MET-Independent Lung Cancer Cells Evading EGFR Kinase Inhibitors Are Therapeutically Susceptible to BH3 Mimetic Agents
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Abstract

Targeted therapies for cancer are inherently limited by the inevitable recurrence of resistant disease after initial responses. To define early molecular changes within residual tumor cells that persist after treatment, we analyzed drug-sensitive lung adenocarcinoma cell lines exposed to reversible or irreversible epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors, alone or in combination with MET-kinase inhibitors, to characterize the adaptive response that engenders drug resistance. Tumor cells displaying early resistance exhibited dependence on MET-independent activation of BCL-2/BCL-XL survival signaling. Further, such cells displayed a quiescence-like state associated with greatly retarded cell proliferation and cytoskeletal functions that were readily reversed after withdrawal of targeted inhibitors. Findings were validated in a xenograft model, showing BCL-2 induction and p-STAT3[Y705] activation within the residual tumor cells surviving the initial antitumor response to targeted therapies. Disrupting the mitochondrial BCL-2/BCL-XL antiapoptotic machinery in early survivor cells using BCL-2 Homology Domain 3 (BH3) mimetic agents such as ABT-737, or by dual RNAi-mediated knockdown of BCL-2/BCL-XL, was sufficient to eradicate the early-resistant lung-tumor-cells evading targeted inhibitors. Similarly, in a xenograft model the preemptive cotreatment of lung tumor cells with an EGFR inhibitor and a BH3 mimic eradicated early TKI-resistant evaders and ultimately achieved a more durable response with prolonged remission. Our findings prompt prospective clinical investigations using BH3-mimetics combined with targeted receptor kinase inhibitors to optimize and improve clinical outcomes in lung-cancer treatment.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the second most common cancer and continues to have the highest cancer-mortality rates. Receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) is a main class of druggable molecular targets, such as epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR; 1, 2), MET (3, 4), which can be therapeutically inhibited in human cancer therapy. EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), gefitinib and erlotinib, are approved targeted agents against nonsmall cell lung cancer (NSCLC), with enhanced efficacy toward tumors that express somatic sensitizing kinase domain mutations (e.g., L858R, exon 19 deletions; 5–7). One of the most formidable challenges of targeted therapy is the invariable tumor secondary resistance after initial response. MET genomic amplification has been implicated in about 20% of acquired EGFR TKI resistance (8, 9) whereas the EGFR gatekeeper T790M kinase mutation (10–12) accounts for approximately half of the resistant cases. Further targeting strategies to overcome EGFR TKI resistance include the use of irreversible TKIs (10, 13, 14), pan-EGFR/ERBB kinase inhibitors (15), and MET inhibitors (8, 16). The MET receptor has been shown to be an important molecule in a variety of malignancies (3, 17) and has recently been validated as an attractive therapeutic target in cancer therapy, including lung cancer (4, 18–23). Reversible small molecule inhibitors to target against MET have been developed for novel anticancer therapeutic intervention (20, 21, 24–26). Studies from our group and others have recently showed the cross-talk signaling network between EGFR and MET, and also the role of MET...
inhibition in combination with EGFR inhibitor in lung cancer in overcoming MET amplified resistance (8) or T790M–EGFR mediated resistance (16) to EGFR–TKI.

Further knowledge into additional mechanisms of tumor-cell resistance to targeted inhibitors should prove to be of great significance in the quest for novel effective treatment strategies to impact the long-term prognosis of lung cancer. Majority of the reported studies investigating mechanisms of tumor resistance centered on late time window after chronic exposure to TKIs at escalating dosing concentrations when secondary resistant clones ultimately arose and propagated from the parental drug-sensitive cell populations. Nonetheless, a deep understanding of the entire spectrum of tumor cells mechanistic strategies to escape or evade targeted therapeutics in resistance, especially during the early inhibitory phase, remains to be better defined at present (27, 28). Here, we investigated the "early" molecular events in lung tumor cells under targeted EGFR alone or combined with MET-kinase inhibitors treatment. Our results identified that a resurgence of prosurvival-antiapoptotic signaling was evident in the surviving tumor with early evasion against the targeted kinase inhibitors, that involved a TKI-induced dependence of activated STAT3, and its transcriptional target BCL-2/BCL-XL, with therapeutic translational values. Our results show that proapoptotic BCL-2 Homology Domain 3 (BH3)-mimetic, such as ABT-737, can be effective in eradicating these "early" TKI-resistant lung tumor evader cells, thereby potentially enhancing the long-term efficacy of targeted EGFR lung cancer therapy.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture and immunoblotting

Lung cancer cell lines were obtained directly from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and grown under standard cell culture conditions. Cell lines characterization and authentication were carried out by the ATCC Molecular Authentication Center, using cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) for interspecies identification and short tandem repeat (STR) anlysis (DNA fingerprinting) for intraspecies identification. Sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS—PAGE) and Western blotting were carried out as previously described (16, 29). The primary antibodies used are as follows: phospho-MET[Y1234/1235]: Cell Signaling Technology (CST), MET (C-12, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), phospho-EGFR[Y1068]: CST, EGFR (Santa Cruz), phosphotyrosine (p-Tyr), phospho-AKT[S473], AKT, phospho-MAPK(ERK1/2)[T202/Y204]—all from CST, MAPK(ERK1/2)-Bioresource, phospho-STAT3[Y705]; CST, STAT3, BCL-2, BCL-XL (all from Zymed), cleaved-Caspase-3[Asp175], cleaved-PARP[Asp214], phospho-STAT5[Y694]—all from CST, survivin (Zymed) and actin (Santa Cruz).

Chemicals and inhibitors

EGFR inhibitor (reversible) erlotinib was prepared as previously described (29, 30), MET inhibitors SU11274, PHA665752 and EGFR inhibitor (irreversible) CL-387,785 were obtained from EMD–Calbiochem. BCL-2 family inhibitors ABT-737, obatoclax mesylate (GX15–070), and HA14–1 were obtained from Selleck.

Cellular cytotoxicity, viability, and survival assays

Cellular cytotoxicity and viability assays were carried out using CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation (MTS) assay (Promega), according to the manufacturer’s instruction at 72 hours after treatment with indicated inhibitors in 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) media. For the studies of cells under 9 days of pretreatment under targeted (EGFR/ MET) inhibitors, the indicated inhibitors in the culture media were replenished at least every 2–3 days (which was verified to be indistinguishable from daily TKI replacement) prior to cell harvesting at the end of the inhibitory culture for subsequent cellular assays.

For cell survival assay using crystal violet staining method, H1975 cells or HCC827 cells were treated as described in Supplementary Materials and Methods with indicated TKIs for 6 days, followed by indicated BH3-mimetic inhibition with or without concurrent TKI for 3 extra days.

Time-lapsed video microscopy: Image analysis of cytoskeletal functions

HCC827 cells were plated on cell-culture dishes in a temperature-controlled chamber at 37°C in an atmosphere of 5% CO2 for time-lapsed video microscopy (TLVM) analysis of cytoskeletal functions and determination of cellular mitotic activities as previously described (16) and also in Supplementary Materials.

In vivo xenograft model and bioluminescence imaging of human lung cancer

Lung cancer xenograft. Firefly-luciferase(luc)-expressing HCC827 and H1975 lung cancer cells, and their corresponding murine xenograft models were established as previously described (see also Supplementary Materials and Methods) according to institution-approved protocols and guidelines (16). Immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis of the tumor xenograft was carried out in the Tissue Procurement and Histology Core Facility, Case Comprehensive Cancer Center, using anti-human BCL-2 (Abcam), antihuman p-STAT3[Y705] (rabbit primary antibody, D3A7, CST) primary antibodies. For details see also Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Tumor microarray

Human lung cancer tumor microarray was purchased from Zymed-Invitrogen (MaxArray Human Lung Cancer Tissue Microarray Slides, Cat. No. 75–4083). IHC staining using antihuman BCL-2 antibody was carried out as described above, and graded using 4-tier scoring system (0, 1+, 2+, and 3+) by a dedicated thoracic pathologist (S. H.-K.). For the lung cancer tumor microarray (TMA) analysis, the TMA used in the analysis consisted of the followings: Squamous Cell (n = 25), Adenocarcinoma (n = 21), Large Cell (n = 3), SCLC (n = 5), Carcinoid (n = 2), Mesothelioma (n = 2).

BCL-2/BCL-XL DNA transfection and RNA interference studies

Human BCL-2 plasmid vector was a generous gift from Dr. Clark Distelhorst (Case Western Reserve University). Transfection of the BCL-2 expression vector into HCC827 cells was...
carried out using Fugene 6 according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Roche). RNA interference (RNAi) knockdown studies were conducted using the Thermo Scientific/Dharmacon RNAi Technologies, including siGENOME siRNA-NT (non-targeting; Cat.#D-001210–02), siRNAs against human BCL-2 (Cat.#L-003307–00), and BCL-XL (Cat.#L-003458–00). For HCC827 cells (Fig. 6A–B), cells were plated at full confluence on 48-well plates, then cultured for 9 days in serum-containing media (a) without inhibitor, or with treatment of (b) Erlotinib alone for 9 days, or (c–f) Erlotinib together with the followings in combination: (c) ABT-737 (2 μmol/L) concurrently at Day 0, (d) siRNA-nontargeting (siNT), (e) siRNA-BCL-2, and (f) dual siRNA-BCL-2/BCL-XL RNAi knockdown. Cells were then fixed in methanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet as above at the end of day 9 to visualize the early TKI-resistant tumor survivor cells emerged under various conditions. Experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Statistical analysis
In the BCL-2 transfection study and erlotinib cellular cytotoxicity assay in the HCC827 cells (Fig. 5D), the results under each transfection condition were first summarized by the area to toxicitiy assay in the HCC827 cells (Fig. 5D), the results under the curve (AUC). The differences of AUC between each transfection condition were carried out in triplicate.

Survivor cells emerged under various conditions. Experiments were further verified in PC-9 cell line, with the erlotinib-surviving PC-9 cells (PC-9_ERL-D9.R) at Day-9 inhibition exhibiting MET-independent upregulated p-STAT3[Y705]/BCL-2/BCL-XL signaling, and "early" TKI-resistance (Supplementary Fig. S1).

EGFR-irreversible-TKI-sensitive lung adenocarcinoma
H1975 cells also showed "early" tumor resistant evasion from CL-387,785
We also tested the H1975 cell line against the irreversible-EGFR-TKI CL-387,785 as an alternate model. Similarly, the "early" TKI-surviving H1975 cells (H1975_CL-D9.R) that were harvested after 9 days of CL-387,785 (1 μmol/L) exposure were found remarkably more resistant (Fig. 2A), accompanied with a prosurvival-antiapoptotic markers correlated well with time-dependent downregulated cleaved-caspase 3 and cleaved-PARP, indicative of a suppressed caspase-dependent intrinsic apoptosis mechanism among these TKI-evader cells. These results were further verified in PC-9 cell line, with the erlotinib-surviving PC-9 cells (PC-9_ERL-D9.R) at Day-9 inhibition exhibiting MET-independent upregulated p-STAT3[Y705]/BCL-2/BCL-XL signaling, and "early" TKI-resistance (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Results
Tumor resistance emerged "early" from EGFR-irreversible-TKI-sensitive lung adenocarcinoma evading erlotinib:MET-independent BCL-2/BCL-XL signaling
The lung adenocarcinoma cell lines HCC827 and PC-9 are both highly sensitive to reversible-EGFR inhibitors (erlotinib/gefitinib), owing to the oncogenic sensitizing EGFR exon 19 deletion (E746_A750 del). Here, we focused to study the "early" molecular alterations in tumor cells under TKI treatment, in an attempt to uncover potential therapeutic "Achilles’ heel” for the tumor cells that may survive the TKI within the early time-window.

We first adopted the HCC827 cell line in the in vitro "early" TKI-resistance studies, with the cells cultured under ongoing erlotinib (1 μmol/L) inhibitory treatment up to 9 days. We chose the concentration of erlotinib to be used at approximately IC_{70-75} in the 72-hrs cell viability assay. By day 9 of inhibition, there were cell subpopulations ("early” survivors, HCC827_ERL-D9.R) that evaded and survived the TKI treatment. These "early” survivor cells exhibited a dramatic shift of TKI-sensitivity phenotype toward higher resistance (~100-fold), compared with the TKI-naive parental cells (Fig. 1A). After an initial inhibited state, there was also reactivated BCL-2/BCL-XL within the background of a tyrosine-phosphoproteomic reactivated cellular state of a unique profile different from the parental cells (Fig. 1B–C).

Importantly, the tumor cells that survived up to days 6–9 of the EGFR-TKI treatment evidently signaled independently of EGFR and MET (Fig. 1C). Following an initial inhibitory period, we observed a rather early p-STAT3[Y705] reactivation despite ongoing erlotinib treatment. These restored prosurival-antiapoptotic markers correlated well with time-dependent downregulated cleaved-caspase 3 and cleaved-PARP, indicative of a suppressed caspase-dependent intrinsic apoptosis mechanism among these TKI-evader cells. These results were further verified in PC-9 cell line, with the erlotinib-surviving PC-9 cells (PC-9_ERL-D9.R) at Day-9 inhibition exhibiting MET-independent upregulated p-STAT3[Y705]/BCL-2/BCL-XL signaling, and "early” TKI-resistance (Supplementary Fig. S1).

Early EGFR-TKI resistance exhibited "adaptive" phenotypes that were highly "reversible”
Using TLVM analysis, we found that the HCC827_ERL-D9.R exhibited a cellular "quiescence-like” state, with dramatically inhibited proliferative and cytokeratin functions while in evasion against erlotinib. Promptly after erlotinib-withdrawal, we found that the early resistant cells could readily...
be reverted to a highly activated state of cellular motility (Fig. 3A) and mitotic proliferation (Fig. 3B; see also Supplementary Movies). We further tested to see if the Day-9 "early" resistant cells could maintain their resistant phenotype after a brief period of TKI withdrawal. Interestingly, after only 7 days of withdrawal of the corresponding TKIs, both HCC827_ERL-D9.R and H1975_CL-D9.R cells quickly reverted back to a highly TKI-sensitive phenotype, indistinguishable from parental-cell populations, respectively (Fig. 3C and E). Importantly, upon a washout period of TKI-withdrawal, these early resistant escape survivor tumor cells reexhibited TKI-induced p-EGFR inhibition as in the TKI-naive parental cells (Fig. 3D).

**In vivo activated STAT3/BCL-2 prosurvival-antiapoptotic signal axis in "early" TKI-resistant lung tumor survivor cells**

We extended our studies using *in vivo* xenograft model to examine tumor cells that survived initial treatment with targeted RTK-inhibitors. HCC827 xenograft was inhibited with erlotinib for 3 days, during which remarkable tumor response was evident as expected. Consistent with our *in vitro* data, the STAT3 downstream transcriptional target BCL-2 expression was found induced in the TKI-evading survivor cells (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, these early TKI-resistant cells were localized along the peripheral rind of the tumor xenograft (Fig. 4A-B). P-STAT3[Y705] was predominantly membranous and less...
so cytoplasmic in the untreated HCC827 cells. Conversely, the activated p-STAT3 signal was predominantly nuclear in the residual HCC827 tumor early survivor cells circumscribing along the xenograft periphery (Fig. 4B).

Past studies suggested that non-T790M-EGFR mediated acquired gefitinib/erlotinib resistance in sensitive-lung cancer cells may include genomic MET amplification (8, 9) or hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) overexpression (31). Currently, there are various clinical trials investigating the strategy of combining EGFR- and MET-inhibitors to enhance therapeutic efficacy and overcome acquired EGFR-TKI resistance in NSCLC. We have previously characterized the MET inhibitors SU11274 (20) and PHA665752 (21) in lung cancer novel therapy, which were utilized in the present study. Similar to the EGFR-TKI monotherapy model above, there was an upregulated BCL-2/BCL-XL prosurvival-antiapoptotic signaling in H1975 TKI-evader cells after 9 days of dual CL-387,785/PHA665752 inhibition, along with restored p-STAT3 activation (Fig. 4C), and associating with a more TKI-resistant phenotype in the evader cells (P = 0.0118; Supplementary Fig. S2).

We recently reported the efficacy of combined SU11274-erlotinib (MET-EGFR/ERBB TKI) in vivo H1975 xenograft model in overcoming T790M-EGFR drug-resistance, with resultant near-complete BLI-radiographic complete response (16). Here, we further evaluated the microscopic residual TKI-evading H1975-luc tumor cells and found they resided primarily along the tumor periphery juxtaposing the murine host-microenvironment. These TKI-evading survivor tumor cells also exhibited upregulated BCL-2 expression (Fig. 4D).

BCL-2 signal pathway expression and its potential therapeutic utility in NSCLC inhibition by BH3-mimetic

BCL-2 was found to be expressed at varying levels in NSCLC cell lines and lung tumor tissues, albeit at a significantly lower level range than in SCLC (Fig. 5A–B). Interestingly, unlike BCL-2, the expression levels of BCL-XL appeared to be more comparable among NSCLC and SCLC cell lines (Fig. 5A). TMA analysis showed that BCL-2 expression in NSCLC was primarily nuclear, whereas that in SCLC was strongly positive both nuclear and cytoplasmic. Stronger
BCL-2 nuclear expression was observed in squamous cell comparing with adenocarcinoma subtype. Our results above provide a rationale to target BCL-2 family signaling through proapoptotic BH3-mimetic, such as ABT-737 (32–34), in order to optimize targeted therapies. ABT-737 has been well-characterized recently and shown to antagonize BCL-2/BCL-XL, thereby inducing a proapoptotic effect through the mitochondrial apoptosis pathway. NSCLC cell lines were relatively insensitive to ABT-737 (IC50 > 5 µmol/L), whereas the SCLC H345 cell line tested was as expected highly sensitive (IC50 < 0.2 µmol/L; Fig. 5C). HCC827 cells with forced overexpression of transfected BCL-2 was sufficient to induce a significantly higher erlotinib-resistance, with ~100-fold increase in IC50 (Fig. 5D).

**ABT-737 inhibition in concert with targeted kinase inhibitors, both in vitro and in vivo, eradicated “early” TKI-resistant tumor evaders and further inhibited subsequent tumor recurrence**

We hypothesized that preemptive inhibition and eradication of “early” resistant tumor cells in RTK targeted therapy may impact on the long-term outcome of targeted therapy. We adopted RNAi knockdown of BCL-2/BCL-XL using siRNA methods here to test in parallel with ABT-737 (Fig. 6A–B).
Dramatic reduction in the early TKI-resistant tumor survivor cells was achievable by dual BCL-2/BCL-XL RNAi knockdown in conjunction with erlotinib, but not by mere knockdown of BCL-2 alone. ABT-737, when used concurrently with erlotinib to inhibit HCC827 cells, also dramatically reduced emergence of early TKI-resistant tumor survivor cells against erlotinib (Fig. 6B).

We further carried out in vitro ABT-737 inhibition studies on the NSCLC H1975 TKI-evading tumor cells that were primed to upregulate BCL-2/BCL-XL prosurvival signaling by (i) EGFR/ERBB inhibitor (CL-387,785; Fig. 6C), and (ii) dual EGFR–MET inhibitors (erlotinib plus SU11274; Fig. 6D). ABT-737, at a concentration relatively insensitive against H1975 parental cells, completely eradicated the early CL-387,785-resistant H1975 evader cells (Fig. 6C, top), either alone or in combination with CL-387,785. Importantly, we showed that the early TKI-resistant tumor cells were primed to be more susceptible to ABT-737 inhibition, exhibiting a much enhanced proapoptotic marker cleaved-PARP induction by the BH3-mimetic (Fig. 6C, bottom). Moreover, the dual-TKI-resistant H1975_ERL/SU-D9.R tumor cells could also be targeted by ABT-737 to further induce apoptosis (Fig. 6D). Other BH3-mimetic BCL-2 family inhibitors tested in our study, obatoclax (35) and HA14–1 (36), also showed efficacy (Fig. 6D). Similar to H1975 cells, early TKI-evading resistant HCC827 cells also displayed therapeutic susceptibility to BH3-mimetic in vitro (Fig. 6E).

Finally, we tested if the addition of the BH3-mimetic ABT-737 in vivo would prolong the duration of response in erlotinib-treated drug-sensitive HCC827-luc xenograft (Fig. 6E). The in vivo ABT-737 treatment dosage in our study was chosen based on reported literature on the therapeutic range in the tested sensitive cancer models (37). The tumor growth rate in recurrence of the ABT-737 + Erlotinib-treated group (IV) was significantly lower than that of the Erlotinib-alone group–III [P = 0.0004; Fig. 6E, Table 1; also Supplementary Fig. S3]. ABT-737-alone (Group II) did not account for the tumor regression and abrogation of tumor recurrence as seen in the ABT-737 + Erlotinib group (Group IV; P = 0.0004). Finally, the HCC827 tumor recurrence rates at day 18 and day 32 for Group III (Erlotinib-alone) animals were 50% (P = 0.014) and 62.5% (P = 0.004), respectively.
both significantly higher than Group IV (ABT-737 + Erlotinib; 0%; Fig. 6E; Table 1).

Discussion

In recent years, molecularly-targeted cancer therapy has renewed our hope for cancer cure. Nonetheless, the challenges of clinical tumor resistance, both intrinsic and acquired, remain formidable and substantially limit long-term efficacy. Classic secondary mutational resistance [e.g., T790M-EGFR against gefitinib/erlotinib in lung cancer (11)], and receptor kinase class-switching [e.g., from EGFR-addiction to MET/HGF (8, 9, 16), IGF1-R (27, 38), or AXL (39) signaling] have been identified in earlier studies that emphasized on "acquired" resistance (28), detailed underlying regulatory mechanism(s) that directly mediate the emergence of such early resurgent resistance remain to be fully defined. Our study here provided the first evidence that the "early" emergence of resistant tumor survivors evading EGFR/ERBB-MET TKIs is independent of MET receptor signaling activation, contrasting previous reports of MET genomic amplification as acquired resistance mechanism in HCC827 cells that escape "chronic" dose-escalating gefitinib inhibition at "late stages" after many months of treatment (8, 9). We present findings here that the BCL-2-family signaling in the mitochondrial (intrinsic) programmed-cell death pathway may indeed represent the central mechanism as tumor cells' newly-dependent addiction, in promoting "early tumor evasion" to survive targeted therapeutics. Here, we also provide additional in vivo therapeutic study evidence to validate the efficacy of targeting requires the histone demethylase RBP2/KDM5A/Jarid1A (27). Our report here lends further support to the emerging evidence of the existence of tumor cell subpopulations with adaptive resistant-escape under therapeutic inhibitory stress. These early adaptive resistant survivors likely serve as the founder population as minimal residual disease in solid cancers under therapeutic pressure, which ultimately leads to franky recurrent resistant disease on therapy in the future.

Despite the new insights into nonmutational early resistance (28), detailed underlying regulatory mechanism(s) that directly mediate the emergence of such early resurgent resistant cells against the inhibitors remain to be fully defined. Our study here provided the first evidence that the "early" emergence of resistant tumor survivors evading EGFR/ERBB-MET TKIs is independent of MET receptor signaling activation, contrasting previous reports of MET genomic amplification as acquired resistance mechanism in HCC827 cells that escape "chronic" dose-escalating gefitinib inhibition at "late stages" after many months of treatment (8, 9). We present findings here that the BCL-2-family signaling in the mitochondrial (intrinsic) programmed-cell death pathway may indeed represent the central mechanism as tumor cells’ newly-dependent addiction, in promoting "early tumor evasion" to survive targeted therapeutics. Here, we also provide additional in vivo therapeutic study evidence to validate the efficacy of targeting
Figure 6. BH3-mimetic therapeutic inhibition of the BCL-2/BCL-XL programmed cell death pathway “Achilles’ heel” to eradicate “early” TKI-resistant lung tumor survivor cells. A, siRNA-mediated knockdown of BCL-2 and BCL-XL in HCC827 cells. WCLs at day 2 and day 6 post-siRNA transfection were then extracted for Western blotting to verify efficient gene knockdown of the target protein(s) expression. B, BCL-2/BCL-XL RNAi knockdown or BH3-mimetic ABT-737 (2 μmol/L) in conjunction with erlotinib (1 μmol/L) remarkably suppressed the emergence of “early” EGFR-TKI resistant tumor-evader cells in HCC827. Representative photomicrographs from the triplicate experiments are shown here. Mag: 50×. C, proapoptotic BH3-mimetic ABT-737 eradicated the H1975 early tumor prosurvival resistance against CL-387,785. H1975 cells that were pretreated with 6 days of CL-387,785 (1 μmol/L) were replated at full confluence, followed by further treatments as indicated for 3 additional days in triplicate, either with CL-387,785 (1 μmol/L), ABT-787 (2 μmol/L), or ABT-737 + CL-387,785, followed by crystal violet cell staining (top). U, untreated control. Bottom, induction of proapoptotic marker cleaved-PARP by BH3-mimetic in the CL-387,785-resistant early tumor survivor H1975 cells. D, ABT-737, Obatoclax, and HA14-1 eradicated the H1975 early tumor prosurvival resistance against dual-TKIs inhibition by erlotinib/SU11274 (ERL/SU). The experiment was carried out with H1975 cells similar to (C) above, except that cells were pretreated with dual EGFR-MET inhibitors here, i.e., erlotinib (1 μmol/L)/SU11274 (1 μmol/L). BH3-mimetic used in treatment days 7–9 were all 2 μmol/L in concentration. Top, crystal violet cell survival staining assay. Bottom, BH3-mimetic treatment of the dual ERL/SU-resistant tumor cells induced a proapoptotic response. E, in vivo EGFR inhibition with erlotinib in conjunction with BH3-mimetic ABT-737 led to significantly more durable tumor response and prolonged remission in HCC827-luc lung adenocarcinoma xenograft. Left, schematic outline of treatment conditions of the in vivo HCC827-luc xenografts. Middle top, in vitro HCC827-ERL-D9.R early resistant TKI-evader cells emerged after 6 days of erlotinib (1 μmol/L) treatment, and were eradicated by cotargeting BH3-mimetic inhibition using ABT-737 (2 μmol/L), Obatoclax (2 μmol/L), or HA14-1 (2 μmol/L) ongoing erlotinib from days 7 to 9. Middle bottom, in vivo HCC827– luc tumor xenograft growth, under treatment conditions as in Groups I–IV, was monitored by BLI as described in the section Materials and Methods. Error bar, ± SEM. Erlotinib-alone (III) versus ABT-737 + Erlotinib (IV); *P = 0.0009. Erlotinib-alone (III) versus Diltucontrol (I), P < 0.0001 (**); ABT-737 + Erlotinib (IV) versus Diltucontrol (I), P < 0.0001 (**). Group II (ABT-737-alone) versus Group IV (ABT-737 + Erlotinib), P = 0.0004 (***). Right, BH3-mimetic ABT-737 in vivo treatment in conjunction with EGFR-TKI (Group IV) significantly abolished lung tumor recurrence.
the BCL-2 family antiapoptotic machinery in the residual tumor survivors under TKI(s) therapeutic inhibition (Fig. 6E). Collectively, our results further raise the promise of the feasibility in “drugging” the drug-resistant residual tumor cells (40), particularly within an early therapeutic window-of-opportunity. Hence, targeting the mitochondrial antiapoptotic machinery as the secondary “Achilles’ heel” newly-emerged in the early-resistant tumor cells appears to be an attractive therapeutic strategy. On the other hand, concurrent EGFR-TKI and ABT-737 treatment also significantly suppressed the emergence of early TKI-resistant HCC827 cells, evident as early as within 6 to 9 days (Fig. 6) with the efficacy lasting up to 4 to 6 weeks (data not shown). We believe that the novel therapeutic strategy in targeting the adaptive drug-evader tumor survivor cells emerged within the “early treatment time-window” is attractive, as these evader cells are most likely more “homogeneous” molecularly than those found eventually as overtly resistant disease after “chronic” TKI inhibition for months. “Late” TKI-resistant tumor cells likely already had undergone divergent resistant molecular evolution in progression, hence more heterogeneous, during the long time lapsed under chronic TKI stress.

Our data suggest that the early tumor survival against TKI is an “adaptive” mechanism, rather than a selection of preexisting resistant cell clones. It remains unclear at present as to what definitively regulates and determines the cell fates early under targeted inhibition, and which cells among the parental drug-sensitive cell population would emerge as resistant survivors in the beginning of the tumor evolution under therapeutic stresses. Nonetheless, the contribution of intrinsic molecular heterogeneity and nongenetic variation within individual cells among the parental cell population may still play at least a partial role in the ultimate cell-fate determination. Interestingly, BCL-2 has recently been implicated as inhibitor of DNA repair mechanism (41–44), which may potentially enhance and facilitate the molecular evolution of tumor progression beyond the “early” nonmutational resistance.

Persistent STAT3 activation has been detected in a variety of hematopoietic malignancies and solid tumors (45–47). We observed phosphorylated-STAT3(p-STAT3) in the residual tumor survivor cells both in vitro and in vivo under targeted kinase inhibitors. Our results suggest that “early” reactivation of STAT3 at tyrosine-705 (important in STAT3 dimerization and subsequent nuclear translocation) may be an important central transcriptional programming event prior to the ultimate resurgence of resistant tumor survivors. Recent attempts to develop therapeutic inhibitors to target STAT3 have proven to be rather difficult. Nonetheless, a number of BH3-mimetic that target the key STAT3 downstream transcriptional targets, such as BCL-2/BCL-XL, have shown promise in preclinical and clinical studies, including ABT-737, and the newer pan-BCL-2 family inhibitors ABT-263, and obatoclax (37, 48, 49). The latter pan-BCL-2 family inhibitors may potentially be more advantageous over ABT-737 in their effective inhibition of MCL-1, shown to induce ABT-737 resistance (50).

To our knowledge, our study represents the first in vivo evidence that therapeutic targeting early resurgent resistant tumor survivor cells evading cancer targeted inhibitors is feasible through inhibiting the mitochondrial antiapoptotic BCL-2/BCL-XL signaling in NSCLC, impacting on the therapeutic outcome. Our results here provide support to further develop BH3-mimetic beyond basally BCL-2 overexpressing tumors such as SCLC and lymphomas, and extend to NSCLC as a therapeutic strategy to unleash the full potential and to optimize long-term clinical outcome of oncogenic kinase inhibitors. We propose that the combinational approach using BH3-mimetic and RTK inhibitors should be investigated further in the context of NSCLC human clinical trial studies.
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Table 1. Inhibition of HCC827-luc tumor in vivo xenograft recurrence rates by BH3-mimetic ABT-737 treatment in conjunction with EGFR-inhibitor (Fig. 6E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Groups</th>
<th>Xenograft Recurrence Rates(%)</th>
<th>Day 18</th>
<th>Day 32</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>III A</td>
<td>50% (2/4)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III B</td>
<td>50% (2/4)</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV A</td>
<td>0% (0/6)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV B</td>
<td>0% (0/6)</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[aP = 0.014. \]

\[bP = 0.004. \]
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