Inhibition of the Androgen Receptor as a Novel Mechanism of Taxol Chemotherapy in Prostate Cancer
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Abstract

Taxol chemotherapy is one of the few therapeutic options for men with castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC). However, the working mechanisms for Taxol are not fully understood. Here, we showed that treatment of 22Rv1, a PTEN-positive CRPC cell line, with paclitaxel and its semisynthetic analogue docetaxel decreases expression of the androgen receptor (AR)–activated genes prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and Nkx3.1 but increases expression of the AR repression gene maspin, suggesting that Taxol treatment inhibits AR activity. This was further supported by the observation that the activity of AR luciferase reporter genes was inhibited by paclitaxel. In contrast, paclitaxel treatment failed to inhibit AR activity in the PTEN-null CRPC cell line C4-2. However, pretreatment of C4-2 cells with the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor LY294002 restored paclitaxel inhibition of the AR. Treatment of 22Rv1 xenografts in mice with docetaxel induced mitotic arrest and a decrease in PSA expression in tumor cells adjacent to vascular vessels. We further showed that paclitaxel induces nuclear accumulation of FOXO1, a known AR suppressive nuclear factor, and increases the association of FOXO1 with AR proteins in the nucleus. FOXO1 knockdown with small interfering RNA attenuated the inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on AR transcriptional activity, expression of PSA and Nkx3.1, and cell survival. These data reveal a previously uncharacterized, FOXO1-mediated, AR-inhibitory effect of Taxol in CRPC cells that may play an important role in Taxol-mediated inhibition of CRPC growth. [Cancer Res 2009; 69(21):8386–94]

Introduction

The androgen receptor (AR) plays a central role in the development and progression of prostate cancer. Because prostate cancer cells rely on androgens for proliferation and survival, androgen deprivation therapy is currently a standard treatment for advanced, metastatic prostate cancer. However, the effectiveness of androgen deprivation therapy is temporary, and tumors in the majority of patients eventually relapse and evolve into castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC), from which most patients die (1, 2). Paradoxically, increasing evidence from both in vitro and in vivo studies suggest that prostate cancer at this stage is still dependent on the AR for growth and survival (3, 4). This notion is further supported by the recent findings that although serum testosterone levels are known to be significantly reduced following androgen deprivation therapy, intraprostatic androgens are reproducibly detected at concentrations sufficient to activate the AR (5). It is believed that such residual levels of intraprostatic androgens following androgen deprivation therapy are essential for continuous growth of CRPC cells, stressing that the AR remains the major target for the treatment of CRPC.

Improving and optimizing therapeutic strategies for men with CRPC is one of the most challenging aspects of prostate cancer management today. Until recently, treatment options for such patients were limited and chemotherapeutic, in particular, provided response rates in the order of only 6%. Lately, however, due to recent success in the development of less toxic regimens, including Taxol (paclitaxel and docetaxel), and effective markers for tumor response, such as prostate-specific antigen (PSA), chemotherapy can now be used to induce a regression in CRPC after failure of androgen deprivation therapy. Randomized clinical trials have shown that, in addition to the improvement of the overall survival rate, treatment of CRPC patients with docetaxel/paclitaxel plus prednisone or estramustine resulted in at least 50% decline in PSA in 50% of the patients (6–8). Docetaxel is now approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration for treatment of patients with CRPC. However, the exact mechanisms underlying the Taxol-induced decline of serum PSA are not entirely clear.

Multiple mechanisms have been elucidated for Taxol-mediated chemotherapy of human cancers. First, these compounds are known to inhibit the depolymerization of microtubules and thereby block the exit from mitosis and ultimately promote apoptotic cell death (9). They have also been shown to kill cancerous cells by inducing phosphorylation and inhibition of the antiapoptotic protein Bcl-2 (10). Moreover, several studies have shown that paclitaxel induces apoptosis of breast and ovarian cancer cells by inducing nuclear localization of FOXO transcription factors and upregulation of their target gene Bim (11–13). Most recently, it has been shown that activation of FOXO1 inhibits androgen-independent activation of the AR and that this effect of FOXO1 requires its localization in the nucleus (14). In the present study, we sought to test the hypothesis that Taxol inhibits AR activity via the nuclear function of FOXO1. We showed that treatment of 22Rv1 CRPC cells with Taxol inhibits AR activity and this effect of Taxol is mediated primarily by increased association of FOXO1 with AR proteins.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture. The prostate cancer cell line 22Rv1 was kindly provided by C.Y. Young (Mayo Clinic). LNCaP cells were purchased from the American
Transfection efficiencies (\textsection 8.3) of prostate cancer cells was done by electroporation as described (15). Paclitaxel was treated with different concentrations of paclitaxel. After 48 h of treatment, cells were harvested, fixed, and stained with propidium iodide and fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis was done and sub-G₁, cells were considered dead.

B and C, effect of paclitaxel on expression of AR target genes. 22Rv1 cells were treated with different concentrations of paclitaxel. After 48 h of treatment, cells were harvested for mRNA and protein analysis. The expression levels of PSA, Nkx3.1, and maspin mRNA were examined by semiquantitative RT-PCR analysis (B, top). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase mRNA was used as an internal control (B, top). The level of the AR protein was assessed by Western blot (B, bottom). The relative mean mRNA expression level of AR regulated genes was measured by quantitative real-time RT-PCR in triplicate. Expression levels are relative to vehicle treatment, which was arbitrarily set to 1 (C).

Reverse transcription-PCR and quantitative real-time PCR. Total cellular RNAs were isolated from Taxol- or mock-treated prostate cancer cells or grafts using Trizol (Invitrogen), and cDNAs were synthesized using SuperScript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). PCR was done using primers specific for PSA (forward 5′-AGGCCCTCCCTGACACCA-3′ and reverse 5′-GTCTAGGCTCTGATTTCC-3′), Nkx3.1 (forward 5′-GTACCTGACGCTCCGACT-3′ and reverse 5′-GGAGGCTGCTTGTCATG-3′), maspin (forward 5′-CCCTGAGAAAAGATGCACTG-3′ and reverse 5′-CTGTCAGCTTCTCG-3′), GAPDH (forward 5′-GGAATGGTGATGGGATTTC-3′ and reverse 5′-GATAGTGATGGATGATGAG-3′). PCR products were separated on a 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0.5 µg/mL). For the quantitative real-time PCR, expression levels of genes examined were determined using a SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad) on an iCycler iQ platform (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Reactions were carried out in triplicate and gene expression levels were normalized against glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Nuclear extraction, immunoprecipitation, immunoblotting, and antibodies. Nuclear extraction was done as described (17). Protein immunoprecipitations were carried out using an immunoprecipitation kit (Roche Applied Science) as described (15), and immunoblotting was done as described (15). The antibodies used were anti-FOXO1 and anti-FOXO1-S256-p (Cell Signaling Technology); anti-FLAG (M2; Sigma-Aldrich); anti-Bim.
Results

Taxol inhibits AR transcriptional activity in 22Rv1 CRPC cells. Clinical studies show that administration of paclitaxel or docetaxel decreases serum levels of PSA in patients with CRPC (6–8). To test the possibility that Taxol-induced decrease in PSA levels could be due to the inhibition of AR, we examined the effect of Taxol on expression of several AR-regulated genes in 22Rv1, a CRPC cell line that expresses both AR and PSA (20). Treatment of 22Rv1 cells with paclitaxel induced apoptosis in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1A). As shown by reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR), paclitaxel treatment decreased expression of AR transactivated genes PSA and Nkx3.1 at the mRNA level (Fig. 1B). No change was found in expression of the housekeeping gene glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, suggesting that decreased expression of AR target genes is not likely mediated by paclitaxel-induced apoptotic cell death but may be mediated by specific inhibition of AR activity by paclitaxel. This notion is further supported by the observation that paclitaxel treatment increased expression of the AR-repressed gene maspin in a dose-dependent fashion (Fig. 1B). These results were confirmed by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (Fig. 1C). Similar results were obtained in 22Rv1 cells treated with docetaxel (Supplementary Fig. S1A).

To further explore whether paclitaxel inhibits AR activity in prostate cancer cells, we examined the effect of paclitaxel on AR transcriptional activity using PSA promoter-based luciferase reporter assays. Paclitaxel treatment decreased promoter activity of the PSA gene in 22Rv1 cells (Fig. 2A). Importantly, treatment of 22Rv1...
cells with paclitaxel also inhibited the activity of a composite AR reporter gene (3×ARE-Luc) that contains three copies of the ARE from the PSA proximal promoter (Fig. 2B), suggesting that the inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on PSA promoter activity is mediated through the AR. Thus, we conclude that Taxol can inhibit AR transcriptional activity in 22Rv1 cells in culture. Notably, little or no change in AR protein levels was observed in 22Rv1 cells treated with 1 nmol/L paclitaxel for 48 h (Fig. 1B). Under the same conditions, expression of PSA and Nkx3.1 increased and maspin expression increased in comparison with mock-treated cells (Fig. 1B and C). Similarly, treatment of 22Rv1 cells with 2.5 nmol/L docetaxel resulted in a marked decrease in expression of PSA and Nkx3.1 but increase in expression of maspin (Supplementary Fig. S1A), whereas no change in AR protein levels was observed under this condition (Supplementary Fig. S1B). These data indicate that Taxol-induced inhibition of AR activity is not simply caused by reduced AR protein levels.

**Effect of docetaxel on AR activity in 22Rv1 xenografts in mice.** Next, we sought to determine whether Taxol could inhibit AR activity under *in vivo* conditions. 22Rv1 cells were grafted under renal capsule in nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficient mice. After 4 wk of grafting, mice were treated with docetaxel via i.p. injection at a dose of 15 mg/kg on days 1, 8, and 15. Control mice were sham treated with saline at the same schedule. At 24 h after last treatment, mice were sacrificed and 22Rv1 renal grafts were collected. Tissues were fixed in formalin and paraffin embedded. H&E staining (A), PSA (B), and AR (C) immunohistochemistry was done. Photographs of representative tumor sections were taken at ×200 magnification. *Inset,* cells surrounding blood vessels in higher magnification. *Arrowheads,* mitotic figures.

---

**Figure 3.** Effect of docetaxel on AR activity in 22Rv1 xenografts in mice. 22Rv1 cells were grafted under renal capsule in nonobese diabetic/severe combined immunodeficient mice. After 4 wk of grafting, mice were treated with docetaxel via i.p. injection at a dose of 15 mg/kg on days 1, 8, and 15. Control mice were sham treated with saline at the same schedule. At 24 h after last treatment, mice were sacrificed and 22Rv1 renal grafts were collected. Tissues were fixed in formalin and paraffin embedded. H&E staining (A), PSA (B), and AR (C) immunohistochemistry were done.
surrounding blood vessels in docetaxel-treated xenografts in comparison with sham-treated counterparts (Fig. 3B and C). These data indicate that the therapeutic effect of docetaxel, as indicated by the mitotic arrest, is correlated with decreased expression of PSA in tumor cells adjacent to vascular vessels but not in the entire tumor.

Paclitaxel induces expression and nuclear localization of FOXO1 protein and increases its association with the AR in prostate cancer cells. To define the molecular mechanism by which Taxol promotes the inhibition of AR activity, we focused our attention on FOXO1 due to the following reasons: (a) paclitaxel

Figure 4. Paclitaxel induces expression and nuclear localization of the FOXO1 protein and increases its interaction with AR in 22Rv1 cells. A, paclitaxel elevates FOXO1 protein level in 22Rv1 cells. 22Rv1 cells were treated with different concentrations of paclitaxel. Cells were harvested at the indicated time points. Cells were lysed and whole-cell lysates (W.C.E.) were used for analysis of expression of FOXO1, Bim, and AR proteins by immunoblotting (IB). ERK2 was used as a loading control. The number underneath each band in the immunoblot indicates the relative intensity of the corresponding band (the same analysis can be seen in B). B, paclitaxel induces the accumulation of FOXO1 protein in the nucleus of 22Rv1 cells. 22Rv1 cells were treated with 1.0 nmol/L paclitaxel. At the indicated time points, cells were harvested and nuclear fractions were then analyzed for expression of FOXO1 by immunoblotting. 14-3-3ζ and Sam68 were employed as markers for cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions, respectively. C.E., cytosolic extraction; N.E., nuclear extraction. Ponceau S staining was used to monitor equal loading of different nuclear extractions. C, paclitaxel increases the association of FOXO1 with AR proteins in the nucleus. 22Rv1 cells were treated with paclitaxel (1 nmol/L) for 24 h. Nuclear fractions were lysed and cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation (IP) with an anti-AR antibody or nonspecific IgG. Immunoprecipitants were analyzed by immunoblotting using antibodies against FOXO1 and AR. The relative intensity of the band for immunoprecipitated FOXO1 was first normalized with the intensity of the AR band and then normalized against each other. For input FOXO1, the number underneath each band indicates the relative intensity of the corresponding band. D, paclitaxel increases the association of FOXO1 with the PSA promoter. 22Rv1 cells were transfected with FLAG-tagged wild-type FOXO1. At 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with paclitaxel and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) analysis was done. Top, representative ethidium bromide–stained agarose gel showing amplification of promoter fragments; bottom, effect of paclitaxel on the association of FOXO1 with the PSA promoter was quantified by real-time PCR. Results were normalized to the corresponding value for input.
induces increased expression and nuclear localization of FOXO proteins in breast and ovarian cancer cells (11–13) and (b) FOXO1 functions as a potent repressor of the AR in the nucleus (14). Treatment of 22Rv1 cells with 1 nmol/L paclitaxel resulted in a modest but reproducible increase in FOXO1 protein as shown by Western blot analysis with whole-cell lysates (Fig. 4A). FOXO1 expression markedly increased in cells treated with a high dose (2.5 nmol/L) of paclitaxel. After 24 h of treatment, cells were harvested and luciferase activity was measured. Bars, SD among three individual experiments. C and D, knocking down FOXO1 inhibits paclitaxel-induced apoptosis in 22Rv1 cells. 22Rv1 cells were transfected with nonspecific siRNA or FOXO1 siRNA. At 48 h after transfection, cells were treated with 1 nmol/L paclitaxel. At 24 h after treatment, cells were harvested for fluorescence-activated cell sorting analysis (C) or measurement of caspase-3 activity (D). Results from one representative experiment are shown in C (left). Quantitative results are shown in C (right). Bars, SD among three individual experiments. PI, propidium iodide.
paclitaxel treatment increases the association between FOXO1 and AR proteins in the nucleus. As shown by coimmunoprecipitation assay, more FOXO1 proteins were detected in the AR complex in paclitaxel-treated cells relative to the mock-treated cells (Fig. 4C). Paclitaxel treatment failed to increase the interaction of AR proteins with the ectopically expressed nuclear form of FOXO1 (Supplementary Fig. S3), suggesting that increased association of FOXO1 with the AR is likely due to the increased expression and nuclear localization of FOXO1 proteins induced by paclitaxel. Moreover, consistent with a previous report (21), FOXO1 was detected on the promoter of the PSA gene in paclitaxel-untreated cells as shown by both regular and real-time PCR (Fig. 4D). Importantly, more FOXO1 proteins were detected in the PSA promoter in paclitaxel-treated cells in comparison with mock-treated cells (Fig. 4D). Thus, paclitaxel treatment elevates the level of FOXO1 protein in the nucleus and increases the association of FOXO1 with the AR protein and the PSA promoter in 22Rv1 cells.

**Paclitaxel inhibition of the AR is mediated by FOXO1.** Next, we sought to determine whether paclitaxel-induced expression of FOXO1 and FOXO1-AR association play a causal role in its inhibition of the AR. The FOXO1 gene was knocked down by a FOXO1-specific siRNA in 22Rv1 cells (Fig. 5A). As shown by real-time RT-PCR, paclitaxel-induced inhibition of PSA and Nkx3.1 expression was completely abolished in cells treated with the FOXO1-specific siRNA (Fig. 5A). Although paclitaxel induced a significant reduction in AR transcriptional activity in cells transfected nonspecific siRNA, this effect was abrogated in cells transfected with FOXO1 siRNA (Fig. 5B). These findings suggest that paclitaxel-induced inhibition of AR activity is mediated by FOXO1. Consistently, paclitaxel-induced apoptosis of 22Rv1 cells was significantly diminished by knockdown of endogenous FOXO1 (Fig. 5C). This result was further confirmed by measuring the caspase-3 activity in 22Rv1 cells treated with control or FOXO1-specific siRNA in the presence of paclitaxel (Fig. 5D). Thus, FOXO1 not only contributes to paclitaxel-induced AR inhibition but also plays an important role in paclitaxel-mediated apoptosis of CRPC cells.

**AR-inhibitory effect of paclitaxel is suspended in PTEN-mutated C4-2 CRPC cells.** We showed previously that FOXO1 inhibition of the AR requires the nuclear localization of FOXO1 (14). It has been well established that loss of PTEN results in Akt activation, which leads to phosphorylation and cytoplasmic localization of FOXO proteins (22). Given that accumulation of the FOXO1 protein in the nucleus is important for paclitaxel-induced inhibition of the AR (Figs. 4 and 5), we hypothesized that inactivation of PTEN would abolish paclitaxel inhibition of the AR in prostate cancer cells. To test this hypothesis, we examined the effect of paclitaxel on PSA expression in PTEN-mutated LNCaP cells. Paclitaxel treatment failed to decrease PSA expression in this cell line as shown by semiquantitative RT-PCR (Supplementary Fig. S4). As shown in Fig. 6A (lane 1 versus lane 2), paclitaxel treatment also failed to inhibit AR transcriptional activity in C4-2 cells, a castration-resistant metastatic cell line that was originally derived from LNCaP cells through serial xenografting in castrated mice (23). Similar to the previous report in Cos-7 cells (24), inhibition of Akt by treatment of C4-2 cells with the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor LY294002 decreased AR transcriptional activity (Fig. 6A). LY294002 inhibition of Akt was evident by loss of FOXO1 phosphorylation at serine 256 residue (Fig. 6A), a known Akt phosphorylation site (22). Importantly, paclitaxel treatment resulted in a significant reduction in AR transcriptional activity in C4-2 cells pre-treated with LY294002 in comparison with cells treated with LY294002 alone (Fig. 6A). This effect was reversed by knockdown of endogenous FOXO1 (Fig. 6A). Moreover, treatment of C4-2 cells with paclitaxel alone failed to decrease the cell viability (Fig. 6B). As expected, LY294002 treatment resulted in a marked inhibition

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** C4-2 cells are refractory to paclitaxel-induced inhibition of AR activity and loss of cell viability. A, C4-2 cells were transfected with nonspecific siRNA or FOXO1 siRNA in combination with 3×ARE-Luc and the Renilla luciferase reporter gene. At 24 h after transfection, cells were treated with 1 nmol/L paclitaxel and/or LY294002. After 24 h of treatment, cells were harvested for measurement of luciferase activity. Protein expression was analyzed by immunoblotting using antibodies against FOXO1, phospho-FOXO1 at S256, and AR. ERK2 was used as a loading control. B, C4-2 cells were transfected with siRNAs and treated with paclitaxel and LY294002 as in A. Cell viability was measured at 24 h after treatment of paclitaxel and/or LY294002. Bars, SD among three individual experiments.
of cell viability (Fig. 6B). Importantly, paclitaxel treatment also significantly decreased the viability of C4-2 cells when they were pretreated with the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor and this effect was largely diminished by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor and the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor alone in PTEN-positive 22Rv1 cells. The finding that expression of AR transcriptional activity of docetaxel treatment resulted in a decrease in expression of AR transactivated genes, including PSA and Mrx3I, in PTEN-positive 22Rv1 cells. The finding that expression of AR repression gene maspin markedly increased following paclitaxel and docetaxel treatment further supports the conclusion that decreased expression of AR transactivated genes is not solely caused by Taxol-induced cell death but also through the inhibition of AR transcriptional activity.

The finding from cell culture studies is further supported by observations in animals. Cell-based analysis in tumor tissues indicates that docetaxel treatment not only causes cells to cycle arrest at mitosis in tumor cells adjacent to blood vessels but also decreases PSA expression in similar cell populations. These findings suggest that Taxol can also inhibit AR function under in vivo conditions. It is worth noting that the antitumor and anti-AR activities of docetaxel were primarily detected in tumor cells immediately next to vascular vessels. These findings suggest that the drug bioavailability may be a critical factor that needs to be considered for better therapeutic effect of Taxol in vivo.

Our data further show that Taxol-inhibited induction of the AR is mediated by the nuclear factor FOXO1. Similar to the findings in breast and ovarian cancer cells (11–13), paclitaxel treatment induces increased expression and nuclear localization of FOXO1 in 22Rv1 CRPC cells. The molecular mechanism underlying Taxol-induced expression of FOXO1 in these types of cancer cells is unknown at present and further investigation is warranted. In addition, this study shows that, following paclitaxel treatment, increased amounts of FOXO1 proteins were found to be associated with the AR and the PSA promoter. As reported (14, 21, 25, 26), FOXO1 functions as a potent repressor of the AR and this function of FOXO1 requires nuclear localization of FOXO1 (14). Thus, it is conceivable that treatment of CRPC cells with Taxol inhibits AR activity by inducing nuclear accumulation of FOXO1 and increasing association of FOXO1 with the AR. In line with these findings, we further unraveled that there was no inhibitory effect of paclitaxel on AR activity in PTEN-mutated androgen-dependent LNCaP cell line and its androgen-refractory derivative C4-2. However, this can be reversed by the pretreatment of cells with the phosphoinositide 3-kinase inhibitor LY294002. Thus, our findings suggest that the functional PTEN/FOXO1 pathway is an important factor in determining the anti-AR and antitumor efficacy of Taxol in prostate cancer.

In summary, our studies show for the first time that Taxol inhibits the AR activity in CRPC cells in culture and in animals. Mechanistically, we show that the AR-inhibitory effect of Taxol is mediated by accumulation of FOXO1 proteins in the nucleus and increased interaction between FOXO1 and the AR. Given that the tumor suppressor gene PTEN, a key upstream regulator of FOXO1, is often mutated or deleted in advanced CRPC (27), deregulation of the PTEN/FOXO1 pathway may play an important role in the development of Taxol resistance in prostate cancer.
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