3p, miR-294, and miR-295 are highly expressed in early embryos of other species, including the miR-430 family in zebrafish embryos (28) and the miR-371/2-3 and miR-302 clusters in human ES cells (29). It will be important to determine if these miRNAs regulate cell cycle progression in these systems in a way analogous to the miR-290 cluster in mouse ES cells. Human ES cells, like mouse ES cells, have an expedited cell cycle progression with a shortened G1 phase. However, many components involved in cell cycle regulation are differentially expressed between human and mouse ES cells (30). It was recently reported that the miR-302 cluster promotes cell cycle progression in human ES cells (31). This report identified Cyclin D1 as the target, suggesting yet another potential means by which these miRNAs can promote the ES cell cycle, although how repression of Cyclin D1 could promote cell cycle progression is unclear. In mouse, the miR-290 and miR-17 clusters are also highly expressed in primordial germ cells (32). It will be interesting to determine whether they perform similar functions in these cells as they do in ES cells. Furthermore, potential roles for these or related miRNAs in regulating the cell cycle of organ resident stem/progenitor cells should be an exciting avenue of inquiry.
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