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Abstract

Although brain tumors are classified and treated based upon their histology, the molecular factors involved in the development of various tumor types remain unknown. In this study, we show that the type and order of genetic events directs the development of gliomas, central nervous system primitive neuroectodermal tumors, and atypical teratoid/rhabdoid-like tumors from postnatal mouse neural stem/progenitor cells (NSC/NPC). We found that the overexpression of specific genes led to the development of these three different brain tumors from NSC/NPCs, and manipulation of the order of genetic events was able to convert one established tumor type into another. In addition, loss of the nuclear chromatin-remodeling factor SMARCB1 in rhabdoid tumors led to increased phosphorylation of eIF2α, a central cytoplasmic unfolded protein response (UPR) component, suggesting a role for the UPR in these tumors. Consistent with this, application of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib led to an increase in apoptosis of human cells with reduced SMARCB1 levels. Taken together, our findings indicate that the order of genetic events determines the phenotypes of brain tumors derived from a common precursor cell pool, and suggest that the UPR may represent a therapeutic target in atypical teratoid/ rhabdoid tumors. Cancer Res; 72(13); 3381–92. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

The pathologic classification of brain tumors is largely based on their histology, and treatment strategies still depend primarily on this classification. How different cells of origin, cell-intrinsic, and cell-extrinsic factors contribute to the development of distinct brain tumor types remains unclear. Today, 2 main models explain intratumoral heterogeneity: The cell-of-origin model according to which the various tumor types arise from different cells and the genetic mutation model that describes the occurrence of different mutations within the same cell-of-origin leading to diverse tumor types. Histologically, very similar murine brain tumor types [central nervous system (CNS) primitive neuroectodermal tumors (PNET) and medulloblastomas] can develop from different neural stem/progenitor cells (NSC/NPC; refs. 2–6). Alternatively, different mouse brain tumors can originate from common cells of origin that acquire divergent phenotypes. This is exemplified by CNS PNET and astrocytoma, which can arise from forebrain NSC/NPCs (3, 7–11). Whether the mere accumulation or the order of single genetic events determines tumor phenotypes and to which extent established tumor types are stable or can be converted into other distinct types remains unknown. Here we show that (i) the overexpression of specific genes leads to the development of 3 different brain tumors from postnatal lateral ventricle wall (LVV) NSC/NPCs, (ii) an established in vivo tumor type can be converted into another one, and (iii) this conversion is controlled by the order of genetic events. One of the tumor types resembles atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor (AT/RT), and we present a so far unrecognized involvement of the unfolded protein response (UPR) in AT/RTs and in malignant rhabdoid tumors (MRT) lacking SMARCB1. This gene encodes the SMARCB1 protein (also described as SNF5/INI1/BAF47), primarily known for its nuclear chromatin remodeling and gene regulation function (12). Biallelic SMARCB1 inactivation is found in the vast majority of AT/RTs and MRTs, and reduced or lost SMARCB1 expression has also been reported for other tumors (13–15). We show that reduced or absent SMARCB1 protein levels result in an elevated sensitivity
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Materials and Methods

For detailed information see Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Animals

C57Bl/6j and p53 knockout mice (TSG-p53) were from Taconic Europe. Transplantations into the right frontal brain lobe of 4- to 8-week-old C57Bl/6j mice were carried out.

Neurosphere and tumorsphere culture

LVW tissue from 4-week-old mice and brain tumor tissue was dissected, digested with Accutase (PAA), and filtrated. Cells were fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS)-isolated based on eGFP and DsRed expression and cultured as spheres in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium/F12 (1:1) with Glutamax, B27, penicillin (100 units/mL), streptomycin (100 μg/mL; all from Invitrogen), HEPES (10 mmol/L), Tryparosin (0.5 μg/mL; Biochrom), insulin (20 μg/mL; Sigma Aldrich), EGF (20 ng/mL), and rhFGFbasic (20 ng/mL; PAN Biotech). Cells were passaged 5 to 7 days after plating.

Viral transduction

The pCMMP-IRES2-eGFP retroviral vector was provided by Laurent Roybon (Lund University, Lund, Sweden). A DsRed vector was generated by replacing the IRES-eGFP sequence with IRES-DsRedExpress. Human MYC, human V12HRAS, mouse Bmi1, mouse Ezh2, and mouse FoxM1 cDNA sequences were inserted upstream of the IRES sequences. EcoPack2-293 cells (BD Biosciences) were used to produce viral supernatant.

FACS

Tissue or cells were dissociated (see above), washed twice in PBS/1% bovine serum albumin, and 7-AAD (Sigma/Merck) was added for dead cell discrimination. A FACSVantage system (DiVa option; BD Biosciences) was used and doublets and dead cells were excluded. Sorted cells were centrifuged (5 minutes at 500 g) and resuspended in growth medium. Cells were cultured at 37°C/5% CO2 overnight or longer before transplantations.

Immunostainings

Tissue was fixed (4% formaldehyde/PBS overnight) and either dehydrated and paraffin embedded or cryoprotected (25% sucrose/PBS) and embedded in TissueTek OCT compound (Sakura). Cells on coverslips were fixed with 4% formaldehyde/PBS for 10 minutes at room temperature. Immunostainings are described in the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Reverse transcriptase PCR

RNA was isolated (AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini Kit, Qiagen) and 2 μg was used for cDNA synthesis.

Microarray hybridization and analysis

RNA was analyzed on the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Sample generation, hybridization to Affymetrix Gene 1.0 ST Arrays, washing, and scanning were carried out according to the GeneChip Whole Transcript Sense Target Labeling Assay User manual, P/N 701880 Rev.5. 300 ng RNA was used for the first strand cDNA synthesis. Data were normalized by the Robust Multi-array Average method (Expression Console software) and are deposited at the gene expression omnibus database GEO (GSE28095). Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) was carried out per Subramanian and colleagues (16). Gene sets are listed in Supplementary File S1. Genes representing the different tumor types were found by correlating the 5,001 most variable genes across all samples to tumor type labels. To see whether the 1,000 highest ranked genes were differentially expressed between tumor types a linear model was fitted using the R package limma. All 1,000 genes showed a P value smaller than 10^-16 and were considered for further analysis.

Complete linkage hierarchical clustering of tumorsphere samples was carried out based on Euclidean distances. For supervised classification we used shrunken centroid classification (R package pamr).

Western blot analysis

See Supplementary Materials and Methods. Antibodies: anti-BAF47 (SMARCB1/SNF5; BD Biosciences), anti-phospho-eIF2α (119A11; Cell Signaling), anti-β actin-HRP (Abcam).

Cell lines

LM [ref. 15; obtained from R. Handgretinger (Universitätsklinikum Tübingen, Kinderheilkunde I, Tübingen, Germany) in 2010], CHLA-02-ATRT, A-204, and G401 (ref. 17; obtained from American Type Culture Collection in 2010), SW1783 and Hs683 (provided by M. Simon, Universitätshôpital Bonn, Bonn, Germany, in 2008), 786-O, and A-498 (provided by A. Toelle, Charité - Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Klinik für Urologie, Berlin, Germany, in 2010), DAOY and BT12 (provided by M. Grotzer, University Children’s Hospital Zurich, Dept. of Oncology, Zürich, Switzerland, in 2009 and 2010, respectively), HepG2 (obtained from P. Nilsson-Ehle, Lund University, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Lund, Sweden, in 2010), and MCF7 (obtained from X. Chen, Center for Comparative Oncology, University of California, Davis, CA, in 2010) cells were authenticated by morphology and SMARCB1 immunoblotting and kept without further authentication according to the Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Results

Lack of p53 and overexpression of multiple genes leads to oncogenic transformation of postnatal NSC/NPCs

Genetic perturbations were conducted to identify genes that lead to the oncogenic transformation of postnatal murine NSC/NPCs. Five genes [Bmi1, Ezh2, FoxM1, V12 mutant of HRAS in the following abbreviated as HRAS; and MYC (c-MYC); designated as mix] were simultaneously introduced by retroviral infections into wild-type (wt) or p53-deficient NSC/NPCs from the LVW of postnatal mice.
and passaged once as neurospheres. We chose these genes based on their role in neural stem cell maintenance, brain tumor development, or increased expression in brain tumors (18–23). The vectors contained the cDNA sequence of 1 of the 5 genes followed by an IRES-eGFP sequence, allowing us to isolate genetically altered cells by FACS. Because retroviral vectors only transduce dividing cells, we conclude that dividing NSC/NPCs were targeted. Tumors developed in all

Figure 1. Serial intracranial transplantations of p53<sup>−/−</sup> NSC/NPCs expressing different genes (MYC, HRAS, Bmi1, and Ezh2) and of eGFP control cells. A, primary to quaternary transplantations from left to right. The number of mice with tumors/number of transplanted mice is shown. Cells from underlined tumors were FACS isolated and used in subsequent transplantations. For example, 3 of 3 mice transplanted with 300,000 MYC overexpressing cells developed tumors. A total of 50 cells from each of the 3 tumors were transplanted into 2 recipient mice and 5,000 cells from each tumor into one animal. Both animals that received 50 cells from the third primary tumor developed tumors, and cells from one of these 50 cell tumors (underlined) were further transplanted into tertiary recipients: one animal received 50 and another 100 cells. Both animals developed tumors, and cells from the first one (underlined) were used in quaternary transplantations (3 mice receiving 10 cells or less and one animal receiving 50 cells). In all cases, except for the one in which tumors are marked with a and b, only one tumor sample of each genetic type was used for subsequent transplantations. Cryosections of secondary tumors from cells overexpressing MYC (B), HRAS (C), and MYC together with HRAS (D) showing green (B, C) or green and red fluorescent tumor cells (D). Asterisk, adjacent normal tissue; scale bars, 50 μm. E, life span of tumor-bearing mice (in days) from primary to quaternary transplantations. Tumors are grouped according to their histology: gliomas (red dots), CNS PNETs (green squares), AT/RT-like (blue triangles). Horizontal lines: median lifespan values of animals that developed tumors (from left to right: 35,31,8/22,23,11/25,49,17). Animals that were alive after 244 days were not included in the calculation and are indicated on top.
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animals transplanted with 5,000 or more mix p53/C0 cells, but not in mice that received any number of mix wt, empty p53/C0 or empty wt cells (Supplementary Fig. S1A). Secondary and tertiary transplantations were carried out with FACs-isolated tumor cells and exogenous MYC and HRAS were found expressed in 8 investigated tumor samples, Bmi1 and Ezh2 in 4 and FoxM1 in 3 cases (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

**HRAS or MYC, but not Bmi1 or Ezh2 overexpression in p53/C0 postnatal NSC/NPCs generates tumor-initiating cells**

To test the contribution of the individual genes to the development of brain tumors and to investigate which tumor types develop, we next conducted a combinatorial gene perturbation study, using cells from p53/C0 mice and including MYC, HRAS, Bmi1, and Ezh2 (Fig. 1A). HRAS-DsRed or MYC-DsRed viral vectors were used in combination with vectors for the expression of a second gene together with eGFP. FACs-isolated cells were transplanted into recipient mice (Fig. 1A). No tumors were observed in animals that received empty vector control cells or cells overexpressing Bmi1 or Ezh2 alone. Tumors developed in all animals transplanted with cells overexpressing MYC alone, or in combination with HRAS, Bmi1, or Ezh2, as well as in animals that received HRAS and Bmi1 overexpressing cells. Tumors were furthermore detected in 2 of 3 animals transplanted with cells transduced with HRAS alone or HRAS plus Ezh2.

To assay the in vivo self-renewal capacity and stability of tumor types, we carried out serial transplantations with FACs-isolated tumor cells (Fig. 1A). These experiments revealed a high frequency of cells with tumor-forming capacity. In brain tumors that developed from all 7 tumorigenic gene combinations, including secondary tumor specimens of all but one gene combination (HRAS + Ezh2), DSRed and eGFP fluorescence was detected, albeit at different levels (Fig. 1B–D and data not shown).

**Overexpression of HRAS or MYC alone or in combination leads to the development of three brain tumor types from p53/C0 postnatal NSC/NPCs**

Three histologically different tumor types developed: PNETs, high-grade gliomas, and pleomorphic epithelioid tumors with abundant giant rhabdoid cells, which we refer to as AT/RT-like (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. S2). All gliomas developed from NSC/NPCs overexpressing MYC together with HRAS show AT/RT-like features.

To test the contribution of the individual genes to the development of three brain tumor types from p53/C0 postnatal NSC/NPCs, we next conducted a combinatorial gene perturbation study, using cells from p53/C0/C0 mice and including MYC, HRAS, Bmi1, Ezh2, and FoxM1. Tumors derived from NSC/NPCs overexpressing MYC together with HRAS show AT/RT-like features. They consist of a large number of rhabdoid cells with eccentric nuclei and prominent nucleoli. Many cells have eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions (white arrow head). In addition, smaller cells with scant cytoplasm displaying PNET features are present. Scale bars, 50 μm.
tumor types also differed in their immunophenotypes (Fig. 3A and left panels in Supplementary Fig. S4A–D). Our finding of tenascin-C–positive glioma cells is in accordance with the reported localization of this protein. Tenascin-C is typically found at blood vessels of tumor tissues, and pericytes have been suggested as cellular source for this deposition (24). In high-grade glioma, however, it is also detected in the tumor cell cytoplasm and between tumor cells, indicating that tumor cells themselves synthesize tenascin-C in this malignancy (24, 25). No GFAP-positive glioma cells were found, and few tumor cells in some of the PNET and AT/RT-like samples showed GFAP staining. However the cells from which these tumors originated, p53 −/− postnatal NSC/NPCs, were initially GFAP-positive (Fig. 3B). A progressive loss of GFAP can occur with increasing glioma grade and epigenetic silencing has been reported as one cause for this loss (26). We concluded that different genetic perturbations of murine postnatal NSC/NPCs from the LVW lead to the development of 3 malignant brain tumor types, which best correspond to high-grade glioma, CNS...
PNET (considering the forebrain origin of the NSC/NPCs and the forebrain transplantation site), and an AT/RT-like tumor. This histologic classification is supported by GSEA (see below).

**The three tumor cell types display distinct molecular signatures**

Analysis of microarray gene expression data from 26 tumorsphere samples (developed under neurosphere culture conditions from FACS-isolated brain tumor cells; Supplementary Table S1) revealed a clustering into groups corresponding to the histologic classification (Fig. 4A). A shrunk centroid classifier (27) trained on truncated profiles predicted all tumor types correctly in cross-validation. Seven signature genes were sufficient for an error-free prediction of tumor types (Fig. 4B and C). Because the product of one of these genes (*Spp1*) has been suggested as diagnostic marker to distinguish AT/RTs from medulloblastoma (28) and as glioma grade indicator (29), we tested its expression at the protein level. Immunostainings confirmed the differential *Spp1* expression (table in Fig. 3, Fig. 4D, and left panels in Supplementary Fig. 5A-D).

Figure 4. Gene expression analyses of brain tumor cells. A, unsupervised clustering of FACS-isolated tumorsphere cells from 26 tumors based on microarray data. Y-axis: Euclidean distance. Three major clusters are identified based on the 5,000 most variable genes. They correspond to the 3 histologic tumor types. Internal array sample numbers are shown (Supplementary Table S1). B, prediction analysis using the 5,000 most variable genes over all 26 samples. Different threshold values corresponding to different numbers of genes are shown along the X-axis. A classification into the 3 tumor types can be done, with minimal cross-validation error and considering the risk of overfitting, based on the expression information of a minimum of 7 genes. C, relative expression values of the 7 genes that allows for classification of the 3 tumor types (y-axis) plotted against the 26 tumorsphere samples (x-axis). Red circles (left side): glioma samples, green circles (middle section): CNS PNET samples, blue circles (right side): AT/RT-like samples. D, confirmation of the differential expression of *Spp1* by immunohistochemistry. In CNS PNET tumors, SPP1 staining is confined to blood vessels and immune cells, and virtually absent in tumor cells. Scale bars: 50 μm.
Although 7 genes were sufficient for tumor classification, many more genes showed differential expression in one of the tumor types relative to the 2 others (Fig. 5A and Supplementary Table S2). Differential gene expression data were analyzed for molecular expression signatures of human brain tumors by GSEA. Enrichment of human gene sets in the murine expression data is shown by high, normalized enrichment scores (NES; Fig. 5B), indicating that the generated murine tumors share key expression signatures with respective human brain tumors. Thus, GSEA provided molecular support for our histologic classification.

The MYC-induced CNS PNET phenotype is unstable and can be converted into an AT/RT-like tumor

We next asked how stable an established tumor phenotype is and whether it can be converted into another type by a consecutive genetic perturbation. Transplantation of p53⁻/⁻ NSC/NPCs overexpressing HRAS-DsRed or MYC-eGFP generated gliomas and CNS PNETs. Cells from 2 gliomas and 2 CNS PNETs were FACS isolated. The glioma tumor cells were then transduced with MYC-eGFP viral vectors and the CNS PNET cells with HRAS-DsRed vectors. Sorted double positive cells were transplanted into recipient mice. The glioma daughter tumors retained most of their original features, except for the loss of tenascin-C in daughter tumors derived from 1 of the 2 gliomas (Supplementary Fig. S4A and B), whereas the CNS PNETs were converted into AT/RT-like types (Supplementary Fig. S4C and D). These CNS PNET daughter tumors consisted of cells with rhabdoid morphology, were positive for markers of the AT/RT-like tumors (vimentin, cytokeratin, and SPP1), and lost the ELAVL3/4 marker (characteristic for the CNS PNET mother tumors). Microarray profiles of FACS-purified tumorsphere cells from 2 mother and 4 daughter tumors (Supplementary Table S1) were determined. Our 7-gene tumor classifier assigned the glioma daughter tumors to the glioma profiles, whereas the CNS PNET daughter tumors were assigned to the AT/RT-like group (Fig. 6A). In summary, our histologic and gene expression data not only indicated that certain in vivo tumor phenotypes are plastic and can be changed by additional genetic events but also that the order of genetic events (overexpression of HRAS followed by MYC or MYC followed by HRAS) leads to the development of different in vivo tumor types (Fig. 6B).

Genes related to the UPR are part of the AT/RT-like gene expression profile

Classical human AT/RTs are characterized by SMARCB1 loss-of-function. In our AT/RT-like samples, however, no reduced Smarcb1 mRNA levels were found, and SMARCB1 protein was detected in AT/RT-like tumors (Supplementary Fig. S5). To gain a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms leading to this phenotype, we took a look at the...
genes of group A (Fig. 5A), which were more highly expressed in AT/RT-like cells in comparison with CNS PNET and glioma cells. Whole Genome rVISTA analysis of transcription factor binding sites (30) located in 2,500 bp upstream glioma cells. Whole Genome rVISTA analysis of transcription factor binding sites (30) located in 2,500 bp upstream regions of group A genes indicated only one overrepresented conserved motif (P < 0.005; Supplementary Table S3). This motif was originally named after a binding site of the transcription factor NF-E2, but later found to be recognized by other Cap "n" collar (CNC) family transcription factors (NRF1-3, BACH1-2; ref. 31). Several group A genes are known targets of a CNC protein that binds to NFE2 motifs, NRF2 (= NFE2L2), and of NF-xB (Supplementary Table S3). Both transcription factors are effector components of a pathway called the UPR (32), which is activated when the amount of unfolded proteins in the endoplasmic reticulum exceeds its folding capacity (also called endoplasmic reticulum stress). Endoplasmic reticulum stress pathways can be divided into 3 branches (PERK-eIF2α, ATF6, and IRE-XBP1) whose downstream consequences lead to cellular adaptation or death. Altogether, 43 of 134 group A genes (32.1%), which were more highly expressed in the AT/RT-like tumors, were identified as ER stress related (Supplementary Table S3). The analysis of genes previously found upregulated in human AT/RT and also upregulated in mouse embryonic fibroblasts upon deletion of the atypical teratoid/rhabdoid tumor suppressor gene Smarcb1 (33) revealed that 35 of these 114 genes (30.7%) are related to endoplasmic reticulum stress (Supplementary Table S4).

**Discussion**

Our investigations revealed several important and novel aspects about the origin of different brain tumors, the role of genetic alterations in specifying tumor phenotypes, and the involvement of the UPR in tumors lacking SMARCB1.

We show that 3 brain tumor types can develop from the same pool of p53−/− postnatal murine NSC/NPCs and that their generation is directed by the type and order of genetic perturbations. The fact that all 3 are very aggressive and resemble human tumor types occurring in younger patients (the generated murine glioma is reminiscent of human giant cell glioblastoma) may be explained by the cell-of-origin: NSC/NPCs were derived from young (4-week-old) mice, and these cells have a higher proliferative potential than NPC/NPCs from older animals. GSEA revealed that the expression profiles of the 3 murine tumors correspond to respective human tumor signatures and the genes that directed the development of these murine tumors are also implicated in the pathogenesis of respective human tumor types. *TP53* is often inactivated in human high-grade gliomas (36, 37) and positive p53 staining is found in CNS PNETs and AT/RTs, indicating a stabilized p53 protein of altered functionality or an altered p53 pathway (38). *HRAS* mutations are not common in human gliomas, but increased copy numbers of *RAS/RAF* genes and increased RAS activity have been reported (36, 39). *MYC* and *MYCN* amplifications are a frequent feature of human CNS PNETs (40), and MYC is upregulated in human AT/RTs (41).

**Loss of SMARCB1 results in an elevated sensitivity toward eIF2α phosphorylation**

An involvement of the UPR in AT/RT biology has not been reported so far. Using an MCF7 breast cancer cell line, in which SMARCB1 knockdown can be induced (34), we detected an increased phosphorylation of eIF2α upon SMARCB1 reduction (Fig. 7A). EIF2α is a central component of one UPR branch and can be phosphorylated by different kinases (Fig. 7B). Dephosphorylation of eIF2α is carried out by the catalytic subunit of protein phosphatase-1 (PP1c). SMARCB1 has been reported to bind PP1c and to the PP1 regulatory subunit 15 (PPP1R15A/GADD34), and it was shown to increase PP1c activity in solution (35). Thus, a likely explanation for increased eIF2α phosphorylation in SMARCB1 knockdown cells is diminished PP1c activity. Elevated eIF2α phosphorylation levels were also detected upon endoplasmic reticulum stress induction in human SMARCB1-negative in comparison with SMARCB1-positive tumor cell lines (Fig. 7C). Immunostainings revealed that SMARCB1 is not only present in the nucleus but also colocalized with the endoplasmic reticulum marker calnexin in the cytoplasm (Fig. 7D). Because phosphorylation of eIF2α is known to enhance apoptosis in combination with proteasome inhibition, we investigated this in cells with reduced or absent SMARCB1. Indeed, treatment with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib resulted in increased apoptosis of MCF7-SMARCB1 knockdown cells, and of a kidney MRT and a brain AT/RT cell line, in comparison with SMARCB1-expressing control cells (Fig. 7E and F).
Figure 7. Involvement of SMARCB1 in the UPR. A, shRNA-mediated SMARCB1 knockdown in MCF7 cells (tetracycline induced) leads to increased eIF2α phosphorylation as determined by immunoblots. Endoplasmic reticulum stress was induced by DTT and thapsigargin treatment for 3 hours. Unconventional splicing of XBP1 is shown by reverse transcriptase PCR as an indicator of another activated UPR branch. XBP1s, XBP1u, XBP1h: spliced, unspliced, and hybrid XBP1 cDNA (XBP1s/XBP1u heterodimers). B, schematic summary showing the connection between SMARCB1 and eIF2α phosphorylation. C, increased eIF2α phosphorylation levels upon 3-hour TG exposure are detected in the human AT/RT cell line CHLA2 and the human MRT cell lines LM (liver origin), A-204 (muscle origin), and G401 (kidney origin), all of which lack SMARCB1, in comparison with human SMARCB1-positive brain tumor (DAOY, SW1783, Hs683), renal cell carcinoma (786-O, A-498) and hepatocellular carcinoma (HepG2) cell lines. Fold-change of β-actin–normalized phosphorylated eIF2α signal intensities between TG-treated and untreated cells: CHLA2: 5.89; LM: 1.27; A-204: 1.39; G401: 2.49; DAOY: 0.77; SW1783: 0.75; Hs683: 0.81; 786-O: 0.60; A-498: 1.12; HepG2: 0.56. D, SMARCB1 is present in the nucleus of 786-O cells and colocalizes with the endoplasmic reticulum marker calnexin in the cytoplasm. E, treatment of MCF7 cells with the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib for 12 hours leads to increased apoptosis in tetracycline (Tet)-induced SMARCB1 knockdown (kd) cells. Percentages of Annexin V–positive and 7AAD-negative apoptotic cells of 3 independent experiments are shown. Error bars: SEM. P values (unpaired t tests) comparing noninduced to Tet-induced cells. F, treatment of SMARCB1-positive renal cell carcinoma (786-O, A-498) and brain tumor (SW1783, DAOY) cells, a kidney MRT (G401), and a brain AT/RT cell line (BT12) cell line with 10 nmol/L bortezomib for 24 hours leads to highest apoptosis in the rhabdoid tumor cells G401 and BT12 compared with DMSO (dimethyl sulfoxide; vehicle)-treated cells. The kidney and brain tumor lines were kept under comparable adherent culture conditions, respectively. Percentages of Annexin V–positive and 7AAD-negative apoptotic cells of 3 independent experiments are shown. Error bars: SEM. P values (unpaired t tests) comparing bortezomib to DMSO-treated cells. BTZ, bortezomib; TG, thapsigargin.
Classical human AT/RT is a very aggressive CNS tumor of infants and children. In the majority of cases, inactivation of the tumor suppressor gene SMARCB1 is found (13). Although no evidence for loss-of-function of this gene was detected in our HRAS- and MYC-induced murine AT/RT-like tumors, we found that the gene expression pattern of these tumors showed other similarities with human AT/RTs and with mouse fibroblasts lacking Smarcb1 (see below). These data suggest that different genetic events can also produce a similar tumor phenotype.

In addition to well-known phenotypic and genetic changes that occur during the progression of the same tumor type (from lower to higher grade tumors or benign to malignant lesions, such as the adenoma–carcinoma sequence; ref. 42), the coexistence or sequential appearance of 2 distinct malignant tumors in the same tissue has been described. We show that an established in vivo tumor type (CNS PNET) can shift to another one (AT/RT-like tumor), and that this process is driven by the overexpression of a single gene. It was previously shown that the insertion of the v-Ha-ras gene into a small cell lung cancer cell line carrying an amplified MYC gene induces a transition to a large cell undifferentiated lung carcinoma in vitro, whereas no transition occurred when v-Ha-ras was inserted in small cell lung cancer cell lines without MYC amplification (43). We report that a single gene can trigger the transition of one in vivo tumor type to another. Previous studies have shown that the order of transcription factor gene expression directs the specification of normal hematopoietic cells (44) and that the relative order of K-ras and APC gene mutations determines the grade, but not the tumor type in case of lung neoplasia (45). With the reservation that our system is based on the strong expression of MYC and HRAS under the CMV promoter and includes an ex vivo step, we provide proof-of-principle that the order of genetic events can specify in vivo tumor types. It is conceivable that MYC-overexpressing tumors are particularly plastic and prone to phenotype transitions as MYC promotes cellular reprogramming into induced pluripotent stem cells with broad developmental potential (46). A possible explanation for the failure of MYC to turn HRAS-overexpressing glioma cells into AT/RT-like tumors is the inaccessibility of MYC-binding sites in the glioma cells, which might be required to regulate genes determining the AT/RT-like type. Taken together, we propose an additional parameter to influence the specification of tumor types: cell-of-origin, genetic and epigenetic events, and the order of genetic events.

Our results obtained in the second part of this study highlight that SMARCB1, a tumor suppressor primarily known for its role in chromatin remodeling, has another important function related to the UPR. This pathway serves as a cellular mechanism to cope with conditions leading to increased protein misfolding, such as hypoxia and nutrient deprivation in rapidly growing tumors. Activation of the UPR can have different consequences, either increased survival or cell death, which seems to depend on the different UPR branches and the dynamics of the response. The murine AT/RT-like tumors show an activation of the UPR according to their gene expression profile. Moreover, eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions, a feature of AT/RTs, are found in cells under endoplasmic reticulum stress (47) and in some of our AT/RT-like tumors. An activated UPR could result from reduced glucose availability due to the rapid growth of these tumorspheres or could be related to MYC and HRAS overexpression: MYC enhances protein translation, thus increasing the endoplasmic reticulum protein load, and the mutated form of HRAS used in our study (V12 HRAS) has been shown to particularly induce the UPR pathway (48). Our analysis of previously published gene expression profiles from human AT/RTs that lack SMARCB1 and Smarcb1-deficient mouse embryonic fibroblasts (49) show the activation of many UPR-related genes. Most notably, our experimental results reveal that reduced levels of the SMARCB1 protein, which has previously only been shown to activate PP1c-GADD34 in solution (35), accounts for an elevated cellular sensitivity toward eIF2α phosphorylation, a central UPR mechanism. Sustained eIF2α phosphorylation confers cytoprotection against hypoxia, oxidative stress, and long-term glucose deficiency, all of which represent typical in vivo conditions of rapidly growing tumors (50–54). Importantly, we show that the application of the proteasome inhibitor bortezomib, which increases endoplasmic reticulum stress and synergizes with a chemical inhibitor of the GADD34-PP1c complex (55), enhances apoptosis of cells with reduced SMARCB1 levels. Future studies should investigate whether such an approach could represent a novel targeted strategy for treating AT/RTs and related malignancies.
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