Accumulation of Multipotent Progenitors with a Basal Differentiation Bias during Aging of Human Mammary Epithelia
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Abstract

Women older than 50 years account for 75% of new breast cancer diagnoses, and the majority of these tumors are of a luminal subtype. Although age-associated changes, including endocrine profiles and alterations within the breast microenvironment, increase cancer risk, an understanding of the cellular and molecular mechanisms that underlie these observations is lacking. In this study, we generated a large collection of normal human mammary epithelial cell strains from women ages 16 to 91 years, derived from primary tissues, to investigate the molecular changes that occur in aging breast cells. We found that in finite lifespan cultured and uncultured epithelial cells, aging is associated with a reduction of myoepithelial cells and an increase in luminal cells that express keratin 14 and integrin-α6, a phenotype that is usually expressed exclusively in myoepithelial cells in women younger than 30 years. Changes to the luminal lineage resulted from age-dependent expansion of defective multipotent progenitors that gave rise to incompletely differentiated luminal or myoepithelial cells. The aging process therefore results in both a shift in the balance of luminal/myoepithelial lineages and to changes in the functional spectrum of multipotent progenitors, which together increase the potential for malignant transformation. Together, our findings provide a cellular basis to explain the observed vulnerability to breast cancer that increases with age. Cancer Res; 72(14); 3687-701. ©2012 AACR.
Thus, age-related changes to microenvironment could affect the differentiation and proportion of epithelial lineages. Changes to the balance of human mammary epithelial cell (HMEC) lineages in the epithelium may presage susceptibility to breast cancer. Loss of the myoepithelial lineage is linked to breast cancer progression, possibly because they produce laminin-111, which is a key basement membrane component that maintains normal polarity (19, 21). Humans and mice bearing BRCA-1 mutations exhibited increased proportions of putative luminal progenitors that correlated with increased cancer risk (22–24). In MMTV-PyMT transgenic mice, which are models of Her2-positive luminal-type tumors, the numbers of progenitors increased in a tumor stage–specific manner, suggesting they may play a role in luminal breast cancer pathogenesis as well (25). Tumors that are thought to arise due to mutations in BRCA-1 account for only about 5% of all breast cancers, whereas age-related breast cancers account for more than 75% of all cases. Given growing support for an etiologic relationship between changes in the progenitors of normal mammary epithelia and cancer progression, it is important to determine the impact of aging on progenitors and more committed lineages of the human mammary epithelium.

Herein, we reveal fundamental age-dependent transcriptional and functional changes to HMECs that are associated with changes in the distributions of luminal, myoepithelial, and multipotent progenitors, which together provide a cellular basis for increased vulnerability to breast cancer with age.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HMECs were established and maintained according to previously reported methods (26) using M87A medium with oxytocin and cholera toxin (27). For 3-dimensional (3D) cultures, a feeder layer of unsorted primary epithelial cells was made in 24-well plates. Two hundred and fifty microliters of growth factor–reduced Matrigel (Becton Dickinson) was then layered on top of the feeder layer and allowed to polymerize at 37°C. Ten thousand fluorescence-activated cell-sorting (FACS)-enriched cells were resuspended in 300 to 350 μL of Matrigel and then layered on top of the cell-free gel and then polymerized at 37°C. Gels were cultured with H14 medium.

Flow cytometry

HMECs at fourth passage were trypsinized and resuspended in their media. For enrichment or identification of luminal epithelial and myoepithelial lineages, anti-CD227-FITC (Becton Dickinson, clone HI10a), respectively, or of LBP, anti-CD117-Alexa488 (BioLegend), clone 104D2, EpCam-BV421 (BioLegend), clone 9C4 were added to the media at 1:50 for 25 minutes on ice, washed in PBS, and sorted or analyzed. Anti-CD49f-PE (Chemicon, clone CBL-458P) was used at 1:100 dilution. Results were consistent across multiple instrument platforms at LBNI, FACSCalibur (Becton Dickinson) for analysis only and FACS Vantage DIVA (Becton Dickinson) for sorting at LBNI and a FACS Aria (Becton Dickinson) at University of Copenhagen (Copenhagen, Denmark).

Immunofluorescence and immunohistochemistry

Cultured HMECs were fixed in methanol/acetone (1:1) at −20°C for 15 minutes, blocked with PBS/5% normal goat serum/0.1% Triton X-100, and incubated with anti-K14 (1:1,000, Covance, polyclonal rabbit) and anti-K19 (1:20, Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, clone Troma-III) overnight at 4°C, then visualized with fluorescent secondary antibodies (Invitrogen). 5-ethyl-2’-deoxyuridine (EdU) was added to culture media 4 hours before harvesting cells for immunofluorescence and was imaged with A647 click reagents according to manufacturer’s specifications (Invitrogen). Cells were imaged with a 710LSM microscope (Carl Zeiss). Four-color image analysis of K14, K19, EdU, and 4’6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was conducted using a modified watershed method in MatLab software (MathWorks).

Paraffin-embedded tissue sections were deparaffinized and antigen-retrieved (Vector Labs). For immunofluorescence, sections were blocked and stained as above. Primary antibodies used were K14 as above, K19 (1:100, AbCam, AAH07628), and K8 was visualized with anti K8 (1:100, AbCam, clone HK-8). For immunohistochemistry, a Dako Autostainer was used together with all Dako reagents for staining. Samples were blocked in Dual Endogenous Enzyme; EnVision+ Dual Link System-HRP was used as the secondary antibody (Dako). Liquid DAB+ Substrate Chromogen System was used as the substrate (Dako). Nuclei were stained with hematoxylin. Sections were incubated with the following primary antibodies for 20 to 30 minutes at room temperature; CD10 [ready to use (RTU), Leica, clone56C6], CD117 (1:600, Dako, polyclonal), K5/6 (RTU, Dako, D5/16B4), K19 (RTU, Dako, RCK108), and HMA (1:200, Dako, HHF35).

Gene expression analysis

Expression profiles of morphologically normal human epithelial cells were obtained as described previously (28). Expression profiles for pre-stasis HMECs at multiple passages were obtained as described previously (27).

Differential expression was conducted using R/Bioconductor using the LIMMA method (29). A gene is considered differentially expressed if the P value adjusted by the Benjamini–Hochberg (30) false discovery rate (FDR) method is <0.01 for the laser capture microdissected (LCM) samples and 0.1 for the cell lines. A more stringent threshold is used in the case of the cell strains because of the increased power associated with the larger sample size. In the case of the microdissected profiles, cells from women younger than 45 years (n = 17) were compared with cells from women ages 60 years or older. In the case of the cell strains, the earliest passage (passage 2) for each strain was used. The 3 cell strains from women younger than 30 years (184D, 48R, and 240L) were compared with 3 strains from women older than 55 years (122L, 153L, and 96R).

Cross-platform comparison was done using the HUGO gene symbols contained in the annotations of the R/Bioconductor packages hgu133a2.db and hgu4112a.db version 2.4.5. Enrichment was conducted using Fisher exact test based on the total number of differentially expressed probes associated...
with HUGO gene symbols. To facilitate the cross-platform comparison in Fig. 1D and E; Supplementary Fig. S1, only the top 100 unique genes from the LCM represented on both platforms were used. In the Agilent platform, only the probe with the lower P value was used if several probes mapped to the same gene. In the Affymetrix platform, the probe set with the highest variance is selected. Accession number for GEO database is GSE37485.
**Statistical analysis**
GraphPad Prism 5.0 was used for all statistical analysis, with exception of gene expression analysis (see above). One-way ANOVA were used for all data sets. Linear regression was used to determine changes as a function of age. Significance was established when \( P < 0.05 \). Grouped analyses were conducted with Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons and Bartlett test for equal variance, with significance established when \( P < 0.05 \).

**Results**

**Myoepithelial cells decline, and luminal cells become more numerous and more basal-like with age**
We generated a diverse cohort of finite lifespan HMEC strains to facilitate functional analysis of the aging process in humans. This HMEC Aging Resource was derived from a collection of uncultured organoids from more than 200 individuals aged 16 to 91 years. Organoids from 36 reduction mammoplasty and peripheral nonmalignant mastectomy tissues (Table 1) were cultured using low-stress medium, M87A with oxytocin (27). M87A medium supports growth of multiple mammary epithelial lineages for up to 40 to 60 population doublings before stasis, a stress-associated senescence arrest (27). In contrast, the defined serum-free MCDB170-type media, such as the commercially sold MEGM, support \( p16^{\text{INK4a/}}(−) \) post-stasis HMEC with basal or myoepithelial phenotypes, and a greatly reduced pre-stasis proliferative potential (refs. 31, 32; Supplementary Fig. SIA).

Comparative phenotypic and molecular analyses revealed that pre-stasis HMEC strains retained the same lineages present in vivo. Flow cytometric (FACS) analyses of dissociated mammary epithelial organoids using antibodies that recognized luminal epithelial and myoepithelial lineage markers (CD227 and CD10, respectively; ref. 33), showed the presence of both lineages (Supplementary Fig. S1B, left). Pre-stasis cultures were initiated from undissociated organoids attached to tissue culture plastic (26). Immunofluorescent analysis of keratin intermediate filament proteins (K)14 and K19 verified that K14+/K19− myoepithelial, K14+/K19− luminal epithelial, and K14+/K19+ putative progenitors (34, 35) were present in HMEC populations that migrated onto and proliferated on the culture plastic (Supplementary Fig. S1B, right). All experiments reported here that involved cultured strains were carried out on fourth-passage pre-stasis HMECs because they were found to be heterogeneous; luminal epithelials (0.5% to 53% of total) and myoepithelials (14% to 97%) were present in every pre-stasis strain at fourth passage (for example, see Supplementary Fig. S1C). Comparison of HMEC lineage markers in 2 fourth-passage strains and 2 uncultured dissociated organoids using 7-parameter flow cytometry revealed that the CD10+/CD227− luminal epithelials correspond to the EpCam+/CD49f−/K19− population and the CD10+/CD227− myoepithelials correspond to the EpCam+/CD49f−/K19− population (Supplementary Fig. S3). When using flow cytometry, luminal epithelial and myoepithelial lineages were principally defined using CD10 and CD227 in this study because the distribution of the cells expressing these 2 markers in fourth-passage HMEC more closely reflected the distribution observed in primary dissociated organoids, whereas the distributions of EpCam and CD49f expression changed slightly because of culture adaptation.

Lobules involute with advancing age leaving behind ducts and residual lobules with changed morphology (15, 36), suggesting that representation of luminal epithelials, myoepithelial, and progenitors may change with age. FACS analyses of the 36 HMEC strains revealed that CD227+/CD10− myoepithelial decreased \( (P = 0.0019) \) and that CD227+/CD10− luminal epithelial increased \( (P < 0.0001) \) as proportions of the total population with age (Fig. 1A and B). We hypothesized that the age-dependent changes arose either through age-dependent shifts in the proportions of luminal epithelials and myoepithelials in the organoids used to establish the strains and/or through intrinsic changes to functional properties of luminal epithelials or myoepithelials that would aid survival or propagation of one lineage. Examination by FACS of 8 uncultured organoids, which were dissociated in parallel, revealed that myoepithelial proportions decreased \( (P < 0.05) \) and proportions of luminal epithelials trended upward with age (Fig. 1C and D). Evidence of age-dependent functional changes in luminal epithelials that could alter their ability to bind extracellular matrix (ECM) and survive in culture was observed by FACS measurement of CD49f (integrin-α6) protein expression, which is used by myoepithelial to attach to the basement membrane. CD49f was increasingly expressed in luminal epithelials from uncultured organoids as a function of age \( (P < 0.05) \), reaching a level on a par with the myoepithelial from the same specimen (Fig. 1E and F). Whereas luminal epithelial and myoepithelial from women younger than 30 years showed unimodal distributions of CD49f expression, bimodal distributions of CD49f expression were measured in myoepithelial in 3 of 4, and in 1 of 4 luminal epithelial specimens in the above 55-year group, suggesting that aging was associated with evolution of additional lineage subsets not present in younger women (Fig. 1E). Thus, a decline of myoepithelial and an increase of luminal epithelial that exhibited molecular features usually ascribed to myoepithelial were measured with increasing age in cultured pre-stasis strains and in cells from uncultured dissociated organoids.

**Age-dependent gene expression hallmarks from in vivo are preserved in pre-stasis HMEC strains**
To determine whether molecular hallmarks of aging in vivo were preserved in cultured HMEC strains, gene expression patterns from HMEC strains (three <30 years and three >55 years) were compared with gene expression patterns from LCM morphologically normal breast epithelium from 59 individuals ages 27 to 77 years undergoing reduction mammoplasty or cancer removal surgery (28). In the LCM data set, 3,013 unique genes were differentially expressed (FDR < 0.05; LIMMA; ref. 29) between women younger than 45 years and 60 years or above. The top 100 differentially expressed genes stratified the entire collection of LCM samples as a function of age (Fig. 2A). Analysis of 6 HMEC strains identified 121 unique differentially expressed genes between young and old. There is a significant overlap of 18 genes (20 of 55, CD637,.
CLDN8, CREBBP, GFER, GRIN1, HCG26, IGF1, LEF1, MAN1A2, NPEPPS, PLEKHA1, PSD, SOCS3, SRSF10, STRN3, TCP11L1, and TF) between both sets of genes (P = 0.04; Fisher’s exact test).

The 100 genes from the in vivo tissues clustered the 6 HMEC strains, with representatives of early and late passages for each, based on the age of the women (Fig. 2B). Consistent with increased proportions of luminal epithelial cells in strains from women older than 55 years, the gene profiles from EpCam-enriched luminal epithelial cells from a 19-year (strain 240L) and from milk-derived luminal cells (strain 250MK) clustered with the major branch that contained all the strains from women older than 55 years. The gene profiles from CD10-enriched cells from strain 240L clustered with some later passage strains, consistent with the tendency for HMEC strains to become enriched for basal cells with extended culture. Thus, despite the different sources of RNA and gene array platforms, cultured pre-stasis HMEC appeared to retain a molecular signature of aging that was identified in vivo.

cKit⁺ HMEC are putative multipotent progenitors that are more numerous with age

Changes in lineage proportions in fourth-passage strains and in organoids were consistent across the strains, although it also was evident that cell culture on plastic caused a selection bias for cells with basal features (i.e., myoepithelial); whereas the proportion of luminal epithelial cells increased with donor age when viewed at fourth passage or in organoids, it decreased with passage in culture, the decrease becoming pronounced by eighth passage (Fig. 3A). The receptor tyrosine kinase cKit was postulated to be a marker of luminal progenitors in humans from gene array analyses (22), and empirical evidence in mice showed its expression on putative luminal progenitors (37). The proportion of cKit-expressing (cKit⁺) HMECs, measured with FACS, decreased with passage in pre-stasis strains (Fig. 3A). However, cKit⁺ HMECs increased as a function of age when measured at fourth passage (Fig. 3B) and in 11 dissociated primary reduction mammoplasty samples (Fig. 3C).

To determine whether cKit⁺ HMEC were capable of self-maintenance, fourth-passage HMECs were FACS-enriched for cKit expression (Fig. 3D) and then cultured for 3 additional passages to assess the resultant culture composition. FACS analysis of the eighth-passage cultures started from cKit⁺ HMEC (Fig. 3F) revealed 20-fold enrichment for CD227⁺/CD10⁻ luminal epithelial (P < 0.05) and 2-fold for cKit-expressing cells (P < 0.05) compared with parallel cultures started with unsorted HMECs (Fig. 3E).

To investigate morphogenic capacity, primary cKit⁺ HMECs from dissociated organoids were embedded in laminin-rich ECM (IrECM) at low density. Compared with cKit⁻ cells, cKit⁺ cells were 6-fold enriched in their ability to form terminal-duct lobular-like units (TDLU; Fig. 3G): 3% of cKit⁻ cells formed TDLUs compared with 0.5% of cKit⁺ cells (n = 3 individuals). The cKit⁻-derived TDLUs were composed of K19⁺ luminal epithelial and K14⁺ myoepithelial (Fig. 3H). That cKit⁺ showed a limited ability to self-maintain gave rise to CD227⁺/CD10⁻/K19⁺ luminal epithelial and CD227⁺/CD10⁻/K14⁺ myoepithelial and was capable of clonal and robust morphologic activity in 3D IrECM supported the hypothesis that cKit⁺ cells were progenitors capable of multilineage differentiation.

Similar behavior was exhibited by reduction mammoplasty- and peripheral nontumor mastectomy-derived HMECs

The population of women who undergo reduction mammoplasty procedures is skewed toward younger ages. Much of the material used to establish strains and for organoid analyses from women older than 60 years were from peripheral non-tumor mastectomy-derived samples (Table 1). Although peripheral nontumor mastectomy tissues were normal-appearing, there may have been field effects or microtumors that were not detected. Therefore, age-dependent lineage distributions were compared from reduction mammoplasty- and peripheral nontumor mastectomy-derived HMEC strains as independent groups. The 21 reduction mammoplasty-derived strains showed significantly decreased proportions of myoepithelial (P < 0.05) and increased luminal epithelial (P < 0.003) and cKit⁺ HMEC (P < 0.05) with age (Supplementary Fig. S2A). The 15 peripheral nontumor mastectomy-derived strains showed significantly increased luminal epithelial (P < 0.0007), a trend for increasing cKit⁺ HMECs (P = 0.0507), and no change in myoepithelial with age (Supplementary Fig. S2B). Given that the 36 HMEC strains analyzed in this report were derived from a genetically diverse collection of individuals with unknown parity and estrous status, the observed F² values (from 0.246 to 0.606) and P values (from 0.05 to <0.0001) suggest a remarkable relationship with effects from aging. Comparison of proportions of the 3 lineages in reduction mammoplasty- and peripheral nontumor mastectomy–derived strains grouped by similar age (24–29 years reduction mammoplasty vs. 24–30 years peripheral nontumor mastectomy, and 41–62 years reduction mammoplasty vs. 45–65 years peripheral nontumor mastectomy) also revealed no significant differences within the age groups (Supplementary Fig. S2C–S2F). Thus, we detected no statistically significant differences in age-grouped reduction mammoplasty- versus peripheral nontumor mastectomy–derived strains and lineage distributions in strains derived from either tissue source followed similar patterns with age.

cKit⁺ progenitors exhibit an age-dependent basal activity bias

To determine whether a differentiation bias arose in HMECs with age, unsorted HMECs and FACS-enriched cKit⁺ cells (Fig. 4A) from 10 women (five <30 years and five >55 years) were subjected to lineage-forming assays. Lineage analyses were conducted with markers that were different from the ones used to FACS-enrich the cells, plus a marker of DNA synthesis, to gain additional information. The ratios of K14 to K19 proteins expressed and EdU incorporation were measured in each cell using automated quantitative image analysis. After 48 hours of culture, unsorted HMECs from women younger than 30 years exhibited pronounced K14⁺/K19⁺ myoepithelial populations and minor K14⁺/K19⁺ luminal epithelial and K14⁺/K19⁺ progenitor populations (Fig. 4B and D, top left). The less than 30-year cKit-enriched population gave rise to 3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Country of surgery</th>
<th>Est pre-stasis strain</th>
<th>Organoid analysis</th>
<th>Known characteristics/pathology notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>48R</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mildly hyperplastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Minimal fibrocystic change, IDC, lymph node+, ER+, PR+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407P</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168R</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>Benign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>356</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>IDC, lymph node--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>184</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1P</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mild ductal ectasia and mastitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mild periductal mastitis (R+L), focal microcalcification (R.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>195L</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>African-American, mammary hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Mild ductal ectasia and mastitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51L</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mild periductal mastitis (R+L), focal microcalcification (R.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>172L</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Minimal phase of fibrocystic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>IDC, lymph node--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>676P</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42P</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>IDC, lymph node--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1030P</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>IDC, lymph node--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>BRCA-1 mut (185delAG)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>Noninvasive ductal carcinoma, ER–, PR–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>ATM heterozyote, tissue was clinically normal tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90P</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D7</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100P</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D8</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245AT</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>IDC, ER–, PR–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>173P</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D11</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>DK</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>cKit</td>
<td>Slight fibrocystic disease, hypertrophy, stromal fibrosis, and adenosis present in mammary parenchyma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Patchy stromal fibrosis (R.), fibrocystic disease (L.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Continued on the following page)
distinct populations corresponding to luminal epithelial, progenitors, and myoepithelial, which is consistent with our interpretation of multipotent activity (Fig. 4B and D, top right). Unsorted HMEC from women older than 55 years exhibited a minor K14⁺/K0⁺/K19⁺ luminal epithelial population and pronounced K14⁺/K19⁺ progenitor and K14⁺/K0⁺/K19⁻ myoepithelial populations (Fig. 4B and D, bottom left). Surprisingly, older than 55-year cKit-enriched population showed little evidence of differentiation into K14⁺/K0⁺/K19⁺ luminal epithelials, instead, exhibiting mainly a K14⁺/19⁻ phenotype (Fig. 4B and D, bottom right). Thus, older than 55-year cKit⁺ progenitors have a defect in differentiation, which makes them unable to produce CD227⁻/K14⁻/K19⁻ luminal epithelials in any significant proportion.

To determine whether HMEC lineages differed in their rate of proliferation as a function of age, the percentage of cells that incorporated EdU in unsorted and cKit⁺ HMEC was measured. In unsorted cultures, K14⁺ myoepithelials from women younger than 30 years incorporated significantly more EdU than less than 30-year K19⁺ luminal epithelials or K14⁺/K19⁺ progenitor cells, and more myoepithelials from older than 55-year HMEC strains (P < 0.05; Fig. 4C, top). All 3 lineages in strains from women older than 55 years exhibited similar levels of EdU incorporation, suggesting that there was no proliferative advantage for one lineage over another in the older specimens (Fig. 4C). The K14⁺/K19⁺ HMECs from the older than 55-year group incorporated 5-fold more EdU than K14⁺/K19⁻ cells from the younger than 30-year group (P < 0.05; Fig. 4C), and older than 55-year luminal epithelial exhibited a trending increase of EdU incorporation compared with those from women younger than 30 years. That the 3 lineages derived from cKit⁺ HMEC after only 48 hours of culture exhibited patterns of EdU incorporation similar to the unsorted cells, but without significant differences, suggests that they were proliferating and in an early stage of differentiation (Fig.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age, y</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Country of surgery</th>
<th>Est pre-stasis strain</th>
<th>Organoid analysis</th>
<th>Known characteristics/pathology notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>335P</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Infiltrating adenocarcinoma, ER⁺, PR⁻/⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>153L</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Benign fibrocystic disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>639P</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Fibrocystic disease, hypertrophy, apocrine metaplasia of ductal epithelium, cystic dilation of ducts, and focal areas of intraductal hyperplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122L</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Infiltrating adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>394P</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>IDC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>881P</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Infiltrating adenocarcinoma, Padget disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>355P</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Infiltrating adenocarcinoma, Padget disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>374P</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Infiltrating adenocarcinoma, Padget disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96R</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Slight focal fibrocystic change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>429</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>RM</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>Colloid (mucinous) carcinoma, ER⁺⁻⁻, PR⁻⁻⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353P</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>464P</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>451P</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>805P</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>USA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
<td>CD10, CD227, CD49f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** List of specimens: characteristics of HMEC strains and uncultured organoids (Cont’d)

**NOTE:** Known characteristics/pathology notes: information is incomplete but was provided for cases in which some outstanding feature was known to the authors or was noted in pathology reports.

**Abbreviations:** ER, estrogen receptor; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; P, peripheral nontumor containing mastectomy tissue; PR, progesterone receptor; RM, reduction mammoplasty tissue.

**a** Country of surgery: USA, Peralta Cancer Center, Oakland, California; DK, University of Copenhagen.

**b** Organoid analysis: anti-CD10 clone HI10a, anti-CD227 clone HMPV, anti-CD49f clone GoH3, anti-cKit clones 104D2 and K45.
4C, bottom). That comparable proliferation is exhibited by HMECs with myoepithelial, luminal epithelial, and progenitor phenotypes from women older than 55 years, but not younger than 30 years, may help explain the age-related increase in those populations in strains and organoids.

A small cohort of mammary epithelia exhibit increased basal characteristics with age in vivo
To determine the status of keratin expression in vivo, K8, K14, and K19 protein expression was evaluated in paraffin-embedded tissue sections of normal breast tissue from 3...
Figure 2. (Continued) B, the same signature clustered gene expression profiles of multiple passages and replicates of HMEC strains 184, 48RT, and 240L (<30 years) and 122L, 153, and 96R (>55 years) in an age-dependent manner. Heatmaps represent z-scores for each gene, where red represents higher expression and green represents lower expression. A positive fold change represents a higher expression in samples from younger women. Specimen names are shown just below the heatmaps. Profiles from FACS-enriched EpCam+ (LEP) and CD10+ (MEP) 240L HMEC, and luminal 250MK HMEC from isolated milk (MILK) are indicated. Samples from multiple passages of each HMEC strain are shown (passage is denoted with "p"), and most were analyzed with biologic replicates (denoted either with .1 vs. .2 or A vs. B).
Figure 3. Proportions of cKit⁺ HMECs, putative multipotent progenitors, increase with age. A, changes in proportions of LEPs and cKit⁺ HMECs in 3 representative strains as a function of passage. B, linear regression of proportions of cKit⁺ HMECs in strains at fourth passage as a function of age (n = 36 individuals). cKit⁺ HMEC from reduction mammoplasty (RM)-derived strains are shown with filled triangles and from peripheral to tumor (P)-derived strains with open triangles. C, linear regression of proportions of cKit⁺ cells in dissociated uncultured organoids as a function of age (n = 11). D, FACS plot showing the gating logic used for sorting cKit⁺ HMECs from strain 122L at fourth passage. Inset shows the LEP and MEP distribution at fourth passage. E, FACS analysis of strain 122L at eighth passage. F, FACS analysis of cKit-enriched derived cultures at eighth passage. G, phase images of representative structures derived from cKit⁺ (left) and cKit⁻ (right) cells cultured in laminin-rich extracellular matrix for 14 days. H, immunofluorescence of a transverse frozen section that shows keratin (K)14 (red) and K19 (green) protein expression in a duct of a cKit⁺-derived TDLU-like structure from 3D culture. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue); the 3-color merged image is shown at right.
women younger than 45 years and 3 older than 65 years. In addition to markers of lineage, myoepithelials and luminal epithelials can also be identified in vivo by their positioning: myoepithelials are adjacent to basement membrane on the basal side of the gland, and luminal epithelial cells are surrounded by myoepithelial cells on the luminal interior side adjacent to the luminal space. Basally located K14-expressing myoepithelials were observed in every specimen (Fig. 5A–D). Luminally located luminal epithelials in the women younger than 45 years expressed more K19 than luminal epithelials from the women older than 65 years (Fig. 5A and B), whereas there was more K8 expressed in luminal epithelials from the women older than 65 years than in those younger than 45 years (Fig. 5C and D). In the women older than 65 years, luminally located luminal epithelial layers exhibited more heterogeneity of K19 expression, with several K19+/K19− cells adjacent to K19+ cells. K14+/K19− progenitors also appeared more frequently in the samples from women older than 65 years (Fig. 5B, inset). Although qualitative, the samples could be compared with one another because they were stained in parallel and imaged in a single session.
using the same microscope settings. Moreover, sweat glands within the same sections also stained for K8, K14, and K19, but age-dependent changes in K8 and K19 intensity were not detected (data not shown), thus fixation artifacts were unlikely.

Similar changes were observed in immunohistochemical analysis of 4-μm serial sections from 3 women younger than 45 years and 3 older than 65 years; serial sections that were representative of the analysis are shown from lobules of a 37- and a 76-year woman (Fig. 5E). In the 37-year lobules, K19 was intense and uniformly present in all luminal epithelial and was polarized at the luminal surface, cKit⁺ cells were infrequent and scattered, myoepithelial marker smooth muscle actin (SMA) was intense in the myoepithelials, and basal keratin K5/6 exhibited light expression in the myoepithelial layer and no expression in luminal epithelials (Fig. 5E, top row). In contrast, the lobule of the 76-year woman showed light K19 expression without polarity in luminal epithelials, strong and frequent expression of cKit, comparable SMA, and strong K5/6 in luminal epithelials as well as the myoepithelials (Fig. 5E, bottom row). These in vivo observations of changes in K19 and K14 expression and the acquisition of more basal phenotypes across the lineages of the mammary epithelium are consistent with the conclusions drawn from the primary and cultured HMEC studies.

Discussion

Aging-related changes to the breast are well known to occur, but their impact on the mammary epithelium and their relationship to the increased frequency of breast cancer in women older than 55 years are not well understood. Molecular signatures of aging at the level of gene expression were reported in human kidney and muscle (38, 39) and now in mammary gland (Fig. 2), which suggests that functionally the
tissues also should differ in young and old. A major challenge that is faced in studying human aging at the cell and molecular levels is the lack of model systems that facilitate a functional understanding of the consequences of molecular changes. We have addressed this problem by using new methodologies that allow long-term growth of HMECs of multiple lineages from women of all ages, enabling examination of normal cell strains in controlled contexts. Culture systems are imperfect replicas of in vivo; however, the biochemical and functional phenotypes of aging that were revealed upon examination of the cell strains were corroborated to a large extent by observations of the same phenotypes in vivo (e.g., in dissociated uncultured organoids, paraformaldehyde-fixed breast tissue sections, and gene expression from LCM normal breast epithelia).

Functional and molecular interrogation of HMEC strains juxtaposed with analyses of primary organoids and normal breast tissue sections revealed that the proportion of myoepithelials declined, whereas luminal epithelials increased with age. The luminal epithelials from women older than 55 years were surprisingly distinctive compared with their younger counterparts. Through the aging process, luminal epithelials unexpectedly acquired some myoepithelial-like characteristics, which were consistent with age-dependent changes in proportions and activity of KIT+ HMECs. Cultured KIT+ HMEC from women younger than 30 years exhibited functional properties of multipotent progenitors that gave rise to luminal epithelials and myoepithelials, but their activity changed with age, exposing a tendency in KIT+ HMECs from donors older than 55 years to produce luminal epithelials that frequently expressed K14 in addition to K19 and CD227 (Muc1). Myoepithelials derived from KIT+ HMEC of women older than 55 years also exhibited less intense expression of K14 relative to K19, indicating a tendency for the multipotent progenitors to incompletely differentiate into either luminal epithelial or myoepithelial lineages, as they were defined in HMECs from younger women. Importantly, our conclusion that KIT+ HMECs exhibited activity of multipotent progenitors was made possible by functional evaluation of cells enriched from multiple women in both age groups, in addition to the use of organotypic 3D culture and quantitative single-cell analyses of cell fate decisions. The conclusion would potentially have been made possible because the M87A medium; nevertheless, myoepithelial phenotypes dominated the cultures in late passages regardless of age, indicating that long-term maintenance of the different epithelial lineages because human mammary progenitor cell fate decisions can be influenced by changes in microenvironment (20). In worst case scenarios, extended exposure of mammary epithelia to expression of some matrix metalloproteases lead to outright tumor progression in experimental models (44–46). Embryonic microenvironments (47), and tumor core versus periphery regions (48) were shown to correlate with microenvironment-specific epigenetic modifications to tumor cells. Extending these concepts to normal adult tissues, changes to the breast microenvironment also may help explain age-dependent gene expression patterns and lineage distributions. The fact that an age-dependent gene expression signature persists in early- and late-passage cultured strains argues strongly for metastable epigenetic forms of regulation as important mechanistic components of the age-dependent phenotypes measured here.

Cell culture has a strong selection bias for basal phenotypes, defined here as cells that express CD10, integrins, K14, K5, and bear no markers of luminal epithelials. In vivo, the majority of cells with basal phenotypes are located on the basal surface of the gland in contact with the basement membrane. This study was made possible because the in vitro basal selection problem was partly solved by using the M87A medium; nevertheless, myoepithelial phenotypes dominated the cultures in late passages regardless of age, indicating that long-term maintenance of the luminal phenotype in culture remains a challenge. The proportional reduction of CD10+ myoepithelial with age is puzzling because cells with basal phenotypes are better able to thrive in ECM-rich microenvironments, such as adjacent to the basement membrane. Moreover, the reduction was obvious by FACS analysis with myoepithelial-specific surface markers in a diverse and large cohort of cultured strains and primary organoids but was difficult to discern in histology sections. It is unlikely that age-related loss of myoepithelial measured by FACS is due to adaptation to culture causing loss of CD10 expression because culture conditions tend to drive more basal phenotypes, and the decrease in myoepithelialls was observed in dissociated uncultured organoids. One examination could be that aging-related loss of lobules (15, 36) created an enrichment of ductal structures, thus the strains established from tissues of older women had an intrinsically different distribution of lineages to begin with. Indeed, analyses of 19 primary organoids by FACS (Figs. 1E and F and 3C) indicated there were...
changes in lineage distributions with age in the absence of culture. A second explanation, which is not mutually exclusive with the first, is that the changes in lineage were proportional, and the luminal epithelials and ckit⁺ progenitors proliferate as well as myoepithelial in HMEC from women older than 55 years, whereas in women younger than 30 years, there was a distinct proliferative advantage in the myoepithelial (Fig. 4C). Finally, the reduction in myoepithelial also could be related to the global changes in gene expression that were observed in the LCM epithelia. Reduced LAMA1 expression, the α-chain component of laminin-111 that is crucial for normal polarity and is normally expressed by myoepithelial (19, 21), indicated modification of the myoepithelial genetic program. Although uncultered luminal epithelials gained protein expression of integrin-α6 with age, gene expression of integrin-α6 and β1 was globally reduced with age. Both integrins have been shown to be components of a feedback circuit that regulates the myoepithelial phenotype in mammary epithelial cells from humans and mice (20, 49), suggesting that the basal regulatory machinery may be disrupted in myoepithelial, and inappropriately engaged in luminal epithelial, during the aging process. Use of FACS was emphasized in this study because the technology enabled quantification of lineage distributions in heterogeneous tissues. However, doing so necessitated removing the cells from their in vivo context and the small number of histology sections examined did not allow statistical analyses. Thus histology studies of large cohorts of normal breast tissues using the markers described herein will be required to completely reconcile age-related changes that were measured with FACS with historical changes.

The age-dependent epithelial changes described herein, combined with microenvironmental, endocrine, genetic, and epigenetic changes may presage, age-dependent vulnerability to breast cancer. To adequately address, potential preventive and therapeutic interventions, it is important to understand how the aging process is linked to changes in the balance of lineages and to the functioning of progenitors and their progeny and whether there is a direct link between these age-related phenotypes and cancer progression.
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