Combining immunotherapy with targeted therapy blocking oncogenic \( \text{BRAF}^{\text{V600E}} \) may result in improved treatments for advanced melanoma. In this study, we developed a \( \text{BRAF}^{\text{V600E}} \)-driven murine model of melanoma, SM1, which is syngeneic to fully immunocompetent mice. SM1 cells exposed to the BRAF inhibitor vemurafenib (PLX4032) showed partial in vitro and in vivo sensitivity resulting from the inhibition of MAPK pathway signaling. Combined treatment of vemurafenib plus adoptive cell transfer therapy with lymphocytes genetically modified with a T-cell receptor (TCR) recognizing chicken ovalbumin (OVA) expressed by SM1-OVA tumors or pmel-1 TCR transgenic lymphocytes recognizing gp100 endogenously expressed by SM1 resulted in superior antitumor responses compared with either therapy alone. T-cell analysis showed that vemurafenib did not significantly alter the expansion, distribution, or tumor accumulation of the adoptively transferred cells. However, vemurafenib paradoxically increased mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling, in vivo cytotoxic activity, and intratumoral cytokine secretion by adoptively transferred cells. Taken together, our findings, derived from 2 independent models combining BRAF-targeted therapy with immunotherapy, support the testing of this therapeutic combination in patients with \( \text{BRAF}^{\text{V600E}} \) mutant metastatic melanoma. Cancer Res; 72(16); 3928–37. ©2012 AACR.
described. We derived such a cell line from mice transgenic for the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation with restricted expression in melanocytes, resulting in a murine melanoma model syngeneic to C57BL/6 mice. This model allowed us to test the concept of immunosensitization (16) by combining the vemurafenib-induced inhibition of driver oncogenic BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> signaling with adoptive cell transfer (ACT) immunotherapy. Vemurafenib meets most of the criteria as an immune-sensitizing agent (16). In humans, it selectively inhibits a driver oncogene in cancer cells (17), which is neither present nor required for the function of lymphocytes (9). It results in rapid melanoma cell death in humans as evidenced by a high frequency of early tumor responses in patients (1, 18). The antitumor activity may increase the expression of tumor antigens directly by tumor cells (8), or enhance the cross-presentation of tumor antigens from dying cells to antigen-presenting cells. In addition, the profound and selective antitumor effects of vemurafenib against BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutant melanoma cells may result in a more permissive tumor microenvironment allowing for an improved effector function of CTLs, which may be further enhanced by a direct effect of paradoxical MAPK activation. Using 2 different TCR transgenic cell ACT models, we tested the concept of immunosensitization with vemurafenib, showing an improvement of the antitumor effects using the combination over either single-agent therapy alone.

Materials and Methods

**Mice, cell lines, and reagents**

Breeding pairs of C57BL/6 (Thy1.2, Jackson Laboratories, Bar Harbor, ME), pmel-1 (Thy1.1) transgenic mice (kind gift from Dr. Nicholas Restifo, Surgery Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD), NOD/SCID/γ chain<sup>−/−</sup> (NSG) mice (NOD.Cg-Prkd<sup>−/−</sup>cglPrm1<sup>1Wjl</sup>/SzJ, Jackson Laboratory, Bar Har- bor, ME), and mice transgenic for BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> mutation expression in melanocytes (kind gift from Drs. Philip Hinds and Frank Haluska, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, MA) were bred and kept under defined-flora pathogen-free conditions at the Association for the Assessment & Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-approved animal facility of the Division of Experimental Radiation Oncology, UCLA, and used under the UCLA Animal Care-approved animal facility of the Division of Experimental Radiation Oncology, UCLA, and used under the UCLA Animal Research Committee protocol #2004-159. The SM1 murine melanoma was generated from a spontaneously arising BRAFV600E mutation in vivo with restricted expression in melanocytes (kind gift from Dr. Nicholas Restifo, Surgery Branch, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD). The plasmid was recloned to express a F2A picornavirus sequence between the TCR chains for high expression upon transduction of murine splenocytes using a murine stem cell virus retroviral vector as previously described (22, 23). M202, M229, and M233 are previously described human melanoma cell lines (19). Vemurafenib (also known as PLX4032, RG7204, or RO5185426) was obtained under a materials transfer agreement with Plexxikon Inc. (Berkeley, CA). Vemurafenib was dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO; Fisher Scientific) and used for in vitro studies as previously described (19). For in vivo studies, vemurafenib was dissolved in DMSO, followed by PBS (100 μL), which was then injected daily intraperitoneally (i.p) into mice at a dose of 10 mg/kg. Because the original formulation of vemurafenib is poorly bioavailable (1, 15), we used a dosing regimen i.p. that has been shown to have adequate pharmacokinetic parameters in blood (24).

**SM1 oncogenic analysis**

VEMURafenib sequencing was carried out as previously described (19). Copy number analysis was conducted using a mouse high-density genotyping array (The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, Maine) and data was genotyped with the R package (26). The segmented data was visualized in the Integrative Genomics Viewer (27). For comparison to human melanoma, we compared this data to copy number alterations observed in 108 human melanoma short-term cultures and cell lines (28).

**Cell viability assays**

Murine and human melanoma cells, naïve C57BL/6 splenocytes, or activated pmel-1 splenocytes were seeded in 96-well flat-bottom plates (5 × 10<sup>3</sup> cells/well) with 100 μL of 10% fetal calf serum media and incubated for 24 hours. Graded dilutions of vemurafenib or DMSO vehicle control, in culture medium, were added to each well in triplicate and analyzed following the MTS assay (Promega).

**ACT therapy in vivo models**

For the OVA model, SM1-OVA tumors were implanted s.c. in C57BL/6 mice. When tumors reached 5 to 8 mm in diameter, mice were conditioned for ACT with a lymphodepleting regimen of 500 cGy of total body irradiation and then received 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> C57BL/6-derived splenocytes i.v. that had been genetically modified to express the OT-1 TCR by retroviral transduction as previously described (22, 23). For the pmel-1 model, C57BL/6 mice with previously implanted SM1 tumors were treated with lymphodepleting total body irradiation, i.v. injection of 1 × 10<sup>6</sup> gp100<sub>25-33</sub> peptide-activated pmel-1 splenocytes and subcutaneous vaccination with gp100<sub>25-33</sub> peptide-pulsed dendritic cells when tumors reached 5 to 8 mm in diameter as previously described (29, 30). In both cases, the ACT was followed by 3 days of daily i.p. administration of 50,000 IU of interleukin.
2 (IL-2). Tumors were followed by caliper measurements 3 times per week.

**Flow cytometric analysis**

SM1 tumors harvested from mice were digested with collagenase and DNase (Sigma-Aldrich). Splenocytes and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL), obtained from digested SM1 tumors, were stained with antibodies to CD8α (CalTag), CD3, CD4, Thy1.1 (Becton Dickinson Biosciences), OVA/H-2Kb tetramer, or gp10025-33/H-2Db tetramer (Beckman Coulter), and analyzed with LSR-II or FACSCalibur flow cytometers (Becton Dickinson Biosciences), followed by analysis using FlowJo software (Tree-Star) as previously described (30). Intracellular interferon gamma (IFN-γ) staining was done as previously described (30).

**Immunofluorescence imaging**

Staining was carried out as previously described (23). Briefly, sections of OCT (Sakura Finetek) cryopreserved tissues were blocked in donkey serum/PBS and incubated with primary antibodies to CD8 or Thy1.1 (Becton Dickinson Biosciences), followed by secondary donkey anti-rat antibodies conjugated to DyLight 488 (Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) or streptavidin-conjugated FITC (Invitrogen). Negative controls consisted of isotype matched rabbit or rat immunoglobulin G in lieu of the primary antibodies listed above. Then, 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) was used for the visualization of nuclei. Immunofluorescence images were taken in a fluorescence microscope (Axioplan-2; Carl Zeiss Microimaging).

**In vivo cytotoxicity assay**

The assay was conducted as previously described (30). In brief, splenocytes from naïve WT C57BL/6 mice were pulsed with 50 μg/mL of gp10025-33 peptide or the same amount of control OVA257-264 peptide. After 1 hour of incubation, gp10025-33-pulsed WT splenocytes were labeled with 6 nmol/L CFSE for 10 minutes at 37°C, whereas control OVA257-264-pulsed splenocytes were differentially labeled with a 10-fold dilution of CFSE (0.6 nmol/L). Cells were injected i.v. into experimental mice at 16 days after pmel-1 adoptive cell transfer. After 10 hours, 3 mice per group were injected i.v. into experimental mice at 16 days after pmel-1 adoptive cell transfer. After 10 hours, 3 mice per group were injected i.v. into experimental mice at 16 days after pmel-1 adoptive cell transfer.

**Bioluminescence imaging**

Pmel-1 splenocytes were retrovirally transduced to express firefly luciferase as previously described (29), and used for ACT. Bioluminescence imaging was carried out with a Xenogen IVIS 200 Imaging System (Xenogen/Caliper Life Sciences) as previously described (22, 23).

**Micro-PET/computed tomography imaging**

Mice were anesthetized with 2% isoflurane. PET was conducted 1 hour after intravenous administration of 200 μCi of [18F]FDG, [18F]D-FAC, or [18F]HBG and mice were scanned using a FOCUS 220 micro-PET scanner (Siemens; energy window of 350 to 750 keV and timing window of 6 ns) as previously described (23).

**Statistical analysis**

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism (version 5) software (GraphPad Software). A Mann–Whitney U test or ANOVA with Bonferroni post-test was used to analyze experimental data. Survival curves were generated by actuarial Kaplan–Meier method and analyzed with the Jump-In software (SAS) with log-rank test for comparisons from the time of tumor challenge to when mice were sacrificed due to tumors reaching 14 mm in maximum diameter, or the end of the study period had been reached.

**Results**

**Derivation of a BRAF*V600E* mutated murine melanoma syngeneic to C57BL/6 mice**

The cell line SM1 was derived from a spontaneously arising melanoma from a mouse with the BRAF*V600E* oncogene specifically expressed by melanocytes. These mice had been generated by germline insertion of the BRAF*V600E* gene downstream of the murine tyrosinase locus control region (promoter enhancer) as described previously (31). These melanocytes-specific BRAF*V600E* transgenic mice had been backcrossed for more than 20 generations with C57BL/6 mice. It has been previously described that mice carrying transgenic BRAF*V600E* develop melanocytic hyperplasia histologically reminiscent of human nevi, and develop spontaneous melanomas with low penetrance due to the dominant oncogenic senescence effect of BRAF*V600E* (31). Cross-breeding them with CDKN2A or p53-deficient mice increases the frequency of melanoma development (31), but we found that the resulting tumors could not be grown in C57BL/6 mice (data not shown) possibly due to innate responses against mixed-background minor antigens from the 2 transgenic strains. To optimize the chances of establishing a progressively growing tumor, we first passaged the original SM1 cells in deeply immune-deficient NSG mice, and from there we were able to implant and develop progressively growing tumors in fully immunocompetent C57BL/6 mice.

**SM1 is a vemurafenib-moderately sensitive BRAF*V600E* mutant melanoma**

Sequencing of the hotspot T1799A mutation in BRAF showed the presence of the BRAF*V600E* transversion in SM1 cells (Fig. 1a). Whole-genome copy number analysis showed multiple genomic aberrations in SM1, with frequent deletions and amplifications (Supplementary Fig. S1A), which is a common finding in human melanomas. Among target genes of interest, SM1 has deletion of CDKN2A and amplification of BRAF and MITF genes (Supplementary Fig. S1B), events that are also frequently observed in human melanoma (Supplementary Fig. S1C). We tested the antitumor effects of single-agent vemurafenib against SM1 by *in vitro* MTS cell proliferation assay after 72 hours of treatment. The 50% inhibition concentration (IC₅₀)
of vemurafenib was 14 μmol/L, which is approximately one log higher than the sensitivity of M229 (IC_{50} of 0.5 μmol/L), a BRAF^{R600E} mutant human melanoma cell line and murine SM1 melanoma cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of vemurafenib for IC_{50} determination using an MTS assay. C, cell-cycle arrest in SM1 cells induced by vemurafenib (15 μmol/L) after a 72-hour exposure analyzed by flow cytometry. D, apoptosis marker analysis of SM1 cells exposed to vemurafenib for 72 hours at 15 μmol/L analyzed by flow cytometry. E, immunoblotting for analysis of signaling molecules after vemurafenib exposure of SM1 cells at increasing concentrations for 1 or 24 hours. F, SM1 tumor implanted mice were injected i.p. daily with 10 mg/kg of vemurafenib or vehicle control and followed for tumor-size changes over time.

Figure 1. Effects of vemurafenib in the murine SM1 BRAF^{V600E} mutant melanoma cell line. A, detection of BRAF^{V600E} mutation (T1799A) in SM1 by DNA sequencing. B, human melanoma cell-lines and murine SM1 melanoma cells were exposed to increasing concentrations of vemurafenib for IC_{50} determination using an MTS assay. C, cell-cycle arrest in SM1 cells induced by vemurafenib (15 μmol/L) after a 72-hour exposure analyzed by flow cytometry. D, apoptosis marker analysis of SM1 cells exposed to vemurafenib for 72 hours at 15 μmol/L analyzed by flow cytometry. E, immunoblotting for analysis of signaling molecules after vemurafenib exposure of SM1 cells at increasing concentrations for 1 or 24 hours. F, SM1 tumor implanted mice were injected i.p. daily with 10 mg/kg of vemurafenib or vehicle control and followed for tumor-size changes over time.
Combined therapy with vemurafenib and ACT immunotherapy improves antitumor responses against SM1 tumors

We generated a mouse model targeting the model tumor antigen OVA. We stably expressed OVA in SM1 cells to generate SM1-OVA for studies of ACT with splenocytes expressing a TCR specific for OVA (Fig. 3A and 3B). Lymphodepleted C57BL/6 mice with established subcutaneous SM1-OVA tumors received ACT of splenocytes obtained from C57BL/6 mice genetically modified with a retroviral vector expressing the 2 chains of the OVA-specific TCR (Fig. 3C and 3D). We titrated the conditions of this immunotherapy to provide a suboptimal antitumor activity (similar in range to single-agent vemurafenib) to allow the testing of the benefits of the combination. In 2 replicate experiments, the combined therapy of vemurafenib and OT-1 TCR-engineered splenocyte ACT was consistently superior to either therapy alone (Fig. 3E), and it improved survival (Fig. 3F; P = 0.0004 by log rank test).

As the OVA model is based on the recognition of a foreign antigen, we decided to confirm the results in the pmel-1 ACT model (Fig. 4A). The pmel-1 model is based on T cells transgenic for a TCR recognizing the murine melanosomal antigen gp100 (33), which is endogenously expressed by SM1 (Fig. 4B). In 3 replicate experiments, the combined therapy with pmel-1 ACT and vemurafenib provided superior antitumor activity compared with either therapy alone, which had been titrated to provide a suboptimal response against established SM1 tumors (Fig. 4C). As with the OVA model, Kaplan–Meier analysis of actuarial survival curves generated with the combined data from 3 replicate experiments showed that the combined therapy improved survival compared with single therapies (Fig. 4D; P < 0.0001 by log rank test).

Vemurafenib does not alter the tumor antigen or MHC expression by SM1 cells

A hypothesized mechanism of improved antitumor activity of combining BRAF targeted therapy with immunotherapy is an increase in tumor antigen or MHC expression by cancer cells (8). Therefore, we tested whether exposure to vemurafenib increased the expression of the gp100 melanoma tumor antigen or the expression of surface MHC molecules, as well as the recognition by TCR transgenic cells specific for gp100. However, vemurafenib did not significantly alter gp100 tumor antigen expression by SM1 cells (Fig. 4B). The baseline expression of the MHC molecule H2-D^d was very low in cultured SM1 cells, and it did not significantly change on exposure to vemurafenib (Supplementary Fig. S2).

No difference in T-cell number, distribution, and tumor targeting of ACT therapy when combined with vemurafenib

It has been reported that biopsies of some patients treated with BRAF inhibitors have increased CD8 infiltrates (10). To analyze whether vemurafenib expanded or changed the distribution of adoptively transferred cells in vivo with higher accumulation in tumors, we analyzed their presence in spleens, tumor-draining lymph nodes, and tumors. However, in our model there was no evidence of either a systemic (spleen or lymph nodes) or local (tumor) increase in the quantity of adoptively transferred antitumor T cells with treatment with vemurafenib (Fig. 5A and 5B; Supplementary Fig. S3A and 3B). To rule out the possibility that we were missing an effect by not analyzing the whole animal, we genetically labeled the adoptively transferred cells with treatment with vemurafenib (Fig. 5A and 5B; Supplementary Fig. S3A and 3B).

Increased functional activation of intratumoral lymphocytes with exposure to vemurafenib

The in vivo cytotoxicity assay allowed testing of whether vemurafenib had a direct effect of enhancing lymphocyte cytotoxicity in vivo, independent of its effects on SM1 tumor cells, as the targets are syngeneic splenocytes devoid of the
BRAFV600E mutation. In 3 replicate experiments the ACT of pmel-1 cells induced potent cytotoxic effects against splenocytes pulsed with the gp100 peptide, but not against the control OVA peptide. The cytotoxicity increased with systemic treatment with vemurafenib when analyzed at limiting numbers of adoptively transferred pmel-1 cells (Fig. 6A), but not when the number of pmel-1 cells adoptively transferred was 1 log higher and the pmel-1 cells already had a very high lytic activity against gp100 peptide pulsed splenocytes (Supplementary Fig. S5). We then analyzed the activation state of TILs by detecting cytokine production. In 2 replicate experiments, TIL collected from mice treated with the combination showed a higher ability to respond to short-term ex vivo restimulation with the gp100 antigen, as assessed by IFN-γ secretion (Fig. 6B; Supplementary Fig. S6). Therefore, the addition of vemurafenib increased the functionality of adoptively transferred pmel-1 cells in terms of their ability to release an immune stimulating cytokine and intrinsic antigen-specific lytic activity.

Discussion

The two approaches with high response rates for the treatment of patients with metastatic melanoma are BRAF inhibitors and lymphocyte ACT therapies with ex vivo expanded melanoma-specific T cells (1, 18, 35). However, in both cases, tumors frequently relapse after an initial response (18, 36). Our data supporting the combination of ACT with vemurafenib provides a strong rationale to translate combined...
immunotherapy and targeted therapy for patients with \textit{BRAF}^{V600} mutant metastatic melanoma. The scientific rationale for combinations of targeted therapies and immunotherapy is based on the notion that pharmacologic interventions with specific inhibitors of oncogenic events in cancer cells could sensitize cancer cells to immune attack, which has been termed immunosensitization (16). An immune-sensitizing agent should ideally block key oncogenic events in cancer cells, resulting in an increase in cell-surface ligands for immune effector cells, and induce an intracellular pro-apoptotic cancer cell milieu, which would enhance the ability of immune effector cells such as CTLs and natural killer cells to recognize and kill cancer cells. At the same time, immune-sensitizing agents should not impair the viability or function of immune effector cells (16). Most of these desired features could be fulfilled by specific \textit{BRAF} inhibitors currently used in patients with \textit{BRAF}^{V600} mutant metastatic melanoma (8–10).

We explored the potential mechanisms by which vemurafenib could improve the antitumor activity of adoptively transferred T cells in 2 animal models. Our studies show that this \textit{BRAF} inhibitor does not change the cell expansion or distribution of adoptively transferred cells by morphologic and molecular imaging studies. However, lymphocytes exposed to vemurafenib have higher pERK, which is a key feature of an activated MAPK signaling pathway. Further, we noted an immune cell-intrinsic ability to increase the cytotoxic function of antigen-specific T cells, and TILs from vemurafenib-treated mice had higher functional activation with increased ability to release the immune-stimulating cytokine IFN-\(\gamma\) following antigen re-exposure. These immune-activating effects of vemurafenib can be explained by the ability of \textit{RAF} inhibitors to paradoxically activate the MAPK pathway in cells that are WT for \textit{BRAF} but have strong upstream signaling (12–15). Therefore, it is possible that, in this model with a moderately
sensitive tumor target, the main beneficial effects of vemurafenib are derived from the ability of this agent to directly improve immune effector functions independent of the effects against the BRAFV600E mutant tumor.

One of the potential mechanisms of combinatorial activity of tumor-damaging agents and immunotherapy, leading to increased TIL activation, is an increased antigen presentation by the tumor cells themselves (8). However, in our studies we could not readily detect an increase in tumor antigen or MHC molecule expression by SM1 cells exposed to vemurafenib. An alternative approach leading to increased antigen presentation would be an increased tumor antigen cross-presentation by host antigen-presenting cells picking up antigen released by dying cancer cells. However, it is hard to develop direct evidence of tumor antigen cross-presentation in these animal models, which may be further explored. In addition, it is possible that vemurafenib could alter the tumor microenvironment inhibiting the production of immune suppressive factors by the melanoma cells, leading to increased adaptively transferred lymphocyte activation without increasing antigen cross-presentation. A slower tumor growth and blocking the oncogenic MAPK pathway signaling would favorably modulate the tumor microenvironment allowing antitumor lymphocytes to be better activated and produce IFN-γ as we have detected.

It is possible that the mechanism of improved combinatorial effects may be different in a BRAFV600E mutant tumor with higher sensitivity to vemurafenib. In our models based on the SM1 cell line, single-agent vemurafenib had a mainly anti-proliferative effect in vivo, as opposed to the induction of rapid tumor regression. SM1 is relatively resistant to single-agent vemurafenib in vitro and in vivo, possibly because of the multiple genomic alterations in this cell line, including deletion of CDKN2A and amplification of BRAF and MITF. In fact, amplification of BRAFV600E is a bona fide mechanism of resistance to BRAF inhibitors in the clinical setting (37), and possibly the main reason why SM1-established tumors in mice do not regress with the treatment with vemurafenib. If new murine melanoma cell lines driven by BRAFV600E are developed in the future with higher in vitro and in vivo sensitivity to BRAF inhibitors, it is possible that even more synergistic effects of BRAF inhibitors with immunotherapy may be detected. A rapid tumor response may be more likely to induce tumor antigen-specific T-cell activation by antigen cross-presentation, or inhibition of the immunosuppressive

Figure 5. Effects of vemurafenib on the number or distribution of adoptively transferred lymphocytes. A, pmel-1 transgenic T cells were used for ACT in the pmel-1 combined therapy model. Tumors were harvested on day +5 after ACT and representative H&E (left) and immunofluorescence for pmel-1 cells stained with anti-Thy1.1-FITC (green, right), and nuclei stained with DAPI (blue, right). B, splenocytes and TILs harvested at day 5 were counted and analyzed by flow cytometry for gp100 tetramer/Thy1.1/CD3/CD8 staining. C, in vivo bioluminescence imaging of TCR transgenic T-cell distribution. D, quantitation of bioluminescence imaging of serial images obtained through day 14 post-ACT of pmel-1 transgenic cells expressing firefly luciferase with 3 mice per group.
tumor microenvironment, and the responding tumor may enlist inflammatory cells producing chemokine attractants for lymphocytes, resulting in increased intratumoral infiltration.

In conclusion, combined therapy with the BRAFV600-specific inhibitor vemurafenib and TCR-engineered ACT resulted in superior antitumor effects against a fully syngeneic BRAFV600E mutant melanoma. Although the absolute number of T cells infiltrating the tumor was not increased by vemurafenib, the combination increased the functionality of antigen-specific T lymphocytes. Therefore, our studies support the clinical testing of combinations of BRAF targeted therapy and immunotherapy for patients with advanced melanoma.
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Figure 6. Effects of vemurafenib on the cytotoxic and cytokine-producing functions of adoptively transferred lymphocytes. A, effects on cytotoxicity with the in vivo cytotoxic T cell assay. C57BL/6 mice received ACT of 5 × 10⁶ pmel-1 splenocytes and daily vemurafenib or vehicle administered intraperitoneally. On day 16, mice received an intravenous challenge with CFSE-labeled target cells (splenocytes pulsed with gp100 peptide or control OVA peptide). Ten hours later, splenocytes were harvested and analyzed by flow cytometry. B, effects on cytokine production upon antigen restimulation. SM1 tumor-bearing C57BL/6 mice received pmel-1 ACT with or without vemurafenib. At day 5 post-ACT, tumors were harvested and TILs isolated for intracellular IFN-γ staining analyzed by intracellular staining by flow cytometry on 5 hour ex vivo exposure to the gp10025-33 peptide.
Immunosensitization with BRAF Inhibitors
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