Loss of FOXA1/2 Is Essential for the Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in Pancreatic Cancer

Yan Song1, M. Kay Washington2, and Howard C. Crawford1

Abstract

FOXA1 and FOXA2, members of the forkhead transcription factor family, are critical for epithelial differentiation in many endoderm-derived organs, including the pancreas. However, their role in tumor progression is largely unknown. Here, we identified FOXA1 and FOXA2 as important antagonists of the epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) through their positive regulation of E-cadherin and maintenance of the epithelial phenotype. In human PDA samples, FOXA1/2 are expressed in all epithelia from normal to well-differentiated cancer cells, but are lost in undifferentiated cancer cells. In PDA cell lines, FOXA1/2 expression is consistently suppressed in experimental EMT models and RNAi silencing of FOXA1/2 alone is sufficient to induce EMT. Conversely, ectopic FOXA1/2 expression can potently neutralize several EMT-related E-cadherin repressive mechanisms. Finally, ectopic FOXA2 expression could reactivate E-cadherin expression in a PDA cell line with extensive promoter hypermethylation. In fact, demethylation-mediated reactivation of E-cadherin expression in these cells required concurrent reactivation of endogenous FOXA2 expression. We conclude that suppression of FOXA1/2 expression is both necessary and sufficient for EMT during PDA malignant progression. Cancer Res; 70(5); 2115–25. ©2010 AACR.

Introduction

The epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) is a physiologic process, originally described in embryonic development, in which cells lose epithelial characteristics and gain mesenchymal properties. EMT is accompanied by loss of cell-to-cell contact and increased cell motility. It is also implicated in late-stage tumor progression as a prelude to cancer metastasis (1, 2). As a gatekeeper of the epithelial phenotype (2), E-cadherin regulation has been extensively studied during EMT, with most research focusing on its transcriptional repression by E12/47, TWIST (3), and members of the Snail (4, 5) and ZEB (6) protein families. In contrast, little attention has been paid to the involvement of E-cadherin transcriptional activators in EMT because repressive factors are thought to be dominant.

FOXA proteins belong to subclass A of the forkhead box containing transcription factor family (Fox proteins). FOXA1 and FOXA2 share 92% homology within their forkhead DNA-binding domains (7). Genetic ablation of Foxa1 or Foxa2 in mice causes postnatal or embryonic lethality, respectively (8–11). Individual or compound deletions of Foxa1 and Foxa2 show that both Foxa1 and Foxa2 are essential for terminal differentiation and maturation of many endoderm-derived cells, including α-cells in the endocrine pancreas (12) and liver (13), lung alveolar (14), and prostate luminal ductal epithelia (15). These findings suggest that Foxa1/2 proteins are critical for both early embryonic development and late- or end-stage epithelial differentiation.

Little is known about the role of FOXA1/2 in cancer even though their expression is observed in many human cancers including prostate (16), breast (17, 18), lung, and esophagus (19). The involvement of FOXA proteins in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA) has yet to be described. Foxa1 and Foxa2 are important transcription factors for endocrine pancreas development and function (7) and are expressed in exocrine pancreas where their function is unclear (20, 21).

PDA has a 5-year survival rate of less than 4%, a statistic largely attributable to its aggressive invasive and metastatic behavior. We found that both FOXA1 and FOXA2 were expressed in most stages of PDA progression, but were lost in undifferentiated cancer cells. In PDA cell lines, FOXA1/2 expression was suppressed in experimental EMT models, and forced inhibition of FOXA1/2 factors was sufficient to induce EMT. As activators of E-cadherin transcription, FOXA1/2 overexpression could overcome several repressive signals of E-cadherin expression, including Snail1 overexpression, transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ) treatment, and E-cadherin promoter hypermethylation. Interestingly, the FOXA2 gene itself was suppressed by DNA methylation, and this repression was critical for methylation-mediated silencing of E-cadherin gene expression. Taken together,
our study shows that loss of FOXA1/2 is a critical event in EMT during pancreatic cancer progression.

Materials and Methods

Human tissue and immunohistochemistry. Sections of archival formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded human PDA and pancreatitis samples were obtained from the Tissue Core, Vanderbilt University Medical Center. Primary antibodies used for immunohistochemical analysis were anti-FOXA1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) and anti-FOXA2 (Seven Hills Bioreagents). Hematoxylin was used at 1:10 dilution as counterstain to avoid interference with nuclear FOXA1/2 staining. Imagines were captured on an Olympus U-DO3 microscope.

Cell culture and treatments. Human PDA cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and maintained at 37°C in 5% CO2 in ATCC recombinant FBS and 0.5 μg/mL gentamicin. Phase-contrast images were captured on a Zeiss Axiosvert 200M microscope. Immunofluorescence images were captured on a Zeiss LSM-510 Meta confocal microscope. In indicated experiments, cells were treated with 5 ng/mL TGFβ (R&D Systems), 20 ng/mL hepatocyte growth factor (HGF; R&D Systems), 5'-aza-deoxycytidine (5'-aza-dC; Sigma-Aldrich), or their corresponding vehicle controls. See Supplementary Data for antibodies, primers, and other methods.

Plasmids, siRNA, shRNA, and generation of stable cells. Expression vectors for mouse Foxa1 and rat Foxa2 were gifts of Dr. Robert Matusik (Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN). Expression vector of Snail1 (pCDNA3.1/GS-Snail1) was purchased from Invitrogen. FOXA1-specific or FOXA2-specific siRNA (ON-TARGETplus, Dharmacon; see Supplementary Table S2 for sequences) was transfected using TransIT-TKO (Mirus). A scrambled siRNA sequence (BLOCK-iT Alexa Fluor fluorescent, Invitrogen) was used as control siRNA and as an indicator of transfection efficiency. Lentiviral-based shRNA constructs (pLKO.1-puro) targeting either the FOXA1 or the FOXA2 gene were purchased from Sigma (see Supplementary Table S2 for sequences). Control pLKO.1 vector was a gift from Dr. Holly Colognato (Department of Pharmacology, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, NY). Freshly prepared viruses were used to infect BxPC3 cells, which were then selected and maintained with 0.8 μg/mL puromycin. The coding sequence of rat Foxa2 was subcloned into the pMIG retrovirus vector with an internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-green fluorescent protein (GFP). Viruses containing either Foxa2-IRES-GFP or control IRES-GFP were packaged in amphi-Phoenix cells, and GFP+ PANC-1 cells were enriched by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS).

Promoter constructs and luciferase reporter assay. A 921-bp human E-cadherin promoter region was subcloned into pGL3 Basic vector (Promega). Three FOXA binding elements were mutated according to a previous report (22). Promoter activity was measured with the Dual Luciferase Kit (Promega). See Supplementary Data for details.

Migration assay. Cells were seeded onto Transwell membrane inserts (8 μm; Corning) in serum-free medium. Medium containing 10% FBS was added to the lower chamber. After 6-h incubation at 37°C, cells that migrated to the lower surface of the membrane were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde and stained with 0.5% crystal violet. When siRNA-mediated FOXA1/2 silencing was used, cells were assessed 48 h after transfection. For each membrane, five random fields were counted at ×10 magnification. The mean was calculated and data were presented as mean ± SEM from three independent experiments done in triplicate.

Statistics. Data were presented as mean ± SEM. Student’s t test was used in two-group comparisons. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Expression of FOXA1/2 in PDA was analyzed by χ2 test.

Results

Expression of FOXA1/2 is lost in undifferentiated PDA cells. The critical function of FOXA1/2 in pancreas development and in several types of cancer led us to examine their expression in human PDA using immunohistochemistry. Twenty-five human PDA and five chronic pancreatitis samples contained multiple epithelial pathologies, including metaplasia, pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN), differentiated invasive PDA, and undifferentiated invasive PDA. Results are summarized in Supplementary Table S1, divided by pathology, as found in each sample.

In nearby normal tissue, FOXA1 and FOXA2 were expressed in all islet cell types and at lower levels in acinar and ductal cells, primarily localized to the nucleus (Fig. 1A), a pattern consistent with that found in mouse pancreas (20, 21). Among the 25 PDA specimens, 24 contained invasive cancer and 12 contained various stages of PanIN. FOXA1 and FOXA2 localized primarily to the nucleus and were expressed in invasive adenocarcinoma cells in 79% (19 of 24) and 75% (18 of 24) of PDA samples (Fig. 1B), respectively, although strong cytoplasmic staining was observed occasionally for FOXA1/2 (3 of 24) in poorly differentiated cancers. Both FOXA1 and FOXA2 were detected in 83% (10 of 12) of PanINs at all stages (Fig. 1A). FOXA1 and FOXA2 were also expressed in all identifiable ductal metaplasia associated with PDA (25 of 25) and in 5 of 5 chronic pancreatitis cases examined (Fig. 1A).

Strikingly, very few poorly differentiated cancer cells maintained FOXA1 (26%, 5 of 19) or FOXA2 (11%, 2 of 19) expression, in contrast to well-differentiated cancer cells in the same sections (Fig. 1B; Supplementary Table S1). This was especially evident in isolated invasive anaplastic cancer cells, which commonly showed no expression of FOXA1 or FOXA2, in contrast to the nuclear expression of FOXA1 or FOXA2 in nearby moderately well-differentiated carcinomas (Fig. 1B). Thus, FOXA1/2 were expressed in normal pancreas epithelium and maintained in metaplastic epithelium, PanIN lesions, and well-differentiated PDA, but were lost in poorly differentiated cancer cells.

Expression of FOXA1/2 factors in PDA cell lines and experimental EMT. Loss of FOXA1/2 expression in poorly differentiated PDA provoked the question whether these factors play a role in EMT. To begin to address this question, a cohort of pancreatic cancer cell lines were examined for the
expression of FOXA1/2 and EMT-related genes, including E-cadherin, vimentin, Snail1, and Slug, by quantitative reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR; Supplementary Fig. S1) and Western blot analysis (Fig. 2A). FOXA1 and FOXA2 expression was found in every cell line with the exception of MiaPaCa-2 cells, an E-cadherin-negative, vimentin-positive line (Fig. 2A). Even though E-cadherin transcriptional repressors, such as Snail1, have been characterized as dominant mediators of the undifferentiated status in a variety of cancer cell lines, including MiaPaCa-2 (4, 23), PDA lines characterized as moderately differentiated, CFPAC-1 and PANC-1 (24), expressed levels of Snail1 or Slug comparable to those in MiaPaCa-2 cells (Fig. 2A; Supplementary Fig. S1).

To test if FOXA1/2 factors were involved in EMT, we used two experimental models of EMT. PANC-1 and HPAFII are well-documented PDA cell lines that undergo EMT on TGFβ (25, 26) or HGF stimulation (27), respectively. EMT was induced in both cell lines within 48 hours of the respective treatments, illustrated by loss of E-cadherin and gain of vimentin expression (Fig. 2B), although an increase of vimentin protein was undetectable in HPAFII cells. FOXA1 and FOXA2 mRNA and protein were consistently suppressed in both model systems (Fig. 2C and D), suggesting that downregulation of FOXA1/2 factors was part of the EMT program, in coordination with upregulation of E-cadherin transcriptional repressors such as Snail1 and Slug (Fig. 2C and D).

**Loss of FOXA1/2 induces EMT.** We next tested whether direct inhibition of FOXA1/2 alone could induce EMT. Two well-differentiated PDA cell lines, HPAFII (Fig. 3) and BxPC3 (Supplementary Fig. S2A and B), were transiently transfected with synthetic siRNAs against either FOXA1 or FOXA2. Whereas FOXA1/2 were inhibited to 50% to 60% of control levels, E-cadherin expression was diminished at both mRNA and protein levels (Fig. 3A and B). Knockdown of FOXA1 and FOXA2 together further reduced E-cadherin expression by 80%, indicating that the total quantity of FOXA proteins was critical for optimal E-cadherin expression. Consistent with a previous report indicating that FOXA2 is essential for FOXA1 expression (28), FOXA1 was consistently inhibited when FOXA2 was silenced, possibly explaining why FOXA2 silencing generally had a stronger effect than FOXA1 silencing (Fig. 3A and B). Localization of E-cadherin was unchanged, remaining at cell-cell junctions (Fig. 3C). Transcripts for ZO-1, another epithelial marker, were also inhibited as FOXA1/2 factors were suppressed (Fig. 3B), supporting a loss of epithelial character. However, neither the mesenchymal markers vimentin and fibronectin nor E-cadherin-repressing Snail transcription factors were significantly upregulated (Fig. 3B), indicating that transient FOXA1/2 inhibition could initiate the loss of epithelial traits but was not sufficient to induce the mesenchymal phenotype.
To study the long-term effects of FOXA1 or FOXA2 silencing, stable lines were established from BxPC3 cells that expressed shRNAs against either FOXA1 or FOXA2. BxPC3 is a well-differentiated PDA cell line with abundant expression of E-cadherin and FOXA1/2 (Fig. 2A). In agreement with transient knockdown experiments, inhibition of either FOXA1 or FOXA2 alone impaired endogenous E-cadherin expression, with simultaneous knockdown of both FOXA1 and FOXA2 being more effective (Fig. 4A). In contrast to transient knockdowns, transcripts for vimentin, fibronectin, and Snail1 were significantly elevated (Fig. 4B). Morphologically, cells lacking FOXA1 or FOXA2 showed an increase in cell spreading, exhibiting a spindle shape and reduced cell-cell contact (Fig. 4C) compared with control cells. Functionally, cell migration was enhanced 4- to 6-fold when either FOXA1 or FOXA2 was silenced and by ~10-fold when both FOXA1/2 factors were silenced, as assessed by Transwell migration assay (Fig. 4D). Cell proliferation was also moderately inhibited in FOXA1- or FOXA2-deficient BxPC3 cells (Supplementary Fig. S2). Collectively, these findings indicate that FOXA1/2
factors are essential in maintaining the epithelial phenotype, with their long-term loss being sufficient to induce a secondary mesenchymal transition.

**FOXA2 can overcome suppressive signals to activate the E-cadherin promoter.** To further understand the relationship between FOXA1/2 factors and epithelial differentiation in PDA cells, we examined direct regulation of the E-cadherin promoter by these transcription factors. In both MiaPaCa-2 (data not shown) and PANC-1 cells (Fig. 5A), FOXA2 overexpression activated an E-cadherin promoter/luciferase reporter in a dose-dependent (Fig. 5A) and FOXA binding site-dependent (Supplementary Fig. S3A and B) manner. Even though FOXA1 seemed to contribute to endogenous E-cadherin expression (Figs. 3 and 4), its overexpression did not activate the E-cadherin promoter/reporter in either cell line. Nevertheless, chromatin immunoprecipitation assays showed that endogenous FOXA1 and FOXA2 proteins interacted with DNA regions that included proximal consensus FOXA binding sites (Supplementary Fig. S3C) within the E-cadherin promoter.

Given that FOXA2 can activate the E-cadherin promoter/reporter in Snail1-expressing PANC-1 and MiaPaCa-2 cells (Fig. 5A), we asked whether FOXA2 is a dominant activating factor capable of overcoming E-cadherin-suppressing signals. Using the TGFβ1-responsive PANC-1 cells, we showed that both ectopic Snail1 overexpression and TGFβ1 treatment were able to repress wild-type E-cadherin promoter/reporter activity compared with control (Fig. 5B), as
expected. However, neither Snail1 nor TGFβ1 prevented FOXA2 activation of the E-cadherin promoter (Fig. 5B). In fact, FOXA2 activated the promoter to a level comparable to that when FOXA2 was expressed alone. These results indicate that downregulation of FOXA2 is important for Snail1 or TGFβ to effectively repress the E-cadherin promoter.

**FOXA1/2 are potent activators of endogenous E-cadherin.**

To test whether FOXA2 can activate the endogenous E-cadherin gene in the presence of other repressive mechanisms, a retrovirus-based FOXA2-IRES-enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) construct or an IRES-EGFP construct (as control) was stably expressed in PANC-1 cells. GFP+ cells were identified and enriched by FACS. Compared with control GFP+ cells, E-cadherin expression was strongly elevated in FOXA2-GFP+ cells, whereas vimentin expression was suppressed (Fig. 5C), consistent with FOXA2 promoting an epithelial character despite Snail1 being highly expressed in parental PANC-1 cells (Fig. 2B) and remaining unchanged in FOXA2-overexpressing cells (Fig. 5C).

We then tested whether FOXA1/2 overexpression can reactivate endogenous E-cadherin in E-cadherin–negative...
MiaPaCa-2 cells. MiaPaCa-2 cells express Snail1 (4) and have an E-cadherin promoter reported to be silenced by hypermethylation (29, 30) and an undetectable FOXA1/2 expression (Fig. 2). Given these multiple modes of E-cadherin repression, it was surprising that transient expression of either FOXA1 or FOXA2 elevated E-cadherin transcript levels, which were undetectable in parental and vector control cells, to an easily detectable level (Fig. 5D), with a quantitative increase of >200-fold. Neither Snail1 nor Slug expression was repressed in these experiments (Fig. 5D). Although both promoter-reporter experiments (Fig. 5B) and ectopic FOXA2 overexpression in PANC-1 cells (Fig. 5C) showed that FOXA1/2 could counteract Snail1-mediated repression, it was unexpected that it could overcome promoter

![Image: Figure 5. FOXA1/2 proteins are potent activators of E-cadherin in PDA cells. A, FOXA2 activates E-cadherin promoter/reporter. The schematic of the E-cadherin promoter fragment from −921 to +47 bp relative to the transcription start site is depicted with three FOXA binding sites. PANC-1 cells were cotransfected with an expression vector encoding EGFP, Foxa1, or Foxa2 with a wild-type −921 bp E-cadherin promoter/reporter. Fold induction is calculated relative to cotransfection with control EGFP vector. B, FOXA2 overcomes suppression of E-cadherin promoter mediated by Snail1 or TGFβ. In PANC-1 cells, pGS-Snail1 (2 μg) was cotransfected with an EGFP or Foxa2 expression vector (2 μg). Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were treated with 5 ng/mL TGFβ, as indicated. Promoter activity was determined by dual-luciferase assay 48 h after transfection. Luciferase assays were done in triplicate and data were summarized from at least three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ##, P < 0.01, in comparison with control. C, ectopic expression of Foxa2 enhances endogenous E-cadherin expression. A retrovirus-based Foxa2-IRE5-GFP or IRES-GFP (control) construct was used to infect PANC-1 cells. GFP+ cells were enriched by FACS. Expression of E-cadherin, vimentin, Snail1, and FOXA2 was examined by Western blot (top) and quantitative RT-PCR (bottom) from GFP+ PANC-1 cells. D, ectopic expression of Foxa1/2 induces E-cadherin transcription in MiaPaCa-2 cells. MiaPaCa-2 cells were transiently transfected with an expression vector encoding EGFP, Foxa1, or Foxa2. E-cadherin mRNA was examined by semiquantitative RT-PCR (top) and by quantitative RT-PCR along with Snail1 and Slug (bottom) 48 h after transfection. Representative results from three independent experiments are shown for C and D. Means ± SEM are shown for quantitative RT-PCR from at least three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.
hypermethylation. It should be noted that E-cadherin protein remained undetectable by Western blot with transient FOXA1 or FOXA2 expression (data not shown). It is possible that even with the dramatic elevation induced by FOXA1/2 factors, E-cadherin transcripts remain too low (~1% of BxPC3 levels, when adjusted for transfection efficiency) to lead to high levels of E-cadherin protein.

**FOXA2 induction is responsible for demethylation-mediated E-cadherin reactivation.** Hypermethylation of the E-cadherin promoter is thought to represent a silenced E-cadherin locus because it introduces an insurmountable structural impediment to transcription. Overexpression of FOXA1/2 proteins in MiaPaCa-2 cells, which contain mostly methylated E-cadherin promoter (ref. 30; Supplementary Fig. S4A), reactivated E-cadherin expression, showing that the promoter in these cells was amenable to transactivation. With this surprising result, we questioned whether promoter hypermethylation was demonstrably involved in E-cadherin silencing in MiaPaCa-2 cells. To test this, we reversed DNA methylation by treating cells with 5′-aza-dC (ref. 31; Supplementary Fig. S4A) and quantitated E-cadherin expression by quantitative RT-PCR. Indeed, E-cadherin transcripts were elevated by >20-fold after treatment (Fig. 6A), consistent with silencing by hypermethylation. However, we found that FOXA2 transcripts were simultaneously elevated by ~10-fold after treatment (Fig. 6A), indicating that the FOXA2 gene was also suppressed by methylation. A corresponding enhancement of E-cadherin and FOXA2 protein expression was found by FACS analysis (Fig. 6B). In contrast, no change was observed for FOXA1 (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S4B). Taken together, these results suggest the possibility that restoration of E-cadherin expression after demethylation may be indirect, through reactivation of FOXA2 expression.

To test this possibility, synthetic siRNAs targeting FOXA2 were transfected into MiaPaCa-2 cells with or without 5′-aza-dC treatment. When FOXA2 expression was inhibited by either of the two FOXA2 siRNAs, reexpression of E-cadherin mRNA was also inhibited by ~80% compared with control siRNA (Fig. 6C). This inhibition was confirmed at the protein level by FACS analysis (Fig. 6D). Thus, we conclude that, in MiaPaCa-2 cells, an indirect methylation-dependent regulatory mechanism controls E-cadherin expression through methylation-mediated suppression of FOXA2.

**Discussion**

Metastasis causes >90% of cancer deaths. The EMT is considered a prerequisite to metastasis for most carcinomas, allowing cancer cells to disassociate from the primary tumor and enhancing cell motility. Early observations showing that gain-of-function signals, such as oncogene activation and growth factor responses, are sufficient to induce EMT have led to the extensive study and identification of several EMT-promoting pathways, while relatively little attention has been paid to EMT-suppressing pathways. In this study, we show for the first time that inhibition of FOXA1/2 transcription factors is an integral part of the EMT program. Suppression of endogenous FOXA1/2 is sufficient to induce EMT, and sustained FOXA1/2 expression can inhibit EMT induced by a variety of pathways.

Based on our findings from in vivo human PDA specimens and in vitro studies in PDA cell lines, we propose a model where FOXA1/2 factors are constitutively expressed in normal pancreatic epithelia, PanINs, and well-differentiated PDA, where they maintain optimal E-cadherin levels, thus suppressing EMT. As the cancer progresses, FOXA1/2 factors are suppressed by EMT-inducing signals (e.g., TGFβ and DNA methylation), leading to downregulation of E-cadherin and possibly other epithelial markers. This model is consistent with previous observations of FOXA1/2 in breast cancer (17, 18, 22) and their functions during development (7). Concurrent with FOXA1/2 repression in PDA cells, E-cadherin repressors, such as Snail, are activated, further contributing to E-cadherin silencing and EMT induction. This model strongly suggests that the balance between FOXA factors and Snail repressors is critical. This idea is bolstered by our promoter/reporter studies in PANC-1 cells (Fig. 5B), which express both endogenous FOXA1/2 and Snail1 proteins yet are still susceptible to both Snail1 repression and FOXA2 activation of the E-cadherin promoter.

Similarly, the observed antagonism between FOX factors themselves may require their relative expression to be appropriately balanced as well. For instance, FOXC2 was shown to induce EMT and suppress E-cadherin expression (32), which could be achieved by antagonizing FOXA transactivation. Also, FOXA1 interferes with FOXA2-mediated transactivation as a result of its inferior transactivation activity (28). Although no activation of the E-cadherin promoter/reporter was observed with FOXA1 overexpression, coexpression of FOXA1 with FOXA2 dampened the degree of transactivation observed with FOXA2 overexpression alone by about 30% to 40% (data not shown), supporting the possibility of antagonism. However, because knockdown of endogenous FOXA1 in FOXA2-expressing lines consistently reduces E-cadherin expression (Figs. 3 and 4), we conclude that FOXA1 plays an overall positive role in endogenous E-cadherin gene expression, possibly by interacting with distal regulatory elements.

Another important mechanism implicated in E-cadherin regulation is DNA methylation–mediated gene silencing, conferred by establishment of repressive chromatin complexes composed of methyl CpG binding proteins and histone deacetylases that mediate chromatin condensation (33). Nevertheless, methylated promoters can still be activated by several transcriptional activators (34, 35) that compete with repressive chromatin complexes. Moreover, FOXA1 has been shown as a “pioneer factor” of chromatin remodeling that can decondense compacted chromatin through its COOH-terminal domain to facilitate further transcription (36, 37). We hypothesize that abundantly expressed FOXA proteins can activate a methylated E-cadherin promoter (Fig. 5D) due to their dual functions as potent trans-activators and chromatin remodeling factors.

Furthermore, we found that the FOXA2 gene, but not the FOXA1 gene, was regulated by methylation in an undifferentiated PDA cell line. Whether this is by direct methylation of...
Figure 6. FOXA2 is required for demethylation-mediated E-cadherin reactivation. A and B, 5′-aza-dC treatment induces E-cadherin and FOXA2 expression. MiaPaCa-2 cells were treated with 5′-aza-dC for 5 d at the indicated doses. Expression of E-cadherin, FOXA1, and FOXA2 was examined by quantitative RT-PCR (A) and flow cytometry (B). C and D, 5′-aza-dC-induced E-cadherin expression is dependent on FOXA2 elevation. MiaPaCa-2 cells were treated with 1 μmol/L 5′-aza-dC for 5 d. On the 3rd day, two different synthetic siRNAs (#7 and #8) against FOXA2 were independently transfected into 5′-aza-dC-treated or untreated MiaPaCa-2 cells. Expression of E-cadherin and FOXA2 was examined by quantitative RT-PCR (C) and flow cytometric analysis (D). For quantitative RT-PCR analysis, means ± SEM from three independent experiments are shown. For FACS analysis, representative data from at least three independent experiments are shown. Only four data lines are shown for simplicity (representative complete data set is presented in Supplementary Fig. S4). Bar graph summarizes at least three independent experiments. *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.
the FOXA2 promoter or by methylation of upstream regulators, or both, is unknown. Two large CpG islands are predicted with heavily clustered CpG sites within a 2,100-bp 5’ regulatory region of the FOXA2 gene, using CpG island prediction softwares (cpgislands and cpgplot), making FOXA2 a candidate for silencing by direct hypermethylation. Given the recent reports on methylation of E-cadherin promoter in less than 2% of PDA patient samples (30, 38), we propose that loss of E-cadherin expression may be strongly influenced by methylation-mediated silencing of the FOXA2 gene, independent of direct methylation of the E-cadherin gene itself.

Besides the regulation of FOXA1/2 by EMT-inducing paracrine signals or DNA methylation, FOXA1/2 proteins frequently localized to the cytoplasm of undifferentiated cancer cells, suggesting an alternative mechanism of inhibiting their transcription activity. In an insulinoma cell line, cytoplasmic translocation of FOXA proteins was induced by AKT phosphorylation of the Fkdh domain (39) in a manner analogous to FOXO (40). However, such translocation of FOXA1 or FOXA2 was not found in vivo in response to phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)/AKT activation (7). In several PDA cell lines, we observed FOXA1 and FOXA2 in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm, but neither activation of AKT by growth factors nor pharmacologic inhibition of PI3K or AKT altered this distribution (data not shown). Nevertheless, we speculate that signaling pathways controlling nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shuttling of FOXA1/2 are likely to be important regulators of EMT in PDA.

In summary, we have shown a consistent inhibition of FOXA1 and FOXA2 during EMT both in vivo and in vitro by diverse signals. Suppression of endogenous FOXA1/2 alone is sufficient to induce EMT in PDA cell lines and is required for E-cadherin silencing previously attributed directly to promoter hypermethylation. All together, these data lead us to conclude that loss of FOXA1/2 is an essential step in the EMT program during pancreatic cancer progression.
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