Role for Krüppel-Like Factor 4 in Determining the Outcome of p53 Response to DNA Damage
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Abstract

Cells are incessantly exposed to many sources of genotoxic stress. A critical unresolved issue is how the resulting activation of the p53 tumor suppressor can lead to either cell cycle arrest or apoptosis depending on the extent of DNA damage. The present study shows that the level of Krüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) expression is inversely correlated with the extent of DNA damage. KLF4 is activated by p53 following cytostatic, mild DNA damage, whereas it is strongly repressed via enhanced turnover of mRNA on severe DNA damage that irreversibly drives cells to apoptosis. Blocking the repression of KLF4 on severe DNA damage suppresses p53-mediated apoptosis, whereas ablation of the KLF4 induction on mild DNA damage shifts the p53 response from cell cycle arrest to cell death. Our results suggest that coordinate regulation of KLF4 expression depending on the extent of DNA damage may be an important mechanism that dictates the life and death decisions of p53. [Cancer Res 2009;69(21):8284–92]

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells harbor a complex network of signaling pathways that are activated on DNA damage to maintain genetic integrity (1). The key molecular component that acts in response to DNA damage is the tumor suppressor p53. DNA damage leads to the stabilization of p53 and the activation of pathways that arrest cell cycle progression, allowing DNA repair if the damage is not severe, or trigger apoptosis if the damage is severe, irreparable (2–4). Whereas cell cycle arrest depends on the ability of p53 to induce the transcription of target genes such as p21 (5) and SFN (6), apoptosis depends on induction of a distinct class of target genes such as Bax (7), Puma (8), and Noxa (9). What remains unclear is precisely how p53 “knows” which genes to turn on or off to achieve the desirable outcome.

Much effort has been invested in understanding the selectivity of the p53 response. A plethora of partner proteins have been implicated in modulating the selection of p53 targets. The cellular environment and the relative abundance of these partners under different conditions could obviously tip the life-or-death balance of p53 activity (4, 10–15). Recently, the zinc finger protein Hsf was found to be involved in opposite arms of the p53 response, providing an important insight into the mechanisms that dictate the life and death decisions of p53 (16).

Klüppel-like factor 4 (KLF4) is a zinc finger protein of the KLF family, which is involved in the regulation of cell proliferation and differentiation (17–20). KLF4 is of particular interest because it shows dramatically different functions depending on cell context. As a transcription factor, KLF4 can activate genes that are inhibitors of proliferation (21, 22) but repress genes that promote proliferation (20, 23). As such, KLF4 functions as a tumor suppressor by inhibiting cellular proliferation. However, the available evidence indicates that KLF4 also shows oncogenic properties. Overexpression of KLF4 in E1A-immortalized rat kidney cells results in hyperplasia and dysplasia (24). Moreover, KLF4 could override Ras12-induced senescence and induce transformation in primary fibroblasts (25). Recently, it was found that KLF4, in combination with three other transcription factors, could transform mouse fibroblasts into a state resembling embryonic stem cells (26–28).

Given the profound effect of KLF4 on physiologic and pathologic processes, it is not surprising that the expression of KLF4 is tightly regulated. While studying KLF4 activation in response to DNA damage, we found that although cytostatic DNA damage is associated with the previously characterized increment of KLF4 expression (21, 29), apoptotic DNA damage strongly represses KLF4 expression. Further study revealed post-transcriptional repression of KLF4 via enhanced turnover of mRNA. Blocking the repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage suppresses p53-mediated apoptosis, whereas ablation of the KLF4 induction on cytostatic DNA damage shifts p53 response from cell repair to cell death. Our results suggest that tight regulation of KLF4 expression according to the extent of DNA damage may be an important mechanism that dictates the life and death decisions of p53 in response to genotoxic stress.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture, transfection, and RNA interference. HCT116, HCT116 p53+/+, HCT116 p53−/−, HeLa, H1299, and MCF-7 cells were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and antibiotics. HCT116 p53+/+ and HCT116 p53−/− were kindly provided by Dr. B. Vogelstein. Subconfluent cells were treated with Adriamycin, etoposide, or UV-C irradiation at indicated doses. Cell viability and cell cycle profiles were done as described (30). Small interfering RNAs (siRNA; Genechem) targeting the HuR (5′-GGACGGCTGTGGATGGAAA-3′) and a control siRNA (AATTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGT) were used at 40 nmol/L. Stablesilencing of KLF4 was achieved using the short hairpin RNA–based vector with the target sequence (GGACGGCTGTGGATGGAA; ref. 25). Transfections were done by using HiperFect transfection reagent (Qiagen) for siRNAs and Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) for plasmids according to the manufacturer’s recommendations.

Plasmid construction. We have subcloned the full-length or 3′-untranslated region (UTR)–deleted KLF4 cDNA into pTRE vector (Clontech). DNA fragments of KLF4 cDNA coding region were amplified by
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PCR and subcloned into pCDE-HA vector. For generation of the KLF4 3′-UTR reporters, the 3′-UTR of KLF4 was PCR-amplified from pTRE-KLF4 and subcloned into pBSo.

**Western blotting.** Cell lysates were size-fractionated by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The following antibodies were used to detect specific proteins: KLF4, p53, p21, Bax, β-actin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP; Cell Signaling).

**RNA isolation and PCR analysis.** Total RNA was isolated by using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen) and conventional reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) and quantitative RT-PCR (RT-qPCR) were done using One-Step RT-PCR kit (Qiagen) and SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) respectively. Primer sequences are available upon request.

**Immunoprecipitation and RNA immunoprecipitation.** Immunoprecipitation of endogenous RNA-protein complexes was done as described (31). The RNA isolated from immunoprecipitation material was reverse-transcribed by using random hexamers or oligo(dT) primer and SuperScript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and used for conventional RT-PCR and RT-qPCR analysis. Primer sequences are available upon request.

**Reporter assay.** Luciferase assay was done by using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) as described (32). The difference in transfection efficiency across samples was normalized by cotransfecting pRL-CMV. Each experiment was done in triplicate and repeated at least three times.

**Chromatin immunoprecipitation experiments.** Chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis was done, as described previously (33), with anti-p53 (DO-1/pAb-421) or control IgG. Primer sequences are available upon request.

### Results

KLF4 is differentially expressed in cells exposed to cytostatic or apoptotic doses of DNA-damaging agents. To investigate the role of KLF4 in p53-mediated DNA damage response, we first assessed the cell sensitivity to Adriamycin in wild-type p53-carrying HCT116 cells (Fig. 1A). Forty-eight hours after the treatment, 99% of the cells survived at a dose of 0.5 μmol/L, whereas ~50% cells died after exposure to 5 μmol/L Adriamycin for the same length of time. Cell cycle profiling confirmed apoptotic death at the 5 μmol/L dose, whereas the cells showed cell cycle arrest at the 0.5 μmol/L dose (data not shown). We analyzed the expression of KLF4, p53, and p53-related markers of DNA damage response. As expected, the expression of p53 was induced by Adriamycin at both cytostatic and apoptotic doses. By contrast, p21, a key mediator of p53-dependent cell cycle arrest, was induced by Adriamycin only at the cytostatic dose. Additionally, cleaved PARP, a marker of apoptosis, was only detected in cells treated with the apoptotic doses of drug. Consistent with previous findings that KLF4 expression is transcriptionally activated by p53 on DNA damage agents such as methyl methanesulfonate and irradiation (21, 29), the expression of KLF4 was increased in response to Adriamycin at the cytostatic dose. However, surprisingly, the expression of KLF4 was strongly repressed on apoptotic-dose Adriamycin treatment (Fig. 1B). Moreover, Z-VAD-FMK, a pan-caspase inhibitor, did not prevent 5 μmol/L Adriamycin-induced repression of KLF4 (Fig. 1C). Comparable repression of KLF4 was detected in p53−/−.
and p53−/− HCT116 cells (Fig. 1D), suggesting that the repression of KLF4 on apoptotic-dose treatment is p53-independent. Repression of KLF4 was also observed in LoVo and HeLa cells treated with apoptotic doses of Adriamycin or UV-C irradiation (data not shown).

Apoptotic DNA damage results in enhanced KLF4 mRNA turnover. The protein stability of KLF4 was not affected by apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment (Supplementary Fig. S1). However, a significant decrease in KLF4 mRNA levels was triggered by apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment (Fig. 2A). Apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment triggered a modest activation rather than inhibition of KLF4 promoter (Fig. 2B), suggesting that changes in transcriptional regulation are unlikely to account for the marked loss of KLF4 mRNA after apoptotic doses of Adriamycin exposure. We then measured KLF4 mRNA half-life by incubating cells with actinomycin D to block de novo gene transcription. As shown in Fig. 2C, apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment caused a significant decrease in the stability of KLF4 mRNA, suggesting that the depression of KLF4 by the apoptotic doses of Adriamycin is due to, at least in part, the enhanced mRNA turnover. Furthermore, removal of the 3′-UTR completely ablates the enhanced turnover triggered by apoptotic doses of treatment.

Figure 2. Decreased KLF4 mRNA stability after apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment. A, HeLa cells were left untreated or treated with 5 μmol/L Adriamycin for the indicated time. Levels of KLF4 and GAPDH mRNA and loading control 18S RNA were monitored by conventional RT-PCR (top) and RT-qPCR (bottom). Mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. B, HCT116 cells were transfected with KLF4 promoter reporter construct. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were left untreated or treated with 5 μmol/L Adriamycin for the indicated time. Luciferase activity was determined. Data are relative luciferase value (mean ± SD of triplicate experiments) compared with untreated cells, which were set to 1. C, HeLa cells were untreated or treated with 5 μmol/L Adriamycin for 1 h followed by actinomycin D (Act D, 5 mg/mL) treatment for the indicated time. KLF4 (left) and GAPDH (right) mRNA levels were measured by RT-qPCR, normalized to 18S RNA levels, and plotted on a logarithmic scale to calculate the time required for each mRNA to reach one-half of its initial abundance. Mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. D, schemes of reporter construct bearing KLF4 3′-UTR fused to the firefly luciferase (FL) coding region (top). HeLa cells were transfected with KLF4 3′-UTR reporter construct. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were left untreated or treated with Adriamycin (5 μmol/L) or UV-C (50 J/M2) irradiation for 16 h. Luciferase activity was then determined. Data are relative luciferase value (mean ± SD of triplicate experiments) compared with untreated cells, which were set to 1 (bottom).
(Supplementary Fig. S2), suggesting that 3′-UTR, not the coding region or 5′-UTR, confers this regulation. To directly investigate the role for KLF4 3′-UTR in this regulation, we constructed a luciferase reporter in which KLF4 3′-UTR was fused to the coding region of firefly luciferase (Fig. 2D, top). Luciferase activities from the empty vector (pISO) remained unchanged at apoptotic doses of Adriamycin or UV-C, whereas pISO-KLF4(3′), in which the KLF4 3′-UTR was fused to the firefly luciferase coding region, showed a significant decrease in luciferase activity following treatment with apoptotic doses of Adriamycin or UV-C (Fig. 2D, bottom), suggesting that the 3′-UTR of KLF4 mRNA is both necessary and sufficient to confer the enhanced turnover of KLF4 mRNA in response to apoptotic doses of DNA damage agents.

HuR binds to KLF4 mRNA and stabilizes it. To further determine the cis-acting factors on 3′-UTR of KLF4 mRNA that confer the enhanced turnover of KLF4 mRNA on apoptotic DNA damage, we searched for putative RNA regulatory elements in the human KLF4 3′-UTR. Remarkably, we identified five putative HuR binding sites (34), suggesting that KLF4 mRNA might be a direct target of HuR (Supplementary Fig. S3). We then tested if the KLF4 mRNA is associated with HuR by performing RNA immunoprecipitation assays using anti-HuR antibody (or control IgG). As shown in Fig. 3A, KLF4 mRNA was immunoprecipitated by anti-HuR antibody but not by the control IgG. Comparable result was observed for cyclin D1 mRNA, which is a well-established HuR target (31) and served as a positive control. Notably, GAPDH transcript, which served as a negative control (35), was not immunoprecipitated by anti-HuR Ab. Because AUF1, another RNA-binding protein that specifically influence mRNA turnover, shares many target mRNAs with HuR (31), we further extended these studies to AUF1. However, unlike HuR, AUF1 did not appear to bind the KLF4 mRNA directly under this experiment condition (data not shown).

To assess the functional consequences of the interactions of HuR with KLF4 mRNA, HuR levels were reduced by RNA interference. HuR depletion, induced by either of the two different siRNAs, dramatically reduced KLF4 protein as well as mRNA levels (Fig. 3B and C). We further examined the effect of HuR depletion on the heterologous reporter bearing the KLF4 3′-UTR. As shown in Fig. 3D, the luciferase activity of the pISO-KLF4(3′), not the empty pISO, decreased dramatically after HuR silencing, supporting the notion that HuR stabilizes KLF4 mRNA via its 3′-UTR.

Apoptotic DNA damage dissociates KLF4 mRNA from HuR. To explore if the decreased KLF4 mRNA stability by apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment was linked to changes in its association with HuR, the abundance of these complexes was tested by RNA immunoprecipitation analysis. The amount of KLF4 mRNA

Figure 3. HuR interacts with KLF4 mRNA and stabilizes it. A, RNA immunoprecipitation was carried out with the anti-HuR antibody or the control IgG. The amount of KLF4 mRNA in each immunoprecipitation was assessed by RT-PCR (left) and RT-qPCR (right; fold enrichment in HuR immunoprecipitation compared with IgG immunoprecipitation; mean ± SD of triplicate experiments). GAPDH served as a negative control, whereas cyclin D1 served as a positive control. B, HeLa cells were transfected with either scrambled or HuR siRNAs as indicated. Forty-eight hours after transfection, Western blots were done for the indicated proteins. C, HeLa cells were transfected as described in B, and RNA was analyzed by RT-qPCR. Mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. D, HeLa cells were cotransfected with pISO or pISO-KLF4(3′) reporters and indicated siRNAs. Forty-eight hours after transfection, luciferase activity was determined. Data are relative luciferase value (mean ± SD of triplicate experiments) compared with control cells, which were set to 1.
that bound to HuR was substantially reduced by apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment (Fig. 4A), suggesting that apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment trigger the rapid dissociation of KLF4 mRNA from HuR, in turn destabilizing the KLF4 mRNA. To further confirm the role of HuR in the enhanced KLF4 mRNA turnover triggered by apoptotic DNA damage, we tested if HuR depletion affected the apoptotic doses of Adriamycin-induced decrease in luciferase activity of the pISO-KLF4(3′) reporter. As shown in Fig. 4B, HuR depletion reduced the apoptotic doses of Adriamycin-triggered decrease in luciferase activity of the pISO-KLF4(3′) reporter, suggesting that apoptotic doses of Adriamycin-triggered decrease in KLF4 mRNA stability is mediated, at least in part, by HuR. Similar results were observed with HCT116 cells (Supplementary Fig. S4).

**Repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage is necessary for p53-mediated apoptosis.** Our data revealed that KLF4 expression was repressed on apoptotic DNA damage. If the repression of KLF4 is required for induction of the apoptotic response, blocking the repression of KLF4 should suppress this response. To test this scenario, a vector that contained only KLF4 coding region was used to overexpress KLF4 in HCT116 cells. HCT116 cells overexpressing KLF4 were less prone to undergo apoptotic doses of Adriamycin-induced or UV-C–induced apoptosis than vector-transfected control cells (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S5). Importantly, compared with HCT116 p53+/− cells, the protection effects of KLF4 on DNA damage–induced apoptosis were not observed in HCT116 p53−/− cells, suggesting that this protection is p53-dependent. Western blotting analyses of the cleavage of PARP, a marker of apoptosis, confirmed these findings (Fig. 5B).

Recently, KLF4 was shown to repress transcription of p53, resulting in resistance to apoptosis induced by DNA damage in MDA-MB-134 cells (25). However, overexpression of KLF4 only caused a low-extent decrease of endogenous p53 expression in HCT116 cells (Fig. 5B), suggesting that repressing transcription of p53 is probably not the only mechanism by which KLF4 confers resistance to the p53-mediated apoptosis. It was shown that KLF4 can associate with p53 and promote p53 transactivation on proarrest gene p21 (21). Importantly, upregulation of KLF4 also inhibits p53 transactivation on the proapoptotic gene Bax following γ-irradiation (36). We then tested the hypothesis that repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage may contribute to the ability of p53 to preferentially transactivate proapoptotic gene Bax over proarrest gene p21. As expected, on apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment, concomitant with p53 induction, Bax was significantly induced, whereas p21 was not increased. However, KLF4 overexpression significantly reduced Bax induction but increased p21 induction (Fig. 5B). Similar results were observed with the levels of p21 and Bax transcripts as measured by RT-qPCR analyses (Fig. 5C), whereas the induction of SFN, Puma, Noxa, and MDM2 was not significantly affected by KLF4 overexpression (Fig. 5C), indicating that the modulation of p53 transcriptional activity by KLF4 is promoter-specific. To further explore the mechanisms...
how KLF4 modulate p53 transactivation function on p21 and Bax, we measured DNA-binding activity of p53 and KLF4 on the promoters of p21 and Bax. Consistent with a previous report (36), we observed that KLF4 overexpression decreased the Adriamycin-dependent recruitment of p53 on the binding site (p53RE) of Bax promoter region. The recruitment of p53 on the binding site (p53RE) of p21 promoter region was not significantly affected by KLF4 overexpression, whereas the recruitment of p53 on the proximal regulation region of p21 was significantly increased (Supplementary Fig. S6), a situation that was consistent with previous report that KLF4 mediates the transactivating effect of p53 on p21 through the proximal promoter region of p21 (21).

Introduction of p53 in p53-null H1299 cells can lead to apoptosis (37). To further assess the effects of KLF4 overexpression on p53-induced apoptosis, H1299 cells were transfected with p53 alone or cotransfected with p53 and KLF4. As expected, introduction of p53 in H1299 cells led to apoptosis, which was lowered by coexpression of KLF4 (Fig. 5D, left). Western blot analysis showed that expression of KLF4 had no effect on the levels of p53 derived by a heterologous promoter (Fig. 5D, right).

**Figure 5.** KLF4 inhibits p53-mediated apoptosis. A, HCT116 p53+/+ and p53−/− cells were transfected with KLF4. Thirty-six hours after transfection, cells were left untreated or treated with 5 μmol/L Adriamycin for 24 h. DNA content was analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting. Percentages of cells with sub-G1 DNA content, indicative of apoptosis, are shown as mean ± SD of triplicate experiments. Statistical analysis was done using a paired t test. B, HCT116 cells were transfected and treated as in A. Western blots were done for the indicated proteins. C, HCT116 cells were transfected and treated as in A. mRNA expression levels of p21, Bax, PUMA, Noxa, and MDM2 were measured by RT-qPCR. Data are fold induction (mean ± SD of triplicate experiments) over untreated HCT116/vector cells and normalized to 18S rRNA levels. Statistical analysis was done using a paired t test. D, H1299 cells were transfected with p53 alone or cotransfected with p53 and KLF4. Forty-eight hours after transfection, the percentage of cell death (mean ± SD of triplicate experiments) was measured by trypan blue exclusion test (left). Protein expression levels of p53 and KLF4 were assessed by immunoblotting (right).
Ablation of KLF4 induction on cytostatic DNA damage shifts the p53 response from cell repair to cell death. The findings presented above suggest that blocking the repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage suppresses apoptosis; we then explore whether ablation of the induction of KLF4 on cytostatic DNA damage will modify the cytostatic response. HCT116 cells stably silenced with KLF4 short hairpin RNA or control short hairpin RNA were used to examine the effects of KLF4 ablation on the cell cycle arrest induced by cytostatic DNA damage. As expected, cytostatic doses of Adriamycin or etoposide induced cell cycle arrest, with little evidence of DNA fragmentation indicative of cell death (sub-G1). Ablation of KLF4 did not cause obvious changes in the basal cell cycle distribution under the non-DNA damage condition. However, the KLF4-silencing cells showed a blunted G2-M block.

Figure 6. KLF4 silencing shifts the p53 response from cell cycle arrest to apoptosis in response to cytostatic DNA damage. A, HCT116 cells stably silenced with KLF4 short hairpin RNA (Sh-KLF4) or control short hairpin RNA (Sh-ctr) were left untreated or treated with cytostatic doses of Adriamycin (0.5 μmol/L) for 48 h. DNA content was analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (top). The percentage of sub-G1 DNA content was determined. Mean ± SD of triplicate experiments (bottom). Statistical analysis was done using a paired t test. B, HCT116 cells were silenced and treated as in A. Western blots were done for the indicated proteins (left), and mRNA expression levels of p21, Bax, PUMA, and MDM2 were measured by RT-qPCR (right). Data are fold induction (mean ± SD of triplicate experiments) over untreated cells and normalized to 18S rRNA levels. Statistical analysis was done using a paired t test. C, MCF-7 cells transiently transfected with control siRNA and KLF4 siRNA and treated as in A. DNA content was analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (left, top). The percentage of sub-G1 DNA content was determined. Mean ± SD of triplicate experiments (left, bottom). Western blots were done for the indicated proteins (right). D, model summarizing the role of KLF4 in cell response to DNA damage.
response to cytostatic doses of Adriamycin or etoposide and entered apoptosis (Fig. 6D; Supplementary Fig. S7), suggesting that induction of KLF4 is required for p53-mediated cell cycle arrest, and ablation of the KLF4 induction on cytostatic DNA damage shifts the p53 response from cell repair to cell death. Consistent with this notion, we also observed that ablation of KLF4 decreased the cytostatic doses of Adriamycin-dependent induction of p21 but enhanced the induction of Bax (Fig. 6B and C) and the cleavage of PARP (Fig. 6B). Comparable results were observed with another clone with comparable levels of KLF4 knockdown (data not shown). Similar results were also obtained with MCF-7 and HCT116 cells transiently silenced with siRNA targeting with a different sequence in KLF4 (Fig. 6C; data not shown).

Discussion

Previous studies showed that KLF4 is transcriptionally activated by p53 following DNA damage and that KLF4 is required for p53-mediated induction of p21, which leads to cell cycle arrest (21). Consistent with this observation, activation of endogenous p53 by cytostatic dose of Adriamycin treatment in HCT116 cells resulted in induction of KLF4 and p21 expression. However, apoptotic-dose Adriamycin treatment and the induction of the p53-mediated apoptotic response correlated with a reduction in the expression of KLF4 and p21 (Fig. 1B). Repression of KLF4 occurred in cells within 12 h, before the time when the cleaved PARP was detected, and the repression of KLF4 was still observed in cells treated with the Z-VAD-FMK. Thus, it appeared to be an active rather than a passive event of apoptosis.

It is known that, in cells responding to damaging stimulation, gene expression changes profoundly affect the cellular outcome, directly influencing whether the cell survives or succumbs to the injury. Although the transcriptional mechanisms of gene regulation after genotoxic stress have been investigated extensively, the critical influence of post-transcriptional events such as mRNA turnover and translation is becoming increasingly appreciated (38–40). Interestingly enough, we show that enhanced mRNA turnover rather than decreased gene expression is involved in the repression of KLF4 in response to apoptotic DNA damage. It is well established that the post-transcriptional fate of a given mRNA is governed by the interaction of specific mRNA sequences (cis-elements) with specific trans-factors such as RNA-binding proteins or microRNAs (35, 41). Our study shows that HuR bound to the KLF4 mRNA and affected its stability. Notably, apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment trigger the rapid dissociation of KLF4 mRNA from HuR, in turn destabilizing the KLF4 mRNA.

HuR is an ubiquitously expressed member of the ELAV family of proteins involved in different aspects of post-transcriptional regulation. In response to different types of cellular stress, HuR is mobilized from the nucleus to the cytosol, where it regulates gene expression at the post-transcriptional level (42–44). Through its post-transcriptional effects on the activity of many important regulatory genes, HuR has been proposed to play a role not only in the stress response but also in cell proliferation, differentiation, tumorigenesis, apoptosis, and immune response (42–44). Although HuR has also been implicated in the regulation of translation, the best understood functions of HuR have been attributed to its ability to increase transcript stability. Our preliminary findings that the association of HuR with KLF4 mRNA was reduced dramatically after apoptotic doses of Adriamycin treatment might explain the enhanced turnover of KLF4 mRNA triggered by apoptotic DNA damage, but the mechanisms responsible for this regulation remain poorly understood. The findings that HuR can undergo phosphorylation and methylation (45) or, in some cases, synergize with other RNA-binding proteins (44) indicate that HuR is a part of a complex network dedicated to post-transcriptional regulation of gene expression. It will be of interest to identify pathways that trigger the rapid dissociation of KLF4 mRNA from HuR on apoptotic DNA damage.

The fact that KLF4 protein level is inversely correlated with the extent of genotoxic stress indicates that KLF4 may play a role in controlling the switch in p53 response. In concert with this notion, blocking the repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage suppresses p53-mediated apoptosis (Fig. 5A), whereas ablation of the KLF4 induction on cytostatic DNA damage shifts the p53 response from cell repair to cell death (Fig. 6). What is the mechanism by which KLF4 controls the switch in p53 response? One of the possibilities is that it functions through modulating p53 transactivation. The demonstration that KLF4 associates with p53 has indicated that KLF4 could directly affect the p53 transactivation function (21). Furthermore, it is reported that KLF4 is required for p53-mediated induction of p21 in response to DNA damage, which leads to cell cycle arrest (21), and that KLF4 exerts the inhibitory effect on the ability of p53 to activate the Bax promoter following γ-irradiation (36). In line with these previous results, we show that blocking the repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage resulted in significantly reduced Bax induction; whereas the induction of p21 was enhanced in response to apoptotic DNA damage (Fig. 5B and C), suggesting that repression of KLF4 on apoptotic DNA damage may contribute to the ability of p53 to preferentially transactivate proapoptotic gene Bax over proarrest gene p21. Although our preliminary investigations reveal that KLF4 has no effect on the accumulation of SEN, PUMA, or Noxa, we cannot, however, formally exclude the possibility that KLF4 controls the switch in p53 response through modulating additional proarrest and proapoptotic p53 targets.

In summary, the finding presented here shows that KLF4 expression is tightly regulated depending on the extent of DNA damage, which may be an important mechanism that dictates the life and death decisions of p53, and supports a model as sketched in Fig. 6D. In response to cytostatic reparable DNA damage, KLF4 is activated by p53, which promotes transactivation of proarrest p53 target gene p21, resulting in cell cycle arrest. Apoptotic irreparable DNA damage leads to the repression of KLF4 via enhanced KLF4 mRNA turnover, which then allows p53 to activate proapoptotic target gene Bax, resulting in apoptotic death. This may have important implications in chemoresistance of tumor retaining wild-type p53 and for the development of overcoming strategies, as most chemotherapeutic strategies are aimed at triggering the apoptosis of tumor cells.
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