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4-1BB Ligand as an Effective Multifunctional Immunomodulator and Antigen Delivery Vehicle for the Development of Therapeutic Cancer Vaccines
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Abstract
Therapeutic subunit vaccines based on tumor-associated antigens (TAA) represent an attractive approach for the treatment of cancer. However, poor immunogenicity of TAAs requires potent adjuvants for therapeutic efficacy. We recently proposed the tumor necrosis factor family costimulatory ligands as potential adjuvants for therapeutic vaccines and, hence, generated a soluble form of 4-1BBL chimeric with streptavidin (SA-4-1BBL) that has pleiotropic effects on cells of innate, adaptive, and regulatory immunity. We herein tested whether these effects can translate into effective cancer immunotherapy when SA-4-1BBL was also used as a vehicle to deliver TAAs in vivo to dendritic cells (DCs) constitutively expressing the 4-1BB receptor. SA-4-1BBL was internalized by DCs upon receptor binding and immunization with biotinylated antigens conjugated to SA-4-1BBL resulted in increased antigen uptake and cross-presentation by DCs, leading to the generation of effective T-cell immune responses. Conjugate vaccines containing human papillomavirus 16 E7 oncoprotein or survivin as a self-TAA had potent therapeutic efficacy against TC-1 cervical and 3LL lung carcinoma tumors, respectively. Therapeutic efficacy of the vaccines was associated with increased CD4+ T and CD8+ T-cell effector and memory responses and higher intratumoral CD8+ T effector/CD4+CD25+Foxp3+ T regulatory cell ratio. Thus, potent pleiotropic immune functions of SA-4-1BBL combined with its ability to serve as a vehicle to increase the delivery of antigens to DCs in vivo endow this molecule with the potential to serve as an effective immunomodulatory component of therapeutic vaccines against cancer and chronic infections. Cancer Res; 70(10); 3945–54. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction
Subunit vaccines based on tumor-associated antigens (TAA) hold great promise as therapeutic cancer vaccines because of their safety profile as well as potential efficacy in preventing recurrences due to the establishment of long-term immunologic memory. However, the therapeutic potential of cancer vaccines remains to be realized despite many advances in vaccinology. The weak immunogenicity of TAAs, potential tolerance to self-TAAs, and various immune evasion mechanisms used by progressing tumors are obvious culprits for the inefficacy of therapeutic cancer vaccines (1, 2).

Therefore, vaccine strategies that target both the innate and adaptive immune systems for the generation/upregulation of potent antitumor immune responses and simultaneously overcome tumor immune evasion mechanisms are more likely to succeed in the clinic. In this context, the development/discovery of adjuvants that not only have pleiotropic effects on a broad range of immune cells but also can be used as a vehicle to deliver antigens to dendritic cells (DCs) in vivo will be an important step forward for improving the therapeutic efficacy of cancer vaccines.

DCs play key roles in orchestrating innate, adaptive, and regulatory immune responses (3), and as such have been targeted for the development of therapeutic vaccines. Although effective in inducing immune responses, vaccination with DCs that have been manipulated ex vivo by various means to present TAAs achieved clinical responses in only a few patients (4). In addition, DC-based cellular vaccines are time and labor intensive, costly, and most importantly are patient customized, which severely limit their broad clinical application. Therefore, intense efforts have been devoted to manipulate DCs in vivo for the improvement of therapeutic efficacy of TAA-based conventional vaccines (4). Accumulated knowledge suggest that TAA-based vaccine formulations that target DCs in vivo may benefit from potent adjuvants with dual functions: as an antigen delivery vehicle and modulator of DC activation, antigen uptake, and cross-presentation. Adjuvants
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that also directly enhance the function of immune effector cells, such as T cells and natural killer cells, as well as overcome various tumor-mediated immunosuppressive mechanisms, such as CD4+CD25+FoxP3+ T regulatory (Treg) cells, will have added advantages.

We recently hypothesized that the tumor necrosis factor (TNF) family costimulatory ligands may serve as important immunomodulators for the development of therapeutic cancer vaccines (5–7) because of their critical roles in immune responses (8). We particularly focused on 4-1BBL as signaling through its receptor, 4-1BB, has pleiotropic effects on cells of innate (9, 10), adaptive (11, 12), and regulatory immunity (13). A subset of DCs constitutively expresses 4-1BB (9, 10) and triggering of 4-1BB on these cells has been shown to increase their survival (14), secretion of interleukin-6 (IL-6) and IL-12 (9, 10), and improved ability to stimulate T cells (9). In addition, 4-1BBL has been reported to be the most potent costimulatory member of the TNF family in stimulating human T cells (15), resulting in clonal expansion, survival, and the establishment and maintenance of long-term immune memory (8, 11). Importantly, 4-1BB signaling endows T effector (Teff) cells resistant to Treg cell suppression (7, 13, 16) and has been shown to reverse T-cell anergy (17).

Inasmuch as the natural 4-1BBL functions as a cell membrane–bound protein and has no activity in soluble form (18), we recently generated a novel form of this ligand (SA-4-1BBL) by fusing the extracellular domain of murine 4-1BBL to the COOH terminus of a modified core streptavidin (SA; ref. 16). This molecule served as a safe and more effective immunomodulatory component of therapeutic cancer vaccines than toll-like receptor agonists CpG, lipopolysaccharide (LPS), and its derivative monophosphoryl lipid A as well as cytokines and isotype controls were purchased from BD Bioscience, eBioscience, and BioLegend. Chicken ovalbumin (Ova) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and, after biotinylation, was tested for endotoxin (Ova-bio, 0.045 EU/μg protein). Detailed information of the cloning, expression, and purification of HPV16 E7 (0.021 EU/μg protein) and mouse survivin (0.066 EU/μg protein) can be found in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

**Materials and Methods**

**Mice.** C57BL/6.SJL, C57BL/6, OT-I/rag−/−, and OT-II/rag−/− mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory, Taconic, or bred in our barrier animal facility at the University of Louisville. C57BL/6 4-1BB−/− mice were kindly provided by Dr. A.T. Vella (University of Connecticut, Farmington, CT) with permission from Dr. B.S. Kwon (University of Ulsan, Ulsan, Korea). All animals were cared for in accordance with institutional and NIH guidelines.

**Reagents.** The construction, expression, and purification of SA-4-1BBL and core SA have previously been described (16), except that SA-4-1BBL was modified to include a linker between SA and 4-1BBL to improve protein expression. These proteins had undetectable endotoxin levels. Fluorochrome-conjugated Abs to various immune cell surface markers or cytokines and isotype controls were purchased from BD Bioscience, eBioscience, and BioLegend. Chicken ovalbumin (Ova) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and, after biotinylation, was tested for endotoxin (Ova-bio, 0.045 EU/μg protein). Detailed information of the cloning, expression, and purification of HPV16 E7 (0.021 EU/μg protein) and mouse survivin (0.066 EU/μg protein) can be found in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

**SA-4-1BBL internalization assay.** DCs were derived from wild-type or 4-1BB−/− bone marrow cells (7). Cells (1 × 106) were incubated with 10 μg/mL FITC-labeled SA-4-1BBL protein at 4°C for 1 hour, washed, and incubated in complete MLR medium either kept at 4°C or incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Cells were then washed, plated on coverslips, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, washed, blocked FcγR with a monoclonal Ab (mAb) against this receptor (clone, 2.4G2; BD Pharmingen) in 1% bovine serum albumin, and stained for CD11c. Confocal microscopy was used to assess the binding and internalization of SA-4-1BBL-FITC.

**Flow cytometry.** Phenotyping and T-cell sorting were performed as recently described (6). Intracellular cytokine staining was performed on phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate–stimulated (5 ng/mL) and ionomycin–stimulated (500 ng/mL) cells as reported (20).

**Conjugation of biotinylated proteins to SA-4-1BBL.** Ova was biotinylated using the ChromaLink Biotin Protein Labeling kit (Solulink), whereas E7 and survivin were biotinylated using the EZ-Link Sulfo-NHS-Biotinylation kit (Pierce) following the manufacturers’ protocols. SA-4-1BBL or SA was conjugated to biotinylated antigens at various ratios on ice for 45 minutes before testing in various assays.

In **vivo antigen targeting, uptake, and cross-presentation by DCs.** C57BL/6 mice were immunized s.c. with FITC-labeled Ova (10 μg) alone, conjugated SA-4-1BBL-Ova-FITC (25–10 μg), or nonconjugated SA-4-1BBL+Ova-FITC (25 + 10 μg). Draining lymph nodes were harvested 24 hours later and processed into a single-cell suspension. After blocking Fc receptors, cells were stained with CD11c-PE, CD11b-PerCP-Cy5.5, B220-PE-cy7, and CD8-APC-cy7 Abs and uptake of Ova-FITC by DCs was analyzed using flow cytometry. Antigen cross-presentation was studied under similar conditions, except Ova without FITC was used for immunization. The presence of H-2Kb/SIINFEKL...
complexes was detected on the surface of DCs by flow cytometry with antigen-presenting cell–conjugated 25D1.16 Ab.

**In vivo cytotoxicity.** For Ova or E7-specific in vivo killing assays, naïve C57BL/6 (CD45.2) mice were immunized s.c. with either Ova (50 μg), conjugated SA-4-1BBL-Ova (25–50 μg), or nonconjugated SA-4-1BBL+Ova (25 + 50 μg), or E7 (10 μg), conjugated SA-4-1BBL-E7 (25–10 μg), or nonconjugated SA-4-1BBL+E7 (25 + 10 μg). One week later, mice received carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE)-labeled target cells (CD45.1+) pulsed with SIINFEKL or E7 49–57 peptide, respectively, as previously described (7).

**In vivo OT-I and OT-II proliferation assays.** To test if the conjugate vaccine first targets DCs for immune efficacy, C57BL/6SJL (CD45.1+) mice were immunized s.c. with Ova either conjugated or mixed with various doses of SA-4-1BBL. After 24 or 48 hours, flow-sorted OT-I CD8+ T cells (CD45.2+) were labeled with 2.5 μmol/L CFSE and 2 × 10^6 of these cells were transferred i.v. into naïve C57BL/6SJL mice (CD45.1+). OT-I T-cell division in draining lymph nodes was assessed 3 days later by analyzing the CFSE dilution of CD45.2+CD8+ T cells using flow cytometry. The direct effect of conjugate vaccine on T-cell proliferation was assessed using CFSE-labeled OT-I and OT-II CD4+ T (CD45.2+) cells in an adoptive transfer model as previously described (6). The same protocol was used for the tracking of OT-I T cells in C57BL/6 and C57BL/6 4-1BB+/− mice, except OT-I T-cell proliferation was assessed by analyzing the CFSE dilution of V[β]3.1/3.2CD8+ T cells using flow cytometry.

**Tumor models and vaccination.** For tumor therapeutic studies, mice were challenged s.c. with 1 × 10^5 TC-1 or 3LL cells and vaccinated on day 6 posttumor challenge. For the TC-1 lung metastasis model, 5 × 10^4 TC-1 cells were injected i.v. into the tail vein, vaccinated i.v. on day 6 posttumor challenge, and euthanized 21 days after tumor challenge for analysis of lung metastasis and tumor burden.

**Immunohistochemistry analysis of tumor-infiltrating CD8+ T cells and CD4+ Foxp3+ Treg cells.** Mice bearing TC-1 tumors of ~3 to 4 mm in diameter were injected s.c. with conjugated SA-4-1BBL-E7 (25–10 μg), nonconjugated SA-4-1BBL+E7 (25 + 10 μg), or control conjugated SA-E7 (10–10 μg). Seven days later, tumors were dissected, washed, embedded in optimum cutting temperature (OCT), and snap-frozen in optimal cutting temperature solution. Five-micrometer sections were analyzed for confocal staining of CD8+ T cells as previously reported (7).

For CD4+Foxp3+ Treg cells staining, tumor sections were incubated with rat anti-mouse CD4 Ab for 1 hour at room temperature. After washing, sections were incubated with goat anti-rat Alexa 647, counterstained with Hoechst 33342, followed by staining with anti-Foxp3 Ab-PE and analyzed by confocal.

**Statistics.** Statistical analyses were performed using the Student’s t test, Mann-Whitney U test, or log-rank test using the SPSS software. For each test, P values of <0.05 and 0.001 were considered significant (*) and very significant (**) respectively.

**Results**

**SA-4-1BBL delivers the conjugated antigen to DCs in vivo for increased antigen uptake, cross-presentation, and generation of effective T-cell responses.** A subset of DCs constitutively expresses 4-1BB and signaling through this receptor results in DC activation (9, 10). We tested whether DCs internalize SA-4-1BBL upon receptor binding. SA-4-1BBL bound to a subpopulation of wild-type, but not 4-1BB−/−, DCs in vitro and was rapidly internalized at 37°C (Fig. 1A). Therefore, we sought to test if antigen uptake and presentation by DCs could be enhanced in vivo using SA-4-1BBL–conjugated Ova as a model antigen. Based on the most effective vaccine dose reported in our previous study (7), we prepared a conjugate vaccine by mixing 10 μg of biotinylated Ova with 25 μg of SA-4-1BBL. Western blot analysis (Supplementary Fig. S1) showed that over 20% of Ova were conjugated to SA-4-1BBL as assessed by densitometry (data not shown). Injection of mice s.c. with SA-4-1BBL conjugated to Ova-FTTC resulted in amplified increased Ova accumulation in total CD11c+DCs (23.2%) in draining lymph nodes compared with nonconjugate SA-4-1BBL+Ova vaccine (15.0%) and Ova alone (6.0%; Fig. 1B). The conjugate vaccine enhanced antigen uptake by lymphoid DCs (CD11c+CD11b+CD8α−), 93.6% versus 26.3% for nonconjugate vaccine and 12.3% for Ova alone), myeloid DCs (CD11c+CD11b+CD8α+), 87.7% versus 13.0% for nonconjugate vaccine and 4.3% for Ova alone), and plasmacytoid DCs (CD11c+CD11b+CD8α−; 13.9% versus 10.3% for nonconjugate vaccine and 3.1% for Ova alone; Fig. 1B). Overall, the increase in antigen uptake by these three DC subtypes investigated was >3.2-fold for conjugate vaccine and >2.1-fold for nonconjugate vaccine compared with Ova alone and confirms our previous findings (7). Notably, we did not detect Ova uptake by other APCs including B cells and macrophages (data not shown).

The conjugate vaccine also resulted in increased Ova cross-presentation by total CD11c+ DCs (10.4%) compared with nonconjugate vaccine (7.1%) and Ova alone (5.2%; Fig. 1C) as assessed by a mAb (25-D1.16) recognizing the dominant CD8+ T-cell epitope SIINFEKL in the context of H-2Kb. Effect of the conjugate vaccine was mostly on lymphoid DCs as >21% of these cells scored positive for SIINFEKL/H-2Kb versus 10.9% for nonconjugate vaccine and 6.2% for Ova alone (Fig. 1C). Conjugate vaccine also increased the cross-presentation of Ova by myeloid DCs (5.4% versus 3.3% for nonconjugate vaccine and 2.7% for Ova alone; Fig. 1C). However, there was no effect on plasmacytoid DCs (Fig. 1C). The conjugation effect on lymphoid DCs was long lasting as a significant portion of these cells scored positive for SIINFEKL/H-2Kb on days 4 and 7 postvaccination (Supplementary Fig. S2). In marked contrast, there was no detectable cross presentation by myeloid DCs on day 4 postvaccination (data not shown). The increased cross-presentation was dependent on a functional 4-1BB receptor because this effect was abolished in 4-1BB−/− mice (Supplementary Fig. S3).

To further confirm that SA-4-1BBL targets DCs for immune activation and that the increased cross-presentation by DCs enhances CD8+ T-cell responses in vivo, mice were immunized with conjugate SA-4-1BBL-Ova vaccine, nonconjugate SA-4-1BBL+Ova, and Ova alone either 24 or 48 hours before transfer of CFSE-labeled Ova-specific CD8+ OT-I T cells. Immu
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manner compared with nonconjugate vaccine and Ova alone. Importantly, immunization with the conjugate vaccine also resulted in greater proliferation of CD4+ OT-II T cells (Supplementary Fig. S4), suggesting that SA-4-1BBL-Ova conjugates are able to route antigens to both the MHC class I and MHC class II pathways of antigen presentation. Taken together, these data show that antigens conjugated to SA-4-1BBL through SA-biotin interaction can be targeted to DCs in vivo for enhanced uptake, cross-presentation, and generation of effective T-cell responses.

SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccines induce T-cell proliferation and potent effector functions. 4-1BB is inducibly expressed and reaches peak expression levels within 24 hours on T cells in response to antigenic challenge in vivo (8). Therefore, we anticipated that the conjugate vaccine would first target DCs constitutively expressing 4-1BB for the generation of immune responses in a naive host and then subsequently directly work on activated T cells that have upregulated 4-1BB receptor. Consistent with this notion, i.v. vaccination with the SA-4-1BBL-Ova conjugate generated better proliferative responses in both CD8+ OT-I and CD4+ OT-II T cells than nonconjugate vaccine at all doses tested (Fig. 2A). Importantly, the effect of conjugation was abolished in 4-1BB−/− mice (Fig. 2B), further substantiating the role of DCs in the efficacy of conjugate vaccine. The enhanced efficacy of conjugate vaccine was even
more pronounced in an *in vivo* killing assay in which immunization with the SA-4-1BBL-Ova conjugate generated significantly higher endogenous CTL killing responses over nonconjugate vaccine (79.5 ± 7.6% versus 57.4 ± 10.0% lysis; Fig. 2C). This immunostimulatory effect of the conjugate vaccine was not confined to Ova as vaccination with SA-4-1BBL conjugated with the HPV-16 E7 antigen also generated potent CTL responses *in vivo* (Fig. 2D).

![Figure 2](image_url)
SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccines show enhanced efficacy in therapeutic cancer settings. To test if the enhanced immunomodulatory activity of conjugate vaccine on DCs and T cells translates into better therapeutic efficacy, we used the TC-1 cell line expressing HPV-16 E7 oncogene as a transplantable tumor model for cervical cancer. A single s.c. injection of 10 μg of recombinant E7 protein conjugated to 25 μg of SA-4-1BBL into mice with established TC-1 tumors resulted in a 70% survival rate over a 90-day observation period (Fig. 3A). In contrast, injection with the nonconjugate vaccine resulted in only a 20% survival rate, whereas all mice vaccinated with 10 μg of E7 conjugated with 10 μg of SA (equimolar to 25 μg SA-4-1BBL) expired within 40 days.

Importantly, animals with eradicated tumors retained long-term immunologic memory as assessed by increased E7 peptide-specific in vivo killing responses (Fig. 3B) and production of IFN-γ and IL-2 by both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells (Fig. 3C). Consistent with the general role of 4-1BB signaling in the development and maintenance of T-cell memory, we observed a significant increase in CD4+CD44hiCD62Llow effector memory and CD8+CD44hiCD43− total memory T cells in tumor-free long-term mice vaccinated with the conjugate vaccines compared with controls (Fig. 3D). The conjugate vaccine generated significantly enhanced CD8+CD44hiCD43− total memory pool compared with nonconjugate vaccine, whereas this difference was not significant for the CD4+CD44hiCD62Llow effector memory pool.

Importantly, the better therapeutic efficacy of conjugate vaccine correlated with significantly increased CD8+ T cells and decreased CD4+Foxp3+ Treg cells within the tumors compared with nonconjugate vaccine or controls (Fig. 4A), resulting in a significantly increased intratumoral CD8+ Teff/Treg cell ratio (Fig. 4B).

We further confirmed the enhanced therapeutic efficacy of the conjugate vaccine in the 3LL mouse lung carcinoma model using recombinant survivin protein as a self-TAA. A single s.c. injection of mice with established 3LL tumors with a conjugate vaccine consisting of 10 μg of recombinant survivin protein and 25 μg of SA-4-1BBL resulted in >75% survival over a 90-day observation period (Fig. 5). In contrast, immunization with a nonconjugate vaccine resulted in <40% survival rate, whereas all control mice immunized with survivin-SA (10 + 10 μg) expired within 50 days.

SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccine shows therapeutic efficacy in a metastatic lung tumor model. Metastasis is the cause of 90% of human deaths from cancer. Therefore, we tested whether conjugate vaccine shows therapeutic efficacy in a TC-1 lung metastasis model (21). A single i.v. injection of 10 μg of recombinant E7 protein conjugated to 25 μg of SA-4-1BBL into mice with established metastatic TC-1 tumors resulted in almost

Figure 3. SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccine has robust efficacy in TC-1 therapeutic tumor model. A, immunization with SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccine shows potent efficacy in eradicating established TC-1 tumors. C57BL/6 mice were challenged with live TC-1 cells and vaccinated once s.c. on day 6 posttumor challenge with E7 (10 μg) conjugated or nonconjugated with SA-4-1BBL (25 μg) or an equimolar quantity of SA (10 μg). **, P < 0.001 for conjugated SA-4-1BBL-E7 versus all other groups. Long-term surviving animals develop E7-specific CD8+ T-cell effector and memory pool (B) as determined by in vivo killing response (n = 3; *, P < 0.05 versus naïve control), (C) increased CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell intracellular IFN-γ and IL-2 expression (n = 3; *, P < 0.05 versus naïve control), and (D) increased percentages of total memory CD44hiCD43−CD8+ T cells (n = 3; *, P < 0.05 versus naïve control). Data for B–D are representative of two independent experiments.
complete eradication of lung tumors as shown by both lung weight (Fig. 6A) and the presence of tumor nodules (Fig. 6B).

Discussion

DCs coordinate innate, adaptive, and regulatory immune responses and, as such, have been the target of various therapeutic cancer vaccine strategies that aim to exploit the exceptional T-cell immunostimulatory features of these cells for improved efficacy (4). Targeting antigens to DCs by various means without providing proper activation and maturation stimuli can result in T-cell tolerance (22), induction of Treg cells (23), and increased expression of Foxp3, CTLA-4, transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), and IL-10 by antigen-specific CD4⁺ T cells (24). Therapeutic studies in humans showed that DC maturation is required for the generation of effective immunity (25). In view of these findings, various strategies delivering antigens to DCs in vivo by targeting specialized receptors such as DEC205 (22, 26), Clec9A (27), the mannose receptor (28), and Dectin-1 (29) required toll-like receptor ligands or agonistic anti-CD40 Abs as adjuvants to mature the targeted DCs for the generation of endogenous CTL responses and tumor eradication. Although various adjuvants have shown efficacy in preclinical models, their toxic side effects may limit their use at therapeutic doses in humans, thereby compromising vaccine efficacy (30–32). In contrast, SA-4-1BBL showed superior therapeutic efficacy as a component of E7 peptide-based vaccine over various toll-like receptor ligands (LPS, monophosphoryl lipid A, and CpG) and an agonistic Ab to 4-1BB (7) without the severe toxicity associated

Figure 4. SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccine increases the intratumoral CD8⁺ Teff/Treg ratio. A, vaccination with SA-4-1BBL-E7 conjugates increased intratumoral CD8⁺ T cells and decreased CD4⁺Foxp3⁺ Treg cells, as determined by confocal microscopy, resulting in increased ratio of intratumoral CD8⁺ Teff/Treg cells (B; n = 4; *, P < 0.05 versus each other and naive and SA-E7 controls). A minimum of three fields per tumor section were analyzed and pictures were taken under ×20 objective.

Figure 5. SA-4-1BBL conjugate vaccine has robust efficacy in eradicating established 3LL tumors. C57BL/6 mice were challenged with 3LL tumor cells and vaccinated s.c. on day 6 posttumor challenge with survivin (10 μg) conjugated or nonconjugated with SA-4-1BBL (25 μg) or an equimolar quantity of SA (10 μg). **, P < 0.001 for conjugated SA-4-1BBL-survivin versus all other groups.
with these adjuvants (6). Additionally, unlike these vaccine approaches that rely on an adjuvant for DC activation, we report that SA-4-1BBL not only delivers antigens to DCs but also activates DCs for enhanced antigen uptake and presentation, and importantly further amplifies the generated immune responses in antigen-specific manner by directly targeting activated T cells for expansion, survival, and establishment of long-term memory (6, 7).

SA-4-1BBL was internalized upon binding 4-1BB receptor on DCs and SA-4-1BBL specifically targeted the conjugated Ova to CD11c\textsuperscript{high} DCs in vivo, but not other APC types, such as B cells that can induce tolerance (33) and macrophages that can rapidly eliminate targeted antigen (34), leading to decreased immune efficacy. Although all three analyzed DC subsets, lymphoid, myeloid, and plasmacytoid, showed enhanced antigen uptake, primarily lymphoid DCs exhibited enhanced and longer duration cross-presentation of the conjugated antigen. Lymphoid DCs specialize in cross-presentation of exogenous antigens on MHC class I (35, 36) and promote Th1 responses through the production of IL-12 (37). Although SA-4-1BBL greatly enhanced the presentation of SIINFEKL on MHC class I, it is presently unknown if enhanced cross-presentation is the direct effect of signaling through the 4-1BB receptor or is simply the byproduct of enhanced antigen uptake by DCs. The timing of signals required for DC maturation significantly affects the antigen cross-presentation capability of these cells, as stimuli too long before or too long after the capture of antigens may impair cross-presentation (38). Importantly, conjugate vaccines using SA-4-1BBL generated potent T-cell proliferative responses and effector functions, suggesting that our vaccine approach avoids complications arising from the timing of antigen capture and delivery of maturation signals. Although our data attributes the robust therapeutic efficacy of the conjugate vaccine to DC targeting because DCs are the only APCs to constitutively express 4-1BB receptor in a naïve host, once the immune response is initiated by the vaccine or tumor itself, an immune-activating microenvironment may lead to the upregulation of 4-1BB on various other immune cell types, such as macrophages, natural killer, and NKT cells, which can then perpetuate the response. Moreover, the efficacy of conjugate vaccine does not seem to be due to the presence of higher SA-4-1BBL aggregates with improved immunostimulatory activity because both conjugate and non-conjugate vaccines had similar effects on T-cell proliferation in vitro (Supplementary Fig. S5).

Cross-presentation of antigens by DCs to CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells in the absence of Th1 cell help may result in unproductive primary (39) and memory (40) CD8\textsuperscript{+} T-cell responses. Importantly, vaccination with SA-4-1BBL-Ova conjugates enhanced the antigen uptake by myeloid DCs, which are perceived specialized APCs for CD4\textsuperscript{+} T cells due to their expression of relatively high levels of proteins implicated in the MHC class II presentation pathway (41). Consistent with this notion, vaccination with SA-4-1BBL-Ova conjugates generated a potent CD4\textsuperscript{+} T-cell proliferative response that was partially dependent on DCs. Furthermore, immunization with conjugate vaccines, including HPV E7 or survivin as TAAs, in tumor-bearing mice resulted in strong CD4\textsuperscript{+} T-cell responses. In addition, SA-4-1BBL conjugates increased antigen uptake by plasmacytoid DCs, which specialize in antiviral responses through the secretion of IFN-α and cross-present viral antigens to CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells. This suggests that SA-4-1BBL can be used as an immunomodulator/delivery vehicle component of vaccines against viruses.

Treg cells represent a major barrier to effective cancer immunotherapy with high abundance of Treg cells in tumors associated with poor prognosis of cancer patients (42), whereas a high Teff/Treg cell ratio positively correlates with successful therapies (43). Vaccination of mice with established TC-1 tumors resulted in greatly increased numbers of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells and decreased numbers of Treg cells within the tumor, resulting in a favorable Teff/Treg cell ratio. Although the exact nature of implicated mechanisms is not known, it is possible that SA-4-1BBL treatment affects the trafficking and entry of both Teff and Treg cells into the tumor microenvironment by regulating the expression of relevant chemokine receptors on T cells and/or their respective ligands in the tumor microenvironment. SA-4-1BBL treatment might also preferentially improve the survival of CD8\textsuperscript{+} T cells.
over Treg cells or prevent the conversion of CD4+ Teff into Treg cells, which has recently been shown for OX40, another tumor necrosis factor receptor family member (44). Furthermore, the initial action of SA-4-1BBL on DCs and T cells may have secondary consequences to the tumor microenvironment, permitting a therapeutic window for antitumor immunity. Treatments targeting OX40 using an agonist Ab resulted in significant changes in tumor stroma, leading to decreased Treg cells, macrophages, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and expression of TGF-β (45).

In conclusion, we herein corroborate our recent studies showing the potent pleiotropic effects of SA-4-1BBL on various cells of the immune system (6, 7) and further show its use as a vehicle to target antigens in vivo to DCs for enhanced uptake, cross-presentation, and activation of both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells; their gain of effector functions; establishment of long-term memory; and therapeutic efficacy in three different tumor models. The potent immunomodulatory effects of SA-4-1BBL requires SA as the structural component of the molecule allowing SA-4-1BBL to exist as tetramers and oligomers (data not shown) that can cross-link the 4-1BB receptor for potent signal transduction, as a trimeric form of 4-1BBL has no costimulatory activity on T cells (Supplementary Fig. S5; ref. 18). Therefore, SA-4-1BBL represents a novel immunomodulator with significant potential for the development of therapeutic vaccines against cancer and chronic infections. Importantly, 4-1BB is also constitutively expressed on human DCs (46), allowing for eventual translation of our conjugate vaccine concept to human clinical application.
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