The RB-E2F1 Pathway Regulates Autophagy
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Abstract
Autophagy is a protective mechanism that renders cells viable in stressful conditions. Emerging evidence suggests that this cellular process is also a tumor suppressor pathway. Previous studies showed that cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CDKI) induce autophagy. Whether retinoblastoma protein (RB), a key tumor suppressor and downstream target of CDKIs, induces autophagy is not clear. Here, we show that RB triggers autophagy and that the RB activators p16INK4a and p27kip1 induce autophagy in an RB-dependent manner. RB binding to E2 transcription factor (E2F) is required for autophagy induction and E2F1 antagonizes RB-induced autophagy, leading to apoptosis. Downregulation of E2F1 in cells results in high levels of autophagy. Our findings indicate that RB induces autophagy by repressing E2F1 activity. We speculate that this newly discovered aspect of RB function is relevant to cancer development and therapy.

Introduction
Autophagy is a cellular process that engulfs organelles and cytoplasmic contents to digest and recycle these materials to sustain cellular metabolism (1). In addition to providing a basic catabolic function, autophagy is also used by the cell to cope with stressful conditions to improve survival (2). Emerging evidence suggests that autophagy is a tumor suppressor pathway (2, 3), although the detailed mechanisms governing this pathway are largely unknown. Indeed, several tumor suppressor proteins, including phosphatase and tensin homologue (PTEN) and p53 (2), induce autophagy. Conversely, multiple oncogenes, including Bcl-2 and the AKT/target of rapamycin (TOR) pathway, inhibit autophagy (2). Of particular importance, the inactivation of several key autophagy regulators, such as Beclin 1 and ATG4, results in spontaneous tumors (4) or increases the formation of tumors by carcinogens in mice (5).

Retinoblastoma protein (RB) is considered the prototype of tumor suppressor proteins, and as such, inactivation of RB is found in an ample array of cancers (6). In tumors with wild-type RB gene, RB is frequently inactivated by the abnormal expression of activators, such as the p16INK4a (p16) protein, and repressors, including cyclin D1 and cyclin-dependent kinase-4 (6). Interestingly, loss of RB in cancer cells leads to increased sensitivity to therapy (7–9) and activation of RB through transfer of p16 increases chemoresistance (10). The mechanisms underlying this RB-mediated resistance are not completely understood. Recently, autophagy has been connected to resistance to cancer therapy (2). On the basis of previous studies in which cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CDKI), activators of RB, were shown to induce autophagy (11, 12), we hypothesized that RB, a key tumor suppressor and downstream target of CDKIs, induces autophagy.

Moreover, the physical interaction between RB and E2 transcription factor 1 (E2F1) is perhaps the best-understood mechanism of how RB functions. These two proteins play opposite roles in the regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis. RB promotes growth arrest, whereas E2F1 induces apoptosis (7), whereas E2F1 positively regulates the transition from the G1 to the S phase (13); RB protects from apoptosis (7), whereas E2F1 induces apoptosis (14). Thus, we asked whether RB and E2F1 also play antagonistic roles in the regulation of autophagy.

In this study, we examined the role of RB/E2F1 in the regulation of autophagy. Our data indicate that RB induces autophagy by repressing E2F1 activity, and E2F1 antagonizes RB activity to prevent autophagy.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture
Human osteosarcoma (U-2 OS), sarcoma osteogenic (Saos-2), hepatoma (Hep3B), and glioblastoma-astrocytoma (U-87 MG) cells (American Type Culture Collection) were cultured in DMEM/F-12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Hyclone Laboratories, Inc.), 100 μg/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin (Invitrogen). The 293 cell line (Qbiogene, Inc.) was cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and antibiotics. Brain tumor (MDNSC23) stem cells were
established from acute cell dissociation of surgical specimens of human glioblastoma multiforme and maintained in DMEM/F-12 supplemented with B27 (Invitrogen), epidermal growth factor, and basic fibroblast growth factor (20 ng/mL each; Sigma-Aldrich) according to procedures described elsewhere (15).

Reagents and antibodies

Acridine orange was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Rabbit polyclonal p16 (N-20), rabbit polyclonal E2F1 (C-20), and goat polyclonal actin (I-19) antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. Rabbit polyclonal Bcl-2, light chain 3B (LC3B), and Beclin 1 antibodies were obtained from Cell Signaling Technology. Mouse monoclonal RB was obtained from Abcam, and monoclonal anti-α-tubulin (B-5-1-2) was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich.

Adenoviruses

To construct recombinant adenoviruses expressing RB mutants, we made the Δ22 deletion (amino acids 738–775; ref. 16) and R661W mutation (17) required for E2F binding. The site-directed mutagenesis for the deletion and mutation was conducted with the use of the QuikChange II XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) in pXCJL-RB, a shuttle plasmid. We then cotransfected the pXCJL-RB Δ22 or pXCJL-RB R661W plasmids with pBHG10 (Microbix Biosystems, Inc.) at an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. Digital images were acquired, using Immersol (Carl Zeiss). Images were processed by Photoshop (Adobe) with acquisition software was AxioVision Release 4.7.1 (Carl Zeiss). Images were processed by Photoshop (Adobe) with an accelerating voltage of 80 kV. Digital images were obtained with the use of an imaging system from Advanced Microscopy Techniques.

RNA interference

The siRNA for Beclin 1 depletion was obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology. We purchased custom and control siRNAs from Dharmacon, Inc. The E2F1 siRNA (siE2F1) sequence was as follows: 5′-GGCCCGAUCGAUGUUUUC-3′ (22). The cells were mock or siRNA transfected with INTERFERin (Polyplus-transfection, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To knock down RB expression in U-87 MG cells, we transfected the cells with shGFP- or shRB-expressing plasmids (23) by FuGENE 6. The cells were screened, and stable cell clones were maintained in culture medium plus 0.5 μg/mL puromycin.

Autophagy PCR array

Total RNA was extracted from cells with the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Inc.). The RNA samples were then treated with TURBO DNA-free kit to remove any DNA contamination.
Luciferase assay

Cells were seeded in 24-well plates (3 × 10⁴ cells per well) and infected with adenovirus vectors expressing GFP, RB, or its mutants (100 pfu/cell). Twenty-four hours later, we cotransfected the cells with 250 ng of the E2F1 reporter plasmid (24) and 1 ng of pRL-CMV (Promega Life Science) as a control for transfection efficiency with FuGENE 6 (Roche Molecular Biochemicals). Twenty-four hours after transfection, the cells were lysed, and luciferase activity was assayed with a luminometer.

Quantification of apoptotic cells with Annexin V-FITC staining

Apoptotic cells were stained with Annexin V-FITC using an Annexin V-FITC Apoptosis Detection Kit I (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Stained cells were then analyzed by flow cytometry with a FACScan cytometer and CellQuest software (both from Becton Dickinson).

Statistical analyses

We performed a two-tailed Student’s t test to determine the statistical significance of the experiments. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data were given as mean ± SD.
Results

RB induces autophagic responses in RB-deficient cells

To test our hypothesis that RB induces autophagy, we first transduced RB into the following RB-defective human cancer cells: sarcoma osteogenic cells (Saos-2), hepatoma cells (Hep3B), and brain tumor stem cells (MDNSC23; ref. 15). The exogenous RB protein induced autophagy in all three cell lines (Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. S1). Specifically, we examined whether the transfer of RB induced the conversion of the microtubule-associated protein LC3-I to LC3-II, a biochemical marker of autophagy that is correlated with the formation of autophagosomes. Immunoblotting analyses revealed an accumulation of LC3-II in the cells treated with RB, but not in the mock-treated or GFP-treated cells (Fig. 1A). Consistently, RB also triggered the formation of

Figure 2. RB mediates autophagosome maturation in Saos-2 cells. Saos-2 cells constitutively expressing mRFP-EGFP-LC3 fusion protein were infected with AdCMV-RB at 100 pfu/cell in the absence or presence of bafilomycin A1 (BA1). Three days later, live cells were observed by fluorescence microscopy. A, representative images of the cells with the indicated treatments. Bar, 20 μm. B, quantification of the cells with red fluorescent dots (columns, mean; bars, SD). *, $P = 0.008$. AdCMV (Vector) was used as a control for viral infection.
autophagosomes/autolysosomes visualized by punctate EGFP-LC3 in Saos-2 cells that constitutively express the fusion protein (Fig. 1B). In more than 80% of the RB-treated cells, EGFP-LC3 was not soluble and presented green fluorescent dots, whereas less than 20% of the control cells displayed the same pattern (Fig. 1B). Ultimately, these data were confirmed by an ultrastructural study of the cells with a transmission electron microscope, which revealed accumulation of membrane-rimmed vacuoles with characteristics of autophagosomes/autolysosomes in Saos-2 (Fig. 1C) and Hep3B (Supplementary Fig. S1) cells after RB expression.

We then challenged the autophagy mechanism by down-modulating Beclin 1, a key activator of the pathway. As expected, silencing Beclin 1 in Saos-2 cells with siRNA decreased the RB-mediated processing of the formation of autophagosomes/autolysosomes and membrane-bound LC3-II (Fig. 1D; Supplementary Fig. S2). In agreement with these results was our observation that RB expression in Saos-2 cells, in a PCR-based array, stimulated the expression of several autophagy-related genes (Supplementary Fig. S3), such as *ATG4A* and *ATG7*. However, Bcl-2, which inhibits the autophagy process and whose gene is a well-studied transcriptional target of E2F1 (25, 26), was down-modulated (Supplementary Fig. S3). Overall, our data indicate that RB restoration in RB-deficient cells triggers autophagy.

**RB promotes autophagosome formation and maturation**

The accumulation of autophagosomes and LC3-II in the cells could be due to either the increased formation or the decreased turnover of vesicles. To determine how RB affects the autophagy flux, we took advantage of the double-tagged mRFP-EGFP-LC3 construct (ptfLC3; ref. 21), which is detected as yellow fluorescence (green merged with red) in...
nonacidic structures (autophagosomes and amphisomes) and as red only in autolysosomes due to the quenching of EGFP in these acidic structures. As shown in Fig. 2, more than 70% of the RB-transduced cells displayed tremendous red fluorescence punctation, whereas only less than 10% of the mock- or AdCMV-treated cells showed this phenotype. However, when the cells were treated with bafilomycin A1 (which inhibits the fusion of autophagosomes with lysosomes) to stop the turnover of autophagosomes, different from the cells transduced with RB, we found an extraordinary amount of punctate yellow fluorescence in the cytoplasm (Fig. 2A). These data indicate that RB increases the transport of mRFP-EGFP-LC3 to acidic lysosomes (i.e., formation of autolysosomes). Moreover, electron microscopic examination of the cells revealed that bafilomycin A1 caused the accumulation of vacuoles in RB-expressing cells that filled most of the space in the cytoplasm, whereas the vacuoles in mock or GFP-expressing cells occupied less than half of the space in the cytoplasm (Supplementary Fig. S4), indicating that RB stimulated a higher rate of autophagosome formation. Taken together with the previous observations, we conclude that RB mediates the initiation and maturation of autophagosomes instead of inhibiting the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes.

**CDKIs cause autophagy in an RB-dependent manner**

Because RB activity is positively regulated by CDKIs, such as p16 and p27/kip1 (p27), we asked whether activation of the RB pathway regulates autophagy. To address this question, we used a stable cell line expressing a dominant-negative form of RB (RB ΔN145). The cells were infected with AdCMV-EGFP-LC3 and then treated with or without lithium chloride (LiCl), an inhibitor of CDK activity. As shown in Fig. 3, LiCl treatment caused a significant increase in the percentage of cells with EGFP-LC3 puncta, indicating that RBmediated autophagy is dependent on CDK activity. This finding further supports the hypothesis that RB-mediated autophagy is a downstream target of CDK activity.

![Image of RB mutants deficient for E2F binding are unable to induce autophagy in Saos-2 Cells.](image)

**Figure 4.** RB mutants deficient for E2F binding are unable to induce autophagy in Saos-2 Cells. A, immunoprecipitation analysis of RB and its mutants interacting with E2F1. The cells were infected with AdCMV-RB (100 pfu/cell) and AdCMV-E2F1 (5 pfu/cell), and cell lysates were collected for immunoprecipitation analysis after 48 h. B, effect of RB and RB mutants on E2F1 activity. The cells were infected with the indicated vectors (100 pfu/cell). After 24 h, the cells were transfected with a luciferase-reporting plasmid with an E2F1 promoter. Twenty-four hours later, the luciferase activity of the samples was determined (columns, mean; bars, SD). *, P = 0.0007; #, P = 0.0004; $, P = 0.003; **, P = 0.4. C, green fluorescence punctation in EGFP-LC3-expressing Saos-2 cells. The cells were infected with adenovirus vectors expressing RB and its mutants (100 pfu/cell) for 72 h. Left, representative images of the cells with the indicated treatments. Right, quantification of the cells with EGFP-LC3 dots (columns, mean; bars, SD). *, P = 0.0002; **, P > 0.05. Bar, 20 μm. D, immunoblot analysis of RB and LC3 in Saos-2 cells infected with adenoviruses expressing RB or its mutants. The cells were infected with the indicated vectors for 72 h. The numbers on the top of the protein bands represent the doses of the viruses for infection (pfu/cell). Actin was used as a loading control.
pathway by CDKIs would result in autophagy in these cells. To this end, we transferred p16 to glioblastoma-astrocytoma (U-87 MG) and human osteosarcoma (U-2 OS) cell lines that express wild-type RB. The ectopic p16 protein triggered the lipidation of LC3, resulting in an increase of LC3-II in both cell lines (Fig. 3A). Accordingly, more than 60% of the U-87-EGFP-LC3 cells showed a marked punctate pattern of green fluorescence after transduction of p16, whereas less than 20% of the control cells showed a similar pattern (Fig. 3B). Moreover, when we transferred p16 to U-87-shRB cells, in which RB expression had been knocked down by shRNA against RB (Fig. 3C), we observed that down-modulation of RB prevented the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II, indicating that it rendered U-87 MG cells resistant to p16-mediated autophagy (Fig. 3C).

Collectively, these data indicate that RB expression is required for p16-mediated autophagy and that RB could be one of the downstream targets involved in p27-induced autophagy. These data therefore reinforce the role of the RB pathway in autophagy regulation.

Binding to E2F is required for RB to trigger autophagy

The best-known mechanistic model of RB indicates the physical binding and repression of E2F transcription factors, including E2F1 (30). Thus, we speculated whether RB mutants that are deficient for E2F binding would lose the capability to induce autophagy. We selected two RB mutants naturally found in cancer cells, U-2 OS cells were much more sensitive to p27 in a dose-dependent manner, resulting in a conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II that was not observed in Saos-2 cells (Fig. 3D), suggesting that RB might be one of the factors involved in p27-mediated autophagy.

Collectively, these data indicate that RB expression is required for p16-mediated autophagy and that RB could be one of the downstream targets involved in p27-induced autophagy. These data therefore reinforce the role of the RB pathway in autophagy regulation.

Figure 3. RB/E2F1 interaction regulates autophagy and apoptosis. A, green fluorescence punctation in EGFP-LC3–expressing Saos-2 cells. The cells were first infected with AdCMV-RB (100 pfu/cell). After 24 h, the cells were infected with AdCMV-E2F1 (50 pfu/cell) for another 48 h. Top, representative images of the cells with the indicated treatments. Bar, 20 μm. Bottom, quantification of the cells with EGFP-LC3 punctation (columns, mean; bars, SD). *, P = 0.03. B, immunoblot analysis of LC3, E2F1, and RB in Saos-2 cells. The cells were first infected with AdCMV-RB (100 pfu/cell). After 24 h, the cells were infected with AdCMV-E2F1 (50 pfu/cell). Proteins from the cell lysates were collected for analysis after 48 h. C, cells were treated as described in B and were stained with Annexin V and analyzed by flow cytometry. AdCMV-β-gal was used as a control for viral infection and nonspecific protein expression. Columns, mean from at least three independent experiments; bars, SD. *, P = 0.007; #, P = 0.001.
Coimmunoprecipitation assays showed that both RB mutants Δ22 (16) and R661W (17) displayed impaired ability in binding E2F1 (Fig. 4A). Accordingly, the two RB mutants were deficient in blocking the transactivation of the E2F1 responsible element, as quantified by luciferase assays (Fig. 4B). Then, we examined autophagy occurrence in Saos-2 cells transduced with the two mutants. We found that the expression of Δ22 or R661W resulted in EGFP-LC3 punctation in less than 20% of the cells, whereas wild-type RB induced green fluorescence dots in more than 80% of the cells (Fig. 4C). Consistently, neither Δ22 nor R661W induced significant LC3-I to LC3-II conversion, according to immunoblot analysis (Fig. 4D). Therefore, RB mutants with impaired binding to E2F are deficient for autophagy induction, indicating that RB binding to E2F is required for RB-induced autophagy.

E2F1 antagonizes RB-mediated autophagy

RB and E2F1 exert contrary functions in the regulation of the cell cycle and apoptosis (7, 13). We previously reported that expression of ectopic E2F1 overrides the cell cycle arrest induced by RB (20). In this study, we were interested in ascertaining whether the expression of E2F1 would be sufficient to antagonize RB-mediated autophagy. Thus, we transferred RB and then E2F1 into Saos-2 cells 24 hours later. Examination of the cells 48 hours after the transfer of E2F1 revealed that E2F1 was able to partially override RB function and prevent the formation of the green-dot phenotype and the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II (Fig. 5A and B). These studies showed a progressive decrease in the percentage of cells with punctate green fluorescence: from almost 100% in cells treated with RB, to 40% in cells treated with both RB and E2F1, to less than 20% in cells treated with E2F1 alone (Fig. 5A). Consistently, Bcl-2 protein, an autophagy inhibitor, was downregulated by RB but was upregulated by E2F1 (Fig. 5B).

Because expression of E2F1 induces apoptosis (20), we asked whether the cells that were transduced by E2F1 were undergoing cell death through apoptosis. Optical microscopy showed that although RB-transduced cells displayed a flat morphology and remained attached to the culture dish, some of the cells transduced with both RB and E2F1 became rounded, birefringent, and detached from the dish (data not shown), strongly suggesting decreased viability of the culture. To determine whether the cause of the cell death was apoptosis, we used flow cytometry to examine the ability of the cells to bind to Annexin V, a reagent to detect the translocation of the membrane phospholipid phosphatidylserine from the inner to the outer leaflet of the plasma membrane—one of the earliest indications of apoptosis. Although the percentage of autophagic cells was less than 10% in E2F1-treated cells (Fig. 5A), the treatment caused about 40% of the cells to undergo apoptosis (Fig. 5C). Thus, we conclude that E2F1 antagonizes RB-mediated autophagy and leads to apoptosis. These results suggest that RB and E2F1 proteins play opposite roles in the control of both autophagy and apoptosis.

Downregulation of E2F1 results in autophagy

Because RB needs to bind to E2F to induce autophagy, and E2F1 antagonizes RB-mediated autophagy, we hypothesized...
that cells lacking E2F1 expression would be more susceptible to spontaneous autophagy and that the levels of autophagy in these cells would be significantly higher than the levels in control cells. To test this hypothesis, we used siRNA to down-modulate E2F1 expression in U-87 MG cells. Silencing the E2F1 gene resulted in the formation of autophagic vacuoles, as documented by a dramatic lipidation of LC3 that caused EGFP-LC3 punctation in more than 60% of the cells (Fig. 6A and B). In contrast, less than 10% of the control cells showed a dotted pattern (Fig. 6B). Consistently, immunoblotting analysis revealed that E2F1 siRNA downregulated E2F1 protein level and caused the conversion of LC3-I to LC3-II (Fig. 6C). These results suggest that down-modulation of E2F1 is sufficient to trigger autophagy and that E2F1 plays an active role in suppressing autophagy. Thus, the increased level of autophagy in E2F1–down-modulated cells indirectly points to the inhibition of E2F1 as the mechanism for RB-mediated autophagy.

**Discussion**

The RB/E2F1 pathway, which is crucial in regulating cell growth and apoptosis, is disrupted in virtually all human cancers (31). Here, we report that RB positively regulates autophagy by repressing E2F1 activity. Our results indicate that, instead of preventing autophagosome turnover in the cells, RB activity, or lack of E2F1 activity, promotes autophagy flux, from the formation of autophagosomes to their fusion with lysosomes. Our data reveal a previously unknown function of the RB/E2F1 pathway that might contribute to its role in cancer suppression and resistance to cancer therapy. A balanced RB/E2F1 pathway is required to maintain cellular homeostasis. We found that excessive RB activity or lack of E2F1 causes autophagy, and RB/E2F1 interaction is required for RB-mediated autophagy. Moreover, excessive E2F1 activity antagonizes RB-induced autophagy, leading to apoptosis.

**Figure 7.** Rheostat model for the interplay of RB and E2F1 to regulate autophagy and apoptosis. Balanced RB and E2F1 activity ensures homeostasis in the cell. Strong stimuli may result in either excessive RB activity to induce autophagy or immoderate E2F1 function to cause apoptosis.
Therefore, in addition to the opposite roles of RB and E2F1 in regulating the cell cycle and apoptosis (30), our data suggest a model in which the interplay between RB and E2F1 also regulates autophagy (Fig. 7). We speculate that adequate RB/E2F1 activity ensures a basal level of autophagy that is critical to maintaining normal cellular and molecular processes in the cell. Given the negative effects of autophagy on tumorigenesis (2), autophagy could be part of the mechanism through which RB acts as a tumor suppressor. In this regard, the involvement of PTEN, p53, and RB, the three most frequently mutated genes in human cancers, in regulating autophagy suggests a strong mechanistic link between stress-sensing pathways, autophagy, and tumor suppression. Tumor suppressors, such as RB, may induce autophagy as a housekeeping mechanism to recycle damaged macromolecules and organelles to ensure the stability of the genome (32). In fact, it has been reported that the basal levels of proteolysis or autophagic degradation in cancer cells were lower than those in their normal counterparts (33). In this regard, cells that were transformed by SV40, which can inactivate RB and p53, had decreased levels of proteolysis compared with nontransformed or nontreated cells (34). Lately, autophagy was indicated as an effector mechanism of senescence (35). Given the critical role that RB plays during cellular senescence (36) and in the response to cancer therapeutics such as CDK inhibitors (37), there might be a link between RB-mediated autophagy and senescence and its consequential tumor suppression.

Aside from its housekeeping function, autophagy can also act as a protective mechanism to prolong cell survival under stressful conditions (38). In certain scenarios, autophagy constitutes a stress adaptation that suppresses apoptosis to avoid cell death (39). In addition to its central role in inhibiting cell proliferation, RB also plays a crucial role in inhibiting apoptosis (7). Studies in RB-mutant mice show massive apoptosis in neurons (40). Interestingly, Atg7 deficiency in the central nervous system also causes massive neuronal loss in the cerebral and cerebellar cortices (41), suggesting a cross-talk between autophagy and apoptosis (39). Thus, on top of autophagy/apoptosis regulation by RB/E2F1 interplay (Fig. 7), RB-mediated autophagy might inhibit apoptosis as well. Moreover, during its growth, the tumor is usually poorly vascularized in the center area, and in this environment, autophagy has a more prominent role in sustaining cell viability in cancer cells (42). Previous work has shown that most sporadic cancers inactivate RB by phosphorylation, rather than by mutations in the RB gene itself (7). Because phosphorylation is reversible, altering this posttranslational modification pathway of RB not only allows cancer cells to grow aggressively but also gives these cells the option of reactivating RB for protection against apoptotic stimuli to exploit the survival advantage conferred by RB under stress (7). For instance, glucose-regulated stress, a potent inducer of autophagy (12), causes stabilization of p27 (12) and decreased expression of cyclin D1 (43), resulting in hypophosphorylation of RB, which is the active form of the protein (6). Our data show that CDKIs, such as p27 and p16, induce autophagy in a RB-dependent manner (Fig. 3), indicating the involvement of RB-mediated autophagy in the stress response. Thus, it is of clinical relevance to determine whether RB activity in cancer cells expressing wild-type RB is partially restored under these conditions to induce autophagy to prevent apoptotic cell death. Furthermore, results from experimental anticancer therapies suggest that autophagy activation represents a cellular attempt to survive the stress induced by cytotoxic agents (2). In fact, it has been reported that restoration of the RB protein in RB-deficient cells results in resistance to DNA-damaging agents including cisplatin, etoposide, 5-fluorouracil, and doxorubicin (44, 45). In addition, expression of p16 is associated with chemoresistance in glioma and bladder cancer cells (10, 46). Overall, our study suggests that RB-induced autophagy provides a possible new mechanistic link between RB, cancer cell survival, and resistance to cancer therapy.

Although the role of RB in modulating autophagy seems to be well defined here, the role of E2F1 could be more complex. In fact, E2F1 has a dual role as a tumor suppressor and an oncogene and, as such, can positively regulate both cell proliferation and cell death (47). Thus, E2F1 may exert a contextual role in autophagy as well. A weak E2F1 transactivation of autophagy-related genes was observed in a drug-induced E2F1-expressing system (48). However, our data show that overactivated E2F1 blocked RB-induced autophagy and led to apoptosis (Fig. 5). One of the possible molecular targets of E2F1 in the suppression of autophagy is Bcl-2, which blocks autophagy by binding Beclin 1 and prevents activation of the PI3Kc3 complex (25). Our previously published results revealed that Bcl-2 is a transcriptional target of E2F1 (26). Here, we show that repression of E2F1 by RB leads to down-modulation of Bcl-2 (Supplementary Fig. S3; Fig. 5), contributing to the triggering of autophagy. The mammalian TOR (mTOR) pathway is a key negative regulator of autophagy (49). We examined the phosphorylation status of p70S6K, a substrate of mTOR (50). We did not observe any changes in the total p70S6K level and its phosphorylation level after introducing RB into Saos-2 and MDNSC23 cells or p16 into U-87 MG and U-2 OS cells (data not shown). We assume that mTOR does not play a role under this circumstance. Thus, RB-induced autophagy is more relevant to E2F1-mediated Bcl-2 expression than affecting the mTOR pathway. However, it is now clear that E2F1 transactivates more than 2,000 genes and that the role of E2F1 in regulating transcription is highly dependent on context (47). Therefore, it is overly simplistic to identify one or two players to completely explain the delicate balance between RB and E2F1 in the regulation of autophagy. Besides, further study will be required to determine whether RB/E2F1 modulation of autophagy is independent of its role in cell cycle regulation.

On the other hand, RB interacts with more than 200 proteins (6), one of which is the hypoxia-inducible factor, which is a potent inducer of autophagy under hypoxic conditions (51). In these extreme circumstances, RB protein seems to negatively modulate hypoxia-inducible factor–induced autophagy by attenuating BNIP3 induction (52). However, in our system, transfer of RB to cancer cells under normoxia resulted in the upregulation of BNIP3 (Supplementary...
Fig. S3), suggesting a marked difference between the regulation of autophagy under normoxic and hypoxic conditions.

In summary, our data unequivocally show that the RB/E2F1 pathway plays a role in the regulation of autophagy. Moreover, the existence of such a rheostat-like module to regulate the delicate balance between autophagy and apoptosis (Fig. 7) provides a new interpretation for the role of RB in tumor suppression during development, cell survival under stressful conditions, and cancer cell resistance to therapy.
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