Reduced VEGF Production, Angiogenesis, and Vascular Regrowth Contribute to the Antitumor Properties of Dual mTORC1/mTORC2 Inhibitors
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Abstract

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway is implicated widely in cancer pathophysiology. Dual inhibition of the mTOR kinase complexes mTORC1 and mTORC2 decreases tumor xenograft growth in vivo and VEGF secretion in vitro, but the relationship between these two effects is unclear. In this study, we examined the effects of mTORC1/2 dual inhibition on VEGF production, tumor angiogenesis, vascular regression, and vascular regrowth, and we compared the effects of dual inhibition to mTORC1 inhibition alone. ATP-competitive inhibitors OSI-027 and OXA-01 targeted both mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling in vitro and in vivo, unlike rapamycin that only inhibited mTORC1 signaling. OXA-01 reduced VEGF production in tumors in a manner associated with decreased vessel sprouting but little vascular regression. In contrast, rapamycin exerted less effect on tumoral production of VEGF. Treatment with the selective VEGFR inhibitor OSI-930 reduced vessel sprouting and caused substantial vascular regression in tumors. However, following discontinuation of OSI-930 administration tumor regrowth could be slowed by OXA-01 treatment. Combining dual inhibitors of mTORC1 and mTORC2 with a VEGFR2 inhibitor decreased tumor growth more than either inhibitor alone. Together, these results indicate that dual inhibition of mTORC1/2 exerts antiangiogenic and antitumoral effects that are even more efficacious when combined with a VEGFR antagonist. Cancer Res; 71(5); 1573–83. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), a serine/threonine kinase, integrates multiple signaling pathways, including cell growth and survival. mTOR exists in 2 complexes, mTORC1 and mTORC2. mTORC1 activation controls cell growth by regulating translation, ribosome biogenesis, autophagy, and metabolism (1). mTORC2 phosphorylates Akt, SGK1, and PKC to control multiple functions including cell survival and cytoskeletal organization (2).

Many mTOR activators and effectors are overexpressed or mutated in cancer (3). Rapamycin and its analogs (rapalogs) are allosteric inhibitors of mTORC1 that are clinically effective in some tumors (3, 4). mTORC1 inhibition, however, activates mTORC2 signaling via p70S6K-IRS1 negative feedback, thus limiting the efficacy of rapalogs (5–7). Recent evidence indicates broader and more robust anticancer effects are achieved by inhibiting both mTORC1 and mTORC2 (8–11). Furthermore, mTOR catalytic site inhibitors elicit greater inhibition of mTORC1 activity. Consistent with mTORC2 regulation of Akt, these studies show greater reductions in Akt signaling and tumor cell proliferation by inhibiting both mTORC1 and mTORC2 compared with just mTORC1 (8–11). mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition also reduces HIF1-alpha expression, VEGF secretion, and tumor angiogenesis (10, 11).

VEGF inhibitors reduce angiogenesis, cause tumor vessel regression, slow tumor growth, and may improve drug delivery (12–15). Despite the well-documented role for VEGF in tumor angiogenesis and growth (16), current approaches block the VEGF ligand or receptor. Little is known about the effects on the tumor vasculature of reducing VEGF production. Although VEGF inhibitors can destroy as much as 80% of the tumor vasculature, most tumor vessels grow back after the treatment ends (17). This vessel regrowth is likely due to continued VEGF production because inhibition of matrix metalloproteinases or type IV collagen cryptic sites does not slow revascularization (17).

Previous studies examined mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition on VEGF secretion in vitro (11) or rapamycin on tumor vessels in vivo (10, 18, 19). However, mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition on tumor vessels and VEGF production in vivo has not been studied. We hypothesized that dual mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibition would provide superior inhibition of Akt signaling and VEGF production and greater effects on tumor cells and tumor vasculature than inhibition of mTORC1 alone. To study...
this, we used small molecule ATP-competitive inhibitors, OXA-01 and OSI-027. These drugs selectively inhibit both mTORC1 and mTORC2. We compared the effects of these molecules with rapamycin, an allosteric mTORC1 inhibitor. Moreover, we determined if combining mTORC1/mTORC2 and VEGF inhibition had greater antitumor effects than either inhibitor alone. Our findings indicate that mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition impacted tumors through 2 mechanisms: first, direct effects on tumor cells decreased proliferation and increased apoptosis; and second, antivasculature effects were more pronounced than after mTORC1 inhibition alone. When dual mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition was combined with VEGF inhibition, effects on tumor cell proliferation and apoptosis and on tumor growth were even greater. Together, these results support the use of mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibitors as monootherapy, as monotherapy alternating with an antiangiogenic agent, or as combination therapy given concurrently with an antiangiogenic agent.

Materials and Methods

Biochemical and cellular selectivity assays
mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibition was assayed using native enzyme complex immunoprecipitated from HeLa lysates at 1 mM ATP as described (20). The HTRF assay was used for the P13K assay (Millipore) and DNA-PK activity was measured using human recombinant p53 protein (Axkora) and phospho-p53 (S15) antibodies (Cell Signaling Technologies). Both assays used 100 mM ATP. Panels of additional kinases were assessed for OXA-01 and OSI-027 selectivity as described in the supplemental methods.

Cell culture
H292 cells from ATCC were cultured as directed. Ovcar-5 cells, a generous gift of Dr. T. Frederick, were cultured in RPMI plus 10% serum and 1% L-glutamine.

In vivo antitumor efficacy studies
For xenograft models, cells were harvested, implanted s.c. in the right flank of nu/nu CD-1 mice and tumor growth was analyzed as described (21, 22). Tumor growth inhibition and regression calculations are included in supplemental methods. Tumor samples were collected and snap frozen at specified time points. Tumor lysates were prepared by homogenization (Precellys-24 homogenizer) in lysis buffer (1% Triton X-100, 10% glycerol, 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM NaF, 1 mM Na3VO4 and protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (Sigma)). Phosphorylated and total 4E-BP1, Akt or S6 were quantified by Western blot using Multi-Gauge software (FujiFilm).

RIP-Tag2 mouse studies
RIP-Tag2 mice were used as described (13, 17, 23). At 9–10 weeks of age, RIP-Tag2 mice were treated for up to 7 days with vehicle (Labrifen or water); 75 mg/kg OXA-01 BID by gavage; 200 mg/kg OSI-930 QD by gavage; or 20 mg/kg rapamycin QD by ip injection. For vascular regrowth studies, 10-week-old RIP-Tag2 mice were treated with: (a) vehicle for 11 days; (b) OSI-930 for 7 days; (c) OSI-930 for 7 days followed by vehicle for 4 days; (d) OSI-930 for 7 days followed by OXA-01 for 4 days; (e) OSI-930 for 7 days followed by rapamycin, QD for 4 days. During treatments, body weights were monitored daily. If mice lost more than 10% of initial body weight, supplemental fluids were given.

Immunohistochemistry and protein array
Following treatment, RIP-Tag2 mice were fixed by vascular perfusion, tumors were processed for immunohistochemistry, and 60–80 μm sections were stained as described (13, 24). Endothelial cells, basement membrane, VEGF, erythrocytes, proliferating and apoptotic cells, and phosphorylated S6K, Akt and 4E-BP1 were identified by immunohistochemistry as described in the Supplementary Methods. VEGF in tumor lysates was carried out using mouse angiogenesis arrays (R&D Systems) according to manufacturer’s directions.

Microscopy and image analysis
Stained sections of RIP-Tag2 pancreas were examined with Zeiss Axioshot fluorescence and LSM510 laser-scanning confocal microscopes. Area densities were calculated from fluorescence images with ImageJ software (25) using an empirically determined threshold of 30–50 as previously described (13, 17, 23). Fluorescence intensity was determined as previously described (17) and outlined in detail in the Supplemental Methods. Endothelial sprouts were examined as previously described (24, 26).

Statistical analysis
Values expressed as SEM reflect 3–8 mice per group. Significance of differences was determined using ANOVA followed by the Fisher PLSD post hoc test. For in vivo efficacy studies, rank ANOVA with Dunn’s comparison was used. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Selectivity of OXA-01 and OSI-027
The goal of this study was to compare dual mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition on VEGF production, tumor blood vessels, and tumor growth to mTORC1 inhibition alone by rapamycin. To study mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition, we used selective, ATP competitive inhibitors of the mTOR kinase, OXA-01 and OSI-027. Because these compounds inhibited mTOR kinase activity, each targeted mTORC1 and mTORC2. The IC50 for OXA-01 and OSI-027 was 11 mM/L and 4 mM/L, respectively (Fig. 1). Immunoprecipitation with anti-Raptor antibodies (mTORC1 activity) or anti-Rictor (mTORC2 activity) showed these compounds potently inhibited both mTOR complexes. Greater than 100-fold selectivity was observed for mTOR relative to other PIKK-related kinases in biochemical assays. We also examined OXA-01 and OSI-027 specificity in cell-based assays. OXA-01 and OSI-027 inhibited mTOR signaling of phospho-4E-BP1 with an IC50 of 1.1 μM/L and 1μM/L respectively (Fig. 1).
than 100-fold selectivity against 37 other protein kinases from tyrosine and serine/threonine families was observed (data not shown). In an assessment of OXA-01 and OSI-027 activity against 98 purified protein kinases, these compounds fully inhibited mTOR. Only 3 kinases were inhibited greater than 90% by OXA-01 and OSI-027: PI3Kα, PI3Kβ, and RET. JNK3 and MEK2, were inhibited by OXA-01, whereas PIP5K was significantly inhibited by OSI-027 (data not shown). Both OSI-027 and OXA-01 were inactive against PDK1.

**Comparison of OXA-01, OSI-027, and rapamycin on mTORC1/mTORC2 signaling**

Effects of OXA-01, OSI-027, and rapamycin on mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling were compared *in vitro*. OXA-01 or OSI-027, but not rapamycin, dose-dependently attenuated Akt phosphorylation at the mTORC2-specific Ser473 site and the downstream substrate of Akt, PRAS40 (Supplemental Fig. 1A). OXA-01 and OSI-027 inhibited 4E-BP1 phosphorylation at Ser37/46, which are typically rapamycin insensitive. Rapamycin failed to inhibit Akt, PRAS40, or 4E-BP1 phosphorylation at these sites, and induced phospho-Akt. Both the mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibitors and rapamycin-inhibited S6 Kinase and its substrate S6, consistent with mTORC1 regulation of these effectors. Effects of OXA-01, OSI-027, and rapamycin on Akt, 4E-BP1, and S6K phosphorylation were quantified (Supplemental Figure 1B).

**In vivo effect of OSI-027, OXA-01, and rapamycin on mTORC1/mTORC2 signaling and tumor growth**

We hypothesized that mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibition together would slow tumor growth more than mTORC1 inhibition by rapamycin. Effects on GEO colorectal xenograft growth treated with rapamycin (Fig. 2A) or OSI-027 (Fig. 2B) for 12 days were consistent with our *in vitro* experiments. Treatment with rapamycin (20 mg/kg) inhibited phospho-S6 and phospho-4E-BP1, whereas Akt phosphorylation was increased by 29% (Fig. 2A). In contrast, OSI-027 (65 mg/kg) inhibited both mTORC1 and mTORC2 effectors. After 2 hours, decreased 4E-BP1, Akt, and S6 phosphorylation was observed and inhibition of S6 and Akt was sustained for 24 hours (Fig. 2B). The plasma drug concentration of OSI-027 inversely correlated with these effects on mTORC1 and mTORC2 signaling, and similar pharmacokinetic profiles were observed for OXA-01 (data not shown). The median plasma drug concentration of OSI-027 was 21.3 μmol/L at 2 hours and 14.9 μmol/L at 8 hours. The median plasma concentration of OSI-027 was 25.6 μmol/L at 1 hour and 13.2 μmol/L at 8 hours (data not shown). Consistent with their inhibition of mTORC1 and mTORC2, both OSI-027 (Fig. 2C) and OXA-01 (Fig. 2D) slowed tumor growth more than did rapamycin.

The effects of mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition were further compared with mTORC1 inhibition in RIP-Tag2 pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. Similar to earlier observations, OXA-01 decreased Akt and 4E-BP1 phosphorylation, while rapamycin-mediated inhibition of either effector was not observed (Fig. 3A, B). In contrast, both OXA-01 and rapamycin treatment inhibited phospho-S6K, relative to control (Supplemental figure 2). As indicated by reduced tumor growth in xenograft models, OXA-01 treatment decreased cellular proliferation determined by phospho-histone H3 (Fig. 3C) and increased apoptosis measured by activated-caspase-3 (Fig. 3D).

**Comparison of OXA-01 or rapamycin on VEGF in RIP-Tag2 tumors**

Reduced 4E-BP1 phosphorylation at residues T37, T46, S65, and T70 decreases cap-dependent protein translation (27). Because OXA-01 and OSI-027 inhibited rapamycin-resistant sites on 4E-BP1, we hypothesized that OXA-01 treatment would reduce VEGF production more than rapamycin. RIP-Tag2 tumors have high VEGF expression, and the vasculature...
is sensitive to VEGF inhibition (13), making the model suitable to evaluate the effects of mTOR inhibition on VEGF production and downstream changes in tumor vessels. After treatment with vehicle for 7 days, tumor cells and tumor vessels had intense and roughly equal levels of VEGF immunoreactivity (Fig. 4A and B). VEGF staining in tumor vessels had a diffuse component and a punctate component. VEGF immunofluorescence was conspicuously less in both tumor cells and vessels after OXA-01 for 7 days, but the reduction in tumor cells was greater, as evidenced by the persisting pattern of vascular staining (Fig. 4A–B). After rapamycin, VEGF staining was slightly less than baseline, and the reduction was more in tumor cells than in vessels (Fig. 4A–B). Overall VEGF fluorescence of entire tumors was reduced by 12%, 48%, and 45% after OXA-01 for 1, 4, or 7 days and was reduced only 34% after rapamycin. VEGF fluorescence associated with tumor vessels was reduced by 48% after OXA-01 but only by 20% after rapamycin (Fig. 4C, right).

VEGF expression was also measured in RIP-Tag2 tumors using a multiplex antibody array. Densitometric analysis showed 72% less VEGF-A after OXA-01, but no significant reduction after rapamycin (Fig. 4D). VEGF-B expression was unchanged by either treatment (data not shown). The differences in VEGF reductions identified by immunohistochemistry (Fig. 4C) and immunoprecipitation (Fig. 4D) likely reflect the sensitivity of the assays, but both assays showed significantly less VEGF after OXA-01 than after rapamycin.

**Effect of OXA-01, rapamycin, and OSI-930 on the tumor vasculature**

Because VEGF is important for tumor angiogenesis, we determined whether the decrease in VEGF after OXA-01 or rapamycin reduced RIP-Tag2 tumor vascularity and compared the effect with a VEGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitor, OSI-930 (28). CD31-positive tumor blood vessels were abundant in untreated RIP-Tag2 tumors (Fig. 5A). Treatment with OXA-01 for 7 days reduced tumor blood vessels slightly. Rapamycin did not noticeably reduce tumor vascularity, but OSI-930 for 7 days was associated with a large reduction. After OXA-01, tumor vascularity was not detectably reduced at 1, 2, or 4 days but was reduced by 23% at 7 days, compared with baseline. Tumor vascularity was not significantly reduced after rapamycin, but was reduced by 61% after OSI-930 for 7 days (Fig. 5B). After VEGF signaling inhibition in tumors, empty basement membrane sleeves can be used as an indication of vessel regression (17, 26). Basement membrane sleeves were not apparent after vehicle, OXA-01, or rapamycin, but were numerous after OSI-930 for 7 days (Fig. 5C). As an indication of effect on angiogenesis in tumors, the number of vascular sprouts was reduced by 54% after OXA-01, 21% after rapamycin, and 61% after OSI-930, in comparison to untreated tumors (Fig. 5D).

**Effect of OXA-01 and rapamycin on vascular regrowth**

VEGF inhibition causes tumor vessel regression, but vessel regrowth occurs rapidly after treatment ends (17). Because OXA-01 reduced VEGF and vascular sprouting, we asked whether OXA-01 or rapamycin could prevent vascular
regrowth after cessation of VEGFR inhibition by OSI-930. RIP-Tag2 mice were treated with OSI-930 for 7 days, followed by 4 days of treatment with vehicle, OXA-01, or rapamycin. The 4-day time point was chosen because after OXA-01 for 4 days, VEGF was significantly reduced but tumor vascularity was unchanged (Figs. 4C and 5B). OSI-930 for 7 days reduced tumor vascularity by 63%, consistent with our previous observations. Four days after cessation of OSI-930, tumors treated with vehicle exhibited only a 18% decrease in vessel density. Tumors treated with OXA-01 for 4 days after withdrawal of OSI-930 had 40% fewer tumor vessels, but tumors treated with rapamycin were equivalent to vehicle-treated controls (15% reduction) (Fig. 6A). Tumors treated with OXA-01 for 1 day after withdrawal of OSI-930, however, had no significant decrease in tumor vessel regrowth, which fit with our finding that OXA-01 for 1 day was insufficient to reduce VEGF (data not shown).

Because VEGF promotes tumor angiogenesis and vascular leakage, we asked whether reductions in VEGF with OXA-01 or rapamycin affected intratumoral hemorrhage assessed by an erythrocyte marker, TER-119. Treatment with OSI-930 for 7 days reduced TER-119 levels by 72%, indicative of a reduction in hemorrhage (Fig. 6B). However, 4 days after cessation of OSI-930, TER-119 levels were within 28% of baseline. In contrast, TER-119 levels in tumors treated with OXA-01 or rapamycin after discontinuation of OSI-930 were 50% or 48% less than baseline.

Effects of mTORC1/2 inhibition in combination with VEGFR inhibition

We hypothesized that combining OSI-930 and OXA-01 would reduce tumor growth to a greater extent than either inhibitor alone through additive effects of targeting tumor vessels with OSI-930 and tumor cells with OXA-01. To evaluate this, we examined OSI-930 plus OXA-01 on proliferation (phospho-histone H3) and apoptosis (activated caspase-3). OSI-930 plus OXA-01 reduced phospho-histone H3 more than OSI-930 plus rapamycin (Fig. 7A). In addition, OSI-930 combined with OXA-01 was accompanied by a 700% increase in activated caspase-3, but rapamycin plus OSI-930 had no significant effect (Fig. 7B).

The RIP-Tag2 model is useful for evaluating the tumor vasculature, but provides a challenging platform for measuring tumor growth kinetics. To confirm the effects of VEGF inhibition combined with mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition, we used human tumor xenograft models. Because VEGF inhibitors combined with mTOR catalytic site inhibitors could
be an option for clinical trials, we used sunitinib, a multi-targeted VEGFR2 inhibitor approved for use in the clinic, with OSI-027, which is in clinical trials. Sunitinib has similar selectivity profiles and equivalent potency for VEGFR2 as OSI-930. Both OSI-930 and sunitinib have a biochemical IC₅₀ for VEGFR2 of 9 nM (28–30). Furthermore, OXA-01 plus OSI-930 was not well-tolerated in rodent models for long-term treatment, but no toxicity was found with the OSI-027 and sunitinib combination. The in vivo efficacy of OSI-027 plus sunitinib was tested in H292 human lung and Ovar-5 human ovarian xenograft tumors. H292 tumors, treated with OSI-027 (50 mg/kg) for 21 days had 61% median tumor growth inhibition for the duration of treatment (TGI). Sunitinib (40 mg/kg) for 21 days had 47% median TGI. Combining OSI-027 with sunitinib, however, had a median TGI of 100% with 39% maximal tumor regression (Fig. 7C), a statistically significant improvement over either agent alone. Ovar-5 xenograft tumors treated with OSI-027 or sunitinib had a 55% and 68% median TGI, respectively. OSI-027 administered with sunitinib had a significantly better median TGI of 100% with 38% maximal tumor regression (Fig. 7D).

Discussion

Differential effects of dual mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition versus mTORC1 inhibition on tumor cells and tumor vessels were examined in this study. Consistent with previous reports, we found that mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibition reduced tumor cell proliferation, increased apoptosis, reduced p-S6K, p-Akt and p-4E-BP1 signaling, and decreased tumor
growth compared to vehicle or rapamycin treatment (8–11). Thus, mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition with OSI-027 or OXA-01 provided greater control of tumor cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis than rapamycin. Dual inhibition of mTORC1 and mTORC2 also had greater antiangiogenic effects than mTORC1 inhibition. OXA-01 reduced VEGF production in RIP-Tag2 tumors more than rapamycin. The reduction in VEGF production was associated with decreased tumor angiogenesis but not tumor vessel regression. OXA-01 also reduced vascular regrowth following cessation VEGFR2 inhibition with OSI-930. Finally, combining VEGFR inhibition with mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition reduced tumor cell proliferation, stimulated tumor cell apoptosis and reduced tumor growth. Together, these results provide support for clinical development of mTORC1 and mTORC2 inhibitors to be used as single agents, in concurrent combination with antiangiogenic therapeutics or intercalated with antiangiogenic therapies in an alternating schedule.

Rapalogs are efficacious in some tumors, but feedback activation may limit clinical usefulness (7, 31). We reasoned that inhibition of mTORC1 and mTORC2 together would have greater efficacy than mTORC1 inhibition alone. For these studies we used rapamycin as a selective allosteric inhibitor of mTORC1. Although rapalogs (everolimus and temsirolimus) are more frequently used cancer therapeutics, these drugs are mechanistically similar to rapamycin and rapamycin is a well-characterized preclinical tool compound. Compared to rapamycin, we found that mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition with OXA-01 or OSI-027 produced greater reductions in downstream signaling effectors and had greater antitumor efficacy.
in vivo. The reduction in tumor growth probably resulted from reduction in tumor cell proliferation, but increased apoptosis may contribute to this effect. These results are consistent with greater reductions in cell proliferation after mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition (8–11) and increased apoptosis in a subset of cell lines (11).

Although rapamycin inhibits mTORC1, with prolonged treatment mTORC2 signaling is reduced in select cell lines, presumably through depletion of mTOR from the Rictor complex (32). However, in this study inhibition of the mTORC2 effector Akt or the rapamycin-insensitive sites on 4E-BP1(37/46) after rapamycin treatment in vivo was not observed. Furthermore, in these studies the maximum tolerable dose of rapamycin (20 mg/kg) was used, which is considerably higher than the correlative clinically relevant dose in humans (33).

OXA-01 treatment of RIP-Tag2 tumors decreased VEGF content and tumor vessel growth. Previous in vitro studies showed decreased VEGF secretion with rapamycin or dual mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition (11), suggesting VEGF production is dependent on mTORC1. In our in vivo studies, rapamycin modestly reduced VEGF, whereas a greater reduction was achieved with OXA-01. VEGF production is controlled by cap-dependent and -independent translation (34). Although rapamycin represses phosphorylation of S6K and certain sites on 4E-BP1, other 4E-BP1 sites (Thr37 and Thr46) are rapamycin-resistant (35). Therefore, mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition may more completely inhibit cap-dependent translation to maximize the reduction of VEGF in vivo (11). Because VEGF is dependent on translational regulation, reductions in VEGF content is likely from decreased protein synthesis, however, reduced storage and secretion are possible.
VEGF was reduced in both tumor cells and in clusters associated with the tumor vasculature. This clustered pattern of VEGF immunofluorescence likely represents internalization of VEGF and VEGFR complexes into endothelial cells, as previously described (36–38). Intracellular VEGF complexes are dependent on Akt activation (37). Thus, decreased VEGF internalization with mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition may be dependent on reduced VEGF production and Akt signaling.

Inhibitors of the VEGF receptor (e.g., OSI-930, sunitinib, axitinib) or ligand (e.g., bevacizumab) stop tumor vessel growth and prune existing vessels (39). Here, we show reduced VEGF production with mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition decreases tumor blood vessel growth, but does not cause vessel regression. In a previous study, tumor blood vessels were reduced when mice were pretreated with a mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibitor prior to injection of tumor cells (10), consistent with the idea that mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition targets tumor vessel growth. Additional studies showed conditional expression of activated-Akt in endothelial cells leads to large and leaky vessels (18, 40). In those studies, rapamycin-inhibited tumor vessel growth, possibly indicating different thresholds for rapamycin sensitivity depending on Akt activity.

Interestingly, small reductions in VEGF with rapamycin reduced the reappearance of tumor hemorrhage after cessation of VEGFR inhibition, consistent with rapamycin-mediated reductions in vessel leakiness (18). However, larger reductions in VEGF with OXA-01 treatment, reduced the reappearance of both tumor hemorrhage and tumor vessels. Hemorrhage is an indication of tumor vessel leakiness and blood lakes caused by hemorrhage are a conspicuous feature of RIP-Tag2 pancreatic tumors (41, 42). Together, these data suggest small reductions in VEGF prevent tumor vessel leakiness, but greater reductions are necessary to slow blood vessel growth. This defines differential responses of tumor blood vessels dependent on the VEGF concentrations in tumors.

Our data support the use of mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibitors, such as OSI-027 and OXA-01, to treat cancer as a single agent or in combination with antiangiogenic agents. Sunitinib, an approved multitargeted kinase inhibitor with potent anti-VEGFR2 activity, has a toxicity profile necessitating a drug holiday between dosing regimens. Vascular regrowth can...
occurring following cessation of VEGFR inhibition during drug holidays (17). Our data indicate that OXA-01 treatment slowed vessel regrowth after discontinuation of VEGFR inhibition. Concurrent treatment of tumor xenografts with OSI-930 and OXA-01 also resulted in significant downregulation of tumor cell proliferation and upregulation of apoptosis. Furthermore, combining sunitinib and OSI-027, provides greater inhibition of tumor growth than either single agent. In human xenografts, a schedule of alternating sunitinib and OSI-027 treatment was tolerable, but did not provide better efficacy than continuous single agent administration. Together, these observations suggest that VEGFR2 and mTORC1/mTORC2 coinhibition targets both the tumor cells and vasculature, resulting in significant tumor growth inhibition. Although the precise mechanism is not clear, we hypothesize that increased hypoxia resulting from VEGFR2 antagonism sensitizes tumor cells to mTORC1/mTORC2 inhibition. Previous studies showed hypoxia-induced VEGF production is attenuated by Akt inhibition but not by rapamycin, suggesting mTORC2/Akt signaling axis as a key effector under hypoxic conditions (43). In addition, hypoxia activation of the mTORC2 effector, Akt, protects cells from apoptosis (44–46). Our data indicates OXA-01 but not rapamycin significantly inhibited Akt, and is a likely mechanism for increased apoptosis and decreased tumor growth following combined VEGFR2 and mTORC1/mTORC1 inhibition.

Rapalogs are approved for treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma. This study indicates that dual inhibition of mTORC1/mTORC2 has more robust antitumor and antivascular effects compared to mTORC1 inhibition. Together, these results indicate unique, therapeutically relevant properties of OXA-01 and OSI-027 that are applicable for clinical development of these drugs for cancer treatment.
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