The Efficacy of Radiotherapy Relies upon Induction of Type I Interferon–Dependent Innate and Adaptive Immunity
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Abstract

The most widely held explanation for the efficacy of local radiotherapy (RT) is based on direct cytotoxicity to cancer cells through the induction of lethal DNA damage. Recent studies have shown that local ablative radiation of established tumors can lead to increased T-cell priming and T-cell–dependent tumor regression, but the underlying mechanism remains unclear. Here, we describe an essential role for type I IFN in local RT-mediated tumor control. We show that ablative RT increases intratumoral production of IFN-β and, more surprisingly, the antitumor effect of RT is abolished in type I IFN nonresponsive hosts. Furthermore, the major target of RT-induced type I IFN is the hematopoietic compartment. RT drastically enhances the cross-priming capacity of tumor-infiltrating dendritic cells (TIDC) from wild-type mice but not type I IFN receptor–deficient mice. The enhanced cross-priming ability of TIDCs after RT was dependent on autocrine production of type I IFNs. By using adenoviral-mediated expression of IFN-β, we show that delivery of exogenous IFN-β into the tumor tissue in the absence of RT is also sufficient to selectively expand antigen-specific T cells leading to complete tumor regression. Our study reveals that local high-dose RT can trigger production of type I IFN that initiates a cascading innate and adaptive immune attack on the tumor. Cancer Res; 71(7); 2488–96. ©2011 AACR.

Introduction

The current mechanistic explanation for the clinical efficacy of local radiotherapy (RT) is based on the induction of lethal DNA damage directly to tumor cells or tumor-associated stroma. Although RT has traditionally been viewed as immunosuppressive due to the inherent sensitivity of lymphocytes to radiation-induced damage (1), it has also been shown to enhance tumor-specific immune responses (2–4). Ionizing radiation increases production of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF, interleukin (IL)-1α, and IL-6 by human tumor cells in vitro (5, 6). Radiation can also modulate the peptide repertoire and enhance MHC class I expression by tumor cells (7) and alter their phenotype resulting in heightened susceptibility to T-cell killing (8). Proper localized RT given at appropriate doses and scheduling may tip the balance in favor of antitumor immunity both through endogenous priming mechanisms and in combination with immunotherapy (2, 3, 9). We have shown that the therapeutic effect of ablative RT depends largely on CD8+ T cells and that RT increases T-cell priming (3). However, the question remains as to which immunologic components link activation of innate immunity by RT with increased cross-priming and production of an antitumor T-cell response.

Type I IFNs are a family of cytokines best known for their function in the antiviral response. In the tumor system, the role of type I IFNs is less well characterized; however, evidence suggests that type I IFNs may play some role to control tumor growth. Specifically, an early study utilizing an IFN-α/β neutralizing antiserum showed that type I IFN may limit the growth of transplantable tumors (10). Furthermore, the complete absence of type I IFN signaling results in more rapid tumor growth and increased mortality in several tumor models (11). More recently, it has been shown that endogenous type I IFN production plays a critical role in tumor immunoeediting (12, 13). Little is known about the role of type I IFNs in the generation of an antitumor immune response following the therapeutic treatment of established cancers with RT (14). Recent studies suggest that STAT1-dependent genes are upregulated following local delivery of RT, which has been associated with a radioresistant phenotype that correlates with increased tumor aggressiveness and metastasis (15–17). Therefore, we sought to determine the role of type I IFN in the treatment of established tumors with ablative RT and how it could potentially influence the generation of T-cell responses. Here, we describe an essential role for type I IFNs in tumor growth control mediated by "ablative" RT.
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Materials and Methods

Mice
B6/IFNAR KO (IFN-α receptor 1 knockout) mice were generously provided by Anita Chong at the University of Chicago, Chicago, IL. The source of other mice and cell lines was previously described (3). For all experiments, mice were between the ages of 6 to 16 weeks, bred under specific pathogen-free conditions, and used in accordance with the animal experimental guidelines set by the Institute of Animal Care and Use Committee.

Generation of bone marrow chimeras
Wild-type (WT) or IFNAR KO mice were lethally irradiated with a single dose of 1,000 rads. The next-day irradiated mice were adoptively transferred intravenously with 2 × 10⁶ to 3 × 10⁶ donor bone marrow (BM) cells. Mice were maintained on sulfamethoxazole and trimethoprim (Bactrim) antibiotics diluted in drinking water for 4 weeks after reconstitution. Mice were injected with tumor cells 5 to 6 weeks postreconstitution.

Adoptive transfer of T cells
A total of 2 × 10⁶ T cells were labeled with carboxyfluorescein succinimidyl ester (CFSE) and transferred as previously described (18, 19). For reconstitution of RAG KO (recombination-deficient) recipients, T cells were sorted using the Pan T Cell Isolation Kit and automated Magnetic Cell Sorting (autoMACS; Miltenyi Biotec).

Flow cytometric analysis
Single-cell suspensions of cells were isolated as before (3) and incubated with anti-CD16/32 (anti-FcγRII/III) receptor (clone 2.4G2) for 20 minutes at room temperature and then subsequently stained with conjugated antibodies: anti-CD45.2 (clone 104), anti-CD90.1 (anti-Thy-1.1, clone OX-7), anti-CD8α (clone 53-6.7), anti-CD11c (clone HL3), anti-CD11b (clone M1/70), anti-Ly6C (clone AL-21), anti-I-Ad/I-E (clone M5/114.15.2), anti-CD80 (B7-1, clone 16-10A1), anti-CD86 (B7-2, clone GL1), anti-CCR7 (clone 4B12), or anti-CD4 (clone GK1.5). All purified and fluorescently labeled monoclonal antibodies were purchased from BD Pharmingen. Samples were analyzed on a FACS Canto flow cytometer (BD Biosciences), and data were analyzed with FlowJo software (TreeStar, Inc.).

Tumor growth and treatments
Cultured cancer cells were trypsinized, washed with media, and injected subcutaneously on the back. Tumor size was determined at 3 to 4 days interval. Tumor volumes were measured along 3 orthogonal axes (a, b, and c) and calculated as tumor volume = abc/2. The tumor nodules were inoculated with indicated amount of adenovirus (Ad)-IFN-β or Ad-null virus intratumorally. For antibody-mediated cell depletion, 200 μg per mouse anti-CD4 or anti-CD8 (YT.S169.4.2) antibody was given to mice intraperitoneally on days 9, 11, and 13 after primary tumor inoculation. Mice received local irradiation as described previously (3).

IFN determination
For real-time PCR (RT-PCR), tumors were harvested at indicated time points after 20 Gy local RT (Gy = Gray = 100 rads). Real-time PCR was conducted on cDNA prepared from DNase I-treated RNA extracted from whole tumor fragments or single-cell suspensions sorted on a BD FACSAria cell sorter into CD45.2⁺ and CD45.2⁻ populations and then the CD45⁻ fraction was further subdivided into 2 sort gates on the basis of CD11c staining. The primers and probes used are as follows: For IFN-β: forward, 5'-ATG AGT GGT GGT TGC AGG C-3'; reverse, 5'-TGA CCT TTC AAA TGC AGT AGA TTC A-3'. For GAPDH: forward, 5'-TTC ACC ACC ATG GAG AGC GC-3'; reverse, 5'-GGC ATG GAC TGT GGT CAT GA-3'. Reactions were run on the ABI/Prism 7300 (Applied Biosystems), in a final volume of 25 μL with 2.5 μmol/L of the forward and reverse primers, using 2× TaqMan Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) containing AmpliTag Gold polymerase. Cycling conditions were a single denaturing step at 95°C for 15 minutes, followed by 45 cycles of 94°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute. Analysis of IFnb1 and Gapdh gene expression was done with a standard curve and then normalized to sample Gapdh. The standard curves had R² > 0.99 values. For ELISA, tumors were harvested and weighed at the indicated time points after local irradiation and homogenized on ice in 1× PBS plus 1× Halt Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Supernatants were collected and IFN-β was detected using VeriKine Mouse IFN-Beta ELISA Kit (PBL IFN Source) according to the manufacturer’s directions.

In vivo specific lysis assay
Mice bearing B16-SIY [Kb-binding peptide SIYRYYGL (SIY) introduced into B16 cells] were transferred intravenously with equal numbers of CFSE-labeled donor splenocytes pulsed with SIY (1 μg/mL) and OT-I peptide (1 μg/mL). In vivo specific lysis assay was carried out and calculated as before (18, 19).

DC cross-priming assay and cytokine detection
After tumors were established, mice received local RT (20 Gy) on the tumor and 3 days later tumors were harvested for DC purification. Isolated cells were used for DC purification with a CD11c⁺ magnetic bead kit and automated Magnetic Cell Sorting (autoMACS; Miltenyi Biotec). For in vitro culture, 1 × 10⁵ DCs were plated with 2 × 10⁵ naïve 2C cells with or without the addition of exogenous SIY (1 μg/mL), and supernatants were harvested after 3 days for analysis via cytokine bead array (CBA; BD Biosciences) according to manufacturer's directions. T-cell proliferation was assessed following similar in vitro culture conditions by pulsing with ³H-thymidine at 72 hours and harvesting for analysis at 96 hours, and plates were read on a liquid scintillation counter.

Generation of adenovirus-expressing IFN-β
To construct recombinant Ad-mIFN-β, murine IFN-β cDNA was amplified by PCR and cloned into the Nob1/EcoRV sites of pAdenoVator-CMV5(CuO) under CMV5 promoter. pAdenoVator-mIFN-β was linearized by PaelI digestion and electroporated into electrocompetent cells BJ3I8S at 2.5 kV for recombination with a backbone vector containing adenoviral
genome. The hybrid cosmids were selected on Kanamycin LB agar plate. Pucl digestion was used to further identify recombinant cosmid containing the mIFN-β insert. The Ad-mIFN-β DNA was linearized by PacI digestion, and the mixture of PacI digestion without further purification was transfected into 293 cells for recombinant adenovirus production. The Ad-mIFN-β is referred to as Ad-IFN-β.

Statistical analysis
Statistics were done with an unpaired Student’s 2-tailed t-test. Error bars represent SDs.

Results
Local radiation increases tumor infiltration by hematopoietic cells that give rise to DCs
We sought to determine whether local radiation of established B16 tumors results in any quantitative differences in the populations of infiltrating immune cells. In fact, there was a time-dependent increase in the infiltration of CD45+ hematopoietic cells into the tumor in the first few days following local radiation (Fig. 1A and C). CD11b+ myeloid cells of mixed phenotype including monocytes, macrophages, DCs, and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) dominate the overall population of CD45+ cells. Gene expression array analysis comparing untreated tumors and those receiving local RT revealed the increased production of many chemokines responsible for mediating chemoattraction of immature monocytes, macrophages, and DCs, suggesting that the increased frequency of CD45+/CD11b+ cells was likely due to enhanced recruitment (data not shown). Of relevance to the induction of T-cell responses, the relative proportion of CD11c+ DCs was only slightly increased among the CD45+ fraction of cells isolated (Fig. 1B); however, the overall representation of CD11c+ cells was consistently increased almost 3-fold and 4.5-fold among total cells isolated from the tumors on days 3 and 5 post-RT, respectively (Fig. 1D). Further analysis of the CD11c+ fraction revealed that these cells express markers of classic monocyte-derived DCs, also termed as "inflammatory DCs," including high expression of CD11b, Ly-6C, and MHC class II and lacking expression of B220, Langerin, and CD103 (data not shown).

Local radiation generates infiltrating DCs with enhanced functional capacity
To facilitate monitoring of tumor antigen cross-presentation by tumor-infiltrating DCs (TIDC), we introduced SIY into B16 cells (B16-SIY; refs. 18, 19). Isolated CD11c+ cells from both untreated B16-SIY tumors and tumors receiving local RT revealed dramatic differences in T-cell stimulatory potential (Fig. 2A). DCs purified from tumors receiving local RT were able to induce proliferation of naive tumor antigen–specific 2C T cells solely with endogenous tumor antigen acquired in vivo, whereas DCs from untreated tumors failed to stimulate T-cell proliferation. To determine whether insufficient acquisition or reduced cross-presentation of DCs isolated from untreated tumors, we provided exogenous SIY to the in vitro culture. Despite provision of antigen, DCs from untreated tumors remained highly defective in their ability to stimulate tumor antigen–specific T cells (Fig. 2A). To address whether the difference in the capacity of DCs from untreated and treated tumors to stimulate T cells was due to differences in the maturation status, we analyzed the expression of several classic maturation markers. Surprisingly, we found no differences in the expression of MHC class I, MHC class II, B-7 (1 and 2), or CCR7 between DCs from untreated tumors and those receiving local RT at the same time point used to compare T-cell stimulatory capacity (Fig. 2B). Therefore, local RT of established tumors increases the T-cell stimulatory potential of TIDCs that cannot be explained simply by differences in tumor antigen cross-presentation or DC maturation.

Radiation therapy increases IFN-β production inside tumors
We hypothesized that local RT might augment the local tumor microenvironment and, consequently, DC functional
capacity through changes in the local cytokine milieu. The important role of type I IFNs in enhancing cross-priming by DC has been described in the context of infection and represented as a logical candidate for investigation (18, 19). RT-PCR and ELISA of tumor samples confirmed that IFN-β is indeed upregulated following local RT at both the RNA (Fig. 3A) and protein levels (Fig. 3B). Further analysis by cell sorting showed that type I IFN is produced mainly by CD45+ hematopoietic cells that infiltrate the tumor (Fig. 3C). These data show that IFN-β is upregulated within the tumor following local RT and support infiltrating hematopoietic cells as the principal source of IFN-β.

IFN-α/β responsiveness is critical for the therapeutic effect of RT
To first test whether type I IFN is essential for RT-mediated tumor reduction, we established parental B16F10 tumors in both WT and IFNAR1 KO mice. These mice are unresponsive to all type I IFNs (20). Mice bearing established B16F10 tumors were treated with 15 Gy of local RT daily for 3 consecutive days (15 Gy × 3) and tumor growth was monitored. WT mice that received local RT showed strong inhibition of tumor outgrowth compared with tumors in the untreated mice. In contrast, tumors in IFNAR1 KO mice grew with similar kinetics to the untreated tumors, exhibiting almost complete resistance to even high doses of RT (Fig. 4A). Therefore, the therapeutic response to RT is indeed dependent on host responsiveness to type I IFNs. IFNAR1 KO mice, unlike their WT counterparts, also failed to reject syngeneic EL4 tumors following local RT, suggesting that our observations are not limited to the B16 model and that the importance of type I IFN signaling in tumor control following local RT spans tumor cell types with vastly different sensitivities to the direct effects of radiation (Supplementary Fig. S1).

The common heterodimeric IFN-α/β receptor is ubiquitously expressed, but the effects of IFN receptor engagement...
can vary depending on the cell type (21, 22). The lack of response to RT in the IFNAR1 KO hosts could be due to receptor deficiency in nonhematopoietic, tumor-associated stromal cells or immune cells. To examine the requirement for type I IFN responsiveness among these populations, we generated reciprocal BM chimeras. Treated tumors in WT and IFNAR1 KO mice reconstituted with WT BM responded similarly as WT mice. However, in WT and IFNAR1 KO mice receiving IFNAR1 KO BM, the tumors no longer responded to RT (Fig. 4B and C). Therefore, among nontumor cells, IFNAR1 KO BM, the tumors no longer responded to RT in the IFNAR1 KO hosts could be due to receptor deficiency in nonhematopoietic, tumor-associated stromal cells or immune cells. To examine the requirement for type I IFN responsiveness among these populations, we generated reciprocal BM chimeras. Treated tumors in WT and IFNAR1 KO mice reconstituted with WT BM responded similarly as WT mice. However, in WT and IFNAR1 KO mice receiving IFNAR1 KO BM, the tumors no longer responded to RT (Fig. 4B and C). Therefore, among nontumor cells, IFNα/β responsiveness in the hematopoietic compartment is necessary for the therapeutic effect of RT.

The direct stimulatory effect of type I IFN on T-cell expansion, differentiation, and effector function has been described previously in both human and mouse cells and in the context of melanoma-specific CD8+ T-cell vaccines (23–27). To specifically study whether T-cell responsiveness to type I IFN is critical for RT-mediated tumor control, RAG−/− mice were reconstituted with total T cells purified from either WT or IFNAR1 KO mice. These T-cell chimeric mice were inoculated with tumor cells 1 week after T-cell transfer, at which time the homeostatic proliferation of transferred cells had ceased (data not shown). Tumors established in T-cell chimeric mice were treated with local ablative RT and the tumor growth was monitored. As expected, treated mice that received WT T cells were able to control tumor outgrowth (Fig. 4D). To our surprise, mice receiving IFNAR1 KO T cells were still able to mediate equivalent tumor control following local RT. Therefore, direct T-cell responsiveness to type I IFNs is not required for RT-mediated tumor control.

**Restoration of TIDC function by local RT is type I IFN dependent**

We sought to determine whether responsiveness to type I IFN could explain the functional differences that we observed between DCs from untreated and locally irradiated tumors. When we analyzed the function of TIDCs from untreated B16-SIY tumors in IFNAR1 KO mice, we found that they had severely diminished capacity to stimulate naive 2C T-cell proliferation (Fig. 5A), a defect that was similarly observed when WT DCs from untreated tumors were used (Figs. 2A and 5A). Interestingly, local radiation of tumors in IFNAR1 KO mice failed to restore the ability of TIDCs to stimulate T-cell proliferation (Fig. 5A) and effector cytokine production (Fig. 5B). Similar to our earlier results with WT TIDCs, the functional capacity of IFNAR1 KO TIDCs could not be restored by the addition of exogenous SIY or following stimulation with bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Fig. 5A and B). Furthermore, maturation of IFNAR1 KO DCs revealed no differences compared with WT DCs (Fig. 5A) and effector cytokine production (Fig. 5B). Similar to our earlier results with WT TIDCs, the functional capacity of IFNAR1 KO TIDCs could not be restored by the addition of exogenous SIY or following stimulation with bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Fig. 5A and B).
global defect in DC function in IFNAR1 KO mice, we also analyzed lymph node DCs isolated from WT and IFNAR1 KO mice. Lymph node DCs were functionally indistinguishable between WT and IFNAR1 KO mice in their ability to drive T-cell proliferation and effector cytokine production (Fig. 5D, data not shown). Therefore, responsiveness to type I IFN is required for the acquisition of DC cross-priming capacity within the tumor microenvironment.

Infiltrating myeloid cells produce constitutive IFN-β that is independent of canonical TRIF-dependent TLR signaling

To determine which hematopoietic cells in the tumor microenvironment are responsible for the increased IFN-β production, we further sorted CD11c+ myeloid cells from untreated tumors and tumors receiving local RT on the basis of expression of CD11c and used the CD45− fraction of cells as a negative control (Fig. 6A). Tumor-infiltrating monocytes and MDSCs both fall into the CD11c− gate and were analyzed together (referred to collectively as CD11c−). RT-PCR analysis of IFN-β expression by these 3 subsets of cells revealed that both CD11c+ and CD11c− myeloid cells produce IFN-β at near equivalent levels both before and following delivery of local RT (Fig. 6B). When we tested the tumor response to radiation in TRIF (TIR domain-containing adapter-inducing IFN-β) KO mice, tumor control was indistinguishable from that in WT B6 mice, and perhaps slightly enhanced, suggesting that IFN-β production downstream of canonical TLR signaling is not essential and distinguishing our model from published data (Fig. 6C).

Tumor reduction by exogenous local delivery of type I IFN is dependent on CD8+ T cells

To study the sufficient role of type I IFN in the absence of RT, we tested whether local delivery of type I IFN into tumors through a recombinant adenoviral (Ad-IFN-β) vector could cause tumor rejection. We treated established B16-SIY tumors with either Ad-null, an empty vector control, or Ad-IFN-β and monitored tumor growth. Surprisingly, even for such an aggressive tumor, Ad-IFN-β showed very potent antitumor effects (Fig. 7A). To test whether T cells are required for the inhibition, we used B6/RAG KO mice, which are deficient in lymphocytes, and compared nontransferred hosts to those reconstituted with T cells collected from WT donors. Interestingly, tumor responsiveness to Ad-IFN-β was not detectable in RAG-1−/− mice but was restored by transfer of
peripheral T cells (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Thus, as with RT, treatment with Ad-IFN-β is immune-mediated and dependent on T cells.

To further distinguish the role of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T-cell subsets, we used WT mice and antibody-mediated depletion of CD8⁺ T cells or CD4⁺ T cells. Surprisingly, depletion of CD4⁺ T cells had almost no effect on Ad-IFN-β treatment, as tumors responded equivalently with or without CD4 depletion (Fig. 4C). However, depletion of CD8⁺ T cells drastically reduced treatment efficacy and tumors rapidly progressed (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Therefore, CD8⁺ T cells are essential for the antitumor effect of Ad-IFN-β.

IFN-β leads to preferential expansion of Ag-specific T cells

To test whether Ad-IFN-β could induce Ag-specific T-cell responses, we transferred naive 2C transgenic T (Tg) cells, which recognize the SIY antigen, into B16-SIY tumor-bearing mice to quantify antigen-specific CD8⁺ T-cell expansion. To test whether this expansion was limited to antigen-specific cells, we labeled both 2C (antigen-specific) and OT-I (nonspecific) cells with CFSE and then adoptively transferred them into B16-SIY tumor-bearing mice. Ad-IFN-β induced a preferential expansion of the Ag-specific 2C cells compared with the nonspecific OT-I cells (Fig. 7B and Supplementary Fig. S3A). With the Ad-null control group, the ratio of 2C to OT-I cells was approximately equivalent, confirming that similar numbers of T cells were transferred into recipients yet significantly increased with Ad-IFN-β treatment (Fig. 7C). In addition, 2C cells showed robust proliferation as evidenced by almost complete CFSE dilution (Supplementary Fig. S3B). In contrast, the nonspecific cells failed to proliferate (data not shown).

Finally, we investigated whether Ad-IFN-β therapy leads to increased lytic activity of tumor antigen–specific T cells in vivo. Ad-IFN-β–treated mice showed significantly higher Ag-specific lysis than Ad-null–treated mice (Fig. 7D). These data indicate that the expansion of antigen-specific cells observed in Ad-IFN-β–treated mice results in robust effector CTL activity.

Discussion

Despite these well-known functions of type I IFN during infection, the role of type I IFN in tumor immunity is not well defined. Here, we highlight several important features about the essential role of type I IFNs in RT-induced immunity: (i) IFN-α/β is essential for tumor reduction or eradication following local RT. (ii) IFN-α/β is produced by tumor cells in our model but, instead, is produced in an autocrine fashion by tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells (Fig. 6A). (iii) IFN-β is independent of TRIF signaling. These findings underscore the importance of type I IFNs in mediating antitumor immunity following RT.
tumor-infiltrating myeloid cells. (iii) IFN-α/β signaling is required to endow TIDCs with T-cell cross-priming capacity following local RT; however, T cells do not need to bear the type I IFN receptor to mediate tumor rejection. (iv) Inducing a local increase in type I IFN within the tumor microenvironment through a therapeutically relevant adenoviral delivery system can mimic the therapeutic effect of RT for tumor regression. Together, these results suggest that a local increase in type I IFN signaling with the tumor microenvironment is essential and sufficient to reverse the suppressive tumor microenvironment and induce antitumor immunity that mediates tumor regression.

Infiltration of DCs into tumors has been shown to augment suppression through both direct suppression of CD8+ T-cell effector function and aberrant skewing of CD4+ T-cell cytokine production (28, 29). Furthermore, depletion of TIDCs can sensitize the tumor to immune-mediated killing by removing their suppressive influence in the tumor microenvironment (30). The ability of local RT to functionally reinstate TIDCs may represent a more multifaceted way to reduce suppression in the tumor microenvironment, but the source and nature of TIDCs after RT remain to be fully determined.

This study highlights the importance of identifying and fostering the immune dependency of RT to maximize therapeutic outcomes. Our study reveals that ablative RT can initiate a cascading innate and adaptive immune attack against tumor cells that is dependent on type I IFN. This study has also elucidated the mechanisms by which Ad-IFN-β induces tumor-specific T-cell responses leading to tumor reduction. It would be of interest to study whether increased type I IFN production is correlated with better immune responses in patients following RT and whether such responses can be used to predict the therapeutic efficacy of RT and survival of cancer patients.
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