Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts Enhance the Gland-Forming Capability of Prostate Cancer Stem Cells
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Abstract

Signals originating from cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAF) may positively regulate proliferation and tumorigenicity in prostate cancer. In this study, we investigated whether CAFs may regulate the biology of prostate cancer stem cells (CSC) by using a conditional Pten deletion mouse model of prostate adenocarcinoma to isolate both CAF cultures and CSC-enriched cell fractions from the tumors. CSCs that were isolated possessed self-renewal, spheroid-forming, and multipotential differentiation activities in tissue culture, segregating with a cell fraction exhibiting a signature expression phenotype, including SCA-1 (high), CD49f (high), CK5 (high), p63 (high), Survivin (high), RUNX2 (high), CD44 (low), CD133 (low), CK18 (low), and Androgen Receptor (low). CSC spheroid-forming efficiency was differentially influenced by the nature of fibroblasts in a coculture system: Compared with mouse urogenital sinus mesenchyme or normal prostate fibroblasts, CAFs enhanced spheroid formation, with the spheroids displaying generally larger sizes and more complex histology. Graft experiments showed that CSCs admixed with CAFs produced prostatic glandular structures with more numerous lesions, high proliferative index, and tumor-like histopathologies, compared with those formed in the presence of normal prostate fibroblasts. Together, our findings underscore a significant role of CAFs in CSC biology. Cancer Res; 70(18); 7294-303. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

The important contribution of stroma to the genesis and progression of a variety of tumors has been described (1–4). Stromal cells are known to stimulate epithelial cell growth through their ability to produce extracellular matrix and by secretion of growth factors and cytokines, and to support angiogenesis (1, 2, 5). There is evidence that fibroblastic cells from prostate tumors, known as “cancer-associated” fibroblasts (CAF), can enhance the tumorigenic potential of the epithelial compartment (6, 7). Over the past 5 years, in the field of solid tumors, enormous attention has been placed on the study of cancer stem cells (CSC). CSCs are considered to be a minor population of tumor-initiating or tumorigenic cells within the tumor that can self-renew while simultaneously giving rise to tumor cells. Their stem cell-like properties may be responsible for solid tumor initiation, homeostasis, progression, metastasis, and recurrence (8–11). Because many of the tumor cells have only limited proliferation ability and are nontumorigenic, CSCs might be central to the mechanisms in the cancer. Recent studies in solid tumors have shown the findings of such cells in brain, breast, colon, lung, liver, pancreas, ovarian, head and neck, melanoma, and prostate cancers (9, 12–19). Considering the known role of fibroblasts of the tumor microenvironment in cancer, it is now important to ask if these cells serve critical functions in the biology of CSCs.

Supported by our ability to follow progression, regression, and relapse of prostate cancer in living mice (20) along with the ability to obtain primary cultures of CAFs at specific stages of growth of the tumor (21), we are set to begin a critical analysis of the effects of CAFs on CSCs isolated from the same tumors. In this report, we first describe isolation and characterization of a small subpopulation of epithelial cells, enriched for putative CSCs from prostate adenocarcinomas of the conditional Pten deletion mouse model (20), and then show that CAFs isolated from these tumors can significantly support and potentiate the stemness and growth properties of the CSCs present in the isolated epithelial subpopulations.

Materials and Methods

Animals

The conditional Pten deletion mouse model with simultaneous activation of the luciferase reporter (cPten−/−L) used in the current work was described previously (20). For tissue grafting, non-obese diabetic severe-combined immunodeficient (NOD-SCID) mice, purchased from National Cancer Institute (Frederick, MD), were used.
Cell sorting

Single-cell suspensions from minced prostate tissues were obtained following the published protocol (20). For magnetic cell sorting, the cells were stained with biotinylated "Lin" antibodies (against CD45, CD31, and TER119; BD Bioscience; 0.1 μg/10^6 cells) for 10 minutes on ice. After washing with the cell staining buffer, the Lin- cell fraction was separated from Lin+ cells using the DYNAV CELLection Biotin Binder Kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Lin SCA-1+ cells were separated from other cells in the Lin- fraction using the same kit and biotinylated SCA-1 antibody (Biolegend). For fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), cells were stained with biotinylated Lin antibodies followed by phycoerythrin (PE)/Cy5-conjugated streptavidin (Biolegend; 0.2 μg/10^6 cells), PE/Cy5-conjugated SCA-1 antibody (Biolegend; 0.1 μg/10^6 cells), and PE-conjugated CD49f antibody (Biolegend; 0.25 μg/10^6 cells). Stained cells were then examined using BD FACSaria Cell Sorting System with BD FACSDiva software.

Assays for spheroid formation

Culturing and passaging conditions were adapted and modified from published protocols (22–24). Briefly, sorted prostate cells were counted and suspended in 1:1 Matrigel (BD Bioscience)/PrEGM (Lonza) in a total volume of 250 μL. The mixture was placed in a well of a 24-well plate, solidified at 37°C, and then cultured in PrEGM. Stromal cells were seeded inside an insert (pore size 8.0-μm; BD Bioscience) above the Matrigel. This mixture was cultured at 37°C, and half of PrEGM was changed every 3 days. Spheroids were counted at 14 days after plating. For serial passages, spheroids were trypsinized (Sigma; 1 mg/mL) and DNaseI (Sigma; 1 μg/mL) for 10 minutes on ice. After washing with the cell staining buffer, the Lin+ cell fraction was separated from Lin- cells using the DYNAV CELLection Biotin Binder Kit (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer's protocol. Lin SCA-1+ cells were separated from other cells in the Lin- fraction using the same kit and biotinylated SCA-1 antibody (Biolegend). For fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), cells were stained with biotinylated Lin antibodies followed by phycoerythrin (PE)/Cy5-conjugated streptavidin (Biolegend; 0.2 μg/10^6 cells), PE/Cy5-conjugated SCA-1 antibody (Biolegend; 0.1 μg/10^6 cells), and PE-conjugated CD49f antibody (Biolegend; 0.25 μg/10^6 cells). Stained cells were then examined using BD FACSaria Cell Sorting System with BD FACSDiva software.

Renal grafting

Epithelial cells with or without stromal cells were mixed in 50 μL neutralized rat tail collagen type I (BD Bioscience) and placed in the middle part of a well of a 12-well plate. The grafts were cultured at 37°C overnight in a medium (26) containing 90% DMEM, 5% FBS, 5% Nu-Serum, 5 μg/mL insulin, 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 0.5 μg/mL testosterone before transplating under the renal capsules of 8- to 12-week-old NOD:SCID male mice.

PCR analyses

Total cellular RNA (100 ng), extracted by RNAqueous-Micro Kit (Ambion), was reverse transcribed by random hexamers using qScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta), and the reverse transcription reaction (1 μL) was then subjected to PCR amplification using FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (Roche). PCR signals were recorded and analyzed in Stratagene MX3000P qPCR system with MxPro software (Stratagene; v4.01). For DNA quantitative PCR, genomic DNA was extracted by Pico Pure DNA Extraction Kit (Arcturus). DNA sample (100 ng) was mixed with the primer set and FastStart Universal SYBR Green Master (Roche). PCR reactions were performed and analyzed using the same qPCR system and software. Primer sets are listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Statistical analysis

All data are presented as means ± SEM. Statistical calculations were done with Microsoft Excel analysis tools. Differences between individual groups were analyzed by paired t test or χ^2, as appropriate. P values of <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

In vitro stem cell properties

Lin SCA-1- (LS) cells isolated from either prostate tumors (T) or the prostatic proximal region of normal (N) prostates were tested for their growth in a modified Matrigel colony assay system (27, 28). As shown in Fig. 1A, epithelial cells (10^5) were mixed with 50% Matrigel in the well, and primary stromal cells (10^6), namely, UGSM, NPF, or CAF, were seeded into the inserts placed above the Matrigel layer. Spheroids formed from T-LS cells, in general, seemed to be larger than spheroids from N-LS cells (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Fig. S1A). Frequently, T-LS spheroids also presented a dense structure in the intralumen region (Fig. 1B). Although the influence of UGSM cells and NPFs on spheroid morphology was not found to be significantly different from one another, CAFs seemed to exert a profound effect on spheroid morphology as seen by their larger, denser, and complex appearance (Fig. 1B–D). This effect of CAFs was seen on both N-LS and T-LS cells. N-LS spheroids grown with UGSM cells or NPFs had two distinct cell layers containing either p63+ cells or CK8+ cells (Fig. 1D, top). The sizes of N-LS spheroids cocultured with CAFs were generally larger than those formed...
in the presence of UGSM cells or NPFs. Cells with coexpression of p63 and CK8 were found to be increased in T-LS spheroids compared with N-LS spheroids (Supplementary Fig. S1B). T-LS spheroids cocultured with CAFs showed not only enlarged size and p63 and CK8 double-positive cells in the inner cell layer but also multiple layers of CK8+ cells in the intralumen region (Fig. 1D, bottom). Additionally, it was noted that the proportion of CK8+ cells was increased in the spheroids of either N-LS or T-LS origin by CAFs compared with NPFs. Moreover, in T-LS spheroids, the proportion of p63+ cells was decreased in the presence of CAFs compared with NPFs, whereas the effect was opposite in N-LS spheroids (Supplementary Fig. S1B). Together, the results suggested that LS cells in the tumor model, like the normal prostate-derived LS cells, might have the multipotentiality to differentiate to other cell types, along with a unique attribute to form tumor-like glandular structures in vitro, especially when exposed to paracrine signaling molecules from CAFs.

Along with the selection for SCA-1 marker, single cells from normal proximal prostatic tissues or tumors were also enriched based on another putative murine stem cell surface marker, CD49f (27). Cells separated by Lin (Fig. 2A, left) showed the percentage of Lin− cells in the whole input cell portion to be 78.8% in normal prostatic tissue that was collected from a mouse 12 months of age, and 45.4% in the prostate tumor from an age-matched Cpten−/−L mouse. The Lin− fraction was then sorted according to the expression levels of SCA-1 and CD49f. The SCA-1 and CD49f double-positive cells were named LSC cells, and the total remainder as LSC− cells (Fig. 2A, right). The percentage of LSC cells in the Lin− cell population in this normal prostatic tissue was 8.6% (7.27 ± 4.85%, mean from six animals), whereas that in the tumor counterpart was 45.5% (52.37 ± 7.74%, mean from seven animals), a 7-fold increase (P < 0.01) in the tumor tissue (Fig. 2B). When LSC or LSC− cells isolated from the same tumor were assayed, the spheroid-forming ability of T-LSC cells, not T-LSC− cells, could be significantly increased when cocultured with stromal cells (Fig. 2C). The efficiency of spheroid formation by T-LSC cells increased by ~3-fold in the presence of normal primary stromal cells, UGSM cells (P < 0.01), or NPFs (P < 0.05), but by 5.4-fold when T-LSC cells were grown with CAFs (P < 0.01). There was no significant difference between UGSM cells and NPFs in this stimulatory effect. Similar results were obtained from the analyses of T-LSCs from two other tumors, comparing the effect of NPFs and CAFs (P < 0.05) as shown in Supplementary Fig. S1C. For the analysis of self-renewal ability, spheroids formed from T-LSC cells were dissociated and reseeded in fresh Matrigel, keeping the input epithelial and stromal cell numbers the same. This serial propagation was repeated another four times. The differences in the influence of UGSM cells, NPFs, or CAFs on spheroid formation in these subsequent generations (G2 to G5) were variable. UGSM cells and NPFs were better than CAFs at G2 and G3, and CAFs were approximately equal to NPFs but better than UGSM cells at G4. Compared with UGSM cells or NPFs, CAFs seemed to be more efficient at G5 (Fig. 2D). At G4 and G5, the number of spheroids formed decreased from that in G3 when grown with UGSM cells or NPFs. However, the T-LSC cells with CAFs displayed a continued trend in increase with serial passages. Although stromal cells, in general, enhanced the spheroid-forming efficiency of T-LSC in the first generation, it remains to be determined if T-LSC alone may exhibit a different growth potential at subsequent generations.
We isolated a subpopulation of LSC that expressed the highest levels of CD49f, which we marked as LSC hi. The remainder was marked as LSC me, denoting a medium level of CD49f expression (Fig. 3A, right). The FACS plot on the left of Fig. 3A showed the gating that was used to demarcate LSC− cells. In this tumor collected from a 12-month-old mouse, T-LSC hi cells constituted 2.3% of the T-Lin− cell population. Analysis of results from 6 normal prostate and 11 prostate tumors indicated that the content of LSC hi cells increased from 0.73 ± 0.38% in normal to 3.39 ± 1.68% in the tumor subpopulation (Fig. 3B). T-LSC hi, not T-LSC me, cells were found capable of forming spheroids. The spheroid-forming efficiency of T-LSC hi that was grown with UGSM cells significantly increased to 2.4- and 5.0-fold when UGSM cells were replaced by NPFs and CAFs, respectively (P < 0.01; Fig. 3C).

Gland-forming potential of the cell subpopulations

To determine the tissue regeneration characteristics, grafts were produced by mixing T-LSC hi, T-LSC me, or T-LSC− cells with UGSM cells for transplanting under renal capsules. The H&E staining results of the representative tissue sections from grafts collected after 10 weeks are shown in Fig. 4A. Grafts from T-LSC hi cells displayed prostatic glandular structures containing multiple compact cell layers having a tumor-like histology. Cells located in the structures showed enlarged nuclei (Fig. 4C; H&E). The incidence of glandular structure formation by T-LSC hi cells was 100% (Table 1). However, no such structures were found in grafts formed from T-LSC me cells. Two of six grafts formed from T-LSC− cells contained small glandular structures with multiple cell layers. Immunohistochemical staining confirmed that the grafts formed from T-LSC hi...
cells with UGSM cells were mostly composed of AR-, CK8-, and CRE-positive cells (Fig. 4C; Supplementary Fig. S2C). Because UGSM cells were prepared from embryos lacking the Cre transgene, any contaminating epithelial cells therein should also be negative for CRE staining. We detected CRE staining in all (100%) of the eight glandular structures from three different grafts examined in detail. Practically all cells (98–100%) within the structures stained for CRE expression (data not shown). Thus, it was considered unlikely that the structures formed from T-LSChi were derived from contaminating epithelial cells present in the UGSM preparation. To determine whether T-LSChi cells alone could have tumorigenic potential, grafts containing only tumor T-LSChi cells (10⁴), without UGSM cells, were prepared and transplanted into four animals. A single glandular structure was found in one of these grafts. The lesion in this graft resembled murine prostate intraepithelial neoplasia with multiple layers of cells having enlarged nuclei (Fig. 4C, top row). The majority of the cells inside this glandular structure were AR and CK8 positive, with a few also displaying proliferation (Ki67 positivity).

The in vivo effects of NPFs and CAFs were also examined. Grafts formed from these cells alone did not contain any detectable glandular structures (Fig. 4B). Eight of 11 grafts formed from T-LSChi and NPFs were found to contain glandular structures (Table 1; Fig. 4B), and their sizes were variable. All grafts (11 of 11) generated from T-LSChi and CAFs were found to form glandular structures and, like those from NPF, were also of varied sizes. However, as illustrated in Supplementary Fig. S2A, the number of these structures detected within a graft was higher with CAFs (4–10) than with NPFs (2–4). An estimation of the areas covered by the glandular structures in each graft showed that the cumulative values were larger in the presence of CAFs than NPFs (P < 0.05). These results are shown in Supplementary Fig. S2B. Immunohistochemical staining of sections of these grafts for AR, CK8, and Ki67 are shown in Fig. 4C, and for NKX3.1, CK5, vimentin, and CRE in Supplementary Fig. S2D. In general, the expression of AR, CK8, and NKX3.1, and CRE was detected in the majority of the cells within the structures having a small number of cells staining for CK5. The NKX3.1 staining results implied that the CSC under study could differentiate into NKX3.1-expressing cells, which might be the same as the luminal epithelial cells because all prostatic luminal cells express NKX3.1. Vimentin-positive fibroblastic cells were detected mostly outside the structures, although a few could...
also be detected inside in the case of T-LSChi + CAF structures (Supplementary Fig. S2D). We also detected a large number of proliferative cells with Ki67 expression in these glandular structures, and the proliferation index in T-LSChi + CAFs was found to be approximately 3.5-fold higher than that in T-LSChi + NPFs (Supplementary Fig. S2C).

Analysis of selected gene expression and Pten deletion in tumor LSChi cells

For further characterization of the tumor T-LSChi cells, RNA expression of specific candidate genes in T-LSChi, T-LSClone, and T-LSCre cells was examined. We analyzed the expression of markers for the luminal cell (CK18), basal cell (CK5 and p63), and AR in the three subpopulations of cells. Real-time quantitative PCR values obtained from each PCR reaction were normalized to that of β-actin. The mean ratio of a given gene expression relative to β-actin in LSC− cells was set as 1, and the expression levels in LSChi or LSCme cells were calculated as fold changes relative to that in LSC− cells. The results showed that T-LSChi cells expressed higher CK5 and p63 but lower CK18, compared with T-LSClone cells, which in turn seemed to express higher levels of CK5, p63, and CK18 than T-LSCre cells. Of the three cell subpopulations, T-LSChi cells also expressed the lowest levels of AR (Fig. 5A). Similar RNA expression patterns were also observed in N-LSChi, N-LSCme, and N-LSC− cells, indicating that T-LSChi shared traits with their normal counterparts (Fig. 5B). Recognizing that CD44 and CD133 were described earlier as putative surface markers for human normal and prostate CSCs (19, 28), and CD133 was also described as an epithelial stem cell marker in murine prostate (29), we examined the expression of these genes in the three subpopulations. Contrary to our expectation, we detected the highest RNA levels of CD44 and CD133 in the T-LSClone cells followed by T-LSChi and T-LSCre cells (Fig. 5C, left). We also analyzed the expression of tumor-related genes Survivin, Runx2, and Grp78. We recently described that Survivin and RUNX2 are highly expressed in both mouse and human prostate cancers (30, 31), and that loss of GRP78 can inhibit the progression of prostate cancer in the Pten deletion mouse model of prostate cancer (32). A high level of expression of both Survivin and Runx2 genes...
was detected in T-LSC<sub>hi</sub> and T-LSC<sub>me</sub> cells compared with T-LSC<sub>−</sub> cells. In contrast, Grp78 expression in the T-LSC<sub>−</sub> cells was higher than in the other two cell subpopulations (Fig. 5D), implying that GRP78 might be more relevant to terminally differentiated cancer cells in the model.

Next, we attempted to determine the Pten allelic status in the T-LSC<sub>hi</sub> cells. For this purpose, genomic DNA extracted from tumor T-LSC<sub>hi</sub> and T-LSC<sub>−</sub> cells, and also from N-LSC<sub>hi</sub> cells derived from a normal prostate of a littermate control animal (with floxed Pten transgene), was subjected to real-time quantitative PCR. The pair of primers (PtenEX5-forward and PtenEX5-Reverse) used is located inside Pten exon 5, which is flanked by two LoxP sites. In the event Cre recombination occurred in all cells of the T-LSC<sub>hi</sub> subpopulation, no PCR product would be expected. PCR values obtained from each PCR reaction were normalized to that of tubulin. The mean ratio of the DNA extracted from N-LSC<sub>hi</sub> relative to tubulin was set as 100% because these mice did not have Cre gene to induce Pten exon 5 deletion. The results showed that compared with N-LSC<sub>hi</sub> cells, 43.9 ± 4.2% of Pten alleles in T-LSC<sub>−</sub> cells (P < 0.01) contained exon 5, and this number decreased to 33.5 ± 2.5% (P < 0.05) in T-LSC<sub>hi</sub> cells (Fig. 3D). Thus, it seemed that approximately two-thirds of Pten alleles lost their exon 5 in T-LSC<sub>−</sub> cells. It could not, however, be discerned if the majority of the cells had both alleles or one allele deleted, and what might be the deletion status in the various cell types segregating as T-LSC<sub>hi</sub> cells.

Discussion

It is becoming increasingly clear that normal tissue stem cells are localized in a defined microenvironment that provides specific factors for the maintenance of the properties of the stem cells as well as for the regulation of a balance between proliferation, differentiation, and quiescence of these cells (33–36). In prostate cancer, there is strong evidence that signals originating from CAFs could significantly enhance the tumorigenicity of cancer cells. As a central role for CSCs is being ascribed for tumor homeostasis and progression (37–39), we wished to inquire if CAFs may regulate the biology of prostate CSCs. This is a critical question for the hypothesis that terminally differentiated cancer cells may have only limited proliferation ability, and CSCs, with asymmetric division to both self-renew and differentiate, may indeed be responsible for the growth and progression of the tumor. In this report, we describe a mouse model of prostate adenocarcinoma from which CSC-enriched epithelial cells were derived to examine the effects of CAFs that were also generated from the tumors of the same model.

Interest in this study is 3-fold. First, a modified method is described for the isolation of epithelial cell fractions retaining a small number of cells with properties of putative CSCs. A cell fraction from this tumor model is shown to possess self-renewal and spheroid-forming abilities along with multipotentiality for differentiation in vitro, and the ability to form tumor-like glandular structures in vivo under appropriate conditions. The selection for tumor cells (T-LSC<sup>me</sup>) with high levels of expression of both SCA-1 and CD49f surface markers seems to discriminate between these cells and those with high SCA-1 and medium CD49f levels (T-LSC<sup>hi</sup>). Whereas a cell fraction contained in the T-LSC<sup>me</sup> subpopulation displays spheroid-forming ability and the capability to generate prostate glandular structures, the T-LSC<sup>me</sup> subpopulation is practically devoid of these capabilities. The CSC-enriched T-LSC<sup>hi</sup> is still mostly composed of non-CSC epithelial cells as evident from the efficiency of in vitro spheroid-forming ability, although it is likely that viability of all cells in the subfractions may not withstand the steps used for the isolation. The bulk of the cells in the T-LSC<sup>hi</sup> compartment may represent transit-amplifying cells and terminally differentiated cells, thus indicating that the markers used, such as SCA-1 and CD49f, are shared with non-CSC cells. However, the use of these markers in a quantitative manner, as shown here, contributes to enrichment of prostate CSCs from the tumors of this mouse model.

Second, we have observed a significant difference in the pattern of relative expression of certain relevant genes in the T-LSC<sup>hi</sup> and T-LSC<sup>me</sup> subpopulations. Although the expression of basal cell markers CK5 and p63 is stronger in T-LSC<sup>hi</sup> relative to T-LSC<sup>me</sup>, the T-LSC<sup>me</sup> fraction is found to express higher levels of CK18 and AR compared with T-LSC<sup>hi</sup>, although the level of AR is significantly reduced in both subgroups compared with T-LSC<sup>−</sup> cells. The same general pattern is found in the respective subpopulations.

### Table 1. Detection of prostatic glandular structures in grafts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Epithelial subpopulations</th>
<th>Primary stromal cells</th>
<th>Incidence (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>UGSM</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>CAE</td>
<td>0/3 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC&lt;sup&gt;−&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>UGSM</td>
<td>2/6 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC&lt;sup&gt;me&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>UGSM</td>
<td>0/5 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC&lt;sup&gt;hi&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1/4 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC&lt;sub&gt;−&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>UGSM</td>
<td>6/6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC&lt;sub&gt;me&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>NPF</td>
<td>8/11 (72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSC&lt;sub&gt;hi&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>CAE</td>
<td>11/11 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Grafts containing tumor epithelial subpopulation (10<sup>4</sup>) and a type of primary stromal cells (10<sup>4</sup>) were transplanted under kidney capsules. After 10 weeks, each animal was sacrificed, the kidney with the graft was isolated and fixed, and then thin tissue sections were stained to determine the presence or absence of microscopically detectable glandular structures in the grafts. Results of statistical evaluation of the difference in incidence of detection of glandular structures between two marked groups are shown: *, P < 0.05; *, P < 0.01; or NS, not significant.
from the normal mouse prostate. Thus, it seems that the CSC-enriched fraction from the prostate tumor of the Pten deletion model contains cells with the characteristics of the similarly enriched fraction from the normal mouse prostate. Two other putative epithelial stem cell markers were examined. The levels of each of the CD44 and CD133 transcripts seem to be significantly higher in the T-LSC\textsuperscript{me} subpopulation of the tumors relative to either T-LSC\textsuperscript{hi} or T-LSC\textsuperscript{−} groups of cells, implying that these two markers may not characterize the CSCs of the tumors in the Pten deletion mouse model. We also examined the expression levels of three cancer-related genes, Survivin, Runx2, and Grp78. Survivin, a member of the inhibitor of apoptosis protein family, is highly expressed in human cancer (40). In the conditional Pten deletion mice, we showed a strong correlation between increased levels of Runx2 transcription factor with the growth of the tumor (31), an observation that is very similar to what we described for Survivin protein levels in the same model (30). Moreover, Runx2 seems to be a major regulator of Survivin gene transcription in prostate cancer cells (31). GRP78, a major endoplasmic reticulum chaperone, is reported to be highly induced in a wide range of tumors, including prostate cancer (41). We previously described that loss of GRP78 in the prostatic epithelium can prevent prostate tumor formation in the Pten deletion model (32).

Here, we found that whereas Grp78 expression is higher in the T-LSC\textsuperscript{−} population compared with either T-LSC\textsuperscript{hi} or T-LSC\textsuperscript{me} subpopulations, the pattern is opposite in the case of Survivin or Runx2. Survivin and Runx2 are expressed in both T-LSC\textsuperscript{hi} and T-LSC\textsuperscript{me} groups of cells at levels even higher than the bulk of the cancer cells represented in the T-LSC\textsuperscript{−} fraction. Based on these results, we project that high levels of expression of Survivin and Runx2 might be associated with both CSCs and transit-amplifying cells as it is with many cancer cells. However, this contention remains to be tested at the level of individual cells, a task that is difficult at this time in the absence of definitive markers for the cell types under study.

Third, for the first time, we show that the spheroid-forming efficiency of the CSC-enriched cells is differentially influenced by fibroblasts in cocultures. The modified spheroid-forming coculture system we used has the promise to be a powerful method to facilitate the studies of paracrine signaling in interactions between stromal fibroblasts and CSCs. Because fibroblasts are located on the insert above the Matrigel layer, there is no direct cell-cell contact between the two cell groups in this system. An important finding from such analysis is that compared with UGSM cells or NPFs, CAFs enhance spheroid formation in the first generation by approximately 2-fold. In vivo, the grafts grown from the T-LSC\textsuperscript{hi} cells are found to contain multiple glandular structures in each case, although...
grafts formed with CAFs seem to exhibit higher proliferative index compared with those formed with NPFs. The observations with CAFs underscore a role of CAFs in CSC biology, and open up possibilities for identifying the responsible molecular interactions.

In summary, our study describes a refined process aimed to enrich the putative CSC population using the surface marker phenotype of Lin-SCA-1<sup>hi</sup>CD49f<sup>+</sup> from the prostate adenocarcinomas of the <i>Pten</i> deletion model, and shows that such cells have the capacity to form tumor-like structures in spheroids <em>in vitro</em> and grafts <em>in vivo</em>. The CSCs seem to retain properties of normal tissue stem cells, such as the potential to self-renew and to generate differentiated progenies. Most notably, we present evidence that CAFs could enhance both the stemness and growth potentials of the CSCs. It is likely that these new clues could be further developed to better understand the biology of CSCs in prostate cancer and, potentially, in cancers in general.
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