Overcoming Temozolomide Resistance in Glioblastoma via Dual Inhibition of NAD⁺ Biosynthesis and Base Excision Repair
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Abstract

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is a devastating brain tumor with poor prognosis and low median survival time. Standard treatment includes radiation and chemotherapy with the DNA alkylating agent temozolomide (TMZ). However, a large percentage of tumors are resistant to the cytotoxic effects of the TMZ-induced DNA lesion O⁶-methylguanine due to elevated expression of the repair protein O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) or a defect in the mismatch repair (MMR) pathway. Although a majority of the TMZ-induced lesions (N7-methylguanine and N3-methyladenine) are base excision repair (BER) substrates, these DNA lesions are also readily repaired. However, blocking BER can enhance response to TMZ and therefore the BER pathway has emerged as an attractive target for reversing TMZ resistance. Our lab has recently reported that inhibition of BER leads to the accumulation of repair intermediates that induce energy depletion-mediated cell death via hyperactivation of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase. On the basis of our observation that TMZ-induced cell death via BER inhibition is dependent on the availability of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺), we have hypothesized that combined BER and NAD⁺ biosynthesis inhibition will increase TMZ efficacy in glioblastoma cell lines greater than BER inhibition alone. Importantly, we find that the combination of BER and NAD⁺ biosynthesis inhibition significantly sensitizes glioma cells with elevated expression of MGMT and those deficient in MMR, two genotypes normally associated with TMZ resistance. Dual targeting of these two interacting pathways (DNA repair and NAD⁺ biosynthesis) may prove to be an effective treatment combination for patients with resistant and recurrent GBM. Cancer Res; 71(6); 2308-17. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is a devastating form of brain cancer with a dismal median survival time, a high level of resistance to current therapy, and common recurrence after treatment (1). The current standard therapy for GBM includes maximum debulking surgery, radiation, and treat-
The N7-methylguanine and N3-methyladenine adducts make up the majority of the TMZ lesions and are base excision repair (BER) substrates. However, these DNA adducts induce little toxicity under current therapeutic strategies due to rapid repair by BER (16, 17). Hence, small molecules targeting BER have become an attractive option for enhancing TMZ toxicity independent of the cytotoxicity related to the O6-methylguanine DNA lesion. Repair of the alkylation-induced DNA lesions N3-methyladenine and N7-methylguanine proceeds primarily through the short patch BER pathway (18). DNA polymerase-β (Polβ) has been shown to be the rate-limiting step in BER and loss of Polβ leads to increased sensitivity to the N3-methylad- enine and N7-methylguanine lesions due to the accumulation of repair intermediates (16, 19, 20). Alternatively, blocking the abasic site formed during the BER process with the chemical inhibitor methoxyamine hydrochloride (MX; also referred to as TRC102) has also been shown to enhance alkylation sensitivity independently of the O6-methylguanine lesion (21–23). Thus, strategic targeting of BER can enhance TMZ efficacy regardless of MGMT or MMR status.

We have recently reported that cell death due to incomplete BER (e.g., triggered by BER inhibition) is mediated through poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) hyperactivation and subsequent nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD⁺) and ATP depletion (20). The PARP1 (ARTD1) and PARP2 (ARTD2) proteins (24) act as sensors of incomplete BER and become hyperactivated, consuming NAD⁺ as a substrate for poly(ADP-ribose) (PAR) synthesis (20). Consumption of NAD⁺ after DNA damage leads to ATP depletion, likely due to continued resynthesis of NAD⁺ as well as ongoing cellular utilization of NAD⁺ and ATP for metabolic functions (25, 26). Many reports on DNA damage–induced PARP hyperactivation suggest that cell death occurs via PAR signaling and apoptosis-inducing factor (AIF) translocation from the mitochondria to the nucleus leading to nuclear fragmentation (27, 28). Interestingly, the caspase-independent cell death observed after incomplete BER is independent of AIF translocation or PAR catabolite signaling (20). Further, alkylation hypersensitivity observed after incomplete BER can be rescued by supplementation with the NAD⁺ precursor nicotinamide mononucleotide (NMM), supporting our conclusion that cell death after BER failure is an energy-dependent phenotype (20).

Therefore, we hypothesized that dual targeting of the NAD⁺ biosynthesis pathway and the BER pathway would greatly enhance TMZ sensitivity regardless of MGMT or MMR status. NAD⁺ can be synthesized through the de novo pathway from l-tryptophan, but in most mammalian cells NAD⁺ content is maintained through the NAD⁺ salvage pathways (29). Nicotinamide phosphoribosyl transferase (NAMPT) controls the salvage-pathway rate-limiting step for the biosynthesis of NAD⁺ from nicotinamide (30). The small molecule inhibitor FK866 is a specific inhibitor of NAMPT with activity in the low nanomolar range that is highly effective in reducing cellular NAD⁺ levels (31). FK866 is currently in clinical trials (AP0866; Topotarget USA, Inc.) as a monotherapy for the treatment of hematologic cancers (32) and is reported to increase cell killing in combination with multiple cytotoxic agents (33). We report herein that combining FK866 with BER inhibition (using the small molecule BER inhibitor MX) potentiates TMZ tumor cell killing and strongly sensitizes glioma cells derived from chemotherapy-resistant tumors to TMZ.

Materials and Methods

Chemicals and reagents

Alpha Eagle’s minimal essential medium (EMEM) was from MediaTech. Minimum Essential Medium (MEM), heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), l-glutamine, antibiotic/antimycotic, and geneticin were from InVitrogen. Gentamycin was from Sigma. FK866 (NIMH #F-901; IUPAC name: (E)-[4-(1-benzoyl-piperidin-4-yl)butyl]-3-(pyridin-3-yl)acrylamide; CAS number: 201034–75-5) was obtained from the National Institute of Mental Health Chemical Synthesis and Drug Supply Program (Bethesda, MD). FK866 was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to prepare a stock solution at a concentration of 1 mmol/L and stored at −30°C. Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) and methoxyamine hydrochloride (MX) were from Sigma. TMZ (NSC #362856; IUPAC name: 3-methyl-2-oxo-1,3,4,5,8-pentazabicyclo[4.3.0]nona-4,6,8-triene-7-carboxamide; CAS number: 856622–93-1) was obtained from the National Cancer Institute Developmental Therapeutics Program (Bethesda, MD) and Sigma. TMZ was dissolved in DMSO to a concentration of 100 mmol/L.

Cell culture

All cells were cultured at 5% CO₂ and 37°C. LN428 glioblastoma cells (a generous gift from Dr. Ian Pollack, University of Pittsburgh) and derived cell lines were cultured in alpha EMEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, l-glutamine, antibiotic/antimycotic, and gentamycin. LN428 is an established glioblastoma-derived cell line with mutations in MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 were generated via lentiviral transduction of shRNA as previously described (20) and selection of knockdown of PARP1, PARP2, MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 were generated via lentiviral transduction of shRNA as previously described (20) and cultured in growth media with 6 mg/mL puromycin. Knockdown of the target gene was asayed by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR; see Supplementary Methods). The T98G glioblastoma cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC; obtained in 2004) and cultured in MEM supplemented with 10% heat inactivated FBS, nonessential amino acids, sodium pyruvate, and antibiotic/antimyotic (36). Both cell lines were tested for cross-species contamination and authenticated by RADIL cell check services as of November 16, 2010. The genetic profiles provided by RADIL were checked against the ATCC STR loci database to confirm the identity of the T98G cell line, and to ensure that the LN428 genetic profile was unique from any ATCC banked cell line.

Short-term cytotoxicity assay

Glioma cells were seeded 24 hours prior to treatment at 2,000 cells/well in 96-well plates. For FK866 pretreatment experiments, cells were treated with the indicated dose of...
FK866 or media control for 24 hours. Media was then removed and cells were treated with the indicated dose of MMS for 1 hour. Cells were then washed with media and allowed to recover for 48 hours, at which point cell survival was assayed utilizing the MTS assay (Promega), as previously described (16).

**NAD\(^{+}\) measurements**

Cells were seeded and cultured for 24 hours and then treated for 24 hours with the indicated dose of FK866. NAD\(^{+}\) was measured, as reported previously, by the Enzychrom NAD\(^{+}\)/NADH assay kit (BioAssay Systems; ref. 20). Absorbance was determined by a Molecular Devices VersaMax tuneable plate reader.

**Quantitative PAR assay**

Cells were seeded at 1.5 \(\times\) 10\(^6\) cells per 100-mm dish 24 hours prior to treatment. Cells were then treated with media (control) or MMS for the indicated time. Cells were immediately lysed in modified Laemmli buffer containing 1% SDS in the absence of bromophenol blue. Protein content was quantified by the DC protein assay (Bio-Rad). PAR content was quantified by a colorimetric PAR ELISA kit (Trevigen). Absorbance was determined by a Molecular Devices VersaMax tuneable plate reader.

**ATP measurements**

Cells were seeded in black 96-well plates (Perkin Elmer) 24 hours prior to treatment. Cells were treated with drugs as described earlier in the text. One-hour after alkylation treatment, cells were washed and allowed to recover in normal media (1 hour). Cells were lysed and ATP content measured by the ATP-lite assay kit (Perkin Elmer). Luminescent readings were done by a BioTek Synergy 2 Multi-Mode microplate reader.

**Long-term cytotoxicity assay in modified LN428 cell lines**

For long-term survival assays with FK866 pretreatment, cells were seeded at 80,000 cells/well in 6-well plates 24 hours prior to treatment. Cells were treated with FK866 or media control for 24 hours. Cells were then trypsinized and plated into 60-mm dishes with or without FK866 and were allowed to attach for 6 hours prior to replacing media with TMZ containing media. All doses were done in triplicate 60-mm dishes. Cells were allowed to grow for 10 to 12 days in the presence of TMZ. Cells were then trypsinized and counted by a CASY automated cell counter. All experiments were done in triplicate. Long-term survival experiments combining FK866 with MX pretreatment were done as earlier in the text, but after the 6-hour attachment, cells were treated for 30 minutes with 10 mmol/L MX that was diluted to 5 mmol/L on addition of TMZ. Cells were allowed to grow for 10 to 12 days in the presence of MX and TMZ prior to counting.

**Long-term cytotoxicity assay in T98G cells**

T98G cells (36) were seeded in 60-mm dishes and allowed to adhere for 24 hours. Cells were then treated with FK866 or media control for 24 hours, followed by MX pretreatment or media control as described earlier in the text. Cells were treated with TMZ either alone or as a cotreatment with MX for 6 hours, at which time the drug treatments were removed and replaced with normal culture media. Cells were allowed to grow for an additional 7 days and were assayed for cell survival as described above. All doses were performed in triplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

All data are shown as a mean ± SE from 3 independent experiments. Student’s t test was used for comparisons between 2 groups. For multiple comparisons, 1-way ANOVA followed by post hoc test with Bonferroni correction was used. Statistical analysis was done by GraphPad PRISM.

**Additional methods**

See Supplementary Data.

**Results**

**MPG and PARP1 expression modulate BER-dependent PAR generation and cell death after alkylation exposure**

We have hypothesized that varying the expression of the initiating BER enzyme MPG will initiate an imbalance in the BER pathway, resulting in the accumulation of BER intermediates (e.g., 5′dRP) after alkylation base damage (19), leading to an increase in TMZ-induced PARP activation and cell death (20). To test this hypothesis, we have stably overexpressed MPG in the glioma cell line LN428 (noted as LN428/MPG). The parental LN428 cell line contains undetectable levels of MPG (Supplementary Fig. S1). This allows for a model system in which events related to incomplete BER can be dissected from other alkylation damage events such as effects on translation (37). In addition, by utilizing relatively low doses of alkylating agent that produce an effect only in the LN428/MPG cell line we can eliminate effects from other alkylation events such as the formation of DNA double-strand breaks or protein alkylation (38). For example, LN428/MPG cells are hypersensitive to the alkylating agent MMS at doses as low as 0.5 mmol/L, whereas there is little or no cytotoxicity observed for the parental LN428 cell line at doses as high as 1.5 mmol/L. However, utilization of a quantitative ELISA method, we find that PAR generation is 10-fold higher in LN428/MPG cells than in LN428 cells at an MMS dose of 1.5 mmol/L (Fig. 1B), likely resulting from accumulation of BER intermediates (20).

There are multiple PARP family members (24), of which PARP1 (ARTD1) and PARP2 (ARTD2) have also been associated with the BER pathway (26, 39). To define the role of either PARP1 or PARP2 as the enzyme responsible for the increased generation of PAR after BER intermediate accumulation, stable PARP1 and PARP2 knockdown (KD) cell lines were generated via lentiviral shRNA expression in the LN428/MPG cells (Supplementary Fig. S2A). PARP1-KD provides almost complete rescue of the MMS-induced cytotoxicity and ATP depletion observed in the LN428/MPG/PARP1-KD cells and reduces PAR levels as compared with the LN428/MPG cell line after 15 minutes of alkylation exposure (Fig. 1C and D). Supplementary Fig. S2B and C). Interestingly, PARP1-KD did
not completely eliminate PAR generation, most likely from PARP2-mediated synthesis. Further, PARP1-KD had a significant effect on the kinetics of PAR catabolism, with the PAR signal remaining at the same level after 30 minutes exposure instead of the rapid poly (ADP-ribose) glycohydrolase (PARG)–mediated degradation observed in PARP1 proficient cells (Fig. 1D; Supplementary Fig. S2C). This leaves open the question of whether PARP2 generated PAR has different properties and consequences compared with PAR chains generated by PARP1 and whether PARP1 expression impacts PARG activity. Taken together, we suggest that the energy depletion–mediated cell death observed after incomplete BER (BER inhibition) is largely PARP1 mediated with only a small contribution by PARP2. Given our recent understanding that tumor cell death after BER inhibition is an energy depletion–mediated process (20), we sought to take advantage of this observation by targeting the intersecting pathways of BER and NAD⁺ biosynthesis to enhance cell death in chemotherapy-resistant tumor cells.

Inhibition of NAD⁺ Biosynthesis and DNA Repair

Inhibition of NAD⁺ biosynthesis enhances alkylation-induced cell death after incomplete BER

Inhibition of NAD⁺ biosynthesis (24 hours) with FK866 reduces cellular NAD⁺ content to 25% of control levels in both parental and LN428/MPG tumor cells (Fig. 2A). Consistent with previous reports in other cell types, this 24-hour window of NAD⁺ biosynthesis inhibition is not toxic when evaluated by a short-term (48-hour) cell survival assay (Fig. 2B), but exhibits significant toxicity in both the LN428 and LN428/MPG cells after 72 hours of continuous FK866 treatment independent of
BER status (Supplementary Fig. S3; refs. 31, 33). The lack of toxicity after 24 hours of FK866 treatment is attributed to recovery of NAD$^+$ levels within 24 hours when cultured in normal media prior to assaying for cell survival (Fig. 2A). Importantly, combining the nontoxic 24-hour window of NAD$^+$ depletion immediately followed by a minimally toxic dose of MMS (0.5 mmol/L) dramatically sensitized glioma cells in a BER-dependent manner (Fig. 2C). This effect was dependent on the dose of FK866 (Fig. 2C).

**Inhibition of NAD$^+$ biosynthesis potentiates cell death by alkylating agents after BER inhibition**

To test our hypothesis that NAD$^+$ depletion would enhance BER inhibition–mediated cell death, we utilized MX, a small molecule BER inhibitor that can potentiate alkylation damage–induced cell death (21–23) by covalently binding to the aldehydic form of the DNA abasic site formed after BER initiation (21). A 30 mmol/L dose of MX (30-minute pretreatment) and cotreatment with MMS potentiates cell death 10-fold, consistent with previous reports in colon and ovarian carcinomas (Fig. 3B, solid circle; refs. 21, 23). This
potentiation is dependent on MPG expression, as the initiating DNA glycosylase is required for abasic site formation after alkylation damage, and so we observe no MX-mediated potentiation in the LN428 cells but robust MX-mediated potentiation in the LN428/MPG cells (compare Fig. 3A and B). MX inhibition of BER, in combination with FK866-mediated inhibition of NAD biosynthesis, results in an IC50 for MMS of 150 μmol/L, a sensitization enhancement ratio (SER) of 3.125. This also yields a 2-fold decrease in IC50 from either FK866 + MMS cotreatment or MX + MMS cotreatment (Fig. 3B).

Both FK866 and MX enhance ATP depletion after alkylation damage

To further investigate the enhanced cell death observed when MX and FK866 are combined with alkylating agents, cellular ATP levels were measured after combination treatment. As expected (20), alkylation damage resulted in a decrease in the level of cellular ATP when measured 2 hours after MMS treatment of the LN428/MPG cells (Fig. 4A and B, solid bars). Further, FK866 pretreatment prior to alkylation (MMS) damage resulted in lower levels of ATP 2 hours after MMS treatment compared with alkylation damage alone (Fig. 4A, dotted bars). However, 24 hours of NAD depletion alone had no significant effect on ATP levels (Fig. 4A: striped bars). Surprisingly, treatment with MX + MMS also induced loss of ATP beyond that observed by alkylation (MMS) damage alone (Fig. 4B, dotted bars). It is important to note that this enhanced ATP loss is only observed in the LN428/MPG cell line, supporting the notion that ATP loss after alkylation damage is dependent on the expression of MPG and PARP hyperactivation (an event not seen in the LN428 cell line; Fig. 1B). This suggests that both inhibitors (FK866 and MX) enhance cell death when combined with alkylation by enhancing ATP loss in a BER and PARP-dependent manner.

Dual inhibition of BER and NAD+ biosynthesis sensitizes chemotherapy-resistant glioma cells to TMZ

Given the strong potentiation of cell death when combining TMZ with inhibitors of BER and NAD+ biosynthesis in a 48-hour cytotoxicity assay, we investigated whether this 3-drug combination could sensitize glioma cells harboring established TMZ-resistant genotypes. TMZ resistance can result from either overexpression of MGMT or loss of MMR (11–13). LN428 and LN428/MPG cells are both MMR-positive and express low levels of MGMT. In a long-term survival assay (allowing multiple rounds of replication required for O6-methylguanine–mediated cell death), LN428 cells are highly sensitive to TMZ (IC50 ~ 15 μmol/L; Supplementary Fig. 5A). To convert these cells to a TMZ-resistant phenotype, MGMT was stably overexpressed in the LN428/MPG cell line, resulting in resistance of the LN428/MPG/MGMT cell line to TMZ in a long-term survival assay (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. 4A). MX, in combination with TMZ, sensitizes MPPG-positive cells with an IC50 of 160 μmol/L TMZ (Supplementary Table S1). Similarly, FK866 pretreatment plus TMZ sensitizes LN428/MPG/MGMT cells with an IC50 of 175 μmol/L TMZ (Supplementary Table S1). However, combining FK866 pretreatment with MX and TMZ results in an IC50 of 17 μmol/L, approximately a 10-fold decrease in IC50 and an SER value of 15.88 (Fig. 5A; Supplementary Fig. 5A; Supplementary Table S1). Knockdown of the MMR proteins MLH1, MSH2, and MSH6 (Supplementary Fig. S4B) also renders LN428/MPG cells resistant to TMZ (Fig. 5B–D; Supplementary Fig. S5B–D). However, the dual inhibition of BER and NAD+ biosynthesis can overcome the TMZ resistance that results from MMR deficiency, yielding an increase in the cytotoxic response to 75 μmol/L TMZ (Fig. 5B–D; Supplementary Fig. 5B–D).

To further investigate the utility of this drug combination in treating GBMs with endogenous or acquired resistance to TMZ, we utilized T98G cells, a glioma cell line with endogenous overexpression of MGMT (36, 40). T98G cells have robust expression of BER proteins as well as NAMPT (data not shown) and have an approximate 2-fold increase in the basal level of NAD+ as compared with the LN428 and LN428/MPG cells (Supplementary Fig. S6A), requiring cell line–specific titering of FK866 dosing. Twenty-four-hour exposure to FK866 with doses up to 80 nmol/L had minimal effect on
cell viability in a long-term cell survival assay (Supplementary Fig. S6B). FK866 enhances TMZ toxicity in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6A), whereas MX, in combination with TMZ, yields an enhancement of TMZ toxicity (Fig. 6B). Most importantly, the combination of FK866 and MX, with TMZ, significantly enhanced cell death in an FK866 dose-dependent manner beyond that of either combination alone (Fig. 6B). All together, these results support our proposed strategy of dual inhibition of the BER pathway and NAD+ biosynthesis. We suggest that such a strategy may be useful to overcome TMZ resistance in primary or recurrent TMZ-resistant GBM and we offer proof of principle data to validate future analysis in an in vivo preclinical model.

Discussion

This study presents a novel strategy to elicit tumor cell toxicity from the N3-methyladenine and N7-methylguanine lesions induced by TMZ by targeting 2 interacting pathways: the BER and NAD+ biosynthesis pathways. The introduction of TMZ as a standard treatment for glioblastoma has resulted in a significant increase in response rate and median survival time over surgery and radiation therapy alone (4). However, 5-year survival rates still remain dismally low (3, 4), likely from inherent TMZ resistance and/or relapse with chemotherapy-resistant tumors (14). Most of this resistance to TMZ is attributed to the loss of toxicity by the O6-methylguanine lesion via elevated expression of MGMT or a defect in the MMR pathway (12). However, more than 80% of the DNA lesions produced by TMZ are BER substrates, suggesting BER modulation is a promising therapeutic option (41). The exploitation of clinical trials involving TMZ combined with PARP inhibitors and ongoing clinical trials of TMZ combined with MX highlights this point (42, 43).

We have previously observed that inhibition of BER (via genetic modulation) combined with alkylating treatment leads to necrotic cell death resulting from PARP hyperactivation with concomitant NAD+ and ATP depletion (20). Given this model, we sought to enhance TMZ response by inhibiting both BER and NAD+ biosynthesis. This novel, combinatorial,
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3-drug strategy realized a reduction of TMZ IC50 greater than 10-fold in multiple models of TMZ-resistant tumor cells as well as an endogenously resistant GBM cell line (T98G). The induction of necrotic cell death as opposed to apoptotic cell death is a promising feature of this novel treatment combination, because a percentage of GBMs are also known to be resistant to apoptotic cell death (44), suggesting that this drug combination may be preferable over alternate chemotherapy options that induce apoptosis. Although necrosis is primarily thought of as having a negative inflammatory impact on surrounding tissue, release of high-mobility group box 1 and other receptor for advanced glycation endproducts ligands after necrosis may aid in stimulation of the immune system and clearance of the tumor, thus potentially increasing the efficacy of this drug combination (45, 46).

MX, in combination with TMZ, is currently in phase I clinical trials for the treatment of a variety of solid tumors and seems to be well tolerated (43). FK866 (also known as AP0866) is also currently in clinical trials as a monotherapy and is well tolerated (32). The concern in combining BER inhibition and NAD+ biosynthesis inhibition is that the combination may critically lower energy levels and induce necrosis in healthy tissue. The high energy requirements and rapid NAD+ turnover of tumor cells may allow for selectivity for the FK866/MX/TMZ drug combination over normal tissue (47). Further, it has been suggested that NAD+ loss by PARP hyperactivation is cytotoxic by creating a glycolytic block (48, 49). Tumor selectivity may be realized from the reliance of glioblastomas on glycolysis for energy, whereas normal brain tissue exhibits higher levels of oxidative phosphorylation (50). Interestingly, in one report, up to 50% of GBMs tested were deficient in the NAPRT1-mediated NAD+ biosynthesis pathway responsible for generating NAD+ from nicotinic acid (NA) and in a separate report it was shown that NA supplementation can rescue the toxic effects of high-dose FK866 on normal tissue (47, 51). This also suggests an opportunity for a synthetic lethal approach by our novel 3-drug combination, in which healthy tissue is supplemented with NA while selectively killing tumor cells unable to utilize the NAPRT1 arm of the pathway.

Targeted NAD+ biosynthesis inhibition combined with BER inhibition plus TMZ gives a promising decrease in IC50 in resistant glioblastoma tumor cells. TMZ is also currently in clinical trials for use with malignant melanoma, another chemotherapy-resistant tumor with few treatment options (52). This drug combination may also prove to be useful in increasing efficacy of TMZ in melanoma and potentially in other tumor types that have shown little response to TMZ. For example, we have reported that genetic inhibition of BER in breast cancer cells shows similar sensitization, suggesting that this drug combination would also be useful for the treatment of triple-negative tumors (19). In conclusion, this study illustrates a novel therapeutic option for tumors resistant to the TMZ-induced O6-methylguanine lesion, by utilizing clinically available chemical inhibitors of the interacting pathways of BER and NAD+ biosynthesis.
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