Characterization of a Naturally Occurring Breast Cancer Subset Enriched in Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition and Stem Cell Characteristics


Abstract

Metaplastic breast cancers (MBC) are aggressive, chemoresistant tumors characterized by lineage plasticity. To advance understanding of their pathogenesis and relatedness to other breast cancer subtypes, 28 MBCs were compared with common breast cancers using comparative genomic hybridization, transcriptional profiling, and reverse-phase protein arrays and by sequencing for common breast cancer mutations. MBCs showed unique DNA copy number aberrations compared with common breast cancers. PIK3CA mutations were detected in 9 of 19 MBCs (47.4%) versus 80 of 232 hormone receptor–positive cancers (34.5%; P = 0.32), 17 of 75 HER-2–positive samples (22.7%; P = 0.04), 20 of 240 basal-like cancers (8.3%; P < 0.0001), and 0 of 14 claudin-low tumors (P = 0.004). Of 7 phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/AKT pathway phosphorylation sites, 6 were more highly phosphorylated in MBCs than in other breast tumor subtypes. The majority of MBCs displayed mRNA profiles different from those of the most common, including basal-like cancers. By transcriptional profiling, MBCs and the recently identified claudin-low breast cancer subset constitute related receptor-negative subgroups characterized by low expression of GATA3-regulated genes and of genes responsible for cell-cell adhesion with enrichment for markers linked to stem cell function and epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT). In contrast to other breast cancer tumors and most MBCs showed a significant similarity to a “tumorogenic” signature defined using CD44+/CD24– breast tumor–initiating stem cell–like cells. MBCs and claudin-low tumors are thus enriched in EMT and stem cell–like features, and may arise from an earlier, more chemoresistant breast epithelial precursor than basal-like or luminal cancers. PIK3CA mutations, EMT, and stem cell-like characteristics likely contribute to the poor outcomes of MBC and suggest novel therapeutic targets.

Introduction

Metaplastic breast cancers (MBC) are aggressive estrogen receptor–negative, progesterone receptor-negative, HER-2–negative (triple-negative) tumors characterized by mesenchymal/sarcomatoid and/or squamous metaplasia of malignant breast epithelium (1–7). Because of limited understanding of their pathogenesis, MBCs are treated in the same fashion as basal-like or triple receptor-negative ductal cancers. However, whereas neoadjuvant chemotherapy is associated with high pathologic complete response rates in basal-like carcinomas, MBCs are usually chemoresistant (2).

Transcriptional profiling has defined breast cancer subtypes (8, 9). The origin of luminal A and B tumors appears to be the mammary duct luminal epithelium with concomitant hormone receptor expression. Elevated HER-2 expression defines a subgroup with a poor prognosis; however, the responsiveness of this subgroup to trastuzumab improves outcomes (10). In contrast, basal-like cancers likely represent multiple different subtypes arising from distinct precursor cells from those of other cancers. Some basal-like breast cancers likely arise from mammary myoepithelial cells. To date, basal-like cancers have not presented specific therapy targets.

As MBCs are triple-negative, they are distinct from luminal and HER-2–amplified cancers. As they express some markers associated with basal-like cancers (e.g., epidermal growth factor receptor and cytokeratins 5/6), MBCs are proposed to represent a form of basal-like breast cancer. However, distinct clinical features such as chemoresistance suggest that MBCs may represent a unique subtype (2, 3).

We applied an integrated genomic-proteomic approach to determine mechanisms underlying metaplastic carcinogenesis and MBC chemoresistance along with the relatedness of MBCs to known breast cancer subtypes. Most MBCs showed a unique molecular profile and form a distinct subtype most closely related to a novel subset of receptor-negative breast cancers (claudin-low) characterized by loss of genes involved in cell-cell adhesion.
Materials and Methods

Human tumors. Twenty-eight frozen grade 3 MBCs with sarcomatoid (19) or squamous (9) metaplasia were obtained from the Breast Tumor Bank at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center (MDACC) and from a collaborator in Valencia (A.L.). The diagnosis was reconfirmed by pathologists at MDACC (M.Z.G. and S.K.; refs. 2, 3). Frozen tissue was used for DNA extraction (28 tumors) and, where adequate frozen tumor tissue remained, for RNA and protein extraction (16 MDACC tumors; ref. 11).

Three tumor cohorts were used for comparison with MBCs (Supplementary Fig. 5). The first cohort, used for comparison of mutation frequency (547 tumors) and functional proteomic profiles (693), was composed of 693 frozen primary breast tumors obtained under institutional review board-approved protocols from MDACC. These tumors were subdivided into clinically defined subtypes as described previously (Table 1; ref. 12).

A second cohort of 145 primary breast tumors was used for comparison with MBC gene copy number profiles herein (13, 14). A third cohort (Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center) of 184 breast tumors and 9 normal breast tissues was used for comparison with MBC transcriptional profiles (8, 9, 15). There were no statistically significant differences in the proportion of patients with tumors of different stages between the cohorts.

Comparative genomic hybridization. Comparative genomic hybridization profiles from the 28 MBCs were generated at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory using single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)-based GeneChip Human Mapping 50K Sty arrays (Affymetrix) and compared with BAC-comparative genomic hybridization profiles of primary breast tumors previously generated and processed (J.F.) at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory using HumArray1.14/HumArray2.0 (13, 14, 16–18). MBC 50K data are available.12

For comparison with Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory tumors, the 28 MBC SNP chips were mapped to BAC resolution. This approach has been validated by comparing data derived using both platforms to analyze breast cancer cell lines (data not shown). Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory tumors were remapped to the May04 freeze from University of California-Santa Cruz and regions around each BAC clone were defined as within a half distance to each neighboring clone or to the beginning or end of the chromosome if telomeric. A median expression value was then obtained for a sample was corrected for protein loading using the average expression levels of all probed proteins. Antibodies were obtained from SDI (Y1B), Epitomics, Inc. (p70S6K, PR), Lab Vision Corporation (ERα), Santa Cruz Biotechnology (CCND1, CCNE1, EGFR, GSK3, p27), Upstate Biotechnology (Src) and Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.

Transcriptional profiling. Total RNA was isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction (Trizol, Life Technologies), and mRNA was purified by either magnetic separation using Dynabeads (Dynal) or the Invitrogen FastTrack 2.0 Kit. Twelve of 16 MBC RNA samples with RNA integrity numbers > 6 were assayed on Agilent oligomicroarrays at Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center and compared with a published Agilent microarray data set also previously assayed and processed (C.M.P.) at Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center (8, 9, 15). The microarray and clinical data are available at University of North Carolina Microarray Database and in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE10885). Expression Analysis Systematic Explorer was applied to perform functional analysis of gene lists.

Mapping gene expression onto regions of MBC copy number change. Using a Significance Analysis of Microarray (SAM)-defined list of MBC-defining genes, we determined the chromosomal location of each gene to link with the comparative genomic hybridization data. Probes with an undefined chromosomal position were discarded from further analyses. CBS was applied to the preprocessed MBC copy number data to determine breakpoints for aberrations (26). The CBS calls made were as follows: class:segment -> segment (class,cna, α = 0.05, p.method = "perm," pperm = 1,000, trim = 0.05, undo.splits = "sdsdu," undo.SD = 2, verbose = 2). Using CBS output, a plot of segment intensities versus segment markers was used to determine an intensity boundary threshold of 0.12. Segments with intensity values beyond this threshold were flagged as gained or lost based on the sign of intensity and parsed from the original CBS output. By applying a customized R script to this output, segments from each sample were collated and regions were assigned that had varying levels of overlap between the MBC patients. Cutoffs were made for regions with aberrations in ≥1 of 3 of MBCs tested. SAM genes with chromosomal locations that were contained within these gains and losses were determined and plotted.

Comparison of the MBC and claudin-low transcriptional profiles with a CD44+/CD24low breast cancer cell profile. We compared breast tumor transcriptional signatures with a "tumorigenic" signature13 that was derived by comparing gene expression profiles of flow-sorted CD44+/CD24low cancer cells with profiles of all other sorted cells (CD44+/CD24low and CD44-/CD24low combined). For each tumor, a "R value" was derived in relation to the "tumorigenic" signature, which was defined as the Pearson's correlation between the "tumorigenic" gene signature pattern (using "1" and confirmed a high concordance for the matched data derived from the two platforms (data not shown).

Detection of mutations. DNA was extracted from 547 MDACC breast tumors along with 14 Lineberger Comprehensive Cancer Center claudin-low breast tumors and 19 MBCs with sufficient remaining DNA for mutation detection (9, 11, 12). Following whole-genome amplification, p53/PTEN genes were resequenced (19). CTNNB1 exon 3 (the most common site of mutations) was amplified from genomic DNA using a forward primer located at the 5’ portion and a reverse primer at the 3’ end of the exon. A tumor sample with a known CTNNB1 mutation was obtained and sequenced in parallel with tumor samples as a positive control. A SNP-based approach (Sequenom MassArray) was used to detect mutations in PIK3CA, KRAS, and E17K mutations in the AKT1/2/3 genes (12, 20). This approach is unsuitable for detection of mutations that are not "hotspot" mutations but is particularly suitable to mutation detection in breast cancer where stromal "contamination" is prevalent (21).

Reverse-phase protein array. Reverse-phase protein array was applied with the antibodies in Supplementary Table S1 to compare PI3K/AKT and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway activation in protein lysates derived from 16 MBCs versus 693 breast cancer tumors (Supplementary Table S2; refs. 22–25). The expression of each antibody in a sample was corrected for protein loading using the average expression levels of all probed proteins. Antibodies were obtained from SDI (Y1B), Epitomics, Inc. (p70S6K, PR), Lab Vision Corporation (ERα), Santa Cruz Biotechnology (CCND1, CCNE1, EGFR, GSK3, p27), Upstate Biotechnology (Src) and Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.
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substantial differences from common breast cancers, compatible not display similar alterations to basal-like tumors. Overall, MBCs did 1q/2p/3q/8q. There was retention of 5q/9q/15q/16p/17p/17q/19p/
1p/11q/12q/14q/19p/19q/22q and increased frequency of loss at basal-like tumors, MBCs exhibited more frequent amplification of 3q were common in MBCs but rare in other cancers (13, 14). In particular, compared with basal-like tumors, MBCs did not display similar alterations to basal-like cancers and showed substantial differences from common breast cancers, compatible with MBCs representing a distinct subgroup.

Results
MBCs possess patterns of DNA copy number gains and losses that are distinct from those in common breast cancers. MBCs showed a high level of genomic instability based on fraction of the genome altered and number of transitions. However, MBCs showed a unique set of aberrations compared with common breast cancers (Fig. 1; refs. 13, 14). Specifically, gains of distal chromosome 1p/5p and loss of 3q were common in MBCs but rare in other breast cancers (13, 14). Conversely, alterations that occur in most breast cancers, such as gain of chromosome 1q and loss of 16q, were uncommon in MBC (13, 14). In particular, compared with basal-like tumors, MBCs exhibited more frequent amplification of 1p/11q/12q/14q/19p/19q/22q and increased frequency of loss at 1q/2p/3q/8q. There was retention of 5q/9q/15q/16p/17p/17q/19p/
19q/20q/22q compared with basal-like tumors. Overall, MBCs did not display similar alterations to basal-like cancers and showed substantial differences from common breast cancers, compatible with MBCs representing a distinct subgroup.

MBCs possess distinct patterns of somatic mutations from basal-like breast cancers. PIK3CA mutations were detected in 9 of 19 (47.4%) MBCs compared with 80 of 232 (34.5%) hormone receptor-positive cancers (P = 0.32), 17 of 75 (22.7%) HER-2-amplified samples (P = 0.04), 20 of 240 (8.3%) triple-negative cancers (P < 0.0001), and 0 of 14 claudin-low tumors (P = 0.004; Table 1; ref. 12). The PIK3CA4 mutation frequencies in the common subtypes are compatible with those frequencies reported in the literature, with the exception of that in claudin-low tumors, which has not been reported (27). One PTEN mutation was detected in a MBC (5%) that did not have a PIK3CA mutation. p53 mutations were detected in 6 of 19 (32%) MBCs. No mutation in exon 3 of CTNNB1 was detected in 19 MBCs.

Strikingly, therefore, 10 of 19 (53%) MBCs showed PI3K/AKT pathway mutations. This was significantly different from the mutation rate in triple-negative/basal-like cancers in particular. Further, other PI3K/AKT pathway genomic aberrations were more frequent in MBCs. Based on two SNP probes closest to each end of genes encoding PI3K/AKT components, AKT1 (chromosome 14), AKT2 (chromosome 19), and RPS6KB2 (p70S6K, chromosome 11) showed more frequent copy number gain (Fisher’s exact test, P < 0.05 at a 1% false discovery rate) in MBCs compared with other subtypes. This was also supported at the protein level using reverse-phase protein array data (Supplementary Table S2). Thus, PI3K/AKT pathway aberrations likely play a major role in MBC pathophysiology, further suggesting that MBCs and basal-like tumors are distinct.

PI3K pathway activation in MBC. The high frequency of genomic aberrations in PI3K/AKT pathway genes implicates this pathway in MBC pathogenesis. This pathway has already been implicated in breast cancer resistance to multiple therapies (28–30). Using reverse-phase protein array, phosphorylation of most core PI3K/AKT pathway proteins was elevated in MBCs compared with at least one other breast tumor subtype (Fig. 2), with the exception of phosphorylated p70S6K. The most likely explanation for the latter discrepancy is phosphorylation of p70S6K by kinases other than core PI3K/AKT pathway kinases (31, 32). Overall, however, key PI3K/AKT pathway components are generally more highly phosphorylated in MBCs than in most other breast tumors, which parallels the results of the genomic analyses and could contribute to the poor outcomes associated with MBC (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Only glycogen synthase kinase 3 phosphorylation was higher in MBCs possessing mutant versus wild-type PIK3CA/PTEN genes (P = 0.01). The failure to show an association between PI3K/AKT pathway mutations and activation is potentially due to the small number of tumors analyzed. However, alterations in PI3K/AKT pathway activation by processes independent of mutations, by other interacting pathways, or by signaling modulation through feedback loops may have prevented identification of statistically significant associations (33). Compatible with the latter contention, we have shown previously that PIK3CA mutational status is not correlated with AKT phosphorylation in hormone receptor-positive breast cancers or cell lines (12).

We also quantified expression and phosphorylation of several PI3K/AKT pathway-activating and pathway-related proteins

Table 1. Frequency of mutations in the PIK3CA, AKT1/2/3, PTEN, and KRAS genes in human breast cancers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor subtype</th>
<th>PIK3CA catalytic domain (%)</th>
<th>PIK3CA other (%)</th>
<th>PIK3CA total (%)</th>
<th>PTEN (%)</th>
<th>AKT1 E17K (%)</th>
<th>AKT2/3 E17K (%)</th>
<th>KRAS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All human breast tumors, excluding</td>
<td>73/547 (13.3)</td>
<td>44/547 (8.0)</td>
<td>117/547 (21.4)</td>
<td>2/88 (2.3)</td>
<td>6/418 (1.4)</td>
<td>0/418 (0)</td>
<td>0/418 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>metaplastic and claudin-low cancers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human breast HR+</td>
<td>48/232 (20.7)</td>
<td>32/232 (13.8)</td>
<td>80/232 (34.5)</td>
<td>2/58 (3.4)</td>
<td>6/232 (2.6)</td>
<td>0/232 (0)</td>
<td>0/232 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human breast HER-2+</td>
<td>13/75 (17.3)</td>
<td>4/75 (5.3)</td>
<td>17/75 (22.7)</td>
<td>0/10 (0)</td>
<td>0/75 (0)</td>
<td>0/75 (0)</td>
<td>0/75 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human breast TN</td>
<td>12/240 (5.0)</td>
<td>8/240 (3.3)</td>
<td>20/240 (8.3)</td>
<td>0/20 (0)</td>
<td>0/111 (0)</td>
<td>0/111 (0)</td>
<td>0/111 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human breast claudin-low</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
<td>0/14 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human breast metastatic</td>
<td>4/19 (21.1)</td>
<td>5/19 (26.3)</td>
<td>9/19 (47.4)</td>
<td>1/19 (5.3)</td>
<td>0/19 (0)</td>
<td>0/19 (0)</td>
<td>1/19 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: PIK3CA, AKT1/2/3, and PTEN mutation frequency in hormone receptor–positive (HR+), HER-2–positive, and triple-negative (TN) cancers, used herein as a comparator for metaplastic and claudin-low tumors, has been published (12).

“−1” for up and down, respectively) and tumor expression values. Tumors with high R values would tend to have both high expression of many of the genes high in “tumorigenic” cells and low expression of many of the genes low in “tumorigenic” cells (and vice versa for tumors with low R values).

Statistical analysis. R14 and NCSS/PASS software were used. Reported P values are two sided. ANOVA and t tests for gene expression data were done using SAS. For clustering, we used CLUSTER and TREEVIEW (University of Glasgow) softwares.
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Epidermal growth factor receptor expression was lower in MBCs compared with other breast cancer subtypes. HER-2 levels were also significantly decreased in MBCs relative to hormone receptor-positive, triple-negative, and, in particular, HER-2-positive tumors. Cyclin E1, a PI3K/AKT pathway target, was present at higher levels in MBCs compared with hormone receptor-positive and HER-2-positive tumors \( (P = 0.0005 \text{ and } 0.02, \text{ respectively}; \text{ refs. 34, 35}) \). The Y box–binding protein 1 (YB1) has been implicated in chemoresistance and is located in the chromosome 1p amplicon in MBC (Fig. 1; ref. 36). Indeed, YB1 was more frequently amplified (at 1% false discovery rate) in MBCs than in other tumors, and YB1 protein expression was also higher in MBCs.

MBC transcriptional profiles are distinct from those of basal-like breast cancers and related to those of claudin-low breast tumors. On unsupervised hierarchical clustering, the majority of MBCs displayed markedly different mRNA profiles from those of most common breast cancers including basal-like cancers (data not shown). To explore the relationships between MBCs and the intrinsic breast cancer subtypes, 12 MDACC MBCs were compared with 184 breast tumors and 9 normal breast samples by hierarchical clustering using a combination of four
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**Figure 1.** Gene copy number changes. Gains and losses in all common breast cancers (including luminal, HER-2–amplified, and basal-like cancers) and basal-like cancers alone versus metaplastic breast tumors were determined. Chromosomes are subdivided into arms and ordered from left to right, beginning with 1p, 1q and ending with X.
intrinsic gene lists (8, 9, 15, 37). MBCs were somewhat heterogeneous in this analysis (Supplementary Fig. S3). Two MBCs clustered with basal-like tumors, two with a novel subtype of receptor-negative tumors that is characterized by loss of a cluster of genes that encode proteins involved in cell-cell adhesion (claudin-low tumors), two clustered within the normal-like group, and six formed a novel subgroup with characteristics intermediate between those of basal-like and claudin-low tumors (Supplementary Fig. S3).

To assess the significance of this clustering pattern, we applied “SigClust” to test the null hypothesis that any group of samples contained within a common dendrogram branch constitutes a single group (38). This analysis showed that the dendrogram branch containing six MBCs, along with some previously assayed tumors, represents a distinct group. On reanalysis of the histology of the latter tumors, four showed metaplastic and/or spindloid features compatible with the tumors representing MBCs (Supplementary Fig. S4). Further, mouse mammary tumors that are similar to human claudin-low tumors show a spindloid morphology (9).

The gene set that defines claudin-low and MBC tumors (Supplementary Fig. S3C) was determined by Gene Ontology analysis to be enriched for the terms tight junction, intercellular junction, apicolateral plasma membrane, and cell junction. Of 29 claudin-low cluster genes, 13 are positively regulated by GATA3 and none by estrogen receptor-α (hypergeometric mean analysis, $P < 0.01$; ref. 39). In addition to lacking genes involved in cell-cell adhesion and polarity, MBCs and claudin-low tumors lack luminal genes including GATA3 (Supplementary Fig. S3F) and HER-2 and show inconsistent expression of genes associated with basal-like tumors (Supplementary Fig. S3D). t-tests confirmed that the expression of the claudin-low cluster of genes (Supplementary Fig. S3C) was lower in MBCs versus other breast cancers (Supplementary Table S3).

MBCs and claudin-low tumors express high levels of stem cell and EMT markers. SAM was used to identify a MBC versus common breast tumor expression signature (40, 41). This analysis resulted in 556 up and 373 down genes, with a false discovery rate of <1 gene (Supplementary Table S4). Almost 33% of the “SAM up” and 50% of the SAM down genes mapped to regions of copy number aberration (see below). In a Gene Ontology analysis using Expression Analysis Systematic Explorer, the top six enriched biological processes in the “up” gene list were cell communication,
cell adhesion, signal transduction, cellular process, cell-cell adhesion, and intracellular protein transport, whereas protein transport, intracellular transport, transport, male meiosis, and ubiquitin-dependent protein catabolism were enriched in the “down” gene list (40). The claudin-low gene cluster showed a statistically significant overlap with the SAM-defined “down” genes (15 of 29 genes; hypergeometric mean analysis, \( P < 0.001 \)). Genes near the top of the MBC “up” list that have been previously implicated in carcinogenesis included \( \mathrm{ALK} \), crystallin \( \gamma \), and the master regulator of EMT, \( \mathrm{TWIST1} \) (42–45).

Breast cancers are thought to contain a minority population of tumor initiating/stem cell-like cells with high CD44 but low or undetectable levels of CD24 (CD44+/CD24−); these cells have higher “tumorigenic” capacity than other purified populations of tumor-derived cells (46). Their phenotype and the low responsiveness of MBCs to chemotherapy suggest that MBCs might possess stem cell-like characteristics. Indeed, MBCs had markedly elevated CD44/CD24 and CD29/CD24 ratios compared with other breast cancers, with the exception of claudin-low tumors (Fig. 3). This “electronic stem cell signature” is also differentially expressed between fluorescence-activated cell-sorted human breast tumor-initiating cells and normal breast epithelial cells (Supplementary Fig. S5; refs. 46, 47).

EMT is characterized by the up-regulation of vimentin and of E-cadherin repressor molecules (snail/slug/twist) with down-regulation of E-cadherin and other cell adhesion molecules (45, 48, 49). These events occur in MBCs and claudin-low tumors (Fig. 3; Supplementary Table S3). In MBCs, \( \mathrm{TWIST1} \) and snail homologue 2 (\( \mathrm{SNAI2/SLUG} \)) were expressed at high levels, whereas \( \mathrm{SNAI3} \) was overexpressed in claudin-low tumors. Thus, claudin-low tumors and MBCs may be enriched for stem cell-like and EMT markers, features that may contribute to poor patient outcomes (Supplementary Fig. S2; refs. 2, 3).

**Genes that are altered at the genomic and transcriptional levels in MBC.** Three hundred six genes (Supplementary Table S5) in the SAM-derived MBC transcriptome localized to areas of chromosomal gain and loss in at least 33% of MBCs (Supplementary Fig. S6A and B). Thus, these genes show coordinate changes at the DNA and RNA levels in MBC. Functional analysis of these genes (Supplementary Tables S6 and S7) showed that, among genes that are amplified and overexpressed in MBCs, components of three major branches (JNK, MAPK, and p38) that compose the MAPK signaling pathway are significantly overrepresented in MBCs. Compatible with this finding, phosphorylation of three of four assessed protein components of these pathways [JNK (\( P = 0.06 \)), MEK (\( P = 0.003 \)), and p38 (\( P = 0.0008 \)) but not ERK1/2 (\( P = \mathrm{NS} \))] was higher in MBCs versus all other breast cancers.

**Comparison of the MBC and claudin-low transcriptional profiles with a CD44+/CD24− low breast cancer cell profile.** Given their enrichment for stem cell markers, we compared the transcriptional signatures of MBCs and other breast cancers with a “tumorigenic” signature\(^\text{13}\) that was derived by comparing gene expression profiles of flow-sorted CD44+/CD24− low breast tumor cells with profiles of all other sorted cells (CD44+/CD24− and CD44−/CD24−). In contrast to other breast cancers, except for tumors of the “claudin-low” subtype, most of the MBCs showed a clear association with the “tumorigenic” signature (Fig. 4). Further, of 373 and 217 down-regulated genes in the MBC and “tumorigenic” signatures, respectively, there were 29 shared genes (Supplementary Table S8; \( P = 1 \times 10^{-13} \) for the overlap); 5 of these 29 genes were components of the claudin-low gene cluster. In
addition, as has been shown with the claudin-low signature, the MBC signature is enriched in post-docetaxel and post-letrozole treatment specimens (Supplementary Fig. S7). These data collectively suggest that, at diagnosis, MBCs and claudin-low tumors possess transcriptional features that are enriched in highly purified breast tumor-initiating and chemoresistant breast cancer cell fractions (50), the latter also compatible with an enrichment for stem cell-like activity.

Discussion

MBCs are aggressive, chemoresistant tumors associated with poor outcomes (2, 3). Although uncommon, MBCs account for several hundred new breast cancer cases every year in the United States, thus representing a therapeutic dilemma for oncologists. With only retrospective case reviews as a basis for making recommendations, it has not been possible to define therapy guidelines. Thus, we sought to determine the relationship of MBCs to common breast cancers, particularly basal-like breast cancers given the common assumption that MBCs are basal-like cancers. We also sought to determine whether the underlying pathophysiology of MBCs would result in the identification of new drug targets.

Supplementary Fig. S8 summarizes the features of MBC defined in this study that have potential clinical and therapeutic utility. Due to low expression of hormone receptors and HER-2 as well as expression of some basal epithelial markers, MBCs have been proposed to represent a form of basal-like breast cancer (4). However, based on the integrated analyses herein, most MBCs likely represent an independent subtype given the common assumption that MBCs are basal-like cancers. We also sought to determine whether the underlying pathophysiology of MBCs would result in the identification of new drug targets.

Supplementary Fig. S8 summarizes the features of MBC defined in this study that have potential clinical and therapeutic utility. Due to low expression of hormone receptors and HER-2 as well as expression of some basal epithelial markers, MBCs have been proposed to represent a form of basal-like breast cancer (4). However, based on the integrated analyses herein, most MBCs likely represent an independent subtype given the common assumption that MBCs are basal-like cancers. Their transcriptional profiles are most closely related to claudin-low cancers, a novel subgroup of receptor-negative breast cancers that are clearly different from basal-like cancers (Supplementary Fig. S3). Comparative genomic hybridization profiles, their enrichment for stem cell-like markers, and their PI3K/AKT pathway activation status also differentiate MBCs from basal-like cancers. MBCs, like claudin-low cancers, express high levels of EMT markers and show elevated CD44/CD24 and CD29/CD24 ratios, which have been proposed to represent breast cancer stem cell-like markers (46). Indeed, a recent study detected a direct and causative link between EMT and the gain of epithelial stem cell properties (51). These features likely contribute to the lineage plasticity of MBCs on light microscopy and to their limited chemoresponsiveness (2, 3). Claudin-low features, including EMT and stem cell-like properties, also potentially contribute to the aggressive phenotype of MBCs. This is supported by the significant overlap between the MBC signature and the "tumorigenic" signature, with overlapping genes including five of the claudin-low genes (Supplementary Fig. S3C). The MBC, the "tumorigenic," and the claudin-low signatures are all enriched in residual post-treatment chemoresistant breast tumors. Thus, MBCs and claudin-low breast tumors may arise from a more primitive and chemoresistant "stem" cell than luminal or basal-like tumors.

The pattern of chromosomal gains and losses in MBCs is distinct from that in other breast cancers including basal-like cancers. This unique pattern suggests that the processes underlying metaplastic carcinogenesis are distinct from those associated with other breast cancer subtypes. MBCs show a high frequency of mutation, amplification, and activation of PI3K/AKT pathway components. This is markedly different from basal-like breast cancers, where we and others have shown that PI3K/AKT pathway genomic mutations are uncommon (12, 27). We also did not detect PIK3CA mutations in 14 claudin-low breast cancers pointing to differences between claudin-low breast cancers and MBCs. The frequency of PIK3CA and PTEN mutations combined with amplification of AKT and p70S6K suggests that PI3K/AKT pathway activation is critical to metaplastic carcinogenesis. Activation of this pathway, along with enrichment for tumor-initiating/stem cells, may underlie the chemoresistance and poor outcomes associated with MBC (28–30). MBCs also show a high frequency of amplification, overexpression, and activation of MAPK pathway components. As particularly important genes and targets in cancer are likely to be aberrant at the level of the genome, transcriptome, and proteome, the PI3K/AKT and MAPK pathways are therefore
potentially attractive therapy targets in MBC. As inhibitors of the PI3K/AKT and MAPK pathways are now in clinical trials, it will be of interest to determine whether these inhibitors will sensitize MBCs to cytotoxic drugs (52). As there are no MBC cell lines or animal models available, it will be necessary to develop a consortium approach to test this hypothesis in patients.

In contrast to a recently published study, mutations in exon 3 of CTNNB1 were not identified in 19 MBCs in our study (53). This may in part relate to the fact that our study was restricted to high-grade MBCs, whereas the previous study included a significant proportion of lower-grade MBCs, tumors that behave in a less aggressive fashion than high-grade MBCs. This study has several potential limitations. Although a subset (6 of 12, 50%) of MBCs constitute a significantly related group of tumors as defined by SigClust, it is clear that MBCs form a somewhat heterogeneous group of receptor-negative breast cancers in terms of their molecular characteristics. Just as breast tumors that are defined as ductal are clearly heterogeneous based on receptor status and transcriptional profiling, it is not surprising that MBCs represent a molecularly heterogeneous group. MBCs are microscopically heterogeneous, with this study being limited to tumors with squamous and sarcomatoid metaplasia (1–7). The rarity of MBC precluded analysis of other histologic variants. In addition, it is possible that MBC represents multiple different diseases. However, there were no clear correlation between the pattern of gene copy number change and the histologic appearance of the tumors analyzed.

The major conclusions of this article are (a) MBCs are molecularly distinct from other breast cancers; (b) despite their relative histologic uniformity, MBCs are molecularly heterogeneous; and (c) claudin-low breast cancers are likely the most closely related ductal breast cancer subset to MBC. The molecular mechanisms underlying metaplastic carcinogenesis are likely different from those associated with other breast cancer subtypes including basal-like cancers. By gene expression analysis, MBCs and claudin-low tumors share common features that suggest related cellular origins, potentially from a more primitive cell than that implicated as a precursor to luminal or basal-like tumors. It is likely that MBCs, and potentially claudin-low tumors, define a novel chemoresistant triple-negative breast cancer subgroup that exhibits a signature similar to that of breast tumor-initiating cells and of residual common breast tumor cells isolated after patient treatment. The frequency of PI3K/AKT pathway aberrations argues that this pathway should be explored as a therapeutic target in MBC. A challenge to advancing therapy for MBC patients is the infrequency of this disease. However, a centralized clinical trial effort is a feasible venture that will improve patient outcomes.
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