PIK3CA Mutation Uncouples Tumor Growth and Cyclin D1 Regulation from MEK/ERK and Mutant KRAS Signaling
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Abstract
Mutational activation of KRAS is a common event in human tumors. Identification of the key signaling pathways downstream of mutant KRAS is essential for our understanding of how to pharmacologically target these cancers in patients. We show that PD0325901, a small-molecule MEK inhibitor, decreases MEK/ERK pathway signaling and destabilizes cyclin D1, resulting in significant anticancer activity in a subset of KRAS mutant tumors in vitro and in vivo. Mutational activation of PIK3CA, which commonly co-occurs with KRAS mutation, provides resistance to MEK inhibition through reactivation of AKT signaling. Genetic ablation of the mutant PIK3CA allele in MEK inhibitor–resistant cells restores MEK pathway sensitivity, and re-expression of mutant PIK3CA reinstates the resistance highlighting the importance of this mutation in resistance to therapy in human cancers. In KRAS mutant tumors, PIK3CA mutant restores cyclin D1 expression and G1-S cell cycle progression, so that they are no longer dependent on KRAS and MEK/ERK signaling. Furthermore, the growth of KRAS mutant tumors with coexistent PIK3CA mutations in vivo is profoundly inhibited with combined pharmacologic inhibition of MEK and AKT. These data suggest that tumors with both KRAS and phosphoinositide 3-kinase mutations are unlikely to respond to the inhibition of the MEK pathway alone but will require effective inhibition of both MEK and phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT pathway signaling. Cancer Res; 70(17): 6804-14. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction
The three members of the Ras family of small GTPase proteins, KRAS, HRAS, and NRAS, play central roles in the transduction of growth factor receptor–induced signals (1). Mutations of Ras that impair its GTPase function are oncogenic and occur at high frequency in many human malignancies (2, 3). Activation of Ras has been implicated in mediating many aspects of the transformed phenotype, including deregulated proliferation, survival, invasion, and metastasis. The mechanisms through which Ras supports these processes are not completely understood. In the activated, GTP-bound state, Ras binds to and activates multiple effector proteins of which more than 10 have been identified (4). Of these, the most studied are the Raf kinase and phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) protein families and Ras guanine dissociation stimulator (5–8). Early work focused on the Raf family of serine/threonine protein kinases. Ras-GTP binds to and activates the three Raf kinase family members which phosphorylate their main substrates, the serine/threonine kinases MEK1 and MEK2, which in turn activate the two ERK kinases (5, 9, 10). ERK phosphorylates multiple substrates and has pleiotropic cellular effects, including the induction of proliferation and invasion. This observation, the finding that ERK activation was required for the transformation of NIH3T3 cells by mutant Ras (11), the isolation of gag-Raf as a retroviral oncogene (12), and the recent discovery that BRAF is mutated and oncogenic in a significant fraction of human tumors (13), led to the idea that the Raf kinases and subsequent activation of MEK/ERK signaling are key effectors of mutant KRAS-induced transformation. Therefore, pharmaceutical efforts have focused on developing therapeutic agents that inhibit the components of this KRAS effector pathway (14, 15). However, the ability to pharmacologically and genetically block key KRAS effector pathways unveiled unexpected complexities of KRAS signaling in human cancer. Unlike the findings reported for BRAF mutant tumors (16, 17), many KRAS mutant tumor cells are resistant to MEK/ERK pathway inhibition. In fact, recent studies in vitro and in vivo suggest that KRAS mutant tumors require dual inhibition of both the MEK and PIK3CA pathways to achieve inhibition of tumor growth (18–20).

Here, we use a selective, allosteric MEK inhibitor to determine the MEK dependence of tumors with mutational activation of the pathway. These studies indicate that many KRAS mutant tumor cell lines are, contrary to the prevailing...
view, sensitive to the MEK inhibitor PD0325901, and hence, dependent on the RAF/MEK/ERK signaling arm. Resistance to MEK inhibitors in the relevant cell lines is not an intrinsic feature of KRAS oncogenic function but instead mutational activation of PIK3CA is present in most, but not all, MEK-resistant KRAS mutant cancers. In such resistant lines, sensitivity is restored by functional ablation of mutant PI3K activity. Furthermore, our data show that combined inhibition of both MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT pathways in tumors with both KRAS and PIK3CA mutations is effective in profoundly inhibiting their growth in vivo. Overall, our data define genotypes that suggest which KRAS tumors may be effectively treated with MEK inhibition and support the possibility that MEK/ERK inhibition, alone or in combination with inhibition of PI3K/AKT signaling, might be effective therapeutic strategies for mutant KRAS-dependent malignancy.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

Human colon, pancreas, and lung cancer cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and maintained as described in the Supplementary Methods. The MEK inhibitor, PD0325901, was synthesized as described (21). The dual AKT1/AKT2 inhibitor (AKT-1/2) was obtained from Merck and Co., Inc. (22–25). The PIK3CA isogenic HCT116 and DLD-1 cell lines have been previously described (26), and were kindly provided by Drs. Bert Vogelstein and Victor Velculescu (The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD). Cell proliferation assay and Western blot analysis were performed as previously described (17). Antibodies used for immunoblotting are listed in the Supplementary Methods.

Colony formation in soft agar

To assess anchorage-independent growth, triplicate samples of 1 × 10⁴ cells were mixed in complete growth medium containing 0.3% low-melting agarose and the indicated concentration of PD0325901. In a single well of a six-well plate, 2 mL of the cell mixture was plated on top of a 2-mL solidified layer of 0.6% agarose containing growth medium. The agarose was overlaid with 200 μL of complete medium. Cells were stained with crystal violet (Sigma-Aldrich) and photographed after 21 days using a dissecting microscope. Assays were done in triplicate and in all cases, independently, at least twice.

Short interfering RNA experiments

ON-TARGETplus KRAS SMARTpool consisting of four short interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes (L-005069, Dharmacon) was used for KRAS knockdown. Cells (3 × 10⁵) were plated in six-well plates and the next day transfected with 20 nmol/L of KRAS siRNA or a control nontargeting siRNA no. 2 using DharmaFECT-1 transfection reagent and the accompanying protocol (Dharmacon).

Cell cycle analysis

Cells were seeded in six-well plates (3 × 10⁵ cells/well) in normal growth medium, and the following day, the cells were treated with vehicle (DMSO), 50 nmol/L of PD0325901, or siRNA duplexes in the siRNA experiments. Both adherent and floating cells were harvested. Nuclei were isolated by the Nusse method, stained with ethidium bromide and analyzed for DNA content by flow cytometry (27).

Generation of cells stably expressing mutant PIK3CA

HCT116 wild-type PIK3CA cell line stably expressing mutant PI3K (H1047R) was generated by infection with retroviruses carrying mutant PIK3CA-encoding gene (pBabe-puro-HA-PIK3CA-H1047R, Addgene plasmid 12524; ref. 28). Vector-only plasmid (pBabe-puro, Addgene plasmid 1764) was used as a control. The plasmids were transduced into the GPG293 amphotropic packaging cell line using LipofectAMINE transfection reagent to produce retrovirus. GPG293 cells were provided by Dr. Joan Massague (Program in Cancer Biology, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY) and maintained in DMEM with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), doxycycline (20 ng/mL), puromycin (2 μg/mL), and G418 (0.3 mg/mL). Virus was collected 72 hours posttransfection and filtered to remove cell contamination. Filtered retrovirus was used to infect cells in the presence of 8 mg/mL of polybrene. After infection, successfully transduced polyclonal cell populations were obtained by selection with puromycin (2 μg/mL) as a pool of stable clones.

Animal studies

Animal experiments were carried out as previously described (16), under an Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee–approved protocol, and institutional guidelines for the proper, humane use of animals in research were followed. PD0325901 was formulated in 0.5% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose plus 0.2% Tween 80 and administered by oral gavage for 5 consecutive days each week for 3 to 4 weeks. AKTI-1/2 was formulated in 25% hydroxypropyl β-cyclodextrin (pH 4–5), and administered subcutaneously. Additional details are provided in the Supplementary Methods.

Results

A subset of KRAS mutant cells depends on MEK/ERK signaling

We first exposed a panel of 16 KRAS mutant colorectal, lung, and pancreatic cancer cell lines to PD0325901, a potent and selective MEK inhibitor, to ascertain their dependence on MEK signaling for proliferation. At a concentration of 10 nmol/L, PD0325901 effectively inhibited MEK kinase signaling in all cells in the panel, as measured by loss of ERK phosphorylation (p-ERK; Fig. 1B). In this panel, however, MEK inhibition led to antiproliferative activity in seven of these lines with IC₅₀ values of <20 nmol/L PD0325901 (Fig. 1A). Seven cell lines showed no antiproliferative response to PD0325901 at concentrations >100 nmol/L (Fig. 1A), and two cell lines had an intermediate phenotype with IC₅₀ values between 20 and 100 nmol/L. MEK dependence was not correlated with tumor lineage or the specific site of KRAS mutation. On the other hand, the majority of MEK-independent cell lines harbored PIK3CA mutations, as previously reported (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1; refs. 29, 30).
Importantly, all the cell lines sensitive to MEK inhibition were wild-type for PIK3CA (Fig. 1A; Supplementary Fig. S1). In cells sensitive to MEK inhibition, expression of cyclin D1 was MEK-dependent. In MEK inhibitor–resistant cells, cyclin D1 expression was unaffected even at concentrations in vast excess of those required for inhibition of MEK activity (Fig. 1B). Thus, in a subset of KRAS mutant tumor cells, MEK/ERK signaling is absolutely required for cyclin D1 expression and anchorage-dependent proliferation.

We further characterized the biological outcome of MEK antagonism in the above tumor cell lines. For example, in KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type cell lines (SW620 and H747), MEK inhibition led to a rapid and persistent downregulation of cyclin D1 expression and arrest of the cells in the G1 phase of the cell cycle (Figs. 1B, 2A, and B). In these cells, MEK inhibition also resulted in an increase in apoptosis as shown by an increase in cleaved poly-ADP-ribose polymerase.

**Figure 1.** MEK/ERK dependence of tumor cell lines with mutant KRAS. A, colon (C), pancreatic (P), and lung cancer (L) cell lines harboring KRAS mutation were grown in the presence of MEK inhibitor PD0325901. Day 5 IC50 values were determined using Alamar blue. Arrows, representative cell lines illustrated in B. B, effects of PD0325901 on ERK, p-ERK, and cyclin D1 expression in MEK inhibitor–sensitive (red) and –resistant (blue) cell lines. Cells were treated with PD0325901, harvested 24 h posttreatment, and cell lysates immunoblotted with the indicated antibodies.

**Coexistent KRAS and PIK3CA mutations prevent cyclin D degradation and sensitivity to MEK inhibition**

We further characterized the biological outcome of MEK antagonism in the above tumor cell lines. For example, in KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type cell lines (SW620 and H747), MEK inhibition led to a rapid and persistent downregulation of cyclin D1 expression and arrest of the cells in the G1 phase of the cell cycle (Figs. 1B, 2A, and B). In these cells, MEK inhibition also resulted in an increase in apoptosis as shown by an increase in cleaved poly-ADP-ribose polymerase.
Figure 2. Coexistent PIK3CA mutation is associated with decreased dependency on MEK signaling for tumor growth in vitro and in vivo. A, immunoblots showing the effects of MEK inhibition in PD0325901-sensitive (SW620, H747) and -resistant (HCT-15, DLD-1) cell lines. Cells were treated with 50 nmol/L of PD0325901 and lysates immunoblotted with the specified antibodies. B, MEK-dependent (SW620, H747) and MEK-independent (HCT-15, DLD-1) cells were treated with 50 nmol/L of PD0325901 or DMSO control and harvested 48 h later. Graphs show the percentage of cells with G1 DNA content (top) and the apoptotic fraction reported as the percentage of cells with sub-G1 DNA content (bottom). Columns, mean of two independent experiments done in triplicate; bars, SE. C, MEK-dependent (SW620, H747) and MEK-independent (HCT-15, DLD-1) cells were treated with PD0325901 in a soft agar colony formation assay. Representative images at 21 d postplating are shown. D, mice with established SW620 and HCT15 xenografts were treated with PD0325901 ×5 d/wk for 3 wk, or with vehicle only. Points, mean percentage of increase in tumor volume; bars, SE (n = 5 mice/group).
(PARP) expression and a 4-fold increase in the fraction of the cells with sub-G1 DNA content (Fig. 2A and B). As a result, MEK inhibition with PD325901 prevented the anchorage-independent growth of KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type cells in soft agar (Fig. 2C). Notably, as little as 1 nmol/L of PD0325901 was sufficient to inhibit colony formation by >50% in these lines (Fig. 2C).

In contrast, upon MEK inhibition, KRAS mutant/PIK3CA mutant cell lines (HCT-15 and DLD-1) exhibited neither cyclin D1 degradation, cell cycle arrest, nor induction of apoptosis (Fig. 2A and B). Again, these differences did not arise due to a lack of ERK inhibition (Figs. 1B and 2A). Consistent with this, anchorage-independent growth of these cells was unaffected by up to 100 nmol/L of PD0325901 in soft agar assays (Fig. 2C).

We next determined whether MEK/ERK signaling was required for the growth of KRAS mutant colorectal tumors in vitro. To do this, we focused on two MEK-sensitive (SW620, H747) and two MEK-insensitive (HCT-15 and DLD-1) cell lines. PD0325901 (5 or 25 mg/kg) potently suppressed the growth of KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type, SW620, and H747 xenografts (Fig. 2D; Supplementary Fig. S2B). As seen in vitro, inhibition of tumor growth was associated with the downregulation of p-ERK, cyclin D1, and cyclin D2 expression and induction of cleaved PARP (Supplementary Fig. S2B). As a result, anchorage-independent growth of these cells was unaffected by up to 100 nmol/L of PD0325901 in soft agar assays (Fig. 2C).

To further examine the role of MEK inhibition in xenograft tumors, we used HCT116 xenografts (Fig. 3A; Supplementary Fig. S3). Importantly, in an in vivo setting, we further showed that loss of the mutant PIK3CA allele was sufficient to confer sensitivity to PD0325901 when HCT116 cells were grown as xenografts (Fig. 4). Specifically, the growth of PIK3CA mutant tumors was only marginally affected by treatment with the MEK inhibitor.

The growth of the tumor xenografts with wild-type PIK3CA tumors, however, was dramatically suppressed by MEK inhibition (Fig. 4A). Similarly to in vitro data, in these PIK3CA wild-type xenografts, MEK inhibition caused a significant induction of cleaved PARP expression and caspase-3 activation (Fig. 4B and C). Formally, it is possible that selection for PIK3CA wild-type isogenic clones had coselected for other background mutations that could confound these findings. To confirm that restored MEK dependence in these lines was specifically due to genetic knockout of mutant PIK3CA, we exogenously re-expressed the mutant PIK3CA gene into the HCT116 wild-type PIK3CA cells and tested their dependence on MEK/ERK signaling. Stable transfection of H1074R mutant PIK3CA into these cells restored resistance to MEK inhibition and prevented PARP cleavage and caspase-3 activation (Fig. 3C). Together, these results strongly suggest that mutant PIK3CA is necessary for the MEK-independent growth of mutant KRAS colon cancers in tissue culture and in vivo, and is consistent with studies using shRNA and PIK3CA inhibitors (18, 19).

**Sustained cyclin D expression and bypass of MEK inhibitor–induced G1 arrest correlates with MEK antagonist efficacy**

It is important to note that PI3K mutation, while inducing proliferative and apoptotic resistance to MEK inhibition, did not alter the activity of ERK. Thus, there might be PI3K pathway intersecting effector molecules further downstream of ERK. Our observation that cyclin D1 regulation correlates with MEK sensitivity, further supported by the work of Smalley and colleagues (31), which shows that increased cyclin D1 expression could mediate BRAF inhibitor resistance in BRAF mutant melanomas, led us to further explore whether cyclin D1 might be a candidate for one such downstream effector molecule. First, we transfected multiple cell lines with KRAS siRNA to determine whether MEK-dependent cyclin D1 expression was also dependent on the expression of KRAS. KRAS siRNA effectively reduced KRAS expression, resulting in marked inhibition of p-ERK (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S4A). In MEK-dependent cell lines, KRAS knockdown also resulted in a >90% decrease in AKT phosphorylation on S473 (p-AKT; Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S4A). In these cells, KRAS knockdown resulted in a >90% decrease in cyclin D1 expression, and...
average increase in the proportion of cells in G₁ from 50% to 82%, and average decrease in proportion of cells in S phase from 40% to 10% (Fig. 5A and B; Supplementary Fig. S4B). KRAS knockdown caused a similar decrease in p-ERK levels in MEK-independent PIK3CA mutant cell lines (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S4A). In contrast, KRAS knockdown in these cells had no effect on either AKT phosphorylation or cyclin D1 expression (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. S4A). Consistent with
the absence of cyclin D1 degradation, KRAS knockdown in these cells only slightly altered the fraction of cells in G₁ (an average increase from 55% to 60%) or S phase (an average decrease from 38% to 33%; Fig. 5B). Together, these data suggest that whereas cyclin D1 expression and G₁-S cell cycle progression are dependent on KRAS and MEK/ERK signaling, mutation of PIK3CA relieves this dependence.

To confirm that cyclin D1 expression and cell cycle progression is dependent on mutant PIK3CA in MEK-independent cell lines, we used the PIK3CA isogenic cell pairs in HCT116 to further explore this relationship. As expected, KRAS knockdown in cells lacking the mutant PIK3CA allele caused, in addition to downregulation of ERK phosphorylation, a marked downregulation of AKT phosphorylation and cyclin D1 expression.

Figure 4. PIK3CA mutation causes mutant KRAS colorectal tumor HCT116 to grow in vivo in a MEK-independent manner. A, mice with established HCT116 KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type (wt PIK3CA, red) and HCT116 KRAS mutant/PIK3CA mutant (mut PIK3CA, blue) xenografts were treated with 5 mg/kg of PD0325901 or vehicle only, 5 d/wk for the indicated number of days. The results are represented as in Fig. 2D. B and C, immunoblots of homogenized xenograft tissue after a single 5 mg/kg dose of PD0325901. Tumors were excised pretreatment and at the indicated times and split in half: one half was flash-frozen for immunoblot analysis with the indicated antibodies (B); the other half was formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded and used for tissue section preparation (C). Tissue sections (8 μm) were cut and stained with human specific antibody for cleaved PARP.
Consistent with the loss of cyclin D1 expression, these cells accumulated in G1 (from 45% to 81%; Fig. 5D) with a concomitant loss of cells in S phase (from 36% to 9%; Supplementary Fig. S4C). In contrast, potent KRAS knockdown with siRNA did not alter AKT phosphorylation or the expression of cyclin D1 and had only a marginal effect on cell cycle distribution in HCT116 cells bearing mutant PIK3CA (Fig. 5C and D; Supplementary Fig. S4C). This strongly suggests that resistance to MEK inhibition and diminished requirement for KRAS in KRAS mutant cells directly correlates with persistent activation of a PI3K/AKT signaling axis.

(Fig. 5C). Consistent with the loss of cyclin D1 expression, these cells accumulated in G1 (from 45% to 81%; Fig. 5D) with a concomitant loss of cells in S phase (from 36% to 9%; Supplementary Fig. S4C). In contrast, potent KRAS knockdown with siRNA did not alter AKT phosphorylation or the expression of cyclin D1 and had only a marginal effect on cell cycle distribution in HCT116 cells bearing mutant PIK3CA (Fig. 5C and D; Supplementary Fig. S4C). This strongly suggests that resistance to MEK inhibition and diminished requirement for KRAS in KRAS mutant cells directly correlates with persistent activation of a PI3K/AKT signaling axis.
Combined inhibition of both MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT pathways suppresses the growth of tumors with coexisting KRAS and PIK3CA mutations

Genetic ablation of PIK3CA mutation is sufficient to restore sensitivity to MEK inhibitors in KRAS/PIK3CA mutant cell lines. This implies that pharmacologic inhibition of the PI3K/AKT signaling axis might have similar effects. To explore this further, a potent and selective inhibitor of AKT1 and AKT2 (AKTi-1/2; refs. 22–25) was used to block signaling downstream of mutant PIK3CA. Mice bearing KRAS/PIK3CA mutant HCT15 tumor xenografts were treated with the MEK inhibitor PD0325901 and AKTi-1/2 alone or in combination. Daily treatments with either 5 mg/kg of PD0325901 or 100 mg/kg of AKTi-1/2 as single agents showed no significant effects on tumor growth. However, when both inhibitors were administered in combination, the growth of tumor xenografts was profoundly abrogated (Fig. 6A). Chronic administration of both drugs together was well tolerated (Supplementary Fig. S5). In mice treated with either inhibitor alone, the expected pathway inhibitory effect was achieved, as evident by downregulation of p-ERK with PD0325901 and p-AKT with AKTi-1/2 (Fig. 6B).

Neither agent alone resulted in elevated apoptosis, as judged by cleaved PARP. However, the dual inhibition of MEK and AKT signaling with both inhibitors cooperated to induce a profound accumulation of cleaved PARP, suggesting that the combination therapy induced apoptosis (Fig. 6B). Using terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase–mediated nick end labeling staining (32) as an alternate measure of apoptosis, significant staining of xenograft tissue was only seen in the presence of both inhibitors (Fig. 6C). Consistent with experiments using genetic ablation of mutant PIK3CA signaling, these data indicate that the concurrent pharmacologic inhibition of both the PI3K/AKT and MEK/ERK pathways is an effective strategy to overcome the resistance to inhibiting either pathway alone in cancer cells bearing both KRAS and PIK3CA mutant alleles.

Discussion

Oncogenic activation of RAS isoforms by mutation is a highly recurrent phenomenon in human tumors. Although mutant RAS is an attractive target for therapy, efforts to develop pharmacologic inhibitors have thus far been unsuccessful. This has
led to efforts focused on inhibiting KRAS-dependent transformation by targeting downstream effector pathways. Although more than 10 families of RAS effector proteins have been identified (4), there is strong evidence that at least three of these, the RAF, PI3K, and the RAL exchange factors, play predominant roles in mediating its transforming effects (5–8). This suggests that multiple effector pathways downstream of RAS must be blocked to achieve a therapeutic effect. Indeed, recent research has identified that dual inhibition of both the MEK (downstream of RAF) and PI3K kinase effector pathways is essential to cause the regression of genetically engineered KRAS mutant tumors in mice (18). However, in human cancer cell lines, our results show that at least a subset of KRAS mutant tumors, particularly those wild-type for PIK3CA, retain sensitivity to MEK inhibition as a monotherapy, consistent with another recent report (19). We observe that KRAS mutant and PIK3CA wild-type cancer cells show a decrease in both p-ERK and p-AKT signaling as well as growth inhibition through cyclin D1 degradation and G1 arrest in vitro and in vivo. In human cancers, the situation will undoubtedly be more complex, and the question remains: how can MEK inhibitor–sensitive and –resistant tumors be identified in patient populations? Our results indicate that p-ERK is a poor biomarker on its own. Because both mutant KRAS and PIK3CA signaling may converge on cyclin D1 stability and G1-S cell cycle transition, the rapid and persistent loss of cyclin D1 could be an important biomarker for the efficacy of MEK inhibition in cancers, a notion consistent with a recent publication which showed that increased cyclin D1 expression could mediate RAF inhibitor resistance (31).

It is important to note that although all identified MEK inhibitor–sensitive cancer cells were KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type, not all KRAS mutant/PIK3CA wild-type cancer cells were MEK inhibitor–sensitive. Interestingly, the downstream signaling features present in PIK3CA mutant tumors (e.g., stabilized cyclin D1 expression) are retained in these inhibitor-resistant cells (Fig. 1B), suggesting that as-yet unidentified genetic lesions that generate MEK resistance in KRAS mutant tumors remain to be discovered. For example, SW1990 and H2030 cells, despite having wild-type PIK3CA and PTEN, have augmented PIK3CA/akt pathway activation, as evident by higher expression of phosphorylated AKT in these cells (Supplementary Fig. S1B). This upregulation of AKT pathway signaling could possibly be due to mutations in other elements of PIK3CA signaling (33–35) and might be the basis for resistance in MEK inhibitor–insensitive PIK3CA/PTEN wild-type cell lines such as SW1990 and H2030. However, because p-AKT levels do not seem to be predictive of resistance across all the cell lines, PIK3CA mutation remains the strongest predictor. Alternatively, it is also plausible that common downstream effectors of KRAS and PIK3CA which serve as integrators of signaling could also be mutated. Identification of these mutations and downstream signaling pathways will undoubtedly aid in our understanding of the common signaling pathways upon which KRAS and PIK3CA converge.

There is currently no therapeutic agent that directly inhibits KRAS function. The results reported here have important implications for the development of treatments for tumors with mutant RAS. Inhibition of MEK/ERK signaling may be useful in some of these patients as a single modality. However, only tumors with a wild-type PIK3CA pathway would be likely to respond to MEK/ERK inhibition alone. In early trials of MEK inhibitors, clinical responses were noted in a patient with pancreatic cancer and in a patient with mutant NRAS melanoma, suggesting that in some cases, MEK inhibition could indeed have single-agent efficacy in humans (36–38). However, our results suggest that patients with coexistent PIK3CA pathway mutations should be excluded from such trials. Instead, our data with in vivo xenograft models suggest that patients with such tumors would require combined inhibition of both the MEK/ERK and PI3K/akt pathways to observe efficacy. Because the RAF/MEK/ERK and PI3K/AKT pathways are two key RAS effector pathways, the combined inhibition of MEK and AKT might constitute a general “anti-RAS” therapeutic strategy. Regardless of PIK3CA mutational status, this strategy could be useful in cancers with mutated RAS (pancreatic, colon, lung carcinoma) for which there are few and only marginally effective therapies. The tolerability of the combined inhibition of AKT and MEK and its marked effects on reducing tumor growth in mouse models suggest that such a strategy could be useful in a variety of advanced tumors bearing both RAS and PIK3CA mutations.

Why do tumors mutate multiple oncogenes that seem to converge on similar downstream signaling events? We speculate that part of the selection for the second mutation is to reduce a cell’s dependency on any one pathway and provide redundancy to reduce oncogene addiction, perhaps in the face of environmental cues such as hypoxia or low nutrient conditions that attenuate tumor growth. The downstream convergence of PI3K/AKT and ERK signaling might account for the significant frequency of coexistent mutations in these pathways in cancer. In fact, the requirement for combined inhibition suggests that the two pathways activate converging targets that integrate their function. These integrators, for example, may include components of the networks that regulate cellular survival and apoptosis (39), protein translation (40), or cell cycle (such as cyclin D1).
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