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Abstract

The inability to forecast outcomes for malignant mesothelioma prevents clinicians from providing aggressive multimodality therapy to the most appropriate individuals who may benefit from such an approach. We investigated whether specific microRNAs (miR) could segregate a largely surgically treated group of mesotheliomas into good or bad prognosis categories. A training set of 44 and a test set of 98 mesothelioma tumors were analyzed by a custom miR platform, along with 9 mesothelioma cell lines and 3 normal mesothelial lines. Functional implications as well as downstream targets of potential prognostic miRs were investigated. In both the training and test sets, hsa-miR-29c* was an independent prognostic factor for time to progression as well as survival after surgical cytoreduction. The miR was expressed at higher levels in epithelial mesothelioma, and the level of this miR could segregate patients with this histology into groups with differing prognosis. Increased expression of hsa-miR-29c* predicted a more favorable prognosis, and overexpression of the miR in mesothelioma cell lines resulted in significantly decreased proliferation, migration, invasion, and colony formation. Moreover, major epigenetic regulation of mesothelioma is mediated by hsa-miR-29c* and was shown through downregulation of DNA methyltransferases as well as upregulation of demethylating genes. A single miR has the potential to be a prognostic biomarker in mesothelioma, and validation of these findings as well as investigation of its downstream targets may give insight for potential therapies in the future. Cancer Res; 70(5); 1916–24. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

Malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM) is a lethal, asbestos-related cancer with numerous genomic abnormalities (1). MicroRNAs (miR) are short (17–22 nucleotides) noncoding RNAs that regulate gene expression by inhibition of translation, and play a major role in oncogenesis (2). Their exceptional tissue specificity has made them potent biomarkers for diagnosing the tissue source of metastatic cancers (3, 4), and miRs have also been reported as prognostic markers for a multitude of cancers, including ovarian (5), pancreatic (6), lung (7), and breast (8). We have identified a member of miR family 29, hsa-miR-29c*, as an independent predictor of time to progression (TTP) as well as overall survival for MPM using a custom miR microarray, with validation by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). Functional assays reveal profound changes in cell proliferation, invasion, migration, and colony formation after hsa-miR-29c* cell line transfection, and we postulate that miR-29c* is integral for the balance between methylation and demethylation events in MPM. The Rosetta Genomics miR platform was used because it was the most technologically advanced at the time of study inception, containing probes for ∼900 miRs, which could differentiate miR family members differing by only one base. In addition, a highly sensitive qRT-PCR for each miR was available, which was so specific that one mismatch would result in a complete signal loss.

Materials and Methods

Mesothelioma Tumors

One hundred and forty-two snap-frozen, immunohistochemically proven (positive staining for Wilms tumor antigen, cytokeratins, calretinin, and the absence of staining for carcinoembryonic antigen and B72.3) MPM surgical specimens were collected from 1990 to 2005. Age, sex, stage, histology, TTP from surgery, and time to death from surgery were recorded and current as of September 2008 (Table 1).
RNA Extraction
Total RNA was extracted from the tumors and from MPM cell lines HP1, HP3, H2373, H2452, H2591, H2595, H2596, and H2461 (9); tert immortalized mesothelial cell line LP9 (10); primary mesothelial cell culture NYU-590.2 (passage 3); and SV40-transformed mesothelial cell line Met5A. For each tumor sample, ~0.5 cm³ in dimension was used for RNA extraction. Total RNA was extracted using the miRvana miRNA isolation kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the sample was homogenized in a denaturing lysis solution followed by an acid phenol–chloroform extraction. Finally, the sample was purified on a glass fiber filter. Total RNA quantity and quality were checked by spectrophotometer (NanoDrop ND-1000). Of the tumor specimens, 129 of 142 (37 of 44 training set; 92 of 98 test set; 91%) satisfied quality assurance for microarray analyses.

miR Microarray
Custom miR microarrays were prepared as described previously (3). Briefly, ~900 DNA oligonucleotide probes representing miRs were spotted in triplicate on coated microarray slides (Nexterion Slide E, Schott).

Microarray Data Analysis and Statistics
Recent publications have reported median TTP and survival after cytoreductive surgery as 8 to 12 mo and 12 to 20 mo, respectively (11, 12). Therefore, in this report, a good prognosis group was defined as a TTP of ≥12 mo or survival from the time of surgery of ≥15 mo. Univariate survival analysis was performed by the Kaplan-Meier method and evaluated by log rank, with significance at P < 0.05. Only miRs with a median signal higher than signal background levels were compared using the Mann-Whitney nonparametric test. Corrections for multiple comparisons were performed using the Benjamini-Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method (13). Group separation (bad or good) was based on the median value of miR expression for the group.

Quantitative Real-time PCR
Sixteen samples, 8 with good prognosis and 8 with poor prognosis, were selected for validation using qRT-PCR analysis. The qRT-PCR analysis was performed for all miRs besides miR-29c*, which came out as differential in the microarray experiments, and for five miRs that were chosen for normalization as described below. We defined a miR as differential if it met one of the following criteria: (a) P < 0.05 and fold change between the medians of >1.5 when comparing the good and bad TTP prognosis groups in either the training or the test set, (b) if the miR had the same criteria when considering only the 16 samples chosen for qRT-PCR analysis, or (c) a nonsignificant P value but a fold change of >1.5 at a very high expression level (accommodating potential saturation) in either the training or the test set. miRs that bore strong sequence similarity to others previously selected by these criteria were excluded. These criteria resulted in seven differential miRs: hsa-miR-451, hsa-miR-99a, hsa-miR-150, hsa-miR-29c*, hsa-miR-199b-5p, hsa-miR-210, and hsa-miR-221. In addition, five miRs (hsa-miR-181a, hsa-let-7c, hsa-miR-193a-5p, hsa-miR-27b, and hsa-miR-339-5p), which had very low fold change in all data sets, fairly low dispersion, and spanning expression levels of 500 to 50,000 fluorescence units, were chosen as normalizers. miR amounts were quantified using a qRT-PCR method recently described (4). The cycle threshold (C_T; the PCR cycle at which probe signal reaches the threshold) was determined for each well. Each C_T was normalized by subtracting the mean of all 12 miRs for that sample and scaled so that the mean expression for each sample was a constant. To allow comparison with results from the microarray, each value received was subtracted from 50. This 50 – C_T (50CT) expression for each miR for each patient was compared with the signal obtained by the microarray method. Linear regression for the miR readings over all patients was used to model 50CT by microarray values. Using this model, the threshold for the separation between high and low expression samples was transferred from microarray to qRT-PCR readings and used for Kaplan-Meier analysis.

In vitro Functional Analyses of miR-29c*
**miRNA oligonucleotides and transfection.** Pre-miR miRNA mimic (miR-29c*) and scrambled oligonucleotides (Ambion) were complexed with Lipofectamine 2000 for transfection into H2595 and HP1. Forty-eight hours later, cells were trypsinized, counted, and assayed for proliferation, soft agar colony formation, wound closure, and Matrigel invasion in triplicate experiments.

**Stem-loop RT-PCR.** Stem-loop technology (14) in its endpoint PCR modification (15) was used to validate miR-29c* delivery to the cells. We designed a stem-loop RT primer to

### Table 1. Patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Training set (n = 37)</th>
<th>Test set (n = 92)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y (range)</td>
<td>63.1 (43–78)</td>
<td>61.6 (34–87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>9 females/28 males (76%)</td>
<td>16 females/76 males (83%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage</td>
<td>I/II: 10 (27%); III/IV: 27</td>
<td>I/II: 29 (32%); III/IV: 63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td>23 epithelial (62%); 14 nonepithelial</td>
<td>58 epithelial (63%); 34 nonepithelial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoreductive surgery</td>
<td>34 yes (92%); 3 no</td>
<td>83 yes (90%); 9 no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
anneal to the 3′-end of miR-29c* and ensure extension of the product size during the PCR stage: 5′-GTCGTATCCA-GTGCAGGGTCCGAGGTATTCGCACTGGATACGAA-CACCAGG-3′. In the PCR stage, we used a miR-29c*–specific forward primer (5′-TGACCGATTTCTCCTGG-3′) and a stem-loop–specific oligonucleotide (5′-GTGCAGGGTCCGAGGT-3′) as a reverse primer. RT-PCR was performed on total miR-containing RNA isolated from cultured malignant mesothelioma (MM) cells using pulsed RT and PCR protocols.

Analysis of Potential Targets of miR-29c*

MiRanda was used to predict miR target sites (16). RNAs from an additional 30 tumor specimens and matching normal peritoneum (easily harvested from the abdomen during MPM operations as normal mesothelium without contamination by tumor cells) to tumors were used for differential gene expression of DNA methyltransferase 3A (DNMT3A) using the Affymetrix U133 2.0 Plus platform (11). A separate set of seven matched tumor-normal MPM pairs was used to validate the Affymetrix DNMT3A data by qRT-PCR using specially designed primer pairs. The effect of hsa-miR-29c* overexpression on the DNMTs, C1QTNF1, C1QTNF8, and adiponectin from RNA derived from the transfection experiments was assessed by qRT-PCR using specially designed primer pairs (see Supplementary Table S1).

Statistical Analysis

All assays were performed in triplicate, and statistical evaluations were performed using the SPSS software package [bivariate correlations (Pearson coefficient) and t tests]. All values in the text and figures represent the mean ± SD.

Results

Mesothelioma tumor training set: TTP. Median TTP in the training set was 7 months. In a multivariate analysis for the training set, stage was the only independent demographic or clinical predictor of TTP [hazard ratio, 3.8; 95% confidence interval (95% CI), 1.3–10.2; $P = 0.0099$].

Only hsa-miR-29c* had significantly different expression ($P = 0.00036$; fold change, 1.8) after adjusting for the FDR for the training set comparing good prognosis patients (TTP > 12 months; $n = 10$) with those with TTP of <12 months ($n = 24$). When median expression of hsa-miR-29c* (8.8) was used as a cutoff, two groups with significantly different TTP were seen (4 months versus 14 months; $P = 0.0002$; Fig. 1A). Elevated hsa-miR-29c* was associated with an increased TTP.

In the training set, hsa-miR-29c* expression did not differ between stage I/II and stage III/IV. Within each of these groups, however, the expression levels of hsa-miR-29c* maintained an association with progression-free survival, which was significant for stage I/II ($P = 0.0031$) with a trend for samples of stage III/IV ($P = 0.068$).

Mesothelioma tumor training set: survival. The median survival for the training set was 12 months. In multivariate analysis, stage was the only independent demographic or clinical predictor of survival (hazard ratio, 2.6; 95% CI, 1.0–6.5; $P = 0.04$). hsa-miR-29c* was the best discriminator ($P = 0.006$; fold change, 1.7) for survival of the training set. When median expression of hsa-miR-29c* was used as the cutoff point, two distinct groups of MPM patients with significantly different survival curves were seen (median survival of 8 months versus 32 months; $P = 0.000019$; Fig. 1C).

Figure 1. Elevated hsa-miR-29c* was associated with a significantly longer TTP and survival time. A, training set: TTP. B, test set: TTP. C, training set: survival time. D, test set: survival time.
Mesothelioma tumor test set: TTP. The training set and the test set (Table 1) were similar with regard to sex, age, histology, stage, and treatment options. Median TTP in the test set was 9.8 months. In multivariate analysis, stage was the only independent predictor of TTP (hazard ratio, 3.8; 95% CI, 2.1–7.1; \( P = 0.000012 \)) and survival (hazard ratio, 3.1; 95% CI, 1.7–5.5; \( P = 0.00016 \)).

When miRs were investigated in the test set comparing good (TTP > 12 months; \( n = 33 \)) with bad prognosis (TTP < 12 months; \( n = 50 \)) patients, hsa-miR-29c* was again significant, surpassing FDR = 0.1 with \( P = 7.5 \times 10^{-05} \). Other miRs were also differential in the test set, including hsa-miR-451, hsa-miR-99a, hsa-miR-150, hsa-miR-199b-5p, hsa-miR-210, and hsa-miR-221, but none of these six was differential in the training set. When median expression of hsa-miR-29c* and hsa-miR-221, but none of these six was differential in the test set, hsa-miR-451, hsa-miR-99a, hsa-miR-150, hsa-miR-199b-5p, hsa-miR-210, and hsa-miR-221, but none of these six was differential in the training set. When median expression of hsa-miR-29c* from the training set (8.8) was used as a cutoff (Fig. 1B), two groups in the test set with significantly different TTP were seen (5.5 months versus 12.8 months; \( P = 0.008 \)). In the test set, hsa-miR-29c* was elevated in epithelial tumors (fold change, 2.63; \( P = 0.0005 \); Fig. 2A). Although histology itself was not a predictor of TTP (\( P = 0.69 \)), expression of hsa-miR-29c* could separate the epithelial group of mesotheliomas into two categories with significantly different TTP (\( P = 0.0043 \); Fig. 2B) using the predetermined threshold for hsa-miR-29c* expression.

Mesothelioma tumor test set: survival. The median overall survival for the test set was 16 months, and due to the larger size of the test set, a significant difference in survival (\( P = 0.03 \)) favoring epithelial histology patients was seen, hsa-miR-29c* upregulation was associated with good prognosis (survival > 15 months; \( P = 0.0014 \); hazard ratio, 1.83). The threshold of expression (8.8) determined in the training set separated the patients in the test set into two groups with median survival times of 9.1 months versus 21.6 months (\( P = 0.0026 \); Fig. 1D). Moreover, as in the TTP analysis, hsa-miR-29c* could separate the epithelial mesotheliomas into a good prognosis group (median survival, 21.6 months) and a poor prognosis group (median survival, 9.1 months; \( P = 0.00046 \); Fig. 2C).

In a Cox multivariate model of stage, gender, lymph node involvement, histologic type, and hsa-miR-29c* expression for the test set, we found that stage, hsa-miR-29c* expression, and lymph node status were independent predictors of survival (\( P < 0.0001 \), \( P = 0.0187 \), and \( P = 0.0001 \), respectively), whereas gender and histologic type were not predictive (\( P > 0.05 \)). When all 129 patients in the analysis were considered in Cox multivariate analysis, stage, hsa-miR-29c* expression, and lymph node status remained the only independent predictors of survival (\( P < 0.0001 \), \( P < 0.0001 \), and \( P < 0.0001 \), respectively).

PCR validation of hsa-miR-29c* expression. PCR validation of microarray expression was achieved for all differential and normalizer miRs evaluated, with \( r \) values ranging from 0.46 to 0.79. However, none of these miRs, with the exception of hsa-miR-29c*, was capable of separating good versus bad prognosis by both PCR and microarray. hsa-miR-29c* was significantly higher in the good prognosis group for both the custom array and the PCR (Fig. 3A), and the correlation coefficient between microarray expression and PCR was 0.649, and using the mathematical regression line, the training/test set separation expression of 8.8 correlated with a 50CT measurement of 16.6. The qRT-PCR cutoff divided the 16 MPMs into a good and bad prognosis group (median survivals, 4.2 months versus >10 years; \( P = 0.02 \); Fig. 3B). These data, validating hsa-miR-29c* as the only common prognostic miR in both the training set and the test set, as well as its ability to achieve prognostic significance on both platforms, determine that hsa-miR-29c* is the sole candidate prognostic miR in these investigations of MPM.

hsa-miR-29c* and asbestos history. For the entire set of 129 patients, the mean expression value of hsa-miR-29c* for 24 patients without exposure (9.2) was not significantly different from those with a history of asbestos exposure (8.7), and there was an insignificant trend for prolonged survival for unexposed patients compared with patients with exposure to asbestos (median survivals, 27 months versus
When asbestos exposure was stratified by expression of miR-29c*, however, elevated expression of the miR (at a cutoff of 8.8) was associated with significantly prolonged survival in both exposed and unexposed MPM patients (exposed individuals, 20 months versus 9 months, \( P = 0.00028 \); unexposed individuals, 31 months versus 11 months, \( P = 0.0012 \)). These data reinforce that high expression of hsa-miR-29c* is independently associated with a better prognosis in MPM, regardless of asbestos exposure.

**miR-29 family analysis in mesothelial cell lines.** Seven members of the miR-29 family were analyzed for their expression in nine MPMs and three mesothelial cell lines. Low levels of miR-29c* were detected in the three normal mesothelial cells. The actual levels of measured miR-29c* were 1.8-fold higher in the normal cell lines compared with MPM (~ \( P = 0.05 \)).

**Biological characterization of miR-29c*.** The hsa-miR-29c* mimic was transfected into cell lines H2595 and HP1. hsa-miR-29c* overexpression was associated with decreased proliferation, decreased migration, as well as a significant decrease in the invasive capacity of both cell lines (Fig. 4). Colony formation was significantly inhibited with transfection of miR-29c*, resulting in smaller and fewer colonies, with the most impressive results recorded with H2595. These data are compatible with the clinical findings that MPMs with higher expression of miR-29c* are less aggressive than those with low expression of the miR.

**Targets of miR-29c*.** Previous data have associated the miR-29 family with methyltransferases (17). Analysis of our U133 Plus 2 expression arrays revealed that DNMT3A was elevated significantly in MPMs (Fig. 5A), and these data were validated in matched normal-tumor pairs from our archives (Fig. 5B). When miR-29c* mimic was transfected into H2595 and HP1, both cell lines exhibited significantly decreased expression of DNMT3A compared with controls (Fig. 5C).

One of the key targets for hsa-miR-29c* by MiRanda with the highest significance (~ \( P = 1 \times 10^{-6} \)) was C1q and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-related protein 8 (CTRP8). This molecule belongs to a family of proteins characterized by a common TNFα-like globular domain. Liu and colleagues (18) reported that adiponectin regulates methyltransferases by decreasing the methylation of CpG islands located within the proximal promoter region of WIF1 by inhibition of specificity protein 1 transcription factor and its downstream target DNMT1. We postulated that miR-29c* may control methylation-demethylation mechanisms in MPM and investigated the effect of overexpression of miR-29c* on adiponectin, CTRP1, and CTRP8 levels. As seen in Fig. 4D, miR-29c* overexpression increased the levels of all three of these genes, further linking this miR to genes controlling methylation in MPM.

**Discussion**

We report here that the presence of a single miR has significant prognostic implications for MPM. Using a proprietary microarray capable of analyzing >900 miRs, hsa-miR-29c* not only was able to separate MPM patients by TTP after surgery but also stratified these patients by their survival. This miR is preferentially expressed in epithelial MPM and further stratifies this group into favorable and unfavorable cohorts. Moreover, the prognostic effect on survival
of the miR was independent of histology in a multivariate analysis. Validation of miR-29c* was accomplished by microarray in an independent test set and by using qRT-PCR. The results of the in vitro functional assays in which the miR is expressed in MM cell lines are entirely consistent with the clinical findings that the expression of this miR decreases the proliferative, migration, and invasive potential of the tumors.

Few studies describe the expression or role of miRs in MPM. Guled and colleagues (19) were the first to profile 17 snap-frozen mesothelioma specimens along with pericardium as “control” mesothelium. Twelve miRs were exclusively expressed in mesotheliomas. Insightful discussion pointed to important MiRanda predicted targets including those known to be involved with MPM pathogenesis (hepatocyte growth factor, neurofibromatosis gene 1, and jun). We did not find any of the miRs cited as significant by the Guled group; however, this is not surprising given the difference in the control samples. Most recently, Busacca and colleagues (20) reported differences in miR expression between 2 mesothelioma cell lines and a tert-transformed mesothelial cell culture, as well as in 24 mesothelioma specimens. This elegant study was characterized by validation of the candidate miRs and, similar to Guled, used informatics algorithms to predict targets of the miRs, which may be relevant for MPM. This group reported that the expression of miR-17-5p, miR-21, miR-29a, miR-30c, miR-30e-5p, miR-106a, and miR-143 was significantly associated with the histopathologic subtypes and that the reduced expression of two miRs (miR-17-5p and miR-30c) correlated with better survival of patients with sarcomatoid subtype.

Downregulation of the miR-29 family has been reported in chronic lymphocytic leukemia (21), lung cancer (17, 22), and nasopharyngeal cancer, and a possible role of miR-29 as a tumor suppressor has been hypothesized. We have validated the findings of Fabbri and colleagues (17) that the 29c family of miRs has a direct effect on DNMTs and have shown that...

![Figure 4. Functional consequences of hsa-miR-29c* overexpression in mesothelioma cell lines compared with Lipofectamine alone or irrelevant (scrambled) miR. A, hsa-miR-29c* overexpression resulted in a significant decrease in cell proliferation. B, hsa-miR-29c* overexpression resulted in a significant decrease in cell migration. C, hsa-miR-29c* overexpression resulted in a significant decrease in invasion. D, hsa-miR-29c* overexpression resulted in a significant decrease in soft agar colony formation.](image-url)
this family of miRs also influences a demethylation pathway involving adiponectin, CTRP1, and CTRP8. We have noted that miR-29c* levels are elevated in epithelial mesothelioma compared with sarcomatoid, and it is well known that epithelial histology is associated with longer survival in MPM. Moreover, miR-29c* was noted to have higher expression in mesothelial cell lines than in MPM cell lines, implying that the miR is associated with a more differentiated phenotype. We also were able to show that the levels of the miR were able to subcategorize epithelial histology into good risk and bad risk cohorts, implying that lower levels of the miR would potentially impart a more “nonepithelial-like” natural history for the patient. Because the miR seems to be associated with epigenetic surveillance, one could ask whether this epithelial/nonepithelial survival difference is on the basis of methylation “homeostasis.” In the literature, there has been no convincing evidence that the degree of methylation differs between epithelial and nonepithelial histology. If this were correct, then, given an equal degree of methylation, one would speculate that the ability of epithelial histology to have greater epigenetic control of methylation and demethylation (i.e., greater expression of hsa-miR-29c*) may indeed provide survival advantage for this histotype over the others with lower expression of the miR. Moreover, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) has been under study in mesothelioma (23), and nonepithelial mesotheliomas exhibit a more mesenchymal-type molecular phenotyping with poorer survivals. In fact, the miR-29 family itself has been associated with EMT in limited investigations (22).

Our findings that elevated levels of miR-29c* are associated with greater survival and longer TTPs to progression in mesothelioma are compatible with this miR having an effect on gene methylation (24–27) and other pathways that are upregulated in MPM. These pathways include, among others, the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway (28, 29), NF-κB (30–32), apoptosis (33), and immunity (34). In myoarblasts, miR-29 is repressed by NF-κB, and in primary rhabdomyosarcomas that possess impaired differentiation, miR-29 is epigenetically silenced by an activated NF-κB pathway. Reconstitution of miR-29 in this system inhibits tumor growth and stimulates differentiation, suggesting that miR-29 acts as a tumor suppressor through its promyogenic function. Because NF-κB is elevated in MPM and potentially contribute to chemoresistance, these results in rhabdomyosarcoma could imply that a similar NF-κB–miR-29 regulatory circuit may be present. Apoptotic mechanisms in MPM are evident with lower expression of the miR. Moreover, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) has been under study in mesothelioma (23), and nonepithelial mesotheliomas exhibit a more mesenchymal-type molecular phenotyping with poorer survivals. In fact, the miR-29 family itself has been associated with EMT in limited investigations (22).

Our findings that elevated levels of miR-29c* are associated with greater survival and longer TTPs to progression in mesothelioma are compatible with this miR having an effect on gene methylation (24–27) and other pathways that are upregulated in MPM. These pathways include, among others, the phosphoinositide 3-kinase pathway (28, 29), NF-κB (30–32), apoptosis (33), and immunity (34). In myoarblasts, miR-29 is repressed by NF-κB, and in primary rhabdomyosarcomas that possess impaired differentiation, miR-29 is epigenetically silenced by an activated NF-κB pathway. Reconstitution of miR-29 in this system inhibits tumor growth and stimulates differentiation, suggesting that miR-29 acts as a tumor suppressor through its promyogenic function. Because NF-κB is elevated in MPM and potentially contribute to chemoresistance, these results in rhabdomyosarcoma could imply that a similar NF-κB–miR-29 regulatory circuit may be present. Apoptotic mechanisms in MPM are evident with lower expression of the miR. Moreover, epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) has been under study in mesothelioma (23), and nonepithelial mesotheliomas exhibit a more mesenchymal-type molecular phenotyping with poorer survivals. In fact, the miR-29 family itself has been associated with EMT in limited investigations (22).
with the reports of significant differences in abnormal expression of apoptosis proteins between epithelial and sarcomatoid MPM, including overexpression of MCL1, an antiapoptotic member of the Bcl-2 family of proteins, in 92% of the specimens (35). Pertinent to the present discussion, the miR-29 family seems to regulate MCL1 because forced expression of miR-29b in cholangiocarcinoma cells reduced Mcl-1 protein expression and sensitized the cells to TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand cytotoxicity. Aberrant immune surveillance mechanisms have also been described in mesothelioma, including those involving costimulatory molecules of the B7 family (B7-1 and B7-2), which play a key role in antigen-specific T-cell activation via their interaction with the counterreceptor CD28 (36, 37). Human B7-H3 (also named as CD276) is a member of the B7/CD28 immunoglobulin superfamily and specifically inhibits natural killer cells and T cells. Most recently, B7-H3 protein expression was found to be inversely correlated with miR-29 levels in cell lines and tumor tissues, and knock-in and knockdown of miR-29a result in downregulation and upregulation, respectively, of B7-H3 protein expression (38). Further study, specifically of the ability of hsa-miR-29c* to control B7-H3 protein expression could have implications in immune escape by mesothelioma.

Many of the aforementioned pathways are directly associated with asbestos fiber exposure on mesothelial cells (39, 40). One of the primary methods for genetic damage by asbestos involves promoter methylation, and various genes have been found to be methylated in mesothelioma at varying frequencies (24). Data are not consistent about the effect of asbestos exposure and the prognosis of MPM (26, 41–43), and in the present study, there was a difference in neither survival nor mean expression of hsa-miR-29c* between MPM patients with or without a history of asbestos exposure. Nonetheless, high expression of the miR was able to significantly increase survival within both the asbestos-exposed and the nonasbestos-exposed cohorts. One could hypothesize that because the degree of methylation may be the least in individuals with no history of asbestos exposure, the efficacy of the miR could be the greatest because the degree of methylation is lowest. Validation of these prognostic findings in larger cohorts of MPM patients with precise asbestos exposure, or ideally with patients who have had lung fiber analysis, will be necessary in the future.

These data point to important control of carcinogenic mechanisms in MPM by selected miRs, with the ability of such miRs to prognosticate patients with surgically cytoreducible MPM. Investigations that decipher the complexity and integration of miR-29c* with other pathways involved in MPM should be explored because of the potential therapeutic implications these studies might reveal. Our findings must be validated not only in other surgical cohorts but also in patients who are treated in nonsurgical ways (i.e., chemotherapy and targeted therapies) to see whether hsa-miR-29c* retains prognostic (and possibly predictive) power as well. A significant advantage of miR exploration is that the studies can be performed in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues, thus simplifying specimen procurement in the future.
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