miRNA-96 Suppresses KRAS and Functions as a Tumor Suppressor Gene in Pancreatic Cancer
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Abstract

Therapeutic applications of microRNA (miRNA) in KRAS-driven pancreatic cancers might be valuable, but few studies have explored this area. Here, we report that miR-96 directly targets the KRAS oncogene and functions as a tumor-suppressing miRNA in pancreatic cancer cells. Ectopic expression of miR-96 through a synthetic miRNA precursor inhibited KRAS, dampened Akt signaling, and triggered apoptosis in cells. In human clinical specimens, miR-96 was downregulated or deleted where an association with KRAS elevations was observed. In vitro and in vivo assays established that miR-96 decreased cancer cell invasion and migration and slowed tumor growth in a manner associated with KRAS downregulation. Our findings identify miR-96 as a potent regulator of KRAS, which may provide a novel therapeutic strategy for treatment of pancreatic cancer and other KRAS-driven cancers.

Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNA) have drawn more attention than the other classes of noncoding RNAs in the past several years, especially for their essential roles in cancer. More than 50% of the known miRNAs have been shown to participate in human tumorigenesis and/or metastasis by directly targeting oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes (1, 2). For example, a miR-17-92 cluster was found to be located in a region that is commonly amplified in multiple human cancers. One of its targets is E2F, a transcription factor that is associated with DNA replication and apoptosis (3). MiR-21 could accelerate tumorigenesis by targeting several tumor suppressor genes, such as PTEN, TMI, and PDCD4 (4, 5). In contrast, several miRNAs have been indicated to target oncogenes in tumors, such as miR-15/16 targeting BCL2 in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (6), let-7 targeting RAS in lung cancer (7), miR-125a/125b targeting PDCD4 and PTEN, TM1 in ovarian cancer (8), and miR-145 targeting c-Myc in colon cancer (9). Dysregulation of these miRNAs may directly lead to subsequent abnormal expression of their targets, resulting in tumorigenesis.

KRAS is one of the three members of the RAS oncogene family, which encode small GTPases that are involved in cellular signal transduction (10). Activation of RAS signaling causes cell growth, differentiation, and survival. Moreover, activation of KRAS oncogene has been implicated in more than 90% of pancreatic carcinogenesis, and KRAS mutation represents one of the earliest genetic alterations in pancreatic cancer development (11–13). Oncogenic KRAS promotes pancreatic tumorigenesis through activation of multiple downstream pathways, including phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/Akt, extracellular signal–regulated kinase (ERK), Rad, and NF-κB (14–18). KRAS downregulation could reduce tumor growth and enhance gemcitabine chemotherapy efficacy for pancreatic cancer treatment. Thus, KRAS silencing has become an efficient therapeutic strategy in pancreatic cancer and other KRAS-driven cancers, but it is still far from optimal and novel therapeutic strategies are needed urgently.

In our recent work using a miRNA microarray observed that miR-96 is strongly downregulated (more than 5-fold) in pancreatic cancer versus normal tissue (19). Our data provided a solid proof for miR-96 expression in pancreatic cancer patients and indicated that it may play a role as a tumor suppressor in human pancreatic cancer progression. Then, we confirmed that miR-96 directly targets KRAS and showed the antiproliferative, proapoptotic, and antimetastatic properties of miR-96 by a subset of in vitro assays. To understand how miR-96 controls cell phenotypes by targeting KRAS, we then conducted a series of rescue assays and showed that miR-96 could negatively regulate the phosphorylated Akt (P-Akt) signaling pathway downstream of KRAS. Finally, xenograft models were used to assess the antiproliferation effect of miR-96. Expectedly, introduction of miR-96 significantly
inhibited the tumorigenicity of pancreatic cancer cells in these nude mouse models. These results provide insights into our understanding of how one miRNA acts as a tumor suppressor and suggest a novel therapeutic strategy for treatment of pancreatic cancer and other KRAS-driven cancers.

**Materials and Methods**

**Human tissue samples and cell lines**

Tissues were obtained from patients undergoing surgery for pancreatic cancer in Peking Union Hospital, immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80°C until RNA extraction. The characteristics of patients included are described in Supplementary Table S1. The pancreatic cancer cell lines MIA PaCa-2, PANC-1, and BxPC-3 and the cervical adenocarcinoma cell line HeLa were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection in 1999 and cultured in DMEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. These cell lines were tested 1 month before the experiment by methods of morphology check by microscopy, growth curve analysis, and Mycoplasma detection according to the ATCC cell line verification test recommendations.

**Quantification of RNA and protein**

Total RNA was extracted from the cells and tissues with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Real-time reverse transcription-PCR assay was conducted to detect the mRNA levels of KRAS and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). Northern blotting analysis of miRNAs and Western blotting of protein were performed as described previously (20). Probes were labeled with [γ-32P]ATP complementary to miR-96 and U6 snRNA. The antibodies included those against ERK, phosphorylated ERK (P-ERK), Akt, P-Akt, Bad, phosphorylated Bad, KRAS, and β-actin (KRAS and β-actin from Santa Cruz, others from CST).

**Constructs, transfections, and assays**

The 3′ untranslated region (3′-UTR) of human KRAS mRNA was cloned in between the NotI and XbaI sites of pRL-TK (Promega) using PCR-generated fragment. Mutation of the KRAS sequence was created using a Quick-Change Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Stratagene). The miR-96 miRNA precursor (pre-miR-96) and a control precursor (scramble) were purchased from Ambion, Inc. A miR-96 expression plasmid (pcDNAmiR-96) was constructed using synthetic oligonucleotides and the pcDNA6.2-GW/EmGFP vector. The KRAS expression plasmid (pcDNA3.1KRAS) was made using pcDNA3.1 vector and PCR-generated fragment from genome.

HeLa, MIA PaCa-2, and PANC-1 cells were seeded onto 24-well plates (1 × 10⁵ cells per well) the day before transfections were performed. Cells (~70% confluent) were transfected with pRL-TK luciferase reporters (50 ng/well), pGL-3 firefly luciferase (10 ng/well), and pre-miR-96 (50 nmol/L) or scramble (50 nmol/L) using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen).

Luciferase activities were measured using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay (Promega).

For Western blotting and other functional analyses, pre-miR-96 or scramble was transfected into MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells (50 nmol/L) by using Lipofectamine 2000. PcDNAmiR-96 or control vector was transfected (2 μg/mL) into MIA PaCa-2 cells using Lipofectamine 2000, and stable miR-96–expressing cells were selected with antibiotic. For the miRNA and pcDNA3.1KRAS combination experiments, MIA PaCa-2 cells were grown in normal culture medium containing 50 nmol/L pre-miR-96 or scramble for 24 hours. These cells were then cotreated with different combinations of 2 μg/mL pcDNA3.1 construct (KRAS or empty) and 50 nmol/L oligonucleotide (pre-miR-96 or scramble) for another 24 hours. pcDNA3.1KRAS transfection 24 hours before pre-miR-96 or cotransfection was also performed.

**Cell proliferation, apoptosis, and cell cycle assay**

Cells were incubated in 10% CCK-8 (DOJINDO) diluted in normal culture medium at 37°C until visual color conversion occurred. Proliferation rates were determined at 24, 48, 72, 96, 120, or 144 hours after transfection.

The apoptosis assay was performed on MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cell lines 48 or 72 hours after transfection using the Annexin V–FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD Biosciences) and analyzed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).

Cell cycle analysis was performed on MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells 72 hours after transfection with either pre-miR-96 or scramble. Cells were trypsinized and collected after being washed with PBS twice, fixed in 70% cold ethanol, and incubated with propidium iodide (PI), then analyzed by FACS.

**Soft-agar colony formation, cell migration, and invasion assays**

A 1.5-mL base layer of agar (0.5% agar in DMEM with 10% FBS) was allowed to solidify in a six-well flat-bottomed plate before the addition of 1.5 mL of cell suspensions containing 4,000 cells in 0.35% agar in DMEM with 10% FBS. The cell-containing layer was then solidified at 4°C for 20 minutes. Colonies were allowed to grow for 21 days at 37°C with 5% CO₂ before imaging.

A wound-healing assay was done to assess cell migration. An artificial wound was created 24 hours after transfection using a 200-μL pipette tip on the confluent cell monolayer and mitomycin C was added to the culture wells (final concentration for PANC-1, 10 μg/mL; for MIA PaCa-2, 20 μg/mL). To visualize migrated cells and wound healing, images were taken at 0, 12, 24, 36, 48, and 60 hours.

Invasion assay was evaluated by the ability of cells passing through Matrigel-coated membrane matrix (BD Biosciences). Cells were seeded onto a Matrigel-coated membrane matrix present in the insert of a 24-well culture plate 24 hours after transfection. Fetal bovine serum was added to the lower chamber as a chemoattractant. After 24 hours, the noninvading cells were removed. Invasive cells located on the lower surface of the chamber were stained with 0.1% crystal violet (Sigma) and counted.
**Pancreatic tumor xenograft model**

Six-week-old male nude mice (BALB/c-nude) were used to examine tumorigenicity. MIA PaCa-2 stable cells overexpressing miR-96 or vector control cells were propagated and $6 \times 10^6$ cells were inoculated s.c. into the dorsal flanks of 18 mice (5 for wild-type, 5 for vector control, and 8 for stably overexpressing miR-96). Tumor size was measured every week, and tumor volumes were estimated. For end-point experiments, tumors were removed and weighed 7 weeks after tumor cell injection.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Mouse tumor tissues were made into paraffin sections and pretreated at 65°C for 2 hours, followed by deparaffinization. Antigen retrieval was carried out before application of the primary antibodies [KRAS, Ki-67, and p53 (mutated); 1:100; DAKO] overnight at 4°C. As a negative control, sections were incubated with normal IgG. Thereafter, slides were incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with the secondary antibody conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (HRP; 1:100; DAKO). HRP activity was detected using the Liquid DAB+ Substrate Chromogen System (DAKO). Finally, sections were counterstained with hematoxylin and photographed.

**Statistics**

Each experiment was repeated at least three times. Student’s $t$ test (two-tailed) was performed, and statistical significance level was set at $\alpha = 0.05$ (two-side). Mean ± SD is displayed in the figures.

**Results and Discussion**

**Aberrant miR-96 expression in pancreatic cancer**

To assess the expression of miR-96 in pancreatic cancer, Northern blotting analysis was conducted in 10 pairs of pancreatic cancer tissue and matched adjacent normal tissue samples. The expression of miR-96 was consistently lower in the pancreatic cancer tissues than in normal tissues (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, analysis of miR-96 expression in three pancreatic cancer cell lines (MIA PaCa-2, PANC-1, and BxPC-3), revealed that miR-96 was downregulated in tumor cell lines as well (Fig. 1B). These data support the notion that miR-96 may act as a tumor suppressor in pancreatic cancer.

**KRAS is a direct target of miR-96**

To fully understand the mechanisms by which miRNAs execute their function, we adopted three bioinformatic algorithms (TargetScan, PicTar, and miRanda) to identify a large number of potential target genes of miR-96. Among these candidates, KRAS was selected for further analysis. A binding site of miR-96 was observed in the 3′-UTR of KRAS mRNA. Moreover, there was perfect base pairing between the “seed sequence” of mature miR-96 and its cognate targets (including KRAS), and the seed sequences were exactly conserved across species (Fig. 2A).

To test the hypothesis that KRAS might be a target of miR-96, a reporter plasmid harboring the wild-type 3′-UTR region of KRAS downstream of the luciferase coding region...
Fig. 2A, KRAS_WT) was constructed. HeLa cells were cotransfected with reporter plasmid (KRAS_WT) and pre-miR-96/scramble. As a result, pre-miR-96–transfected cells showed a marked reduction (≈56%) of luciferase activity (Fig. 2B). Then, the same assay was performed for another reporter plasmid containing mutated KRAS 3′-UTR in miR-96 binding sites (Fig. 2A, KRAS_MUT). As expected, the inhibition of luciferase activity by pre-miR-96 was almost abolished in the KRAS_MUT mutant, suggesting that the conserved region was fully responsible for miR-96 function (Fig. 2B).

MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells express low endogenous levels of miR-96 (Fig. 1B) and readily detectable levels of KRAS (21). The most straightforward prediction from our luciferase reporter assays would be that ectopic expression of miR-96 should reduce KRAS protein levels in MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells. To further investigate the interaction between miR-96 and KRAS, MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells were transfected with pre-miR-96. After a functional pre-miR-96 transfection test in MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells (Fig. 2C), Western blotting analysis was conducted to measure the level of KRAS protein. We found that the expression of KRAS...
protein was downregulated in pre-miR-96–treated MIA PaCa-2/PANC-1 cells, but not in scramble or untreated cells (Fig. 2D). In addition, KRAS mRNA expression was determined by real-time PCR. We observed no significant differences between pre-miR-96–treated and scramble-treated or untreated MIA PaCa-2/PANC-1 cells (Fig. 2D). These data suggest that miR-96 directly recognizes the 3′-UTR of KRAS mRNA and inhibits KRAS translation. Thus, downregulated miR-96 in pancreatic cancer inhibits the suppression of KRAS, which in turn accelerates tumorogenesis.

Overexpression of miR-96 in pancreatic cancer cells inhibits cell proliferation, migration, and invasion

Given that KRAS plays a role in the regulation of cell proliferation and cell cycle, and that KRAS is able to increase the S-phase cell population (22), MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells were respectively transfected with pre-miR-96 or scramble and analyzed for cell growth and cell cycle progression. The CCK-8 proliferation assay showed that cell growth was reduced in pre-miR-96–transfected MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells compared with scramble-transfected cells or untreated cells (Fig. 3A). The cell cycle analysis further confirmed this observation, indicating that pre-miR-96 treatment induced cell cycle arrest in G1 phase with a significant increase in the percentage of cells in G1 phase (∼21% in MIA PaCa-2 or ∼10% in PANC-1) and a reduction of the S-phase cell population by ∼18% (MIA PaCa-2) or ∼7% (PANC-1; Fig. 3B). These results suggest that miR-96 could regulate cell proliferation by targeting KRAS. To further detect whether miR-96 is associated with progression of pancreatic cancer, we analyzed the effect of miR-96 expression on the migratory and invasive behavior of MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells. We found that introduction of miR-96 into MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells resulted in a significant reduction of cell migration during the closing of an artificial wound created over a confluent monolayer (Fig. 3C; Supplementary Fig. S1). Moreover, these cells were treated with mitomycin C during the course of wound healing to ensure that any augmented migratory behavior could not be affected by altered cell proliferation. In addition, restoration of miR-96 dramatically inhibited the normally strong invasive capacity of MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cell lines, which carry low endogenous levels of miR-96 (Fig. 3D). These results show that miR-96 overexpression contributes to regulation of pancreatic cancer cell motility and progression in vitro.

Overexpression of miR-96 dampens the Akt signaling pathway

Activation of the KRAS pathway has been well documented in various tumor types, such as lung cancer (23, 24), breast cancer (25), colon cancer (26), and pancreatic cancer (27). Previous studies have shown the importance of the KRAS/Akt signaling pathway in the regulation of cell proliferation and survival in pancreatic cancer cells (28–30). To investigate whether miR-96 affected cell survival through the Akt pathway, we examined the phosphorylation level of Akt in MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells overexpressing miR-96. Cellular levels of P-Akt were significantly decreased in pre-miR-96–transfected cells as compared with scramble-transfected or untreated cells (Fig. 4A). One consequence of Akt signaling alterations is an effect on cell survival (31). To address whether the lower levels of P-Akt resulting from the upregulation of miR-96 would induce pancreatic cancer cell apoptosis and cell death, we determined the number of early and late apoptotic MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells following treatment with pre-miR-96. As expected, few early apoptotic cells (17% in MIA PaCa-2 or 17.9% in PANC-1) were detected in the scramble-treated cells, whereas pre-miR-96 treatment increased the percentage of early apoptotic cells (41% in MIA PaCa-2 or 24.5% in PANC-1) as judged by Annexin V staining (Fig. 4B). Therefore, we concluded that miR-96 could directly target KRAS and subsequently affect cell survival through the Akt signaling pathway in pancreatic cancer cells.

Mutations of the KRAS gene are some of the earliest and most frequent genetic events observed in pancreatic cancer patients and are responsible for more than 95% of tumors (11–13, 32, 33). These abnormalities result in constitutive activation of Akt and subsequent stimulation of downstream signal transduction pathways regulating cellular survival, proliferation, and invasion. The PI3K/Akt pathway acts as a major downstream effector of KRAS signaling, and several downstream factors such as ERK, Bad, Bcl-xl, and NF-κB have been linked to the Akt pathway (34). The ERK pathway is primarily known for mitogenic signaling and modulation of cell proliferation in most model systems (35). Constitutive activation of ERK has been observed in multiple cancer types, including pancreatic cancer cells (36, 37). To investigate whether the repression of cell growth observed in miR-96–overexpressing cells was mediated through this pathway, we measured the level of P-ERK in MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells after pre-miR-96 treatment. A significant decrease in P-ERK level was detected in pre-miR-96–treated cells compared with untreated and scramble-treated cells. However, no obvious difference was observed in total ERK level (Fig. 4A). These findings suggest that the accelerated pancreatic cancer cell growth was partially due to the overactivated Akt and ERK pathways.

Besides promoting cell proliferation, activated Akt could also phosphorylate Bad at Ser112 and Ser136 in vitro and in vivo, blocking Bad-induced cell death (16). In the absence of phosphorylation at these sites, Bad is thought to interact with Bcl-xl to induce cell death. In contrast, Akt-mediated hyperphosphorylation of Bad may promote cell survival in pancreatic cancer cells. Our Western blotting results confirmed the above speculation that the increased apoptosis in pre-miR-96–transfected cells was a result of decreased phosphorylation of Bad (Fig. 4A).

The fact that miR-96 was significantly downregulated in the majority of pancreatic cancer cells and that such a reduction was correlated with tumor progression suggests that endogenous miR-96 level may be an indicator of pancreatic cancer. Given that several miRNAs have been considered as potential tumor diagnostic markers, we believe that miR-96 might also be related to pancreatic malignancy diagnosis.
Figure 3. Overexpression of miR-96 inhibits pancreatic cancer cell growth, migration, and invasion in vitro. A, growth of MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells was shown after transfection with 50 nmol/L of pre-miR-96 or scramble or no transfection. The growth index was assessed at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 d. B, MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 were transfected with 50 nmol/L of pre-miR-96 or scramble for 72 h. C, MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells were not transfected or transfected with 50 nmol/L of pre-miR-96 or scramble for 24 h, and wounds were made. The relative ratio of wound closure per field is shown. D, MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1 cells were not transfected or transfected with 50 nmol/L of pre-miR-96 or scramble for 24 h, and transwell invasion assay was performed. The relative ratio of invasive cells per field is shown. Magnification for identification of migration and invasion is ×60. Bar, 100 μm. All data are shown as mean ± SD. **, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.01.
miR-96 affects pancreatic cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis, and migration by directly targeting the KRAS/Akt pathway

As we showed above, overexpression of miR-96 inhibited cell proliferation and migration while promoting cell apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells. We also validated KRAS as a direct target of miR-96. Therefore, we wondered whether the changes in cell phenotypes after miR-96 overexpression directly resulted from the downregulation of KRAS and its downstream pathways. To test this idea, we designed a group of experiments, which would regulate the cellular level of KRAS in different situations, and monitored the corresponding phenotype changes in pancreatic cancer cells.

MIA PaCa-2 cells were first transfected with pre-miR-96 and then cotreated with pre-miR-96 and KRAS-expressing vector (pcDNA3.1KRAS) 24 hours later. The previous transfection of miRNA offered a sufficient decrease in KRAS protein level. Theoretically, the decreased KRAS level resulting from miR-96 transfection could be partially rescued via the introduction of pcDNA3.1KRAS. As expected, the level of KRAS protein in lane 5 was significantly higher than that in lane 4 (Fig. 5A, left). In contrast, in MIA PaCa-2 cells transfected first with pcDNA3.1KRAS and then with pre-miR-96 after 24 hours, the KRAS level in lane 4 was lower than that in lane 3 (Fig. 5A, right). Interestingly, the phosphorylation level of Akt was altered similarly to the expression level of KRAS (Fig. 5A), that is, decreased P-Akt directed by miR-96 overexpression could be rescued by upregulation of KRAS, and increased P-Akt by KRAS could also be dampened by miR-96. Moreover, this was confirmed by the functional assays of cotransfection including proliferation, apoptosis, and migration (Fig. 5B–D). As shown in Fig. 5B, an increase in cell growth was observed in cells cotransfected with pre-miR-96 and pcDNA3.1KRAS compared with cells cotransfected with pre-miR-96 and pcDNA3.1, corresponding to the higher KRAS and P-Akt levels in lane 5 compared with lane 4 (Fig. 5A, left). On the other hand, cells cotreated with scramble and pcDNA3.1KRAS exhibited the greatest extent of cell proliferation (Fig. 5B), as well as the highest cellular KRAS level (data not shown). Furthermore, the percentage of early apoptotic cells was rescued in d group (17.3%) in comparison with c group (23.4%; Fig. 5C), coincident with the rescued KRAS and P-Akt levels in lane 5 compared with lane 4 (Fig. 5A, left). Similarly, the capacity of cell migration in d group was also rescued, corresponding to that in c group, the same as the changes in KRAS level (Fig. 5A). Based on these findings, we concluded that miR-96 could regulate pancreatic cancer cell proliferation, apoptosis, and migration by directly targeting the KRAS/Akt pathway.

miR-96 affects tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo

The remarkable reduction of miR-96 expression in pancreatic cancer samples prompted us to explore the possible biological significance of miR-96 in tumorigenesis. First, colony
Figure 5. Functional assays of pre-miR-96 and pcDNA3.1KRAS cotransfection. A, KRAS and P-Akt protein levels were modulated by nontransfection, individual transfection with pre-miR-96 or scramble oligonucleotide, and cotransfection with one oligonucleotide and pcDNA3.1KRAS or pcDNA3.1. Left, pre-miR-96 was transfected 24 h before cotransfection with pcDNA3.1KRAS or pcDNA3.1 and pre-miR-96. Right, pcDNA3.1KRAS was transfected 24 h before cotransfection with pre-miR-96 or scramble oligonucleotide and pcDNA3.1KRAS. Immunoblotting of KRAS, P-Akt, and Akt in MIA PaCa-2 cells showed corresponding alterations. β-Actin served as a loading control. B, the growth of MIA PaCa-2 cells was measured in cells cotransfected with different combinations of pcDNA3.1 construct (KRAS or empty) and one oligonucleotide after 24-h treatment with one oligonucleotide (pre-miR-96 or scramble). The growth index was assessed at 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 d. C, MIA PaCa-2 cells were stained with PI and Annexin V after cotransfection as above. Early and late apoptotic cells are shown in the right quadrant. D, MIA PaCa-2 cells were treated as above, and wounds were made. Magnification for assessment of migration is ×60. Bar, 100 μm. The relative ratio of wound closure per field is shown. All data are shown as mean ± SD.
formation experiments were performed to evaluate the growth capacity of pre-miR-96– or scramble-transfected or nontransfected pancreatic cancer cell lines (MIA PaCa-2 and PANC-1). As expected, pre-miR-96–transfected cells displayed fewer and smaller colonies compared with scramble-transfected and nontransfected cells (Fig. 6A). These data provide in vitro evidence of the growth-inhibitory role of miR-96.

To further verify the findings above, an in vivo model was also included. Untreated, empty vector–transfected, and pcDNAmiR-96–transfected MIA PaCa-2 cells were injected s.c. into the posterior flank of nude mice. After 7 weeks, we found that tumor growth was significantly slower in the miR-96–transfected mice than in the vector-treated and untreated controls (Fig. 6B; Supplementary Fig. S2). In
agreement with the tumor growth curve, the volumes of tumors induced by pcDNAmiR-96–transfected cells were significantly lower than those by the vector-treated and untreated controls (Fig. 6B). Moreover, we also performed immunohistochemistry to detect the expression of KRAS in randomly selected tumors derived from untreated, vector control–, or pcDNAmiR-96–transfected MIA PaCa-2 cells. The miR-96–overexpressing tumors expressed lower levels of KRAS than the others (Fig. 6C). In view of these observations, we reasoned that decreased levels of KRAS in mouse tumors after injection with pcDNAmiR-96–transfected MIA PaCa-2 cells might affect tumor cell proliferation. Similarly, immunohistochemical analysis was used to measure the protein levels of Ki-67 and mutated p53 in the tumor tissues, showing decreases of Ki-67 and mutated p53 in pcDNAmiR-96–transfected cell tissues (Supplementary Fig. S3). These data indicate that introduction of miR-96 remarkably inhibits the tumorigenicity of MIA PaCa-2 cells in the nude mouse xenograft model. Thus, miR-96 seems to regulate tumorigenesis through inhibition of proliferative and invasive activities by targeting KRAS. From a clinical standpoint, the possibility that introduction of miR-96 mimics (such as pre-miR-96) may contribute to pancreatic cancer control provides a novel method for pancreatic cancer therapy.

miRNAs, a novel class of regulatory molecules, have been frequently indicated to be often dysregulated in diverse human cancers (38, 39). miRNAs often act as oncogenes or tumor suppressors, regulating many cellular events. However, there are no results referring to the role of miR-96 in pancreatic cancer at present. One previous report indicated that miR-96 was upregulated in breast cancer, suggesting that it might have an oncogenic role (40). In our study, we confirmed that miR-96 acts as a tumor suppressor gene through various mechanisms, including inhibition of tumor cell growth, migration, invasion, acceleration of cell apoptosis, and direct targeting of the KRAS/Akt signaling pathway. The discrepancy between our results and those of previous studies may be due to the differences in the systems used and the lack of further functional analysis of miR-96.

In summary, we have identified a link between miR-96 and KRAS that is a novel constituent of pancreatic cancer tumorigenesis. Over the past few years, it has been shown that the let-7 family and miR-143 target KRAS in tumors of different tissue origins such as lung, breast, and colon cancers. We speculate that these tissue-specific miRNAs may contribute to the cognate abnormality via similar pathways.
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